School of Environment, Resources and Sustainability Faculty of Environment University of Waterloo

ERS 215 Environmental and Sustainability Assessment I

7:00-9:50 pm, Tuesdays EV2-2002

Instructor:

Christine Barbeau
Office EV2-2031
cbarbeau@uwaterloo.ca¹
Instructor Office Hours: Tuesdays, 5:15 - 6:50 pm

TAs: TBA

Description:

An introduction to processes and techniques for incorporating environmental considerations in planning and evaluating proposals for future undertakings that may have significant social and ecological effects. The course provides an overview of methodologies for, and controversies surrounding, the design and conduct of environmental impact studies, and the testing of reported findings. The main focus is on the purposes and design of environmental assessment processes, with particular reference to the Canadian federal and Ontario provincial environmental assessment systems, and the evolution of environmental assessments toward a sustainability-oriented framework.

Intended Learning Outcomes:

By the end of this course, students should be able to:

- Define the aims and objectives of environmental assessments, as well as key terms and concepts in EA
- Identify the role and relevance of EA as a potential venue that can contribute to sustainability;
- Describe the different steps and components of an EA process, particularly in Canada;
- Apply EA principles for best practices to case studies and critically analyze the strengths and weaknesses of environmental assessments; and
- Identify the important relationship between EAs and other environmental planning and management instruments (i.e., SEA, CEA, SA).

Approach:

Lectures will be used to provide an overview of key topics and also to highlight important themes. Key topics and themes will be elaborated on through in-class discussions and by regular attention to case studies. Assigned readings throughout the semester are critical for providing additional depth and breadth. Exams and assignments provide an opportunity for integrating key topics and themes, as well as for linking them with the broader scholarly literature on environmental assessment.

Office Hours:

Please limit your use of email to ask questions – most questions for this type of course are most efficiently and effectively dealt with in person during office hours or lectures. Teaching assistants will also be holding office hours before assignments due dates and exams. Details regarding time and location will of TA office hours will be announced on LEARN. Office hours are there for you to ask questions or discuss issues with the instructor that you are experiencing in the course. So do come see me, and your TAs, if you require assistance or clarification. We are here to help.

¹ When communicating via email, please identify the course (e.g. "ERS 215") in the subject line. Make sure to use your UW account.

Website: http://learn.uwaterloo.ca (LEARN, ERS 215)

You will need to access the course website frequently, as some of the course material will be made available and managed through LEARN (Desire2Learn).

Required Readings:

Noble, Bram (2014). **Introduction to Environmental Impact Assessment: a Guide to Principles and Practice**, *3rd Edition*. Don Mills, ON: Oxford University Press.

Required and suggested readings are presented below ("Course Schedule"). Additional material will be presented in class and/or made available through the LEARN website.

COMMUNICATION

Students' UW email accounts will be used for communication outside of lectures. Students are responsible to check their UW email and 'Learn' accounts regularly. All course announcements will be posted to LEARN. An effort will be made to deal with email requests within two business days of receipt. The instructor does not respond to email outside of regular working hours (M-F ~8AM-6PM). Questions regarding the course material are usually most efficiently and effectively dealt with in person rather than using email.

Course and University Policies

Attendance:

Attendance in class is HIGHLY RECOMMENDED, but it is at your discretion. There is often extra content in the notes displayed in class vs. the notes posted on the course webpage (e.g., discussion points or questions asked of the class, graphics-heavy images such as maps or diagrams), and <u>all in-class discussions are valid "testable" material</u>. In addition, all A/V materials (e.g., DVDs screened in class) are valid, "testable" materials, so complete notes should be taken for each lecture and each video screened.

Missed Examinations:

All exams are mandatory, and thus, students are expected to be present at the time of each exam. If you miss an exam you must provide original copy documentation explaining the reason for your absence, degree of incapacitation, dates covered by the note to be considered for an alternative arrangement. Please provide your instructor with an original copy of this note.

Requests for Extensions on Assignments: Regular Deadline and Extended Deadline

There is an extended deadline available to you for each assignment. You have 7 days past the assignment regular due date to submit your assignment without any late penalty. The extended deadlines are June 19 @ 11:59 PM for Assignment 1 & July 24 @ 11:59 for Assignment 2. This extended deadline is available to everyone and therefore you do not need to ask me for an extension. After the 7 day extended deadline assignments will no longer be accepted. No further extensions will be granted.

