Meeting: Students’ Council
Speaker: Andre Magalhaes
Type of Meeting: Monthly
Secretary: Stéphane Hamade

Attendees:
Executives
VP Education J. Adam Garcia, VP Internal Alexandra Russell, VP Administration and Finance Prashant Kumar Patel, President Andrew Noble

Councillors
Jesse McGinnis (Math), Hassan Iqbal (Science), Fangzhou Chen (Arts), David Collins (Environment), Lauren Harrison (Science), Christina Romualdo (Arts), Andrew Fisher (Engineering), Chanakya Ramdev (Engineering), Robert W. Savoy (Environment), Saad Rehman (Math), Adeel Rizwan (Math), Nikki Domanski (Science), Sean Maciel, Abdul Rafay Naeem, Nicollette Zaptse, Kristin Flannigan

Non-voting
Elizabeth McFaul (MathSoc President)

Gallery (not complete)
Andres Fuentes (Feds RPO), Pratik Patel

Excused
Samantha McLean, Breanne O’Grady, Stephanie Niesner

Unexcused:
Nickta Jowhari, Fahad Hassan Al-Jaufy, Phyllis Lu, Ahmed Bin Asad

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Agenda Items</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Topics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meeting Called to Order at 12:46 pm on , June 10, 2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Approval of the Agenda for Meeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BIRT Students’ Council approves the agenda for this meeting.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moved by Patel, Seconded by Noble</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Action |
| BIRT Students’ Council approves the agenda for this meeting. |
| Moved by Patel, Seconded by Noble |
| Garcia: Move 6a to the consent agenda, remove 6b and move to the next meeting, 6c should be the provincial affairs commission, move 7d to the end in case it is a long discussion. |
| No objections |
| Patel: Termly financial reports moved to October meeting |
| No objections |
| Savoy: Athletics Advisory appointment moved from 7h to |
| Decision |
7b, to put all appointments together
No objections
**Motion Passes unanimously**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Approval of the Consent Agenda</th>
<th>Decision</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>2. Motion:</strong> BIRT Students’ Council approves the July 29th meeting minutes of Students’ Council.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**3. Councillor Reports**
- Architecture
- Applied Health Science
- Arts
- Engineering
- Environment
- Kitchener
- Math
- Renison
- Science
- St. Jerome’s

**4. Committee Reports**
- By-Laws, Policies, and Procedures
- Budget
- Internal Administration
- Internal Funding
- Education Advisory
- President’s Advisory
- Election
- Election Appeals

Consent Agenda Approved

Noble: move the minutes out of the consent agenda
McGinnis: move the arts report out since it wasn’t submitted.
No further objections to the consent agenda

**Minutes**
Noble: they are poorly formatted, take the time to work on those.

**Motion to Table**
Moved by Noble, seconded by Patel
Motion Passes Unanimously

**Arts Reports**
McGinnis: Can you explain
Romualdo: There was a miscommunication, I have an update
from the ASU, they had events during Welcome week, they have
a bomber social and other events coming up.
Ramdev: When is the next ASU meeting
Romualdo: Next next Wednesday

Speaker: Can we turn off phones as there is interference as well
as speak up so the microphones can pick you up. Can we also
have introductions.

All councillors, executive, commissioners and societies
presidents present introduce themselves.

## Regular Agenda
### Executive Reports

**Vice-President Administration & Finance**

Patel: submitted an hour ago, has been a busy couple of
weeks. A few highlights, we have hired a kitchen
manager. We have filled in our Accounts payable
clerk. The bomber patio is complete in terms of
renovations. Over the next couple of weeks all our
commercial services will have visa and mastercard with
new terminals that will accommodate Paypass.
McGinnis: How do you pay with pay pass
Patel: They will be able to tap and go
Romualdo: Have you been updating your toggle
Patel: 90% of time has been going into the bombshelter
pub. We are down a manager there. The rest I am trying
to keep up with day to day.
Ramdev: Have you been getting support?
Patel: The assistant manager has been a lot of help so I
can get my weekends off.

**Vice-President Education**

No discussion

**Vice-President Internal**

No discussion

**President**

Noble: You guys have all been given exec reports. It is
the work of all the executive. It’s based on our long range plan. You can skip the first page, it’s a brief introduction. The long range plan was put in 2 years ago in 2010, by the executive at that time. There was tons of consultation with clubs, faculty members and groups. An external person was hired to make a really robust plan. The long range plan is available online.

There are 3 year main goals with which they wanted an expected outcome from these goals, Expand Communication, Effective Advancement of affordable and accessible post-secondary education system, as well as 4 other goals.

Each goal has expected outcomes.

