A Remote Dynamic Memory Cache

Qizhen Zhang, Phil Bernstein, Daniel Berger, Badrish Chandramouli, Vincent Liu, Boon Thau Loo

March 28, 2024



Determine how best to use RDMA-accessible memory for database services

Hardware latency & bandwidth (your mileage may vary)

- Level 0 DRAM 0.1 μ s, 500 Gbps
- Level 1 RDMA 1.0 μ s, 100 Gbps
- Level 2 SSD 100 μ s, 48 Gbps

Why Use Remote Memory as a Cache?

- Database workload benefits from a larger cache
 - But VM's physical server has no available memory
 - Workload changes permanently or periodically
- There is lots of unused memory in data centers
 - External fragmentation, due to bin packing of VMs on a server
 - Internal fragmentation, because VMs overprovision memory
- Faster datacenter networks \rightarrow disaggregated memory is coming

Unallocated Memory in Azure

- Unallocated memory across clusters and time
 - Median 46%
 - 10th percentile 37%
 - 1st percentile 28%
- Daily peak-to-trough is 2x
- Extreme case is *stranded memory*, on servers with no available cores

Stranded Memory

Fraction of memory stranded

- Median cluster, 8% stranded
- 10^{th} percentile, $\geq 16\%$ stranded
- 1^{st} percentile, $\geq 23\%$ stranded

Stranding duration

- 75th percentile 22 minutes
- Median 13 minutes
- 25th percentile 6 minutes

Location



Outline

✓ Motivation

- Redy, a remote-cache manager
 - Optimizing for throughput vs. latency
 - Implementation
- Experiments
- Case study FASTER key-value store
- CompuCache Adding stored procedures

RDMA-accessible Cache

- Front End: an easy-to-use and general device abstraction
- Back End: efficient remote memory accesses via RDMA



RDMA



Research Challenge Tuning RDMA performance

- Many RDMA tuning knobs for best latency or throughput
- Parallelization, asynchrony, batching, 1-sided/2-sided, ...
- Optimal choice depends on record size, VM size, SLO, network configuration, ...
- Experiment shows YCSB-like workload for 8-byte payloads



Throughput Benefit of Static Optimizations



• One client thread, one server thread, 8-byte read/write, no batching

Latency Benefit of Static Optimizations



• One client thread, one server thread, 8-byte read/write, no batching

Workload-dependent Optimization

• Primary determinants of Redy performance

Variable	Description	Lower Bound	Upper Bound
С	# client threads	1	Client cores
S	# server threads	0	С
b	# requests per batch	1	「4KB / record-size]
q	# in-flight operations	Static opt	NIC spec

- (Offline) For each network distance, message size, and [c, s, b, q] measure the latency and throughput.
- (At runtime) Find the cheapest [s, c, b, q] that satisfies the SLO.

Offline - Too Many Configurations to Measure

- Test parameter values that are powers of 2
 - [1,1,1,1], [1,2,1,1], [1,4,1,1], [1,8,1,1], [1,16,1,1], etc.
- *Throughput*(s,c,b,q) increases w.r.t. all parameters ...
 - Until thread and connection contention cause it to drop
 - At that point, stop increasing that parameter
- Offline: 15 hours for [0:30, 1:30, 1:500, 1:16]
- Online: scan parameters until throughput is achieved
 - Then check latency.
 - Average search time is 27 ms.

VM Allocation

- Cache manager chooses VM with enough memory and cores
- Sometimes it's better to allocate multiple VMs that together have enough memory, each with enough cores/memory.
- Can use spot instances
 - Requires cache migration on short notice
- Periodically check for cheaper VMs
 - If successful, allocate and migrate

Dynamic Memory Management

- Cache failure allocate a new cache [and populate it from a checkpoint]
- Spot instance reclamation migrate cache to a newly allocated cache
 - Use bandwidth-optimized connection from new to old
 - Use one-sided reads to migrate the content
- Optimizations
 - Allow application reads of old cache during migration
 - Migrate region-by-region and stop writes only to the region being migrated

Implementation

- 13,700 lines of C++
- CLR wrapper for access by .NET applications
- Uses NDSPI to access RDMA on Windows.