Note for Students with Disabilities:

The Office for Persons with Disabilities (OPD), located in Needles Hall, Room 1132, collaborates with all academic departments to arrange appropriate accommodations for students with disabilities without compromising the academic integrity of the curriculum. If you require academic accommodations to lessen the impact of your disability, please register with the OPD at the beginning of each academic term.

Religious Observances:

Student needs to inform the instructor at the beginning of term if special accommodation needs to be made for religious observances that are not otherwise accounted for in the scheduling of classes and assignments.

Academic Integrity:

http://www.lib.uwaterloo.ca/ait/.

In order to maintain a culture of academic integrity, members of the University of Waterloo community are expected to promote honesty, trust, fairness, respect and responsibility. See (www.uwaterloo.ca/academicintegrity). Students who are unsure what constitutes an academic offence are requested to visit the on-line tutorial at:

Discipline:

A student is expected to know what constitutes academic integrity, to avoid committing academic offence, and to take responsibility for his/her actions. A student who is unsure whether an action constitutes an offense, or who needs help in learning how to avoid offenses (e.g., plagiarism, cheating) or about "rules" for group work/collaboration should seek guidance from the course professor, academic advisor, or the Undergraduate Associate Dean. For information on categories of offences and types of penalties, students should refer to Policy 71 - Student Discipline, http://www.adm.uwaterloo.ca/infosec/Policies/policy71.htm. For typical penalties, check Guidelines for Assessment of Penalties, http://www.adm.uwaterloo.ca/infosec/guidelines/penaltyguidelines.htm

Grievance:

A student who believes that a decision affecting some aspect of his/her university life has been unfair or unreasonable may have grounds for initiating a grievance. Read Policy 70 - Student Petitions and Grievances, Section 4, http://www.adm.uwaterloo.ca/infosec/Policies/policy70.htm. When in doubt please contact your Undergraduate Advisor for details.

Appeals:

A decision made under Policy 70 - Student Petitions and Grievances (other than regarding a petition) or Policy 71 – (Student Discipline) may be appealed i here is a ground, A student who believes he/she has a ground for an appeal should defer to Policy 72 (Student Appeals) http://www.adm.uwaterloo.ca/infosec/Policies/policy72.htm

Consequences of Academic Offences:

Students are strongly encouraged to review the material provided by the university's Academic Integrity office. See (http://www.adm.uwaterloo.ca/infoacad/Students/index.html).

University Policies: Plagiarism

Please familiarize yourself with the University of Waterloo's policy dealing with plagiarism. Be especially careful when using materials from the internet, and be aware that software available to instructors can be used to check student submissions for plagiarism (e.g. www.Turnitin.com). Plagiarism offices are normally treated quite seriously by the University and can result in significant penalties being assessed (e.g. failing grade on an assignment, repeating a course, suspension or expulsion).

Definition of Plagiarism: "The act of presenting the ideas, words or other intellectual property of another as one's own." - Source: University of Waterloo, Policy 71.

To Avoid Plagiarism

The use of other people's work *must* be properly acknowledged and referenced in all written material such as take-home examinations, essays, research papers, laboratory reports, work-term reports, design projects, statistical data, computer programs and research results. The properly acknowledged use of sources is an accepted and important part of scholarship. Use of such material without complete and unambiguous acknowledgement, however, is an offence under Policy 71.

Quoting, paraphrasing, and summarizing (source: http://owl.english.purdue.edu/owl/resource/563/1/)

These three ways of incorporating other writers' work into your own writing differ according to the closeness of your writing to the source writing.

- **Quotations** must be identical to the original, using a narrow segment of the source. They must match the source document word for word and must be attributed to the original author with page number.
- Paraphrasing involves putting a passage from source material into your own words. A paraphrase must also be attributed to the original source. Paraphrased material is usually shorter than the original passage, taking a somewhat broader segment of the source and condensing it slightly.
- **Summarizing** involves putting the main idea(s) into your own words, including only the main point(s). Once again, it is necessary to attribute summarized ideas to the original source. Summaries are significantly shorter than the original and take a broad overview of the source material.

Turnitin:

Plagiarism detection software (Turnitin) will be used to screen assignments in this course. Turnitin is primarily a plagiarism detection tool, but can also be used to help students understand academic integrity in written assignments. Turnitin generates 'originality reports' on student submissions, which can provide instructors with information about plagiarized sources, but the reports can also be used to help students understand the proper use of quotation marks, how to cite sources properly, and how to paraphrase.

