

THE FUNCTION OF AFFECT: Durational Space In Architecture And Cinema

Instructors:

David Correa (co-coordinator) – david.correa@uwaterloo.ca
Mike Fohring - michael.fohring@mail.mcgill.ca
Christie Pearson – christiemake@yahoo.com
Dereck Revington (co-coordinator) - dereck.revington@uwaterloo.ca
Jonathan Tyrrell – jonathan.d.tyrrell@gmail.com

Teaching Assistants:

Ammar Ghazal – ammar.ghazal@uwaterloo.ca
Jonas Chin – jchchin@uwaterloo.ca

Studio hours: Mondays and Thursdays, 9.30am-1:00pm and 2:00-5.30pm

Office hours: By Appointment



Three-dimensional space, inhabited and set in virtual motion by the body, has formed the material of modern architecture; its representation in two dimensions, with the added dimension of time, has been the work of film. Both arts have been inextricably linked since the end of the nineteenth century: architects have taken their cue from film, filmmakers from architects. Sigfried Giedion coined the triplet “space, time, and architecture”; Le Corbusier and Sergei Eisenstein served as the emblematic duo in this cross-medium relationship; Walter Benjamin sealed the marriage as a product of modern technological reproduction; and psychology reinforced it with the concept of mental projection. Architecture now operated as a psychic mechanism, constructing its subjects in time and space.¹

Motion pictures move not only through time and space or narrative development; they move also through inner space. Film, like architecture, enables us to journey through the space of the imagination, the sites of memory, and the topography of affects. It is this inner motion, a mental itinerary, that links film to architecture and design and makes cinema the art that is closest to architecture. Architecture and film are not only sites of movement, but also “moving” sites.²

¹ Anthony Vidler, Forward to Public Intimacy, Architecture And The Visual Arts, Giuliana Bruno, 2007 Massachusetts Institute of Technology, p IX

² Giuliana Bruno, Pleats of Matter, Folds of the Soul in Afterimages of Gilles Deleuze’s Film Philosophy, DN Rodowick editor, p 215

Introduction

Have you noticed how great cinema puts you on alert, stirs your mind and fills you with anticipation? Have you noticed how your mood depends on the weather - the silence before the storm or the blaze of the blizzard? The work of most good architects arises from some especially affecting experience they have undergone in some place at some time; experience which is transformed through imagination and the long disciplines that awaken creativity and give form to our architectural lives; the deeper the reach, the more encompassing the vision; the greater the synthesis the more lively the response.

Cinema and Architecture

As early as 1938 the great Russian filmmaker Sergei Eisenstein in his seminal essay "*Montage and Architecture*" stated:

Painting has remained incapable of fixing the total representation of a phenomenon in its full visual multidimensionality. (There have been numberless attempts to do this). Only the film camera has solved the problem of doing this on a flat surface, but its undoubted ancestor in this capability is architecture. (Sergei M. Eisenstein, "Montage and Architecture" (c.1937) with an introduction by Yve-Alain Bois, *Assemblage*, no. 10 (1989): 111-131).

What is pivotal about Eisenstein's essay is not only his recognition of the primacy of architecture in relation to the cinema but he reminds us that architecture is not only a spatial art but also an art of time. We encounter and perceive architecture while on the move. All our senses are engaged by the multiple effects of moving through and within the architectural ensemble; rising or descending, in darkness or in light, in terror or in awe, each surface, artifact or space transfers its qualities to a moving, perceiving subject.

Le Corbusier inventor of the architectural concept of "promenade" was a great admirer of Eisenstein and in the text in his *Oeuvres complètes*, accompanying his plans for the Villa Savoye (1929-31), he describes the spatiotemporal itinerary through the house as a cinematic sequence:

Arab architecture has much to teach us. It is appreciated *while on the move*, with one's feet; it is while walking, moving from one place to another, that one sees how the arrangements of the architecture develop. This is a principle contrary to Baroque architecture.... In this house [the Villa Savoye], we are dealing with a true architectural promenade, offering constantly varied form, unexpected, sometimes astonishing aspects. It is interesting to obtain so much diversity when one has, for example, allowed from the standpoint of construction an absolutely rigorous pattern of posts and beams. (Le Corbusier, *Oeuvres complètes*, vol. II, Zurich, Editions d'architecture, 1964 p. 24. Cited in Yve-Alain Bois; John Shepley, "A Picturesque Stroll around "Clara-Clara" October, Vol. 29. (Summer, 1984), p 56

In this early and seminal work the choreography of movement through the villa is primary and expressed through the effects of parallax experienced in the unfolding sequences of flickering space as one moves from the entrance up the sectional cut of the ramp through the column grid and to the roof terrace where the journey culminates in the horizontal film strip windows framing the landscape cinematically.

This fascination with cinema and the dynamic effects of continuously unfolding spaces and sequences of space, unexpected juxtapositions, changes in direction, surprising vistas, programmatic overlaps and intersections, has continued to inform the conceptual and experiential modes and procedures that have inspired and informed architects from Guy Debord and the Situationists (who recognized the origins of the *dérive* in the architectural promenade) to Bernard Tschumi, Rem Koolhaas, Zaha Hadid, Jean Nouville and many others.

Both architecture and cinema build the conditions in which settings and scenarios are played out through the manipulation of space, time and event but the spatiality and temporality of cinema and architecture are radically different. Time in architecture unfolds much more slowly, is primarily measured

by the moods and rhythms of weather, by the passage of natural light through its articulated membranes and across its immobile surfaces. Nothing is less characteristically cinematic than immobility and slow, existential time. Nothing is less architectonic than switching between disparate places and multiple timeframes with great velocity. It is precisely with and between these apparent differences and intensities that we will work, pushing normative boundaries and opening up new territories of the architectural imagination. We want to explode static views of architecture. Rather than representing something we want to realize architecture's potential to create its own powerful movements and temporalities.

The Studio



The Mirror, Andrei Tarkovsky

“When music cries, it is humanity, it is the whole of nature which cries with it. Truly speaking, it does not introduce these feelings in us; it introduces us rather into them, like the passers-by that might be nudged in a dance.” (Henri Bergson Cited in Gilles Deleuze, *Bergsonism*, Zone Books, 1988 p. 110.)

Our ambition in this studio is that you might be nudged into a dance with architecture; an architecture of your imagination and making, and that we too, as passers-by, might be nudged in this dance. We all need mediators as Gilles Deleuze asserts, something to set us in motion and, at the outset of this studio it is cinema that will be deployed to put us in orbit. Film mobilizes temporal and spatial modes of perception as does architecture but whereas film cannot but be conceived in time, architecture rarely fully engages the effects of temporal conception in its making.

Consider for a moment the still image of the *Atlas of Cinematic Affects* (see P1 assignment). Set its images in motion and they become analogs for the thinking-feeling we experience in an intensive and affective encounter with an architecture that moves us. Their dynamic forces, mobile trajectories and material qualities, which only appear over time, will initiate the first exercise of the studio.

These shape-shifting cinematic formations moving through our inner and outer worlds will be harnessed and transformed in the making of an architectural assemblage. Through design we will come to know these sequences intimately, and discover what they can do; their variable speeds and slowness, hardness and softness, coldness or heat, gravity or weightlessness and the atmosphere that the rhythmic interplay between them might create for a living subject. As you advance through the exercises you will begin to conceive and perceive architecture dynamically, in time, and as living substance in relational interplay with your sensing.

The initiation of subsequent and related projects in the Studio will follow a similar course, developing through intense investigations in physical modeling, drawing, and a range of analogue and digital media best suited to distilling the poetic core of fundamental questions emerging from the studio and from the architectural passions and predilections of each of the participants. Our ambition is to liberate

architecture from the shackles of convention while opening new territories of the formal and material imagination. This will be accomplished with a cross-disciplinary focus, drawing not only on the means and methods of architecture and the plastic arts, but on the temporal arts of music and dance with a primary focus on cinema, for cinema is the art form closest to Architecture.

