

Doing the transcultural: Teaching second-language pragmatics in beginner language courses

Part I: *Why*: Reasons for teaching interaction (Huth)

Part II: *What*: Teaching telephone opening sequences (Ghaffarian)

Part III: *How to*: Materials development, resources (Betz)

Thorsten Huth – University of Tennessee – huth@utk.edu
 Sara Ghaffarian – University of Waterloo – s3ghaffa@uwaterloo.ca
 Emma Betz – University of Waterloo – embetz@uwaterloo.ca

1. Materials for Part 1

1.1 Summary: Why teach L2 interaction patterns?

- Go “beyond grammar”: Teach practical language usage from day 1
- Help beginning learners to structure their talk in real time
- Teach language as culture: “Translingual/transcultural competencies”
- Research in SLA & pedagogy provides strong evidence: teaching interaction is effective

1.2 References:

- Bardovi-Harlig, K. (1996). Pragmatics and language teaching: Bringing pragmatics and pedagogy together. In L. F. Bouton (Ed.), *Pragmatics and Language Learning* (Vol. 7, pp. 21-39). University of Illinois: Division of English as an International Language.
- Barraja-Rohan, A.-M. (1997). Teaching conversation and sociocultural norms with conversation analysis. In A. J. Liddicoat, and C. Crozet, C., (Eds.), *Teaching Language, Teaching Culture* (pp. 71-88). Australian Review of Applied Linguistics, Series S, 14.
- Barraja-Rohan, A.-M. (2011). Using conversation analysis in the second language classroom to teach interactional competence. *Language Teaching Research*, 15(4), 479-507.
- Barraja-Rohan, A.-M, and Pritchard, Catherine R. (1997). *Beyond Talk: A Course in Communication and Conversation Skills for Intermediate Adult Learners of English*. In Western Melbourne Institute of TAFE.
- Bose, I. and Schwarze, C. (2007). Lernziel Gesprächsfähigkeit im Fremdsprachenunterricht Deutsch. *Zeitschrift für interkulturellen Fremdsprachenunterricht* 12(2), 1-30.
- Fieler, R. (2002). Kann man Kommunikation lehren? Zur Veränderbarkeit von Kommunikationsverhalten durch Kommunikationstrainings. In G. Brünner, R. Fiehler, and W. Kind (Eds.), *Angewandte Diskursforschung, Band 2: Methoden und Anwendungsbereiche*. (pp. 18-35). Randolphzell: Verlag für Gesprächsforschung.
- Fuji, Y. (2012). Raising awareness of interactional practices in L2 conversations: Insights from conversation analysis. *International Journal of Language Studies (IJLS)*, 6(3), 99-126.
- Golato, A. (2003). Studying compliment responses: A comparison of DCTs and recordings of naturally occurring talk. *Applied Linguistics*, 24, 90-121.
- Golato, A & Betz, E. (2008). German ach und achso in repair uptake: Resources to sustain or remove epistemic asymmetry. *Zeitschrift für Sprachwissenschaft*, 27, 7-37.
- Golato, A. (2010). Marking understanding versus receipting information in talk: Achso. and ach in German interaction. *Discourse Studies*, 12(2), 147-176.
- Golato, A. (2012). German oh. Marking an Emotional Change of State. *Research on Language and Social Interaction*, 45(3), 245-268.
- Heritage, J. (1984). A change-of-state token and aspects of its sequential placement. In J. M. Atkinson and J. Heritage (Eds), *Structures of Social Action* (pp. 299-345). Cambridge: CUP.
- House, J. (1982). Opening and closing phases in German and English dialogues. *Grazer Linguistische Studien*, 16, 52-82.