Students will be given an option if they do not want to have their assignment screened by Turnitin. In the first week of the term, details will be provided about arrangements and alternatives for the use of Turnitin in this course. NOTE: any student not wishing to submit materials for Turnitin detection must contact the instructor by **May 16**th, to arrange for an alternative assignment.

Unclaimed Assignments

Unclaimed assignments will be retained until one month after term grades become official in quest. After that time, they will be destroyed in compliance with UW's confidential shredding procedures.

EVALUATION:

Your final grade will be based on two assignments and two in-class exams. The professor determines the content and establishes the grading rules for all assignments, tests, and any quizzes. The teaching assistants will assist the instructor with grading course work. The first exam is based on material covered in lectures and readings up to and including the class before the first exam. The second exam is mostly based on the second part of the course material (weeks 7-13). Recurrent topics may be part of both exams (e.g., VECs, main EA steps, etc.). Both exams will include multiple choice and short answer questions. Students are expected to be present in class at the time tests are scheduled. When determining a student's final grade in the course, the instructor will examine the record of each individual student's achievement; the final grade may be adjusted to take into account extenuating and compassionate circumstances and the student's general pattern of achievement in the course.

Course Component	Percentage
First Exam (June 6 th)	25%
Assignment 1 (June 12 ^h)	15%
Second Exam (July 25 th)	25%
Assignment 2 (July 17 th)	35%

Numeric grades on a scale from 0-100 are used in grading all assignments at the University of Waterloo. The following list will give you an idea of the basis upon which numeric grades are assigned:

- >90% Work that shows a high level of initiative and is clearly above and beyond what is expected. Referencing, style, grammar/spelling, content and the development of ideas are all superior. (similar to A and A+ in the previous system)
- **80-89%** Work that shows good initiative and is above what is expected. Referencing, style, grammar/spelling, content and the development of ideas are all good. (Similar to B+ and A- in the previous system)
- 70-79% Work that shows initiative and is about what is expected, but one or more problems are evident in referencing, style, grammar/spelling, content and/or the development of ideas. (Similar to B- and B in the previous system)
- 60-69% Work that does not demonstrate initiative, has a series of problems in referencing, style, grammar/spelling, content and/or the ideas, and overall, does not fully convince the reader that the topic has been well considered (Similar to C-, C and C+ in the previous system)
- 50-59% Work that is substandard/sloppy in places, has many problems in referencing, style, grammar/spelling, content and/or the development of ideas, and overall, raises more questions in a reader's mind than the work answers. (Similar to D-, D and D+ in the previous system)
- **40-49%** Work that is of consistently poor quality, demonstrates gaps in comprehension of the assigned material, and/or indicates that not enough time was taken to properly address the assignment. (Similar to F and F+ in the previous system)
- <40 Work that is clearly of poor quality, demonstrates a lack of comprehension of the assigned material, shows little attempts at a personal development of ideas or efforts to back up arguments with suitable evidence, and/or indicates that the work was completed 'at the last minute'

Requirements, Late assignments, Grade Penalties and Special Considerations:

Readability and Clarity:

Students are expected to present well organized, and properly written work. Penalties of up to 25% may be applied in cases where readability and/or clarity are inadequate.

Lateness penalty:

All assignments are due on the date set by the professor. Assignment submitted past the 7 day extended due date deadline (June 19 @ 11:59 PM for Assignment 1) & (July 24 @ 11:59 for Assignment 2) will not be accepted and a mark of zero will be given. No extensions past the extended deadline will be granted.

Please ensure that you are diligent in *backing up computer files* and making *draft copies* of all assignments, as computer/disk failures, printer problems, etc, will <u>not normally be considered a valid reason to waive the late assignment deduction</u>. NOTE: Perhaps the easiest way to prevent computer file loss is to send to yourself an email attachment of your assignment after each significant work session.

Course Notes: Information for Students Using LEARN

LEARN is a web-based course management system that enables instructors to manage course materials (posting of lecture notes, etc.), interact with their students (drop boxes for student submissions, on-line quizzes, discussion boards, course e-mail etc.), and provide feedback (grades, assignment comments etc.). The degree to which LEARN is utilized in a particular course is left to the discretion of the instructor and therefore, you may find a large variance in how LEARN is being used from one course to another.

