

First-Stage PhD Comprehensive Examination  
in  
CONTINUOUS OPTIMIZATION

Department of Combinatorics and Optimization, Faculty of Mathematics,  
University of Waterloo

MC 5479, Thursday, June 13, 2019, 1pm – 4pm (**3 hours**)

Examiners: Levent Tunçel and Stephen A. Vavasis

---

1. Consider the univariate function

$$f(x) := \begin{cases} e^x & \text{for } x < 0, \\ x + 1 & \text{for } x \geq 0. \end{cases}$$

- (a) Show that this function is convex. Any standard theorem that characterizes convexity of functions may be used.
- (b) Show that the gradient of this function is Lipschitz continuous, and find  $L$ , the Lipschitz constant of the gradient.
- (c) Since  $f'$  is Lipschitz continuous, Zoutendijk's theorem for minimizing  $f$  using steepest descent is applicable. State Zoutendijk's theorem and the conclusion as it applies to this function  $f$ .
- (d) Suppose that  $x_k = 0$  on the  $k$ th iteration of the steepest descent method. Identify an interval of positive width of choices for  $x_{k+1}$  that satisfy the Wolfe conditions. (Select any reasonable values for the constants appearing in Wolfe's conditions, and state what values you used.)

2. Let  $n$  be a positive integer.

(a) Assume  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow (-\infty, +\infty]$  is positively 1-homogeneous. Show that it is subadditive if and only if it is convex.

(b) Recall, if  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  satisfies

- (i)  $f(x) > 0, \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ ,
- (ii)  $f(u + v) \leq f(u) + f(v), \forall u, v \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,
- (iii)  $f(\lambda x) = |\lambda|f(x), \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \forall \lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

then  $f$  is a *norm on*  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Let

$$\mathcal{F} := \{f : f \text{ is a norm on } \mathbb{R}^n\},$$

and

$$\mathcal{C} := \{C \subset \mathbb{R}^n : C \text{ is compact, convex, } 0 \in \text{int}(C) \text{ and } C = -C\}.$$

Prove that (with  $\gamma$  being the gauge function)

$$f(\cdot) := \gamma(C; \cdot) \text{ and } C := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : f(x) \leq 1\}$$

define a one-to-one correspondence between  $\mathcal{F}$  and  $\mathcal{C}$ .

3. Let  $n$  be a positive integer and  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow (-\infty, +\infty]$  be convex.

- (a) Define the notion of *subgradient* of  $f$  at  $\bar{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .
- (b) Define the notion of *subdifferential* of  $f$  at  $\bar{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .
- (c) Let  $f(x) := \|x\|_\infty$ . What is the subdifferential of  $f$  at a given  $\bar{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ? Prove your claims.

For the remaining parts of this question, let  $m$  and  $n$  be positive integers such that  $n \geq m + 1$ , and consider linear programming problems in the form

$$(P) \quad \begin{aligned} p^* &:= \min && c^\top x \\ &&& \text{s.t. } Ax = 0 \\ &&& e^\top x = n \\ &&& x \in \mathbb{R}_+^n, \end{aligned}$$

where  $A$  is a given full row rank  $m$ -by- $n$  matrix,  $c \in \mathbb{R}^n$  is also given.  $e \in \mathbb{R}^n$  is the vector of all ones. Assume that  $Ae = 0$ ,  $p^* = 0$  and  $c^\top e > 0$ . In your answers, you may use the Fundamental Theorem of LP, provided you state it clearly and correctly. For every  $q \in \mathbb{R}_{++}$ , define

$$\phi_q(x) := \begin{cases} (q+1) \ln(c^\top x) - \ln(\min_j \{x_j\}), & \text{if } x \in \mathbb{R}_{++}^n \\ +\infty, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

and consider the optimization problem

$$(P_q) \quad \begin{aligned} v(P_q) &:= \inf && \phi_q(x) \\ &&& \text{s.t. } Ax = 0 \\ &&& e^\top x = n. \end{aligned}$$

- (d) Prove that for every  $q \in \mathbb{R}_{++}$ ,  $v(P_q) = -\infty$  (i.e.,  $(P_q)$  is unbounded). Further prove that for every  $q \in \mathbb{R}_{++}$ ,  $(P)$  and  $(P_q)$  are equivalent (by stating a suitable definition of equivalence and proving it).

Hint:

- $(P)$  has optimal solution(s),
  - $(P_q)$  has feasible sequences in the domain of  $\phi_q$  which certify unboundedness of  $(P_q)$ .
- (e) Let  $f : \mathbb{R}_{++}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be defined by  $f(x) := -\ln(\min_j \{x_j\})$ . What is the subdifferential of  $f$  at a given  $\bar{x} \in \mathbb{R}_{++}^n$ ? Prove your claims.

4. Let  $a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{R}^d$  be given, and consider the following optimization problem in which  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in \mathbb{R}^d$  are the unknowns (i.e.,  $nd$  total variables),  $\lambda > 0$  is a fixed parameter, and all norms are Euclidean:

$$\min_{x_1, \dots, x_n} f(x) := \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \|x_i - a_i\|^2 + \lambda \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \|x_i - x_j\|.$$

(Note: This formulation arises in “sum-of-norms” clustering.)

(a) What is the definition of “strongly convex”? Suppose  $g, h : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  are two convex functions such that  $g$  is strongly convex. Prove that  $g + h$  is also strongly convex.

(b) Apply the result in (a) to the function at hand to conclude that  $f$  is strongly convex. Justify your answer.

(c) By introducing auxiliary variables, rewrite the problem of minimizing  $f$  as a constrained optimization in standard conic form  $\min c^T x$  subject to  $Ax = b$ ,  $x \in K$  (not necessarily the same  $x$ ), where the convex cone  $K$  is a Cartesian product of second-order cones. The following standard trick may help: the convex quadratic constraint  $s \geq \|x\|^2/2$  may be expressed in second-order cone form:

$$\frac{1}{2}(s + 1) \geq \left\| \left[ x; \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(s - 1) \right] \right\|,$$

where the notation on the right-hand side indicates concatenation of a vector and scalar.