

University of Waterloo  
Department of C&O

PhD Comprehensive Examination in Cryptography  
Spring 2025  
Examiners: S. Jaques and A. Menezes

June 11, 2025  
1:00 pm — 4:00 pm

## Instructions

- Answer as many questions as you can. *Complete answers are preferred over fragmented ones.* We do *not* expect complete answers to all 7 questions.
- Justify all answers.

## Questions

### 1. Hash functions

Let  $H : \{0, 1\}^{\leq \ell} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^n$  be a hash function mapping binary strings of length at most  $\ell$ , for some  $\ell > n$ , to binary strings of length  $n$ .

- Define what it means for  $H$  to be collision resistant.
- Define what it means for  $H$  to be second-preimage resistant.
- Prove *one* of the following statements:
  - If  $H$  is collision resistant, then  $H$  is also second-preimage resistant.
  - If  $H$  is second-preimage resistant, then  $H$  is also collision resistant.
- Define  $G : \{0, 1\}^{\leq \ell} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^n$  by  $G(x) = H(H(x))$ . Prove that if  $H$  is collision resistant then  $G$  is also collision resistant.

### 2. MAC schemes

- Let  $E$  denote the family of encryption functions for a block cipher where plaintext blocks, ciphertext blocks, and keys are each 128 bits in length. Let  $H : \{0, 1\}^* \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^{128}$  be a hash function. Define a MAC scheme  $\text{MAC}_k : \{0, 1\}^{3072} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^{128}$  by  $\text{MAC}_k(m) = E_k(H(m))$ . Here,  $k$  is a 128-bit secret key. Is this MAC scheme secure? (Explain)
- Consider the following MAC scheme for authenticating fixed-length messages from  $\{0, 1\}^{256}$ . For each  $i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq 128$ , let  $S_i$  be a fixed subset of  $\{1, 2, 3, \dots, 255, 256\}$ . The key space is  $\{0, 1\}^{128}$ . To authenticate a message  $m$  with key  $k$ , one first forms the 128-bit string  $b = b_1 b_2 \cdots b_{128}$  where  $b_i$  is the sum modulo 2 of the bits of  $m$  indexed by the elements of  $S_i$ , and then AES-encrypts  $b$  with key  $k$ . The resulting ciphertext  $c$  is the tag on  $m$ . Show how a passive adversary who is given a single valid message/tag pair can easily produce new valid message/tag pairs.

### 3. Primality testing

Recall that if  $n$  is a composite integer, and  $a \in [1, n - 1]$  satisfies  $a^{n-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$ , then  $a$  is called a *Fermat liar* for  $n$ .

Now, let  $n = pq$ , where  $p$  and  $q$  are distinct odd primes, let  $a \in \mathbb{Z}_n^*$ , and let  $d = \gcd(p - 1, q - 1)$ . ( $\mathbb{Z}_n^*$  is the set of numbers in  $[1, n - 1]$  that are invertible modulo  $n$ .)

- Prove that  $a$  is a Fermat liar for  $n$  if and only if  $a^d \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$ . (Hint: Note that  $n - 1 = pq - 1 = (p - 1)q + (q - 1)$ .)
- Suppose now that  $q = 2p + 1$ . How many  $a \in \mathbb{Z}_n^*$  are Fermat liars for  $n$ ? List them all (in terms of  $p$ ).

#### 4. RSA signatures

Recall that in the Full-Domain Hash (FDH) RSA signature scheme, an entity (Alice) with public key  $(n, e)$  and private key  $d$  generates a signature  $s$  on a message  $m$  by computing  $s = H(m)^d \pmod n$ . Here,  $H : \{0, 1\}^* \rightarrow [0, n - 1]$  is a hash function.

- (a) Describe how someone can verify Alice's signature  $s$  on a message  $m$ .
- (b) Prove that if finding  $e$ th roots modulo  $n$  is intractable, and if  $H$  is a random function, then RSA-FDH is *secure* (i.e., existentially unforgeable by an adversary who can mount an adaptive chosen-message attack). Your proof can be informal, but should contain all the essential ideas of a formal proof.

5. **Discrete logarithms.** Let  $p$  be a prime, let  $q$  be a prime divisor of  $p - 1$ , and let  $g$  be an element of order  $q$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_p^*$ . The discrete logarithm problem is the following: given  $p, q, g$  and  $h \in_R \langle g \rangle$ , determine the integer  $x \in [0, n - 1]$  such that  $h = g^x \pmod p$ . Here,  $\langle g \rangle$  denotes the subgroup of  $\mathbb{Z}_p^*$  generated by  $g$ .

Describe and analyze an algorithm for solving the discrete logarithm problem that has running time  $O(\sqrt{q}) \mathbb{Z}_p$  operations. There is no limit on how much space your algorithm can use.

#### 6. Elliptic Curves

Let  $p$  be an odd prime satisfying  $p \equiv 2 \pmod 3$ . Consider the elliptic curve  $E : Y^2 = X^3 + b$  defined over  $\mathbb{F}_p$  ( $b \neq 0$ ).

- (a) Prove that the mapping  $x \mapsto x^3$  is a bijection on  $\mathbb{F}_p$ .
- (b) Prove that the number of points in  $E(\mathbb{F}_p)$  is  $p + 1$ .
- (c) Let  $R = (x, y)$  be a point in  $E(\mathbb{F}_p)$ . Given  $y$ , explain how to compute  $x$  efficiently.

#### 7. Elliptic Curve Diffie-Hellman key agreement

Let  $E$  be an elliptic curve defined over  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ , where  $p$  is a prime. Let  $n = \#E(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ , and suppose that  $n$  is prime. Let  $P \in E(\mathbb{Z}_p)$  with  $P \neq \infty$ .

- (a) Recall that in the *unauthenticated* elliptic curve Diffie-Hellman key agreement protocol (ECDH), Alice selects  $x \in_R [1, n - 1]$  and sends  $X = xP$  to Bob. Similarly, Bob selects  $y \in_R [1, n - 1]$  and sends  $Y = yP$  to Alice. Their shared secret key is  $k = H(K)$  where  $K = xyP$  and  $H$  is a hash function. Alice and Bob subsequently use  $k$  to encrypt and decrypt messages using AES.  
Describe the *malicious-intruder-in-the-middle* attack (also known as the *man-in-the-middle* attack) on ECDH.
- (b) Describe a variant of *authenticated* ECDH, and give an informal argument for its security against *active attacks*.