Logging Into LEARN

Since LEARN is a web-based system, you will need a browser. Minimum requirements include:

PCs running Windows:

MACs running OS X:

- Internet Explorer 7 or 8 (Download Version 8)
- Firefox 2 or 3 (Download Firefox)

• Firefox 2 or 3 (Download Firefox)

Once you have started up your browser, type in the following URL: http://learn.uwaterloo.ca. Provide your Quest/UWdir userid and password (case sensitive). Once you have logged in, you should see a list of your LEARN courses under the Courses header bar. Clicking on the course name will take you to that course.

Downloading Notes

About 70% of the content of any given day's lecture is posted on LEARN in PowerPoint format (in the "Content" section of the webpage), normally by 4pm on the day of lecture. It is recommended that you print off these notes ahead of each class, and bring these to class regularly so you can add the remaining 30% of content (discussion notes, additional points, off-the-cuff examples given in class, etc).

Multimedia Notes (DVDs, Videos, in-class internet-based videos, etc).

Approximately 15% of course content is delivered through multi-media materials (DVDs, online audio or video clips), and ALL of this material is valid testing/quiz material. Therefore, each student should attend regularly and take <u>comprehensive</u> notes. If you miss a day when this material is shown, please arrange to obtain the notes from a classmate, and if possible, <u>discuss</u> these notes briefly with the note-taker. Most materials shown are the personal property of the instructor and some are available on the internet.

PowerPoint Viewer

The web addresses for Microsoft PowerPoint viewers (for those who do not have PowerPoint on their computers) is: http://www.microsoft.com/download/en/details.aspx?id=13

Course Schedule (Note: topics might span more than one class):

Date		Topic	Reading	Comments
Week 1	2 nd May	Required Reading: Course Outline, Resources and Introduction to EA The Berger Inquiry Camble, D.J. 1978. The Berger Inquiry: An Impact Assessment Process. Science, (199): 946-952.		The Inquiry Film Activity: Understanding your Learning
Week 2	9 th May	EA in Canada	Required Reading: Chapter 2: Overview of EIA in Canada Gibson, Robert B. (2012). In full retreat: the Canadian government's new environmental assessment law undoes decades of progress, Impact Assessment and Project Appraisal, (30):3, 179-188. Hanna, Kevin S. (Ed.). 2009. Environmental Impact Assessment: Practice and Participation. (Chapter 2: Progress and Uncertainty: The Evolution of Federal Environmental Assessment in Canada). 2nd Edition. Don Mills, ON: Oxford University Press. Hanna, Kevin S. (Ed.). 2009. Environmental Impact Assessment: Practice and Participation. (Chapter 19: The Ontario Environmental Assessment Act). 2nd Edition. Don Mills, ON: Oxford University Press.	Activity:
Week 3	16 th May	Systems Thinking and EA	Kay, J. (2008). An Introduction to Systems Thinking. in Waltner-Toews, Kay and Lister (eds.) The Ecossystem Approach: Complexity, Uncertainty, ad Managing for Sustainability. Columbia University Press. New York, pages 1-13 (check LEARN, week 3) Waltner-Toews, D., and J. Kay (2005). The evolution of an ecosystem approach: the diamond schematic and an adaptive methodology for ecosystem sustainability and health. Ecology and Society 10(1): 38. [online] URL: http://www.ecologyandsociety.org/vol10/iss1/art38/	
Week 4	23 rd May			
Week 5	30 th May	The EA process II: Identifying and Predicting Impacts Methods Used Impact Significance	Required Reading: Chapter 3: Tools Supporting EIA Practice Chapter 6: Predicting Environmental Impacts Chapter 8: Determining Impact Significance	