At page 5 there are the actions that are being implemented to accomplish the goals.

Go to FedS.ca you can log in for feds connect.

The most active discussions will be on the front page. It is a good design.

We will continue to update the website to expand conversation.

Some of the ways we will check to see if we have accomplished our goals.

We want to be able to show what we’ve done and accomplished.

We don’t want to just create some goals, we want to see if we accomplish those goals.

Sometimes it’s hard to do that.

We are here to improve student life. But it is difficult to have a metric for student life.

We want to see anyway that can be used to do that. One way we want to do it is with a yearly survey that will be sent out in the winter term.

Feel free to look through, we printed out copies for you to look at it.

You don’t have to wait for a council meeting to bring it up.
Feel free to contact us in any way and we appreciate the feedback in any way.

I have to update my toggle, it’s not as up to date as it should be.

We’ll talk about the governance review and election things that we will talk about later in the meeting.

Patel: With the new website, log in to it. I’m expecting councillors to be active and start discussions and answer questions, so that when students log in there is some content.

Ramdev: Are we going to hire someone?

Patel: We have a community manager. We have a communications coordinator to overview the website. We’re trying over the next two weeks how this community will be monitored.

We have 700 people registered to the website which is good since we haven’t done any advertisement. We are looking at students to be moderators.

Savoy: You spent half your time at the August for two weeks on exec relations.

Noble: It was actually my July one. This was for the exec retreat.

Patel: Your councillor gear is in, for those who ordered jackets I’ll be taking the payment at the next meeting 20$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Old Business</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ratification of Academic and Federal Affairs Commissioner</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| **BIRT Students’ Council ratifies Maaz Yasin as the Academic Affairs Commissioner for the 2012-2013 academic year.**
**BIRT Students’ Council ratifies Samantha Estoesta as the Federal Affairs Commissioner for the 2012-2013 academic year.** | Moved by Garcia, Seconded by Ramdev |
Amendment to Academic Commissioner since that is what is in bylaws
Moved by Garcia, Seconded by Ramdev

Garcia : They were selected in interviews. Samantha Estoesta came with me to CASA conference. Their experience was helpful there.
Maaz was a coordinator with CRT

Neither can be here today on short notice they both have prior commitments

Motion Passes unanimously

Provincial Affairs Commission Paper Response

WHEREAS the Commissioner-at-Large and Provincial Affairs Commission was tasked with the creation of a submission in response to the discussion paper put forth by the ministry of training, college, and university.

Romualdo: Skaidra and I have been working on the paper along with Andres

Skaidra: We were looking at innovative ways of looking into revamping the universities of colleges.
We’ve been focussing try to gear the mtcu report and have been looking at how it pertains to UW, such as the beyond borders program.
We have been looking into incubators programs such as Velocity, Andres had a meeting with Sean.
We’ve been working on a google doc together.
Romualdo: Our report will be sent out to the council mailing list when it is completed.

Noble: Will you be looking for approval at the next meeting?
Skaidra: It is going to be a challenge, but we can try
Romualdo: We can try to get it for the next meeting.

Garcia: It will go to EAC before being sent out, who will approve it instead of going to council.
Flannigan: Have you had meetings with people in the program.
Skaidra: I’ve spoken to people in Velocity
Flannigan : If you need connections at St. Jerome’s you can talk to me
Skaidra: Perfect

Waterloo Works Update

WHEREAS Students’ Council tasked the VP Education to
work with CECA to release source code and technical documentation of Waterloo Works.

Garcia: There is no specific motion, I spoke to Dianne Bader the Director of operations. brought to her the motion. She’s not sure what she can bring forward. A lot of it was not saved as Waterloo was not happy with it. If we’d like to see the audit, it’s something the board of governors would have to ask for. She would be happy to come to the council meeting.

The reality is those documents, the University does not want to release them, you can bang your head against the wall but it won’t do anything.

Hunt: I have been contacted by a student who would like to submit a freedom of information act on this item.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>STAC</th>
<th>Decision</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>BIRT ______ is ratified as an At Large members of the Student Technology Advisory Committee for the remainder of the 2012-2013 academic year.</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moved by Garcia, Seconded by McGinnis Garcia nominations Shahzal Nisar</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Garcia: STAC is a committee at the university that deals with technology in a broad way: mobile technology, data, student portals, printing. It doesn’t require IT abilities. It requires finesse and it requires preparation ahead of time. We are working with student technology services team a group out of IST, to include those students in projects.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ramdev: It is for people who have always had trouble with IT, it is the perfect place to voice concerns.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gerry Chang: What are the technical expertise that you need. Garcia: No, You don’t need any, sometimes it goes into technical language, but you don’t need technical skills</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brandon Cafort Nominated by Jesse McGinnis</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speaker: two names and one blank.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Motion to appoint Andres and Pratik as Chief Electoral officer and Scrutineer</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moved by Ramdev</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Questions

Statements:
Brandon: HI guys I am Brandon Gaffor, I am a first year student, I want to get involved with FEDS. This is the perfect opportunity for me to get involved.