Each of the studio projects, launched by distinct provocations, will ramify with increasing density and specificity as the term progresses, concluding in a final project: a dynamic cultural assemblage, drawn and synthesized from the most cogent discoveries along the way. We imagine a great diversity of work across the studio, and a range of scales (temporal and spatial) issuing from both the intense focus and discipline of the studio and through the individual investment, insights and discoveries of each of the participants. The studio will include a major installation, exhibition and celebration at *Bridge*.

Course requirements:

Project 1: Spatial Montage and the Durational Section- 15% (Individual, 3 weeks)

Project 2: The Disrupted City/Nuit Blanche – 10% (Groups of 5, 1 week)

Project 3: Heterotopic Assemblage – 20% (Groups of 5, 3 weeks)

Project 4: The Intensive City – 55% (Individual, 5 weeks)

Project 1: Spatial Montage and the Durational Section

Assignment 1 is an exploration of space and time as fundamental components in how we perceive reality. As the process of thinking, perceiving and sensing inescapably occurs in time, even the most abstract idea has its “thickness in time”. Consequently, every 3D object, spatial experience or architectural space cannot be discussed as having only three dimensions.

The first assignment is an analytical and compositional exercise aimed at capturing the experience of spatiotemporal dynamics. Selected film clips are deployed as mediators for the exploration and generation of architectural space, matter and light, through drawing and model making. This is an individual project exploring spatial montage and the durational section, while laying the ground for Projects 2 and 3, which will be orchestrated in groups of five.

Project 2: The Disrupted City/Nuit Blanche

While Project 1 spatializes and materializes cinematic movement and sensation, Project 2 challenges the student to capture distilled movement and sensation cinematically through an intense experiential encounter with the city during the events of Toronto’s Nuit Blanche. Groups of 5 students engage the creative disruptions of the night together. Each student will produce a short, edited, cinematic sequence drawn from their most cogent and moving footage. These sequences will then be montaged together in a group work to be screened in an event at the school.

Project 3: Heterotopic Assemblage

Projects 1 and 2 are now folded into a collective heterotopic assemblage deploying moving light and both digital and analogue fabrication. This assignment will be done in groups of 5 students, producing 15 metropolitan visions suspended in the black box of the *Bridge* gallery.

Project 4: The Intensive City

Project 4 challenges students to question and investigate a fragment of the city as a four-dimensional effector. The semblance of metropolitan spaces and events forged in P3 will form the “programmatic generator” of the final project – a speculative cultural intervention in the city to come.

Evaluation

Each assignment throughout the term will be assessed on the following basis:

- Ambition, clarity and appropriateness of the ideas addressed within the work.
- Architectural quality and the technical resolution of the proposition.
- Integrity in the development of the project from initial to final phase.
- Precision and craft of physical artifacts created.
- The effectiveness and the completeness of project documentation and its capacity to communicate the project's intentions in the author's absence.

Deadlines and extensions: Arch 292 project deadlines can be extended only in cases of illness or incapacity. Requests for such extensions must be made *before* the project deadline, as soon as is possible, using the request for extension form available from the Undergraduate Student Services Coordinator – Colleen Richter, accompanied by a medical certificate when necessary, and submitted to the Arch 292 Coordinator. Students must complete all projects, and obtain a passing average in order to receive credit for this course. Late submissions without approved extensions will lose 10% of project value per day. Because of the difficulty of evaluating individual contributions in the group work in project 2, students must receive a passing grade (50% or more) in Project 1 and Project 4 (combined) to pass the term. A failing grade (less than 50%) in Projects 1 and 4 combined will constitute a failing grade for the term.

Digital submissions

Students who choose to produce digital drawings for any portion of a project's submission requirements, must make early paper backups of their drawings. Last minute printing problems, lost or corrupt files will not be accepted as an excuse for late project submissions. All work in this course must be presented in hard copy form regardless of one's preferred working methodology.

Students should ensure that hard copy drawings and models are available for desk reviews. Digital files are required to accompany all major project submissions regardless of their original form. Unless otherwise specified, they must be accompanied by paper printouts or formatted physical panels. Specific lists of the requirements of each project submission will be included with individual project handouts. Digital submissions will be required as a means to archive the work of individual students and groups throughout the term. All files must be submitted in readable PDF and high-resolution JPG formats to LEARN.