Week 6	6 th June	First Exam (In-class)		
Week 7	Public Participation, Indigenous issues and the Crown's Duty to Consult 13 th June Victor Diamond Mine Voisey's Bay Ring of Fire		Required Reading: Chapter 10: Public Participation in EIA Stevenson (1996). Indigenous Knowledge in EIA. Arctic, 49(3), 278-291. André, P., B. Enserink, D. Connor and P. Croal (2006). Public Participation International Best Practice Principles. Special Publication Series No. 4. Fargo, USA: International Association for Impact Assessment. Retrieved from http://www.iaia.org/publicdocuments/special-publications/SP4%20web.pdf	
Week 8	20 th June	Follow-up and Monitoring	Required Reading: Chapter 9: Follow-up and Monitoring Morrison-Saunders A., R. Marshall and J. Arts (2007). EIA Follow-Up International Best Practice Principles. Special Publication Series No. 6. Fargo, USA: International Association for Impact Assessment. Retrieved October 20, 2012, from http://www.iaia.org/publicdocuments/special-publications/SP6.pdf Noble, B., & Storey, K. (2005). Towards increasing the utility of follow-up in Canadian EIA. Environmental Impact Assessment Review, 25(2), 163-180.	
Week 9	Cumulative Effects Assessment (CEA)		Required Reading: Chapter 11: Cumulative Environmental Effects Assessment Dunkier, Peter. & Greig, Lorne (2006). The Impotence of Cumulative Effects Assessment in Canada: Ailments and Ideas for Redeployment. Environmental Management, 37(2), 153- 161. Canter, Larry, & Ross, Bill. (2010). State of practice of cumulative effects assessment and management: the good, the bad and the ugly. Impact Assessment and Project Appraisal, 28(4), 261-268. Hegmann, G., Cocklin, C., Creasey, R., Dupuis, S., Kennedy, A., Kingsley, L., Stalker, D. (1999). Cumulative Effects Assessment Practitioners Guide. Retrieved October 20, 2012, from http://www.ceaa.gc.ca/43952694-0363-4B1E-B2B3- 47365FAF1ED7/Cumulative_Effects_Assessment_Practitioners_Guide.pdf	
Week 10	Beyond the project level: Strategic Environmental Assessment (SEA) and the Sustainability Assessment (SA) Git app		Required Reading: Chapter 12: Strategic Environmental Assessment IAIA. (2002). Strategic Environmental Assessment Performance Criteria. Retrieved October 20, 2012, from http://www.iaia.org/publicdocuments/special-publications/sp1.pdf PCO and CEAA. (2010). Strategic Environmental Assessment: The Cabinet Directive on the Environmental Assessment of Policy, Plan and Program Proposals Retrieved October 20, 2012, from http://www.ceaa.gc.ca/Content/B/3/1/B3186435-E3D0-4671-8F23- 2042A82D3F8F/Cabinet_Directive_on_Environmental_Assessment_of_Policy_Plan_and_ Program_Proposals.pdf Gibson, Robert B. (2006). Sustainability assessment: basic components of a practical approach. Impact Assessment and Project Appraisal, 24(3), 170-182. Pope, Jenny. (2006). Editorial: What's so special about sustainability assessment? Journal of Environmental Assessment Policy and Management, 8(3), v-x.	

Week 11	11 th July	Cumulative Effects Assessment	Required Reading: Chapter 8: The Cheviot Mine Project: Cumulative Effects Assessment. (pp. 158-172). Don Mills, ON: Oxford University Press. Suggested Reading: Canter, Larry, & Ross, Bill. (2010). State of practice of cumulative effects assessment and management: the good, the bad and the ugly. Impact Assessment and Project Appraisal, 28(4), 261-268. Hegmann, G., Cocklin, C., Creasey, R., Dupuis, S., Kennedy, A., Kingsley, L., Stalker, D. (1999). Cumulative Effects Assessment Practitioners Guide Retrieved December 20, 2011, from http://www.ceaa.gc.ca/43952694-0363-4B1E-B2B3-47365FAF1ED7/Cumulative Effects Assessment Practitioners Guide.pdf	
Week 12	18 th July	Sustainability Assessment Bhopal Disaster and International EIA	Required Reading: Pope, Jenny. (2006). Editorial: What's so special about sustainability assessment? Journal of Environmental Assessment Policy and Management, 8(3), v-x. Gibson, Robert B. (2006). Sustainability assessment: basic components of a practical approach. Impact Assessment and Project Appraisal, 24(3), 170-182. Suggested Reading: Gibson, Robert B. (2006). Beyond the Pillars: Sustainability Assessment as a Framework for Effective Integration of Social, Economic, and Ecological Considerations in Significant Decision-making. Journal of Environmental Assessment Policy and Management, 8(3), 259-280.	
Week 13	25 th July	Final Exam (in class)		

ASSIGNMENTS (50% of final grade)

You will complete two assignments. Assignment I is worth 15% of your final grade and Assignment II is worth 35% of your final grade. In Assignment I, you will write a briefing note to the Minister regarding improvements to the current CEAA (federal EA process). In Assignment II, you will write a research paper that critiques a completed EA.