I have some technical background in computer software. I would voice my opinions, I would voice the opinion of first years and others I come across.

Garcia speaking for Shadelle Niesner: He is an engineering student, going into 2A. He has experience in autocad matlab, and Microsoft office suite, he also has skills in marketing and the engineering field. He has engineering knowledge which would be an asset to the team. He was unable to come due to family business.

Speaker: Does Council have any questions. No, I guess to keep it fair.

Motion to Table
Moved by Patel, Seconded by Collins

IAC, IFC, and PAC Members

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Decision</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**BIRT _______ and ________ are ratified as At Large members of the Internal Funding Committee for the Fall 2012 Term, and**

**BIRFT _______ and ________ are ratified as At Large members of the Internal Administration Committee for the Fall 2012 Term, and**

**BIRFT ________ and ________ are ratified as At Large members of the President's Advisory Committee for the Fall 2012 Term**

Moved by Noble, Seconded by Patel.

Noble:

Russell: Looking for two at large members for each of IAC and IFC.
For IAC time goes into sanctioning new clubs for 2 hour weekly meetings, and one hour outside of meetings for reading.
For IFC oversees the special project fund, and, the Enterprise, Opportunity and Innovation Fund, and the Fall 2012 Fed Hall Subsidy Fund. An hour meeting per week and an hour outside of
Ramdev: What is the Fed Hall subsidy Fund

Russell: Once we get a fed hall access agreement they will look into that

Garry Chang IFC by Ramdev

Michelle IFC by McGinnis

McFaul IAC by McGinnis

Christopher Luc by Russell IFC

Elton IFC by Zaptces

Brandon Gafoor IFC, IAC, Romualdo

Prajeeb IAC Romualdo

Stephane PAC Romualdo

Gerry Chang IFC Romualdo

Mujeeb Duranai PAC, IAC Noble

Gerry PAC, Savoy

Gafoor PAC, by McGinnis

Prateeksha IAC by Russell

Gerry: What is PAC

Noble: Similar to EAC, discussed things that are facing the university on Senate, use PAC to bounce ideas off of. Discussion about Governance review, can be used for interactions about Governance review. A group that discusses my portfolio, anything that discusses his portfolio that is confidential is discussed there.
Motion to split the question  
Moved by: Noble, Garcia

Motion to make Elizabeth McFaul Secretary

PAC
Mujeeb: My name is Mujeeb, I'll do an Amazing job in PAC. I am a Residence life don at V1. I have lots of exposure to resources and students. I represented AHS as FOC last year-involved, multitasking, budgeting, hiring and monitoring leaders. My other on-campus involvement, director for AHSUM. In the past lots of involvement hasn’t affected my academics.

Stephane
I am a Math student I am Involved with math society. I am used to working confidential matter, providing guidance on other matters. I have a good grasp of student desires, and discuss with students their issues. I can bring those ideas to president Noble to help with decision making.

Jerry
I have been on Elections committee and IAC last semester as well. Involved myself with elections and club, now would be interested in executive.

Brandon
I am First-year environment student, I am a New student. I will not be blinded by preconceptions. I can bring a brand new perspective to the president.

Chanakya: Mujeeb Since you have experience with AHSUM, I’m assuming you have good relations with Noble, how would you keep him accountable with a relationship at stake?

Mujeeb: As Don has a lot of friends on my team. I have experience drawing the line when the time comes I know the time to be a friend and when to be a co-worker I know when to draw the line,

Savoy: Brandon, out of the three committees you have been nominated for, which one do you want the most? Wants PAC most- great opportunity to grow It a Great opportunity to become a great leader in the future Run this council once you have all graduated
Motion Table Item
Moved by: Noble, Ramdev

Raise the item for STAC
Moved by: McGinnis, Patel

**BIRT Brandon Gaffoor is ratified as an At Large members of the Student Technology Advisory Committee for the remainder of the 2012-2013 academic year.**

Motion Passes Unanimously
Garcia: Don’t leave without giving me your e-mail

Motion to put Stéphane back as secretary
Moved Savoy, Collins

**IAC**
Elizabeth McFaul
I am a math student 4th year, also President of MathSoc, would like to deal with it more on the FEDS side. Deals with clubs heavily on the MathSoc side.