- Huth, T. (2006). Negotiating structure and culture: L2 learners' realization of L2 compliment-response sequences in talk-in-interaction. *Journal of Pragmatics*, 38, 2025-2050.
- Huth, T. (2007). Pragmatics revisited: Teaching with natural language data. *Die Unterrichtspraxis/ Teaching German*, 40(1), 21-33.
- Huth, T. (2010). Intercultural competence in conversation: Teaching German requests. *Die Unterrichtspraxis/ Teaching German*, 43(2), 154-164.
- Huth, T. and Taleghani-Nikazm, C. (2006). How can insights from conversation analysis be directly applied to teaching L2 pragmatics? *Language Teaching Research*, 10(1), 53-79.
- Imo, W. (2013). *Sprache-in-Interaktion: Analysemethoden und Untersuchungsfelder*. Berlin: DeGruyter.
- Jaworski, A. (1994). Pragmatic failure in a second language: Greeting responses in English by Polish students. *International Review of Applied Linguistics* 32(1), 41-55.
- Kasper, G. (1992). Pragmatic transfer. *Second Language Research*, 8, 201-231.?
- Kasper, G., & Dahl, M. (1991). Research methods in interlanguage pragmatics. *Studies in Second Language Acquisition*, 13, 215-247.
- Kasper, G., & Rose, K. (1999). Pragmatics and SLA. *Annual Review of Applied Linguistics*, 19, 81-104.
- Kasper, G., & Rose, K. (2003). *Pragmatic Development in a Second Language*. Cambridge: CUP
- Koike, D. A., & Pearson, L. (2005). The effect of instruction and feedback in the development of pragmatic competence. *System* 33(3), 481-501.
- Levinson, S. (1983). *Pragmatics*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Liefländer-Koistinen, L., & Neuendorf, D. (1991). Auskunft erbitten. Telefongespräche im Deutschen und Finnischen: Unterschiede in ihrer interaktionalen Struktur. *Akten des VIII. Internationalen Germanisten-Kongresses, Tokyo 1990* (vol. 3) (pp. 482-494). München: Iudicium 4.
- MLA Ad Hoc Committee on Foreign Languages (2007). Foreign Languages and Higher Education: New Structures for a Changing World. <http://www.mla.org/resources/documents/flreport>
- Moraldo, S. (2013). "Ich muss Kunst und Deutsch lernen. Obwohl-nee, Deutsch lernen hab ich nicht nötig". Sprachwandel als Sprachvariation: *obwohl*-Sätze im DaF-Unterricht. In S. Moraldo & F. Missaglia (eds.), *Gesprochene Sprache im DaF-Unterricht. Grundlagen, Ansätze, Praxis* (pp. 267-286). Heidelberg: Winter.
- Moraldo, S. and Missaglia, F. (2013). *Gesprochene Sprache im DaF-Unterricht*. Heidelberg: Winter.
- Pavlidou, T. S. (1994). Contrasting German-Greek politeness and the consequences. *Journal of Pragmatics*, 21, 487-511.
- Rieger, C. (2007). Artificial versus authentic textbook dialogues: Reviewing conversation in the intercultural foreign language classroom. In Christoph Lorey, John L. Plews, and Caroline L. Rieger (Eds.). *Intercultural Literacies and German in the Classroom* (pp. 249-278). Tübingen: Narr.
- Rieger, C. (2003). Some conversational strategies and suggestions for teaching them. *Die Unterrichtspraxis/ Teaching German*, 36(2), 164-175.
- Rose, K. (2005). On the effects of instruction in second language pragmatics. *System*, 33, 385- 399.
- Rose, K., & Kasper, G. (Eds.) (2001). *Pragmatics in Language Teaching*. Cambridge: CUP.
- Schegloff, E.A. (1968). Sequencing in conversational openings. *American Anthropologist*, 70, 1075-1095.
- Schegloff, E.A. (1979). Identification and recognition in telephone conversation openings. In: G. Psathas, (Ed.), *Everyday Language: Studies in Ethnomethodology*. New York: Irvington.
- Schegloff, E.A. (1986). The routine as achievement. *Human Studies*, 9, 111-151.
- Schegloff, E.A., & Sacks, H. (1973). Opening up closings. *Semiotica* 8(4), 189-327.
- Taleghani-Nikazm, C. (2002). A conversation analytical study of telephone conversation openings between native and non-native speakers. *Journal of Pragmatics* 34, 1807-1832.
- Wang, Y., and Rendle-Short, J. (2013). Making the invisible visible: A conversation analytic approach to intercultural teaching and learning in the Chinese Mandarin language classroom. In Dervin, F. and Liddicoat, A. (Eds), *Linguistics for Intercultural Education* (pp. 113-138). Amsterdam: Benjamins.
- Wong, J. (2002). "Applying" conversation analysis in applied linguistics: Evaluating dialogue in English as a second language textbooks. *IRAL*, 40, 37-60.
- Wong, J., & Waring, H. Z. (2010). *Conversation Analysis and Second Language Pedagogy*. London: Routledge.

2. Materials for Part 2: Telephone Openings in German

2.1 Data examples:

Example 1 (taken from Levinson 1983, p. 312)

01 (ring)
 02 A: Hello
 03 B: Hello Rob.
 04 This is Laurie.
 05 How's everything.
 06 A: Pretty good.
 07 How 'bout you.
 08 B: Jus' fine. The reason
 09 I called was ...

Example 2 (Taleghani-Nikazm 2002, p. 1818)

01 (ring)
 02 Karen: Karen Dippmann?
 03 Dina: Hallo ich bin's.
 04 Karen: Jai, hallo
 05 Dina: Hi, hui
 06 Karen: Du hast mich inspiriert
 07 Dina: hhh Warum?
 08 Karen: Ich habe heute Nachmittag
 09 Pumpkin gemacht.

Identify in data examples 1 and 2:

exchange of greeting tokens

“how are you” sequence

identification – recognition sequence

summons – answer sequence

2.2 Summary: English and German telephone openings

ACTION TYPES	AMERICAN ENGLISH	GERMAN
_____	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • yes 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • yes
_____	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • yes 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • yes
_____	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • ID by voice-sample preferred 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Called party routinely self-identifies with _____, • sometimes with rising intonation.
_____	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • frequent • generally reciprocated • quick routine 	_____
FIRST TOPIC	_____	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Typically following greeting and recognition. • Sometimes arising from a “how are you” inquiry; that is, current ailment(s) may <u>become</u> first topic.