• **IMPORTANT:** You should present your papers in an academic research paper format, based on adequate and appropriate reading and reflection, and it must acknowledge intellectual indebtedness through proper citations. Use the "Writing Effective Essays and Reports" booklet by Rob de Loë as guidance: http://www.environment.uwaterloo.ca/u/rdeloe/writing booklet/twopage format.pdf (also posted on LEARN)

Required format for both assignments:

- Your assignment must have a plain title page with the title of your assignment, your name, course number (ERS 215), the date, your student number, and the term instructor's name.
- Include your name & student ID number on each page (inserting this into a 'header' is the easiest way to go!).
- Please number all pages
- Typed/word-processed, with 1" margins.
- Maps, diagrams and figures/tables are optional, but appreciated. If included, should be at the end of your assignment, starting on a separate page and referenced correctly.
- There must be a minimum of **5 properly formatted references for assignment 2**. The **APA reference** format should be used for all references, including websites (for info on using the APA style, see http://www.okanagan.bc.ca/Assets/Departments+(Administration)/Library/PDFs/apa.pdf

Important: Your paper MUST also submit electronically to the <u>TurnItIn dropbox</u> on LEARN *before* the due date and time of the assignment. Failing to do so will result in 5% off of your assignment mark.

Assignment I (A1) –Briefing Note for the Minister

In this **individual** assignment, you will prepare a briefing note suggesting how to improve the Canadian Environmental Assessment Act (CEAA) since the 2012 changes. The briefing note should be addressed to the Cabinet Minister (Minister of Environment and Climate Change). You should include at least 3 main points that should be addressed during a possible review of the current CEAA.

Use the "How to Write Briefing Notes" document and briefing note examples (LEARN) as guidance for assignment 1.

• Length: The maximum page length for this assignment is 3 pages (single-spaced)

Deadline: Electronic copy (MS Word file): June 12th, 11:59 p.m. (LEARN dropbox).

NOTE: It is critical to provide **evidence** throughout your paper. Thus, you will need to cite all ideas, facts/dates, identified trends, etc. that are not yours throughout your paper. Papers written without reference to appropriate evidence **will have many marks deducted**. It may be helpful to think of writing your paper like a lawyer argues a court case: a systematic presentation of documented evidence that eventually convinces the 'jury' (i.e., the reader) to believe you.

Assignment II (A2) - Critique of an EA

In this **group (3-4 people)** assignment, you will prepare a research paper that *describes, illustrates, and critiques* an Environmental Assessment in a Canadian jurisdiction. To do this, you will choose and use the EA report from a project (i.e., your case study) as your main source of information. The case can be from any province or the Canadian federal EA legislation. Your paper must include a critical/analytical component as well as descriptive elements. You must demonstrate that you are familiar with the current state of EA in your case study jurisdiction. You may include figures, maps, tables and comparative lists/charts to help explain key points.

• *Length:* The maximum page length for this assignment is 20 pages (double-spaced) (excluding title page, reference list page, tables and figures), double-spaced.

Deadlines: Electronic copy (MS Word file): July 17th, 11:59 p.m. (LEARN dropbox).

*** IMPORTANT: Please sign up for an available group on LEARN by June 27th @ 10:00 p.m.

ASSIGNMENT 2 ESSENTIAL COMPONENTS:

- 1. Title page (check requirements under "Assignment format" above)
- 2. Introduction
 - Introduce the topic of your paper (but keep it short)
- 3. Body of paper Likely more than one section
 - Brief description of the EA system in the chosen jurisdiction (for example, definition of environment narrowly defined?, types of EA under the relevant legislation and the one that was followed, what triggered the EA legislation?)
 - Critical discussion about the case study (addressing for example: appropriateness of the type of EA used, what alternatives were considered?, public participation, methods used, adherence to EA best practice principles)
- 4. Conclusions
 - At least 4 conclusions about strengths and weaknesses (2 of each if possible) of the analysed EA (or the EA system as a whole)
- 5. References There must be a minimum of **5 references**.
 - One reference will be the EA report (or Environmental Impact Statement) of the chosen project.
 - Statements in the assignment must be supported by the literature. Only articles cited in the text are to appear in the Literature Cited section (and vice versa).
 - Wikipedia **should not** be used as reference.
 - The **APA reference** format should be used for all references, including websites (for info on using the APA style, see http://ereference.uwaterloo.ca/display.cfm?categoryID=15&catHeading=Citation%20/%20Style%20Guides#Allstyles:RefW

orks

6. Signed Assignment Checklist

Make sure you read and sign the assignment checklist form (at the end of this document) and include it with your assignment

Important Steps for assignment 2:

Step 1: Choose a project

• Identify a project that you will use for analysis. The project must be concrete and set in a Canadian jurisdiction. You will need the environmental assessment report (e.g., Environmental Impact Statement under the CEAA), submitted by the proponent for this project as the main source of information for your analysis/critique. You can find and download EA reports from a specific public registry website (see below for web address):

Public registry websites for those jurisdictions providing full or partial Internet access

arisdiction	Internet Web Address (accessed March 2009)
Alberta	http://environment.alberta.ca/1283.html
British Columbia	http://a100.gov.bc.ca/appsdata/epic/html/deploy/epic_home.html
Canada	http://www.acee-ceaa.gc.ca/050/index_e.cfm
Wanitoba	http://www.gov.mb.ca/conservation/eal/registries/index.html
Brunswick	http://www.gnb.ca/0009/0377/0002/index-e.asp
Sewfoundland and Labrador	http://www.env.gov.nl.ca/env/Env/EA%202001/pages/index. htm#Projects
Forthwest Territories	http://www.mveirb.nt.ca/registry/index.php
Scotia	http://www.gov.ns.ca/nse/ea/projects.asp
Ontario	http://www.ebr.gov.on.ca/ERS-WEB-External/
Prince Edward	No on-line public registry but EA info is available at http://www.gov. pe.ca/infopei/index.php3?number=40190⟨=E
Quebec	http://www.bape.gouv.qc.ca/sections/mandats/
Saskatchewan	http://www.environment.gov.sk.ca/Default.aspx?DN=dd506e76-4819 4493-a22b-6411133ca469
*ukon	http://www.yesab.ca/assessments/public_registry.html

Source: Sinclair, A. J. and Diduck, A. (2009). Public Participation in Canadian Environmental Assessment: Enduring Challenges and Future directions. In Hanna, Kevin S. (Ed.). Environmental Impact Assessment: Practice and Participation. 2nd Edition. Don Mills, ON: Oxford University Press.

Every registry works differently and some are more user-friendly than others. It is your job to find a suitable case for your assignment (i.e., a project that has undergone an EA process and you can access the EA report (e.g., draft or final Environmental Impact Statement – EIS)), and marks will be deducted for not using a draft or final EA report. If in doubt, ask the instructor or a TA about it.

In addition, a list of potential projects will be made available on the course website (LEARN), but you are free to choose a project of interest to you, provided it is approved by the instructor or one of the TAs.

Step 2: Describe and analyze/critique the EA work

Based on what was learned in class about good quality EA work (best practices), describe and critique EA elements related to your case, such as:

- the proponent and the proposed activity
- the legislation used in the application of EA;
- the type of EA used;

- scoping and alternatives (e.g., VECs and other important issues considered, space and time boundaries of the study, alternatives considered, etc.);
- public involvement (e.g., main publics involved, degree of involvement, etc);
- · methods used
- adherence to EA best practice principles as indicated in the literature (e.g., IAIA and IEA, 1999)

Step 3: Conclude

Based on your preceding discussion and what was learned during this course, what do you conclude about the strengths and weaknesses of the EA case study you are using for the assignment?

"In academic writing, a well-crafted conclusion can provide the final word on the value of your analysis, research, or paper. Complete your conclusions with conviction!

Conclusions show readers the value of your completely developed argument or thoroughly answered question. Consider the conclusion from the reader's perspective. At the end of a paper, a reader wants to know how to benefit from the work you accomplished in your paper. Here are **ways to think about the purpose of a conclusion**:

- To **connect** the paper's findings to a larger context, such as the wider conversation about an issue as it is presented in a course or in other published writing.
- To **suggest** the implications of your findings or the importance of the topic.
- To **ask** questions or suggest ideas for further research.
- To revisit your main idea or research question with new insight."

Source: http://www.writing.wisc.edu/Handbook/Conclusions.html

NOTE: It is critical to provide **evidence** throughout your paper. **Thus, you need to cite in the text all ideas, facts/dates, identified trends, etc. that are not yours.** Papers written without proper citation to appropriate evidence **will have marks deducted**. It may be helpful to think of writing your paper like a lawyer argues a court case: a systematic presentation of documented evidence that eventually convinces the 'jury' (i.e., the reader) to believe you.

