## References:

Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2006). Using thematic analysis in psychology. *Qualitative Research in Psychology*, 3(2), 77–101. https://doi.org/10.1191/1478088706qp063oa

Brennan, R. W. (2008). Classroom Assessment and Design Education. *Proceedings of the Canadian Engineering Education Association (CEEA)*.

Cain, J., Medina, M., Romanelli, F., & Persky, A. (2021). Deficiencies of Traditional Grading Systems and Recommendations for the Future. *American Journal of Pharmaceutical Education*.

Cavender, A. (2010) Grading: Letters or Numbers? *The Chronicle of Higher Education*. Available at: https://www.chronicle.com/blogs/profhacker/grading-letters-or-numbers?sid=pm&utm source=pm&utm medium=en

Chan, C. K., & Chen, S. W. (2021). Students' perceptions on the recognition of holistic competency achievement: A systematic mixed studies review. *Educational Research Review*, 100431.

Crowther, P. (2013). Understanding the signature pedagogy of the design studio and the opportunities for its technological enhancement. *Journal of Learning Design*, 6(3), 18-28.

Engineers Canada (2021) Canadian Engineering Accreditation Board 2021 Accreditation Criteria and Procedures. Available at: /https://engineerscanada.ca/sites/default/files/2021-11/2021%20Accreditation%20Criteria%20Book%20Word.pdf

Lamoureux, C. & Abou-El-Kheir, E. (2020) Point-Counterpoint: Is pass or fail grading a good option? *Fulcrum: The University of Ottawa's Independent Student News Outlet* 

Leach, C. W., Queirolo, S. S., DeVoe, S., & Chemers, M. (2003). Choosing letter grade evaluations: The interaction of students' achievement goals and self-efficacy. *Contemporary Educational Psychology*, 28(4), 495-509.

McMorran, C., Ragupathi, K., & Luo, S. (2017). Assessment and learning without grades? Motivations and concerns with implementing gradeless learning in higher education. *Assessment & Evaluation in Higher Education*, 42(3), 361-377.

Moher, D., Liberati, A., Tetzlaff, J., Altman, D. & The PRISMA Group. (2009) Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: the PRISMA statement. PLoS Medicine 6 (7). doi:10.1371/journal.pmed