Mujeeb Duranai
One of the main responsibilities on IAC is to make changes for clubs.
I was the person who filled out all the paper work for the events and maintained ressources
I further supervised the work of my leaders on FOC,

Brandon Gafoor
1st year environment student, see the logistics of how they are made. To use the experience this year to make it better for future year.

Prateeksha: 2nd year business and legal studies student. Heavily involved in our school committee, hoping to make it a better experience for the students.
To know how the students feel about and how to make it better.

Noble: I sat on IAC before, It’s a pretty extensive commitment weekly meetings and an hour to prepare for those meeting, in less than 15 seconds, what else are you doing this term and can you handle it

Prateeksha: DECA which has hourly meetings once every two
weeks. Other things that take about an hour.

Brandon: Not involved in any other extra-curricular

Mujeeb: Residence Life Don, essentially on call 24/7, has been extensively before and has not affected academics

McFaul: Not as involved as before, but still MathSoc President. Definitely has time to be IAC.

Savoy: What committees are you on

McFaul: Not on any FEDS committees besides BPP

Motion to table:
McGinnis, Zaptes
No Objections

Raise from the table:
Savoy, Seconded by Romualdo

**BIRFT** Mujeeb Durani and Brandon Gaffoor are ratified as At Large members of the President's Advisory Committee for the Fall 2012 Term
Motion Passes Unanimously

Noble: don`t leave without giving me your e-mail

**IFC**
Michelle

I`m Michelle, I am a second year math and business student. I was in this position in Winter 2012. I know what is entailed with this position. I come prepared, read the application. I will be ready to discuss. Based on what I know from the committee I would be a good fit

Chirs Luc

I am a first year student in Mechatronics Engineering. I would like to apply for IFC I have been working in various jobs since grade 9. I have been working in various jobs

During the winter I worked as a referee.
Referee youth award in 2010

I participate in various things in school.
Justice council
Water Water Sleepover

I have tutored and mentored students since grade 11.

Elton

2a Accounting and finance student. Quite involved with clubs on campus with 4 clubs. This term I have quite a lot of free time. I have experience with FEDS.

I would like to be involved with the FEDS organization as it is more established than other organization

Brandon Gaffoor
Withdraw candidacy

Ramdev: what have you done with previous clubs that can help you with this committee.

Elton: I was in the university rocketry team, on the business team.
Entrepreneur society, read a lot of applications
Involved in the discussion with group members
United social entrepreneur conference, which was held in Hong Kong. Also saw applications through this
I have experience discussing applications.

Noble: Scenario question. Engineering Society and AHS students applying for a microwave for 50$, you only have 50$ left. How do you make the decision

Michelle
How many they have, how have they treated them in the past?

Elton
What is the track record for both sides.
Which one would it benefit more, if AHS had the microwave what would happen.

Motion to Table
Savoy, Chen

Raise the IAC tabled motion
Noble, McGinnis

**BIRFT Elizabeth McFaul and Prateeksha are ratified as At Large members of the Internal Administration Committee for the Fall 2012 Term**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Athletics Advisory Board</th>
<th>Decision</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>BIRT __________ be ratified as the Federation of Students representative of the Athletics Advisory Board until April 2013 term.</strong></td>
<td>Moved by Savoy, Seconded by Collins</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Savoy: It is a high governing body. Has the power to raise our athletics fee by a certain amount.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>It deals with long range planning.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>It is an advocacy position</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Chris Read sits on it, director athletics, associate director of athletics. Many of them give reports at the meeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Two members from Campus Rec, 2 university from University Council.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1 member of student council</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1 member by grad student association</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1 member appointed by the department</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>This committee only meets 3 times a year.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The next meeting will be in January.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>You don’t need experience with athletics.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ramdev nominates himself seconded by Sahad</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Nicollete nominates Harrison</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
No further nominations

Ramdev
My name is Chanakya Ramdev, I am a Feds councillor from Engineering. I am an active member in the athletics committee. I go there every day for Swimming. I use the service on a regular basis, and by regular I mean daily basis. I know the lifeguards and students who work there. It is something I am passionate about.

Harisson
I am a science councillor, I go to the Gym a lot, I have been to all the gyms. I live in REV this term. I am a don, I interact with students who are using the gym and I get to hear about their experiences. It is something that is important to me and something I want to get involved with.

One suggestion

Harrison: The line ups at the gym are something that bothers me. As well it is difficult to get into the classes.

Ramdev: I am more the aquatics side. The scheduling of classes, needs to be improved. More people could then be a part of them. They have 4-5 and should have 25 people. Better promotion and better scheduling.