Helpful Online Resources for assignment 2:

Canadian Environmental Assessment Agency: http://www.ceaa.gc.ca
International Association for Impact Assessment: http://www.iaia.org
Journal of Environmental Assessment, Policy and Management: http://www.worldscinet.com/jeapm/
Impact Assessment and Project Appraisal: http://www.ingentaconnect.com/content/tandf/iapa
Environmental Impact Assessment Review: http://www.elsevier.com/wps/find/journaldescription.cws_home/505718/description

Peer-reviewd articles from the above Academic Journals (JEAPM, IAPA and EIAR) can be accessed through UW's Library website: http://sfx.scholarsportal.info/waterloo/az

Assignment Grading (both A1 and A2):

- Evaluation of assignments takes into account organization, structure, style and presentation, research and quality of analysis/critique, as well as proper referencing style and in-text citation. Writing quality and content are both considered in grading.
- Students are expected to present well organized and properly written work. Penalties of up to 20% may be applied in cases where writing quality, readability and/or clarity are considered inadequate.
- Any questions regarding your mark or any feedback on your assignment are to be directed towards the TA that marked your assignment. Any concerns after talking with your TA can then be sent to the instructor.
- Any requests for remarking of an exam question and/or an assignment must be submitted in writing to the instructor within *two weeks* of the release of the mark for the exam/and or assignment in question
- Your request for a remark must be specific and you must be able to clearly state what questions or component you feel were mis-marked and why.

Late penalty:

- All assignments are due on the date set by the professor. To be fair to students who hand in their assignment on time, late assignments will be penalized. Late assignments will be accepted up to **one week after the due date** with a **penalty of 10%** (i.e., 80% becomes 70%) except for unusual/documented mitigating circumstances (see note below). Any assignments received **after this one-week grace period** will be subject to an **additional penalty of 5%** per business day.
- July 29th is the last day late assignments can be submitted, and a grade of 'zero' will be recorded for assignments not received by this deadline.
- Any *requests for extension* without penalty or for more than one week must be made *in writing* before the assignment due date. The instructor reserves the right to waive this deduction if the following prevents the student from handing in an assignment on time:
 - 1. Valid medical reason such as illness or accident (appropriate proof such as a Doctor's note is required);
 - 2. Personal or family emergency (with suitable proof, when possible);
- Please ensure that you are diligent in *backing up computer files* of all assignments (different locations, hard drives, cloud, etc), as computer/disk failures, printer problems, etc., will not be considered a valid reason to waive the late assignment deduction.

Handing in your Assignments:

- You are responsible for making sure that your professor receives your work. Both assignments are to be submitted via LEARN dropbox using the course website.
- Lost or misplaced assignments: It is your responsibility to make more than one electronic copy of your work. Excuses are not accepted in the case of crashed computer and lost or misplaced work.

Tips/Hints:

- Seek out help from your TAs they are there to help you
- Begin well in advance of the deadline last minute work is usually obvious to markers.
- As you write, use the concepts, language and ideas presented in lecture materials as a source of inspiration/discussion in your assignment.
- Create sub-headings for each of the main sub-sections (you can remove these or keep these later...they are intended as a guide to writing to ensure you address each sub-section!).
- Cite all materials/information you obtain from sources other than your own personal knowledge. The *APA reference* format should be used for all references, including electronic sources.
- Don't forget to include personal knowledge and 'brainstorming' in your analysis it's a very powerful way to personalize your writing.
- Proofread and spell-check your work before submission, please.

Unclaimed Assignments

• Unclaimed assignments will be retained until one month after term grades become official in Quest. After that time, they will be destroyed in compliance with UW's confidential shredding procedures.

The following student signed Checklist was developed by the University of Waterloo Secretariat as a means of emphasizing the importance of attribution of referenced work and reducing plagiarism.

Please read, sign, and hand in with your assignment.

Group Work

Please read the disclosure below following the completion of your group assignment. Once you have verified these points, hand in this signed disclosure with your group assignment.

- 1. All team members have referenced and footnoted all ideas, words or other intellectual property from other sources used in the completion of this assignment.
- 2. A proper bibliography has been included, which includes acknowledgement of all sources used to complete this assignment.
- 3. This is the first time that any member of the group has submitted this assignment or essay (either partially or entirely) for academic evaluation.
- 4. Each member of the group has read the full content of the submission and is assured that the content is free of violations of academic integrity. Group discussions regarding the importance of academic integrity have taken place.
- 5. Each student has identified his or her individual contribution to the work submitted such that if violations of academic integrity are suspected, then the student primarily responsible for the violations may be identified. Note that in this case the remainder of the team may also be subject to disciplinary action.

Date:

Name (print)	Signature	Section Contributed	Section Edited
Q /			