


School of Architecture University of Waterloo at Cambridge

ARCH 693 - 001 M1 Design Studio Fall 2011

Instructor John McMinn

Liminal States; Architecture, Place and Identity at the Interstices.



COURSE DESCRIPTION

The Graduate Design Studio will explore issues of architecture, place, and identity, at the interstitial conditions across the urban and extra-urban landscape. Border and boundary situations whether at the scale of a building, a neighborhood, or a nation, embody heightened conditions of architecture where identity and character find expression and where manifestations of difference are contested.

With globalization, economic instability, critical environmental challenges and resource depletion, bringing into question the role and position of architecture in society, critical evaluation of the way the built environment is conceived, produced and used is in order. Interstitial conditions of architecture, frequently associated with heightened situations of liminality offer an expanded opportunity for this critical questioning. Students will study these conditions at various scales, researching specific locations and expanding the lens through related texts, precedent and examination of cultural and geographical circumstance.

Kenneth Frampton's conception of *Critical Regionalism* contextualizes the diversity of material-cultural practice, linked to regional particularity, simultaneously addressing local conditions and the contemporary transnational culture of architecture. Frampton argues for an architecture resistant to an overwhelming global culture that threatens local place-identity. Teddy Cruz's conception a north south global divide provides a political and economic critique to situate the discussion of Critical Regionalism within the contested field of economic disparity and situational responsive practice, while Eyal Weizman's examination of interstitial conditions in zones of conflict amplifies the intensity of architecture's role in the contested field of power imbalance.

Drawing on the experience of related readings and specific site explorations, participants in the studio will develop contextually resonant thesis propositions. The course focuses on the development of an individual architectural thesis in outline form.

LEARNING OBJECTIVES

In conjunction with research undertaken in the studio, students will produce a contextually responsive thesis proposition, developed through a series of short exercises, each incorporating a visual and a text based component,

1. Engage critical evaluation of the role of architecture in response to conditions of environmental crisis, economic uncertainty and globalization.
2. Explore diverse cultural patterns and their associated social/spatial conditions, particularly at the interstitial situations arising between divergent cultural groups.
3. Examine methods and techniques of the way architecture is conceived and designed, relative to evolving techniques of communication, delineation fabrication and assembly.
4. Explore opportunities arising from judicious deployment of natural and manufactured resources, providing holistic and enriching environments for occupants in the long term.
5. Develop and document comprehensive architectural proposals which respond to the complex and fluctuating conditions of cultural interface in urban and extra-urban environments.

COURSE REQUIREMENTS

The course involves a series of exercises leading to the production of a Comprehensive Thesis Outline. The exercises are conceived as progressive and cumulative, involving both drawn and/or fabricated works and texts, defining discreet aspects of the proposed thesis. The series of exercises (P1-5) together as a group constitute half of the course grade, while the thesis outline (P6) comprises the other half of the course grade.

P1 Scenario

Locate, describe and document the current situation of a place in which border or boundary situation(s) can be clearly identified between divergent and perhaps competing conditions

P2 Visioning

Based on P1 research, develop a vision to address, or if needed, to mitigate the situation identified, including a building and/or landscape design strategy.

P3 Precedents

Identify relevant precedent information, whether in regard to built form, programming or policy, which informs the approach of the P2 proposal.

P4 Site

Identify, research and document a proposed site associated with the place and situation identified in P1.

P5 Project

Define and develop preliminary documentation related to program, site and building design, demonstrating material characteristics, tectonics and vocabulary of the proposal.

P6 Comprehensive Thesis Outline

Utilizing the work in exercises 1 through 5 develop a comprehensive thesis outline including the following elements:

- Thesis abstract - provide a condensed summary of key themes and topics of the thesis research and design proposition, maximum 300 words
- Table of contents - outline individual section and chapter headings with brief synopsis of content
- Introduction – outline major topic areas covered by the thesis including theoretical and historical context
- Review of Literature - annotated reading and precedent list covering existing scholarship and preceding approaches to the thesis topics
- Conceptual outline - cover the themes and topics explored in the thesis, and media employed
- Description of research and design methods - outline the nature and intention of the research, leading to a design proposition and methods employed therein
- Schedule of research and production of the thesis - include required travel and acquisition of research materials
- Budget – outline detailed breakdown of all costs related to the completion of the thesis
- Summary – analysis of limits of work and implications of research
- Appendices - annotated assembly of peripheral material relating to the thesis

EVALUATION

Project	Abstract	Grade value
P1. Scenario	150 words	6%
P2. Visioning	150 words	6%
P3. Precedents	200 words	6%
P4. Site	200 words	7%
P5. Project	250 words	20%
P6. Thesis Outline	300 words	50%
Participation		5%

Assessment is based on project reviews in juries and is gauged by: the ambition, clarity and appropriateness of the concept; architectural quality and technical resolution; integrity in the development of the project from initial to final phase; effectiveness and the completeness of the documentation, and on the precision and clarity of its communication in the author's absence.

REVIEWS

Reviews are not evaluations but rather the opportunity for a public discussion of your work. Evaluation will take place in separate sessions by the studio coordinator, wherein all critical aspects of each project will be considered. It is important for each student to participate not only in the review of their own work, but in the reviews of the work of fellow students. Participation in class reviews and seminars is mandatory.

HAND-IN AND DIGITAL SUBMISSIONS

Students must complete all projects to an acceptable level and obtain a passing average in order to receive credit for this course. You are required to have hard copy print-out for all pin-ups and formal reviews. Last minute printing problems, lost or corrupt files will not be accepted as an excuse for late project submissions. All work in this course must be presented in hard copy format regardless of one's preferred working methodology.

