Flood Risk Governance in a Changing Climate

Jason Thistlethwaite PhD School of Environment, Enterprise and Development (SEED) Daniel Henstra PhD Department of Political Science



2017 floods in Bradford, Ottawa-Gatineau





Canada's flood governance gap

Unless responsibilities are arranged and legitimately justified:

- 1. Risk reduction strategies are ineffective
- 2. Risk reduction faces political opposition







Why flood risk?

- Most costly and frequent hazard
- Increasing costs, esp. urban flooding
- Outdated defenses
- Unsustainable disaster assistance and insurance costs
- Legal liability growing

Historical DFAA Payments by Catastrophe 1970-2014



(CAD \$millions CDN; adapted from PBO 2016)





Why flood risk?







Flood risk management (FRM)





Principles of FRM



- 1. Absolute protection impossible
 - Equal priority between protection, mitigation, response and recovery
- 2. Manage consequences
 - Risk assessment includes exposure, vulnerability in addition to hazard
- 3. Portfolio of instruments
 - Multiple tools to reduce and share responsibility
- 4. Share responsibility
 - Property owners, businesses, developers, governments





Who should do what, and why?

STRATEGY

RESPONSIBILITY







Should property owners be responsible for flood risk?

Responsibility passed down to homeowners and municipalities

- Federal disaster assistance less available
- Flood insurance new in Canada (but not in high-risk areas)
- Encouraging property-level flood protection (e.g. subsidies, bylaws).







Property owners are not effective flood risk managers

- 94% unaware they live in high risk areas
- Less than 30% protect their property.
- Insufficient willingness-to-pay for insurance and protection



*these respondents live in high risk areas





The Who Gap

STRATEGY

RESPONSIBILITY

| Property level-protection | Prop | perty | owne | ers? | | | | |
|-------------------------------|------|----------------|------|--------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Flood mappi | ng | Ig Local/Prov? | | | | | | |
| Natural storage Lo | | | | Local/Prov | | | | |
| Building codes/by-la | | | WS | vs Developers/Local/Prov | | | | |
| Land-use planning Local/Prov? | | | | | | | | |
| Risk-based charges Local | | | | | | | | |
| Insurance Property insurers | | | | | | | | |
| Disaster assistance Fed/Prov? | | | | | | | | |
| Residual Risk | | | | | | | | |





Re-imagining responsibility

CANADA:

Getting lost looking for a flood map for Pierrefonds

CATHERINE SOLYOM, MONTREAL GAZETTE
More from Catherine Solvom, Montreal Gazette (HTTP://MONTREALGAZETTE.COM/AUTHOR/CSOLYOM)

Published on: July 16, 2017 | Last Updated: July 16, 2017 3:31 PM EDT









Re-imagining responsibility

STRATEGY

STAKEHOLDER

| | Property level-protection Prop | erty | owne | ers | | | | |
|---|---|------------------|---------------------------|-----|--|--|----------|--|
| | Flood mapping | Province/Federal | | | | | | |
| | Natural stora | age Local/Prov | | | | | | |
| | Building codes/ | /by-la | aws Developers/Local/Prov | | | | rov | |
| | Land-use planning in high risk areas Province | | | | | | | |
| | Risk-based charges Local | | | | | | | |
| | Insurance Property insurers | | | | | | | |
| | Disaster assistance/Buyouts Prov/Fed | | | | | | Prov/Fed | |
| Ļ | Residual Risk | | | | | | | |



RISK



Developing Canada's flood risk governance arrangements



1. 3-D visualizations of flood risk

• How is flood risk changing?

2. Risk dialogues

• Who should be responsible?

3. Risk strategies

 What strategies are suitable for different stakeholders?





Instrument analysis



- Tools of governance to influence behavior
 - share responsibility and costs
 - reduce risk (exposure, vulnerability in addition to hazard)
- Specific focus on municipal government





| land use planning | restrictions on development in flood risk areas | | | | | |
|----------------------------|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| development criteria | conditions on building permits to minimize flood risk | | | | | |
| green infrastructure / LID | retaining water on site (swales, permeable surfaces, etc) | | | | | |
| stakeholder engagement | collaboration with stakeholders affected by decisions or who have capacity to implement | | | | | |
| flood mapping | graphic illustration of at-risk areas | | | | | |
| flood warning system | alerting residents about impending flood threats | | | | | |
| flood hazard disclosure | informing buyers about a property's flood risk | | | | | |
| corrective tax | tax that discourages risky behavior | | | | | |
| stormwater charge | fee proportionate to property's contribution to flood risk | | | | | |
| subsidies | financial support for property level flood protection | | | | | |
| credits | reduction of financial obligation in exchange for risk mitigation | | | | | |
| compassionate grants | financial support for victims | | | | | |
| property buy-outs | public purchase of properties in flood-prone areas | | | | | |
| special surcharge | fee added to property tax to fund flood mitigation initiatives | | | | | |





Instrument analysis

| effectiveness | degree to which instrument achieves objectives |
|----------------------------|--|
| technical feasibility | sufficient data and expertise to implement |
| political feasibility | support from politicians and community interests |
| economic efficiency | economic benefits outweigh costs |
| equity / fairness | fairly distributes benefits and burdens |
| administrative operability | resources required to implement |
| coherence | aligns with other policy objectives |
| flexibility | reversibility of instrument and effects |









Marine Environmental Observation Prediction and Response Network

Jason Thistlethwaite Assistant Professor j2thistl@uwaterloo.ca @jasonthistle

Andrea Minano COP Coordinator aminano@uwaterloo.ca

Daniel Henstra Associate Professor <u>dhenstra@uwaterloo.ca</u>



National Flood Risk Roundtable, 2017/11











