Note that the PCs decompose the total variance in the data in the following way :

 $\sum_{i=1}^{d} Var(\boldsymbol{u}_{i}^{T}\boldsymbol{X}) = \boldsymbol{u}_{i}^{T}\boldsymbol{S}\boldsymbol{u}_{i} = \lambda_{i}$ $= \sum_{i=1}^{d} (\lambda_{i})$ $= \mathbf{Tr}(\boldsymbol{S})$

= Var(X)

 $Var(\mathbf{u}_i^{\top}\mathbf{u}_i) = \mathbf{u}_i^{\top}S\mathbf{u}_i = \lambda_i$ where λ_i is an eigenvalue of the sample covariance matrix S and \mathbf{u}_i is its corresponding eigenvector.

 $Var(u_1^T X)$ is maximized if u_1 is the eigenvector of S with the corresponding maximum eigenvalue.

Each successive PC can be generated in the above manner by taking the eigenvectors of S that correspond to the eigenvalues:

 $\lambda_1 \geq \ldots \geq \lambda_d$

such that

 $Var(u_1^T X) \ge ... \ge Var(u_d^T X)$

Algorithm 1 Recover basis (PCs): Calculate $XX^{\top} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i x_i^{\top}$ and let U =eigenvectors of XX^{\top} corresponding to the top p eigenvalues. Encode training data: $Y = U^{\top}X$ where Y is a $p \times n$ matrix of encodings of the original data. Reconstruct training data: $\hat{X} = UY = UU^{\top}X$. Encode test example: $y = U^{\top}x$ where y is a p-dimensional encoding of x.

Reconstruct test example: $\hat{x} = Uy = UU^{\top}x$.

Table: Direct PCA Algorithm

- A unique solution can be obtained by finding the singular value decomposition of *X*
- For each rank p, U consists of the first p columns of U.

 $X = U\Sigma V^{T}$

• The columns of U in the SVD contain the eigenvectors of XX^{T}

PCA vs. Fisher's Linear Discriminant Analysis



Figure: Projection of data from two classes onto various directions.

Fisher's Linear Discriminant Analysis (Two class problem)

- Assume we have only two classes.
- The idea behind Fisher's Linear Discriminant Analysis is to reduce the dimensionality of the data to one dimension. That is, to take d-dimensional $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and map it to one dimension by finding $\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}$:

$$z = \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} w_1 & \cdots & w_d \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_d \end{bmatrix} = \sum_{i=1}^d w_i x_i$$

• The one-dimensional z is then used for classification.

Goal: To find a direction such that projected data $\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}$ are well separated.

Consider the two-class problem:

$$\mu_0 = \frac{1}{n_0} \sum_{i:y_i=0} x_i \qquad \mu_1 = \frac{1}{n_1} \sum_{i:y_i=1} x_i$$

We want to:

- Maximize the distance between projected class means.
- 2 Minimize the within class variance.

The distance between projected class means is:

$$(\mathbf{w}^{T} \mu_{0} - \mathbf{w}^{T} \mu_{1})^{2} = (\mathbf{w}^{T} \mu_{0} - \mathbf{w}^{T} \mu_{1})^{T} (\mathbf{w}^{T} \mu_{0} - \mathbf{w}^{T} \mu_{1})$$

$$= (\mu_{0} - \mu_{1})^{T} \mathbf{w} \mathbf{w}^{T} (\mu_{0} - \mu_{1})$$

$$= \mathbf{w}^{T} (\mu_{0} - \mu_{1}) (\mu_{0} - \mu_{1})^{T} \mathbf{w}$$

$$= \mathbf{w}^{T} S_{B} \mathbf{w}$$

where S_B is the between-class variance (known).

Minimizing the within-class variance is equivalent to minimizing the sum of all individual within-class variances. Thus the within class variance is:

$$\mathbf{w}^T \Sigma_0 \mathbf{w} + \mathbf{w}^T \Sigma_1 \mathbf{w} = \mathbf{w}^T (\Sigma_0 + \Sigma_1) \mathbf{w}$$
$$= \mathbf{w}^T S_W \mathbf{w}$$

where S_W is the within-class covariance (known).

Fisher's Linear Discriminant Analysis

To maximize the distance between projected class means and minimize the within-class variance, we can maximize the ratio:

 $\stackrel{\max}{\mathbf{w}} \frac{\mathbf{w}^T S_B \mathbf{w}}{\mathbf{w}^T S_W \mathbf{w}}$

This is equivalent to finding:

 $\mathbf{w}^{\max} \mathbf{w}^{\mathsf{T}} S_{\mathsf{B}} \mathbf{w}$

subject to the constraint:

 $\mathbf{w}^{\mathsf{T}} S_{\mathsf{W}} \mathbf{w} = 1$

To turn this constraint optimization problem into a non-constranst optimization problem, we apply Lagrange multipliers:

$$L(\mathbf{w},\lambda) = \mathbf{w}^{\mathsf{T}} S_{\mathsf{B}} \mathbf{w} - \lambda(\mathbf{w}^{\mathsf{T}} S_{W} \mathbf{w} - 1)$$

Differentiating with respect to **w** we get:

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \mathbf{w}} = 2S_B \mathbf{w} - \lambda 2S_W \mathbf{w} = 0$$
$$S_B \mathbf{w} = \lambda S_W \mathbf{w}$$

This is a generalized eigenvector problem that is equivalent to (if S_W is not singular):

 $S_W^{-1}S_B\mathbf{w} = \lambda \mathbf{w}$

where λ and **w** are the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of $S_W^{-1}S_B$ respectively.

w is the eigenvector corresponding to the largest eigenvalue of $S_W^{-1}S_B$.