Daily Schedule:

Arch 292 is scheduled as follows: Mondays: 9:30-1:00; 2:00-5:30 pm. Thursdays: 9:30-1:00; 2:00-5:30 pm. Students are required to be working in the studio during the above scheduled hours. Repeated absence may be sufficient reason to request withdrawal from the course. Students are also required to attend and participate in all scheduled reviews, and expected to attend all guest lectures and other events.

Studio culture

The School of Architecture building is open 24 hours a day, seven days a week, which allows students to execute their design work in the studio. Working in isolation inevitably undermines the potential of the collective environment of the studio, and is typically detrimental to the quality of a student's work. The development of a healthy studio culture involves intensive production as well as a critical and speculative dialogue with regard to their own work and the work of others. The studio must be a safe and inclusive environment in which all students can work without unnecessary distraction. Disruptive behavior is strictly forbidden. Headphones must be used if a student wishes to listen to music or other

electronic media. The student lounge, gym and basketball court are available to any student who wishes to engage in activities unrelated to studio work.

Studio fees

There is a \$25.00 studio fee for this course. This fee must be paid in the front office within the first two weeks of classes. Failure to pay this fee will result in the withholding of grades

Reviews

Reviews are not evaluations but rather investigations and debates. Evaluation will take place in confidential sessions by the studio faculty working as a group, in which all critical aspects of each project will be considered. It is crucial that each student, not only participate in their own review, but also in the reviews of the work of fellow students. Participation in class reviews and seminars is mandatory. Video recorders and audio recording devices should not be utilized in review sessions without the approval of the course instructor(s) present. Students are encouraged to record the criticism they receive from faculty members and guest critics through the assistance of a classmate who can take notes regarding the content of the conversation on one's behalf. Desk reviews are not objective debates or evaluations, but opportunities to receive advice specific to each student and each project. The project and the decisions made are ultimately the student's responsibility.

Communication with studio coordinator and faculty

During the course of the term, faculty may need to send communications to ARCH 292 students. It is required that each student confirm their current active email address with the Undergraduate Student Service Coordinator during the first week of class. Students may receive general communications from the coordinators regarding studio business by e-mail. It is expected that students check their email daily and that once material has been sent it has been officially received. Copies of all official correspondence will be archived for future reference. Email correspondence directed to individuals may be sent either to their individual accounts, or through LEARN, whereas formal class-wide correspondence will be sent through LEARN. As a result students should ensure that their LEARN account is active. Over the course of the term, students may receive less formal correspondence from individual faculty members regarding various issues pertaining to individual projects, review schedules etc. We do however ask that students do not use email as a means of communicating with faculty members regarding their studio projects or personal issues. Discussions concerning individual projects or any other matter requiring direction, confirmation or advice from faculty should occur during scheduled studio hours unless previously discussed with the instructor, or scheduled at a separate time with the studio coordinators.

Faculty Lectures:

September 13 –Dereck Revington – The Function Of Affect: Durational Space In Architecture and Cinema
September 20 – TBA
October 01 – TBA
November 01 – TBA
November 15 – TBA

Readings

Reading will be provided with the assignments.

Learning Objectives:

1. Develop a rigorous and creative engagement with theorists and makers (architects, painters, poets, sculptors, filmmakers) whose practices and methods open up new territories of the formal, temporal and material imagination.
2. Explore processes of design thinking and making predicated on relational, transversal and networked procedures.
3. Explore the alchemy of form, space and matter through time.
4. Develop a compelling and speculative approach to programs and events and how these conceptual and affective forces might be enhanced through the architectural frame.
5. Draw correspondences and make visual reference to related contemporary works in architecture, cinema and the visual arts, with special emphasis on resonances between spaces and events.
6. Demonstrate the affective capacities of the above through an assemblage of associated images and text, model photographs, schematic diagrams, scaled drawings and vignettes, and through whatever graphic means best communicate ambitions and intentions.
7. Develop an initial understanding of cinematic technique, terms of reference, and critical works that will enrich the architectural imagination.
8. Develop cyclical workflows and methods of formal investigation that oscillate between digital and physical media.
9. Provide a tangible method for working with affect in architectural composition.
10. Participate actively in seminar and studio discussions at a high level, foster critical debate and inquiry and hone the art of concise oral and visual presentation.