Motion to table:
Savoy, Zaptses
Motion passes

Motion to Untable IFC
Noble, Romualdo

**BIRFT** Michelle and Elton are ratified as At Large members of the Internal Administration Committee for the Fall 2012 Term, and

Motion Passes Unanimously

Motion to elect a council member and an at large member of BP&P.

Moved by: Noble, McGinnis
**Motion to Table**  
Noble, McGinnis

Raise from Table Athletics  
Romualdo, Chen

**BIRT Lauren Harrison** be ratified as the Federation of Students representative of the Athletics Advisory Board until April 2013 term.

**Motion Passes Unanimously**

Motion to recess for 10 minutes at 2:06  
Romualdo, McGinnis

**Return from recess at 2:17**

**Elections Committee Appointment**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Decision</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>BIRT ________</strong> be ratified as a BPP member of the Election Committee for the Fall 2012 and Winter 2013 term.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>BIFRT ________</strong> be ratified as a Council member of the Election Committee for the Fall 2012 and Winter 2013 term.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>BIFRT ________</strong> be ratified as at large member of the Election Committee for the Fall 2012 and Winter 2013 term.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Moved by McGinnis, Seconded by Flannigan

McGinnis nominates self for BPP seat on the Election Committee

Noble: the committee makes decision

Split the Question  
McGinnis, Romulado

**Table the motion of BPP member**  
McGinnis, Romulado

**BIFRT ________** be ratified as a Council member of the Election Committee for the Fall 2012 and Winter 2013 term.

moved by McGinnis, seconded by Romulado
For the Election Committee councillor
Saad Rehman

**BIFRT Saad Rehman be ratified as a Council member of the Election Committee for the Fall 2012 and Winter 2013 term.**

Motion Passes Unanimously

**BIFRT ______ be ratified as at large member of the Election Committee for the Fall 2012 and Winter 2013 term.**
Moved by Romualdo, Seconded by McGinnis
Election Committee at large

**BIFRT Gerry Chang be ratified as at large member of the Election Committee for the Fall 2012 and Winter 2013 term.**

Motion Passes unanimously

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Election Appeals Committee Appointment</th>
<th>Decision</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>BIRT _____ be ratified as the Executive member of the Election Committee for the Fall 2012 and Winter 2013 term.</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>BIFRT ______, ______, and ______ be ratified as at large members of the Election Appeals Committee for the Fall 2012 and Winter 2013 term.</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Moved by Romualdo, McGinnis

Amendment to add Appeals
Moved by Romualdo, Ramdev
Nomination
McGinnis nominates Garcia, Declined
McGinnis nominates Russell, Declined
McGinnis nominates Patel, Accepts

**BIRT Kumar Patel be ratified as the Executive member of the Election Committee for the Fall 2012 and Winter 2013 term.**

Tushard is nominated by McGinnis
Romualdo nominates John

**John: What is it about?**

**Noble: Elections committee makes a decision about it, but the person can disagree and bring it to the election appeals**
committee. They can overturn the decision of the Election committees. They can meet whenever there are elections.

Ramdev nominates Sean Hunt.

Motion to amend

**BIFRT _____, and ____ be ratified as at large members of the Election Appeals Committee for the Fall 2012 and Winter 2013 term.**

**BIFRT ______ be ratified as at large members of the Election Appeals Committee for the Fall 2012.**

Moved by Romualdo, Seconded by McGinnis
Motion Passes Unanimously

**BIRT Kumar Patel be ratified as the Executive member of the Election Committee for the Fall 2012 and Winter 2013 term.**

**BIFRT Tushar Dhoot, and John be ratified as at large members of the Election Appeals Committee for the Fall 2012 and Winter 2013 term.**

**BIFRT Sean Hunt be ratified as at large members of the Election Appeals Committee for the Fall 2012.**

Motion passes unanimously

Motion to raise BPP from the table

Motion to appoint ___ & ___ as at large members of BPP

Clarification that BPP only needs one member at large

Speaker rules the motion out of order

Motion to Destroy the ballots
Moved by McGinnis, Seconded Zaptses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>General Meeting and Polling dates</th>
<th>Decision</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>BIRT Students’ Council approves October 31 and March 20 as general meeting dates for the Federation of Students, and BIFRT Students’ Council approves February 12 to 14 as the polling dates for the Winter 2013 election</strong></td>
<td>Moved by Romualdo, Seconded by Patel</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Amendment
BIRT Students’ Council approves October 29 and March 20 as general meeting dates for the Federation of Students, and BIFRT Students’ Council approves February 12 to 14 as the polling dates for the Winter 2013 election
Moved by Noble, Flannigan