Outline

✓ Motivation

✓ Redy, a remote-cache manager

- Optimizing for throughput vs. latency
- Implementation
- Experiments
- Case study FASTER key-value store
- CompuCache Adding stored procedures

Experiments

- Azure HPC Standard_HB60rs VMs.
- Each VM has 60 vCPUs, with 2.0 GHz AMD EPYC 7551
 - 228 GB of memory
 - 700 GB Azure premium SSD
- Mellanox ConnectX-5 NIC, supporting 100 Gb/s EDR Infiniband
- Windows 2019 Datacenter

Latency-Optimized Configuration (Reads)



- Reads are close to raw network speed of 3-4μs
- Small writes are a bit faster by in-lining them in the request (not shown)

Throughput-Optimized Configuration (Reads)



- For 16-byte records, throughput is 10x raw.
- Batching benefit stops at 256-bytes, the RDMA minimum packet size.
- Throughput of writes is similar.

Accuracy of Throughput SLOs

- Use interpolation to map SLO to a configuration
- We randomly choose 100 SLO's, allocate a cache with the recommended configuration, and measure its performance



 Measured latency is always much better than the SLO and close to predicted (not shown)



 Real throughput is always better than SLO

Optimizing Region Migration



• Successively migrate 1GB regions

Outline

✓ Motivation

✓ Redy, a remote-cache manager

- Optimizing for throughput vs. latency
- Implementation
- ✓ Experiments
- Case study FASTER key-value store
- CompuCache Adding stored procedures

FASTER's Multi-tier Storage

- The top tier stores the entire database and is the slowest
- Lower tier replicates a tail of next higher tier and is faster
- FASTER services cache misses from the lowest tier that has the data
 - Uses address calculation to pick the correct tier



FASTER's Multi-tier Storage (cont'd)

- Our target
 - Level 0 is client cache (where FASTER executes)
 - Level 1 is a Redy cache
 - Level 2 is client-attached SSD



FASTER vs. SSD and SMB Direct



- FASTER local memory is 1GB
- 24-byte records
- Random reads from ~6GB database
- All devices can hold the complete log
- Redy uses batching

Outline

✓ Motivation

✓ Redy, a remote-cache manager

- Optimizing for throughput vs. latency
- Implementation
- ✓ Experiments
- ✓ Case study FASTER key-value store
- CompuCache Adding stored procedures

CompuCache

- Extend Redy with stored procedures and server-side pointer chasing
- Server-side pointer chasing
 - Apps know cache addresses, but chasing pointers requires physical addresses
 - Solution: LocalTranslator



CompuCache Out-of-Bounds Exceptions

- A sproc may span VM boundaries
 - Data Shipping Flow the input data with Dflow: 1_addr ← DFlow(c_addr, c_size)
 - Function Shipping Flow the sproc function with Fflow: FFlow(c_addr, c_size)
 - Stop and return



CompuCache Execution

- Transport is eRPC over DPDK or RDMA
 - Batches all operation requests
 - Dynamically chooses batch size of responses
- Server uses one thread per core
 - Polls for requests and executes them on the same thread
 - Server-wide scheduler load-balances by moving requests between threads



CompuCache Execution (cont'd)

- On DFlow, the request becomes inactive and resumes after data transfer
- FFlow dispatches request to another thread

Server Migration

- Client maintains mapping for LocalTranslator
- When a server VM is reclaimed, client allocates new VM(s)
- For each region
 - Client pauses reads and writes for the region
 - Migrates the region
 - Updates LocalTranslator mapping
 - Broadcasts mapping to servers
 - Resumes reads and writes for the region
 - Server forwards future stale DFlow and FFlow requests to the new region
- After server is migrated, it sends remaining request to new VMs

Conclusion

- A remote cache is a must for data management
 - It uses memory that currently goes to waste
 - With RDMA, it offers big performance gains
 - Use stored procedures for pointer-chasing
- Remaining work
 - Integrate with Azure's VM allocator

Redy <u>https://arxiv.org/ftp/arxiv/papers/2112/2112.12946.pdf</u>

CompuCache https://www.cidrdb.org/cidr2022/papers/p31-zhang.pdf