You are required to hand in a CD at the end of the term, documenting ALL your studio work for the term. The CD should be clearly organized into project folders identifying Project 1 through 6, with subfolders indicating study models, preliminary sketches/diagrams, final drawings and final models. Completion of this requirement will be assessed as part of the participation grade.

LATE WORK

All assignments are due in class at the specified time and date. Arch 693 project deadlines can be extended only in cases of illness or incapacity, or special circumstances. Requests for such extensions must be made before the project deadline to the studio coordinator, using the Request Form/Extension form available from the front office, and be accompanied by a medical certificate when appropriate.

Work submitted after the hand in date and time without a confirmed extension will be subject to a penalty of 5% per day thereafter, and after five business days a mark of zero will be recorded.

SCHEDULE AND STUDIO ATTENDANCE

Studio runs Tuesdays and Fridays:

9:30am-12:30pm, 1:30pm – 5:30pm

The studio sessions will include lectures, individual desk-critiques, pin-ups and formal reviews. Class attendance and participation play a key part in the course and will be noted and evaluated by the instructor. Detailed descriptions will introduce each project and lectures and other presentations will be given at various times throughout the term.

CLASS MEETINGS

Be prepared to meet every studio day at 9:30 am. Seminars and class meetings will be normally be held throughout the term at the beginning of studio days. Specific dates will be established as the term evolves, responding to class progress. Check your email late evening on the day before or early morning on studio days for updates on meeting times and locations.

COMMUNICATION WITH STUDIO COORDINATOR

During the course of the term, the studio coordinator may need to send communications to ARCH 693 students. It is required that each student confirm their current active email address with the Undergraduate Student Service Coordinator during the first week of class. Any official correspondence that must be addressed to the studio coordinator j.mcminn@sympatico.ca.

RECOMMENDED READINGS

A list of recommended readings will be distributed at various stages throughout the term and as applicable will be held on reserve in the *Musagetes Library* for use by the class, or will be provided in pdf form. All students are encouraged to actively use the *Musagetes Library* collection and *recommended* readings.

ACADEMIC INTEGRITY AND AVOIDANCE OF ACADEMIC OFFENSES:

To create and promote a culture of academic integrity, the behavior of all members of the University of Waterloo is based on honesty, trust, fairness, mutual respect and responsibility.

Students are expected to know what constitutes academic integrity, to avoid committing academic offenses, and to take responsibility for their actions. For information on categories of offenses and types of penalties, students should refer to Policy #71, Student Academic Discipline, <http://www.adm.uwaterloo.ca/infosec/Policies/policy71.htm>.

Students who believe that they have been wrongfully or unjustly penalized have the right to grieve; refer to Policy #70, Student Grievance, <http://www.adm.uwaterloo.ca/infosec/Policies/policy70.htm>

APPEALS FOR REASSESSMENT:

If you are unhappy with the grade you are given in any course,

1. Attempt an informal resolution by speaking to or corresponding with the Professor.
2. If you are not satisfied, complete a request for reassessment form (www.registrar.uwaterloo.ca/forms/FormallInquiry.pdf) and submits it to the Associate Dean Graduate Studies. You may meet with the Associate Dean before the form is submitted, or call (for a phone appointment book through Andri Lima).
3. Once the Associate Dean has the form and explanation he forwards the matter to the Director for action and consideration. The Director selects a reasonable technique to determine the validity of the request. If a studio reassessment is selected, a committee of 3 studio faculty who were not involved in creating the original grade may be asked to review the project, the project outline and comparable projects from the term.
4. The Associate Dean receives a decision/recommendation and communicates that to the student.

WHAT IS PLAGIARISM?

This information is taken from UW Policy 71 - Student Academic Discipline Policy under the list of Academic Offences (section II):

"Plagiarism, which is the act of presenting the ideas, words or other intellectual property of another as one's own. The use of other people's work must be properly acknowledged and referenced [...] The properly acknowledged use of sources is an accepted and important part of scholarship. Use of such material without complete and unambiguous acknowledgement, however, is an offence under this policy. "

NOTE FOR STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES

The Office for Persons with Disabilities (OPD), located in Needles Hall, Room 1132, collaborates with all academic departments to arrange appropriate accommodations for students with disabilities without compromising the academic integrity of the curriculum. If you require academic accommodations to lessen the impact of your disability, please register with the OPD at the beginning of each academic term. Once registered with OPD, please meet with the professor, in confidence, during my office hours to discuss your needs.

Arch 692 - 001 M1 Studio – Fall Term 2011

			Exercise	Drawing/Fabrication	Abstract	Grade
			Participation throughout the term			5%
Sept	Wk 1	13 16	P1	Scenario - handout	150 words	6%
	Wk 2	20 23	P2	P1 Presentation Visioning - handout	150 words	6%
Oct	Wk 3	27 30	P3	P2 Presentation Precedents - handout	200 words	6%
	Wk 4	4 7				
	Wk 5	11 14	P4	P3 Presentation Site - handout	200 words	7%
	Wk 6	18 21				
	Wk 7	25 28	P5	P4 Presentation Project - handout	250 words	20%
Nov	Wk 8	1 4				
	Wk 9	8 11				
	Wk 10	15 18	P6	P5 Presentation Thesis Outline - hanout	300 words	50%
	Wk 11	22 25				
Dec	Wk 12	29 2				
	Wk 13	6 9				
		14		Final Studio review		

