



Single Signon (SSO)

Experiences to Date

Faculty of Engineering

April 2017



Four Major Goals

- ▶ Users hate logging into every system they use and entering passwords multiple times per day
- ▶ Users leak passwords to phishers when they are accustomed to entering them frequently and on demand
- ▶ Systems may be compromised, and their password hashes stolen. Centralizing authentication can reduce that likelihood.
- ▶ Two Factor Authentication requires SSO in order to implement broadly



CAS – Central Authentication Service

- ▶ Often used as a simple SSO at universities
- ▶ At UW: Learn, MyHRinfo, ONA, etc.
- ▶ Relatively easy to implement for locally created sites
 - ▶ Apache mod_auth_cas
- ▶ Problems
 - ▶ Not many commercial applications are CAS compatible
 - ▶ Not possible to fully log one out of CAS-enabled sites
 - ▶ Compromise, firing, expelling
 - ▶ Too simplistic, cannot make assertions like:
 - ▶ Is an employee
 - ▶ Is a CS 232 student
 - ▶ lacks Two Factor Authentication (2FA)

SAML 1.1, 2

(Security Assertion Markup Language)

- ▶ A real SSO, solves most technical limitations of CAS (logout, 2FA, assertions)
- ▶ Version 2 released in 2005
 - ▶ the standard has settled in the intervening time
 - ▶ Considered a “heavy” protocol – a challenge to implement/debug
 - ▶ Most popular among big businesses (eg. Oracle, IBM, corporate apps)
- ▶ Open Source “Shibboleth” implementation used on campus
 - ▶ Well regarded concise implementation of SAML standards
 - ▶ Can be made interoperable with many products
 - ▶ Eg. Lynda.com
 - ▶ Not high availability, load balanceable or great performance
- ▶ MS ADFS implementation
 - ▶ Not as standards-based, but will be a force in community
 - ▶ Scalable, high availability
 - ▶ High cost to implement
 - ▶ MS Office365 integration



Several False Starts/Variants

- ▶ Whenever there is a good idea, we like to evolve, simplify or replace it
- ▶ There were several false starts and a few rethinks since the release of SAML
 - ▶ OpenID 1 and 2 were flops
 - ▶ OAuth1 and later OAuth2 were excellent for implementing authorization, but were not designed to implement authentication
 - ▶ JWT – JSON Web Tokens – a critical technology to the next step, totally replacing OpenID 1/2
 - ▶ SecureKey: lightweight simplified SAML used by US/Canadian governments
 - ▶ Because most of SAML is never used



OpenID Connect (OIDC)

- ▶ Ratified in 2015
 - ▶ Builds on successful OAuth2 and JWT, well known and understood technologies
 - ▶ Like SAML: logout, 2FA, assertions built-in
 - ▶ Backed by (alphabetically) Amazon, Cisco, Facebook, Google, HP, IBM, LinkedIn, Microsoft, Netflix, Oracle, others and in use **today** for all major social networks
 - ▶ YouTube, Gmail, Facebook, Netflix, LinkedIn, etc. and all associated apps
 - ▶ Much simpler to work with than SAML
 - ▶ easier for individual web sites to utilize: `mod_auth_openidc`
 - ▶ In 1½ years it has become the recommended choice, and the choice for new implementations
 - ▶ Next version of Desire2Learn will have OIDC
 - ▶ Unit4 integration with OIDC already available
 - ▶ Easy to replace existing CAS systems
 - ▶ Supports mobile clients too
 - ▶ Engineering / CS / the Portal going to use OIDC



Today's Market

- ▶ Split between existing SAML and newcomer OIDC
 - ▶ Corporate apps more often have SAML
 - ▶ Social networking sites and mobile do OIDC
- ▶ Best option is to pick something(s) compatible with most players today and in the future by not limiting ourselves to one strategy
- ▶ Several SSO vendors support all three, some just SAML+OIDC
 - ▶ Gluu, OpenAM, Ping Identity



UWaterloo Landscape (unverified)

- ▶ ISS deployed SAML/Shibboleth (OSS, consortium)
 - ▶ Lynda.com
- ▶ TIS deployed SAML/Windows ADFS (Microsoft)
 - ▶ Office365, Unit4
- ▶ Portal deployed OIDC/Open Identity Server 3 (OSS)
- ▶ ISS deploying a production GLUU environment (OSS, commercial)
 - ▶ Supports CAS, SAML, OIDC concurrently
 - ▶ Engineering and CS/Math OAT/ASUS begin using
 - ▶ Portal plans to migrate to this



Thankyou