Noble: Makes more sense on a Monday
Ramdev: Why are we having it on a Monday
Noble: It’s a general meeting
McGinnis: Halloween also doesn’t make sense
Amendment passes Unanimously

Motion Passes Unanimously

New Business Continued

Service Coordinator Appointments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Service</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Danielle Avila, Dominique Souris</td>
<td>Campus Response Team</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maggie Zhou</td>
<td>uWaterloo Sustainability Project</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stephanie Eccles, Alisa Gabeskiriya</td>
<td>International Student Connection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robbie Ahmed, <strong>Leena Manchanda</strong></td>
<td>Women’s Centre</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stariska Abadi, Emily Albojer</td>
<td>The Glow Centre for Sexual and Gender Diversity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Federation of Students Food Bank</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Moved by Ramdev, Seconded by Zaptse
Russell: These are most of the service coordinators for this term, they should be definitely for the next meeting.
Collins: Can we encourage them to show up.

Amendment
Moved by Rusell, Seconded by McGinnis
Russell: I would like to add **Leena Manchanda**
Motion Passes Unanimously

Motion to Raise from the table
Moved by McGinnis, Patel

*BIRT ________ be ratified as a BPP member of the Election Committee for the Fall 2012 and Winter 2013 term.*

Amendment
Moved by Romualdo, McGinnis

*BIRT ________ be ratified as a BPP member of the Election Committee for the Fall 2012 term.*

Savoy nominates self, seconded by Ramdev

*BIRT Robert Savoy be ratified as a BPP member of the Election Committee for the Fall 2012.*

Passes Unanimously
Abstentions to be noted: Robert Savoy

### Election Appeals Committee Recommendations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Decision</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| *WHEREAS the Elections Appeals Committee submits to Students’ Council the following recommendations with regards to the Election Procedures*
| *That a process for challenging non-allegation decisions of the Elections Committee be codified, whether it be via the EAC or another body.*
| *That the process for appealing a decision of the Elections Committee require that the committee or its members submit to the EAC an explanation of the decision made.*
| *That the Elections Committee be required to provide a candidate with a full explanation of the precise nature of any allegation levied against them, rather than merely requiring that the candidate be informed of the existence of the allegation.*
| *That candidates found to deliberately and knowingly violate the Election Procedures be disqualified.*
| *BIRT the above recommendations be referred to the Bylaws, Policies, and Procedures Committee for development* |

Moved by Noble, Seconded by Zaptses
Ramdev: I’m not a fan of the 4th point, it should be an allegation and be brought up, not disqualify the person.

Amendment Remove point 4
Ramdev, Collins

Collins: Wants to change it as well, it is a slippery slope as deliberate is not defined. I am in favour of striking this for different reasons. There might be different reasons for this though.

Romualdo: I agree with the slippery slope. If you knowingly violate it and campaign above reproach. And you are okay with that, then you are disrespecting the other candidates.

McFaul: We are not approving these, we are sending these to BPP. The comments are helpful.

Speaker: My understanding is that council is helping to develop these and send them to BPP

Garcia: Would speak in favour of removing it. It is slippery slope. Who is the elections committee to decide what is deliberate.

Savoy: During the previous election period I tweeted to the vote.feds, complaining there were posters of my face everywhere, it could have been considered as campaigning

Sean Hunt: It is written that if the person knows they are breaking the rules and they know it. They are trying to circumvent, such as campaigning outside of the period, they are trying to get around the rules, since you are either disqualified or not disqualified

McFaul: My belief is that we should leave it to BPP to look at it.

Collins: If it is something premeditated we should look into it.

Ramdev: You should use it the way it is, if people want to use the system in the way they want to.

McGinnis: it is difficult to come up with something that is fair for
people making the mistakes as well as to stop people from breaking rules the rules to gain an advantage.

It is nothing that can be used willy nilly. It would prevent people from intentionally breaking rules.

Savoy: I would like to change my mind and vote against this amendment and see what BPP will do.

In Favour 12
Opposed 6
Abstentions 1, Noble
Motion passed.

WHEREAS the Elections Appeals Committee submits to Students' Council the following recommendations with regards to the Election Procedures

That a process for challenging non-allegation decisions of the Elections Committee be codified, whether it be via the EAC or another body.

That the process for appealing a decision of the Elections Committee require that the committee or its members submit to the EAC an explanation of the decision made.

That the Elections Committee be required to provide a candidate with a full explanation of the precise nature of any allegation levied against them, rather than merely requiring that the candidate be informed of the existence of the allegation.

Noble: We are going to have another section that will talk about elections. All the conversation here is helpful for guiding BPP, everyone identifies that there are problem with the election procedure. For this one we'll send it to council.