2.3 Overview: Instructional Phases (based on Barraja-Rohan 1997):

- Phase 1: Reflection on how language in action works
- Phase 2: Contrastive analysis of L1 and L2 structures on the specific learning target
- Phase 3: Analysis of L2 structures based on authentic transcripts and audio-visual materials
- Phase 4: Practice of L2 structures in speaking and writing
- Phase 5: Translingual/transcultural discussion & reflection

2.4 Teaching unit – group activity

Materials for this activity will be distributed as handouts.

3. Materials for Part 3: *Response Tokens in German*

3.1 Summary: responsive *oh* – *ach* – *achso*: interactional function

IN AMERICAN ENGLISH:

- *oh* = displays a knowledge change, can also claim understanding
- *oh I see* = displays an epistemic change and claims understanding

IN GERMAN:

- *oh* = displays an emotional change (emotion can be positive or negative, e.g., disappointment, empathy, sympathy, admiration, praise)
- *ach* = displays an epistemic change
- *achso* = displays an epistemic change and claims understanding

(based on research by Heritage 1984, Golato and Betz 2008, Golato 2010, 2012)

3.2 *oh* – *ach* – *achso* in German: Data examples for group activity

Example 1 *Brief* (taken from Golato 2010:152):

- 1 A: Du hast ja so LANG nichts mehr von dir HÖREN
- 2 lassen, Mensch.
- 3 B: Ja, ich hab dir aber nen Brief geschrieben,
- 4 nen langen.
- 5 A: [**Ach**, hast du schon?]
- 6 B: [Der ist aufem] Weg.
- 7 A: **AchSO**. [Ja] gut.
- 8 B: [Ja.]

Example 2 *Spanien* (Golato 2010:165):

A: Dienstag- oder Mittwoch fahren die ja nach SPAnien.
 B: ja,
Ach Dienstag oder Mittwoch fahren die schon nach Spanien?
 A: Dienstagabend oder Mittwochfrüh.
 B: **AchSO**.

Example 3 *Sprit* (Audiolabor Münster: Bilbao)

A: Das ist so teuer nach Hamburg zu fahren.
 X: Ja.
 A: Es kostet hundert- wie viel haben wir gezahlt? Hundertzwanzig Euro Sprit?
 X: Maut? **Achso**, Sprit ja.

Example 4 *Brille* (Golato & Betz 2008:22):

X: Wer ist NIKO denn nochmal?
 M: Niko HANSEN,
 Der immer so daZWISCHEN gerufen hat in den VORlesungen und dann so KOMische Fragen stellte.
 X: **Ach** der mit der Brille da?
 M: Der mit der Brille.

Example 5 *Leihwagen* (Golato 2010:163):

U: Wann geht der Flieger?
 A: Um elf.
 (...)
 S: Und mit der S-Bahn isses kein Problem. ((zum Flughafen zu kommen))
 A: Nee, wir haben dann ja den LEIHWagen. den müssen wir ja irgendwo Abgeben.
 S: **Achso**.
 U: **Ach**, ihr habt einen Leihwagen.

Example 6 *Trumpf* (adapted from Golato 2012:256):

I: Da ist der Trumpf
 der uns noch gefehlt hat.
 S: Ja, jetzt wo ich genug Trümpfe habe.
 * F sorts a new card into existing hand
 ** F picks up another card
 F: * **O::h** ** schön::n.

**Example 7 *Tennis* (Golato 2012:264)
 ("Stich" refers to the tennis player Michael Stich):**

X: Stich sechs eins sechs eins gewonnen. Der hat den so abgezogen, du glaubst es überhaupt nicht.
 M: **Oh** nee::.. Ich find das SCHAdE.

Notes:

Notes:

3.3 Resources for teachers:

(a) Research for developing new units

- Conversation analytic and interactional linguistic research
 - *Gesprächsforschung Online*: <http://www.gespraechsforschung-ozs.de/>
 - *Verlag für Gesprächsforschung*: <http://www.verlag-gespraechsforschung.de/>
 - *Research on Language and Social Interaction*: <http://rolsi.lboro.ac.uk/>
 - *Journal of Pragmatics*: <http://www.journals.elsevier.com/journal-of-pragmatics/>
- Guides for applying this research to the German classroom:
 - Imo (2013), Huth & Taleghani-Nikazm (2006), Moraldo & Missaglia (2013)
 - Examples: Huth (2007, 2010), Moraldo (2013), Rieger (2003), Betz & Huth (under review)

(b) Data for developing new units

- Conversation data for use in teaching
 - *Gesprochenes Deutsch für Auslandsgermanistik*, U of Münster: <http://audiolabor.uni-muenster.de/daf/>
includes: - audio/video recordings and transcripts of interaction
 - ideas for application to DaF teaching
 - Short written interaction (SMS, WhatsApp, Viber): <https://www.uni-due.de/~hg0263/SMSDB/>

(c) Presentation slides: http://prezi.com/_z-igible8aq/?utm_campaign=share&utm_medium=copy

(d) If you have any questions or would like copies of (unpublished) teaching units, please contact us!