ARCH 292 Schedule
FALL 2018

	Sunday	Monday	Tuesday	Wednesday	Thursday	Friday	Saturday
SEPTEMBER	2	3	4	5	6 Lecture 01 P1 Intro 9:30-12:30, E-Classroom Seminars 1:30-5:30, Loft (2 parallel sessions)	7	8
	9	10 Seminars 9:30 - 5:30, Loft 3 parallel sessions Groups of 5	11	12	13 Seminars 9:30 - 5:30, Loft 3 parallel sessions Groups of 5	14	15
	16	17 P1.1 Pin-up Discussion 9:30-5:30, Loft 3 parallel sessions Groups of 25	18	19	20 Lecture 02 P2 Intro 9:30-12:30 Lecture Hall Seminars 1:30-5:30, Loft Student pairs	21	22
	23	24 Seminars 9:30-5:30, Studio Individual	25	26	27 P1.2 REVIEWS 9:30-5:30, Loft Nuit Blanche Meeting 5:30pm, Loft	28	29 NUIT BLANCHE FIELD TRIP
OCTOBER	30	1 Lecture 03 9:30-11:00, Lecture Hall 11:00-5:30, Grading Students work on editing and 3D scanning	2	3	4 Seminars 9:30-4:00, Studio Group Meetings P2 N.B. Screening 4:00-5:30 Lecture Hall or E-Class	5	6
	7	8 <i>Thanksgiving</i>	9 <i>Study day</i>	10 <i>Study day</i>	11 <i>Make up day for Oct 10</i> <i>No Studio</i>	12 <i>Make up day for Oct 11</i> <i>No Studio</i>	13
	14 Assembled digital & physical sk. models DUE:10pm	15 Instl Briefing: 9:30-11:00 Seminars 9:30-5:30, Loft digtl & physcl sk. model 5 parallel sessions Loft&Loft Gallery	16	17	18 Seminars 9:30-5:30, Loft Refinement of digital and physical models 5 parallel sessions	19	20 Bridge install setup
	21 Bridge install setup	22 Work Seminars 9:30-5:30, Studio/Bridge Installation Plan	23	24	25 Installation Mockup and install 9:30-5:30, Bridge	26	27 Bridge install
	NOVEMBER	28 installation documentation Due:10pm	29 BLACK BOX P3 REVIEWS Exhibition/Presentation 9:30-5:30, Bridge 6:00 Party	30 Installation take down	31	1 Lecture 04 P4 Intro 9:30-12:30, Lecture Hall Seminars 1:30-5:30, Loft 5 parallel sessions	2

DECEMBER

4 P3 Final Documentation edit Due:10pm	5 Seminars 9:30-5:30, Loft 5 parallel sessions Groups of 3	6	7	8 Seminars 9:30-5:30, Loft 5 parallel sessions Groups of 3	9	10
11	12 Desk Crits 9:30-5:30 Studio	13	14	15 Lecture 05 9:30-12:30, Lecture Hall Seminars 1:30-5:30, Studio	16	17
18	19 Desk Crits 9:30-5:30 Studio	20	21	22 P3 INTERIM REVIEW 9:30-5:30, Loft	23	24
25	26 Class Meeting 9:30-10:30 Lecture Hall Desk Crits 10:30-5:30, Studio	27	28	29 Desk Crits 9:30-5:30 Studio	30	1
2	3 Desk Crits 9:30-5:30 Studio	4	5	6 Desk Crits 9:30-5:30 Studio P3 FINAL DIGITAL SUBMISSION 6:00pm	7	8
9	10 FINAL REVIEWS Loft	11 FINAL REVIEWS Loft	12	13	14	15
16	17	18	19	20	21 LAST DAY OF EXAMS	22