Motion Passes Unanimously

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>New Policy on Services</th>
<th>Decision</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>BIRT Students’ Council approves the new Policy on Services as Policy 30, in principle, as shown in Appendix A, as a first reading.</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Moved by Russell, Seconded by Romualdo

Russell: First draft of policy to be referred to BPP. This is the standard of belief of how council feels about certain groups been involved in our services.

Noble: Here are some concerns: We are filling the gaps of the
If the University is going to fulfill those needs better we should let them do it. On the other side we may want to keep it to make FedS bigger, and make FEDS more relevant to students on campus.

Russell: We have limited resources to provide to students. Losing services doesn’t make FedS smaller, but lets us build on new services. It says we keep the students club and students society. It gives an opportunity to

Garcia: We still make sure the University does provide the service, it changes the role of FEDS from providing the services to making feds provides the service.

Patel: Add orientation
Russell: Orientation is a special event

Amendment:

add Orientation to the appendix after student societies

Moved by:
Patel, Seconded by Garcia
Passes Unanimously

Motion Passes Unanimously

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Election Report Recommendations</th>
<th>Decision</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Whereas a report from the Winter 2012 general elections included a number of recommendations;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whereas these recommendations have been taken and used to create changes to Federation of Students’ Bylaws, Policies, and Procedures (attached);</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whereas these changes are separated by recommendations as presented in the elections report;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whereas a number of other changes were recommended from other sources;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whereas these changes are found to be necessary in improving the current elections process;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BIRT Students’ Council approves the Policy and Procedure amendments as shown in Appendix B, in principle, as a first reading</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BIFRT Students’ Council approves the ByLaw amendments, as shown in Appendix B, to be included in the agenda of the October 2012 General Meeting of the Federation of Students.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moved by McGinnis, Seconded by Romualdo</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McGinnis: Recommends this goes to BPP before the first</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
If anyone has any comments to send them to BPP.

**Refer it to BPP and brought back in October**

Moved by McGinnis, Seconded by Ramdev

Garcia: Is it going to leave us with an appropriate time line, would it be passed by Winter
McGinnis: Yes we would have till December which gives a month leeway if it passes the first and second reading.

**Motion Passes Unanimously**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Governance Review</th>
<th>Decision</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Please refer to Appendix D for the Governance Review document.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Noble: Motion to task BPP with recommendations in appendix D

Garcia: Will BPP have enough time to get this all done.
Romualdo: We'll get it done
McFaul: We'll get it done
Garcia: These are major changes. Seriously, I want to know if you can do it.

Speaker: There is no timeline, they can take a while to complete it.

Motion Passes Unanimously

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grand River Public Bike Share Initiative</th>
<th>Decision</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>WHEREAS the Federation of Students wishes to enhance the quality of life for students by promoting an environmentally conscious campus, and</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| **WHEREAS the Grand River Public Bike Share team, a nonprofit group of Waterloo, has the potential to encourage greater use of cycling as a practical means of transportation for students living in the area** | ||

| **WHEREAS the Grand River Public Bike Share team is seeking support from a variety of groups in Waterloo, is looking into a pilot bicycle share project which would benefit not only students at the University of Waterloo, but the region of Waterloo as a whole** | ||

| **BIRT Students’ Council tasks the President to sign a “letter of support” for the Grand River Public Bike Share Initiative, which formally recognizes the value of this initiative as** | ||
Moved by
Noble, Seconded by Savoy

Noble: This is the same team that did a presentation last year to student council. They want to go to the region of Waterloo to get resources. They want formal support. They do not want any financial support from us. The reason for signing this comes from our long range plan of supporting the environment and improving student life. It will help students get around in the region. I wanted to ensure that council gave me approval to sign it. It is formal support from council.

Savoy: I thought this was already approved. What is the status?

Noble: It takes time; they are looking for external resources.

They have to prove that there is interest in the product.

Motion Passes Unanimously

Ramdev: Why can’t he sign it on his own.
Noble: I wanted transparency.

Feds Fee Usage Presentation

Garcia: How much indirect benefit is that a program that feds pays for does not directly benefit students.

We do not let Bomber to subsidise events that will donate to a charity, since we minds well just donate to the charity.

Another good example is Colour me educated, we were supposed to spend money to raise money for the charity

An idea me and EAC had to create a conference to encourage students to go to post-secondary education.

This requires resources from feds, but doesn’t directly help fee paying students, but there are other benefits. Gives us connection to local education network, might get media attention, good learning opportunity and provides a leadership opportunity. But the primary benefit would not be the paying students of FEDS
Russell: We talked about creating a policy for this, and that this is really on a case by case. Adam is looking for something about this particular idea, but also in general.

Ramdev: Wouldn't this be better to ask at a General meeting as it would bring more people.

Garcia: I feel like the council is a good group to ask as they also approve the budget would be a good body. We could ask it to the general meeting, but as the council approves the budget we thought it was fine. The main question is, is the idea of an early outreach conference okay.

Harrison: Would it be possible to set up a fund that supports charities and things like this.

McGinnis: I like the idea of it especially the media attention. What is the cost? What is being reduced to make money for this?

Flannigan: We have recruitment officers from each high school, wouldn't it be better to work with them.

Garcia: We did cancel Colour me Educated, but set aside 5000$ for education programming and that's already in the budget and there is $4000 left.

I would like to target earlier students they are already in path academic/applied. In grade 8 they are determining their future. That is where we could use recruiting officers.

Flannigan: We could instead use a shadow program to encourage to come to Waterloo which would be good for Waterloo.

Patel: Our operating budget is tight, but our operating budget is approved annually and it is council’s job to approve where money goes.

This is a one-off project, this is why we have special projects. When we tell our clubs that they can’t use student fees to raise money for charities or outside clubs, we are kind of contradicting ourselves, I don’t know how far we want to go this. We could
develop some kind of policy or procedure, that would be good.

For the last 2 years we spent 30000$ to raise 10000$ for colour me educated. It’s definitely something we should look at it, a high priority.

Ramdev: For me from the engineering faculty, I feel that the students have the right to decide where the fee goes.

Savoy: are you talking about the event specifically?

Ramdev : yes

Domanski: We are moving in the right direction with this, but in the future we should have a policy or procedure to decide what percentage of the budget is going into it. I do believe it something that is new and innovative and it something we should try this year.

The age group targeted is something we can talk about. We should not be targeting grade 12 as they have decided. To be honest coming from a local hs, it is appealing to go elsewhere, so having the program local might not be effective.

Grade 9 when they are deciding applied

Noble: Do you want to do consulting at the GM, we can do consultation at other places, through our marketing department, street interviews, societies, speaking to elected individuals, and you guys going out to students.

Go to school and talk about the nature of the conference. It might be going to low income students.

Patel: This event isn’t too far from take your politician to school day. It is very different from the charity thing. Should we spend 30000 to raise 10000.

Motion :
**Can I ask that you bring forward what the program will entail and the costs before you run the program**

Moved by:
McGinnis, Ramdev
No Objections
Motion passes unanimously
Russell: Motion to suspend the rules and return to item 7f
Going back to item 7f

**BIRT Melad Kamran and Muneeb Mohammed be ratified as CRT for service coordinators**

We don’t need to suspend rules since there are blanks there.
Moved by:
Russell, Seconded Ramdev

Savoy: Why are we doing this now
Russell: I couldn’t find it last night

Passes Unanimously

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CASA Deliverables Framework Update</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Presentation from Adam Garcia:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Savoy: In January what are we going to be voting on?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Garcia: Membership to CASA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Savoy: Did we already vote on this in January?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Garcia: It was recommended that we have another vote on it</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fed Hall/New Building</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Whereas the deadline of August 31st 2012 to come to an agreement Federation Hall has passed</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Noble:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>We have a principle in writing.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The board has been kept consistently in the loop.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>We have an agreement on many things some of which I’ll share with you now, including $20000 for fed hall per term. That will facilitated by IFC. Regular events guaranteed for FEDS. Discount once per term on concerts.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>For the long term aspect University will continue to run fed hall as a student focussed venue that is safe, affordable and accessible for students.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>It is going through the secretariat and our lawyer.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>We have some things figured out, we want clarity on compensation. It’s just a matter of wrapping things up</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ramdev: can you specify the 20000$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Noble: The university will give 20000$ from their pocket to FEDS to help run events. They have stated this publicly many times. They are willing to have tons of events. This is to ensure that it happens.

Ramdev: 20000$ will go to events happening in Fed Hall.

Patel: The FEDS subsidy fund.

Noble: We’ve had it in the past, we already have the process for it, why would the university make their own committee. This is just FEDS keeping them accountable.

Domanski: What takes priority if students want to book out. What happens if two groups want to book FED Hall and if one is external what happens for example for Sci Ball in November.

Russell: We have reserved 15 dates on Thursday, Friday and Saturday. As long as they are booked for student events the University can’t book them.

Domanski: Will the 20,000$ be spread out evenly between the faculties. What happens with the money left over

Russell: It is for societies and clubs, it is determined for IFC how much goes to each event. It will be split fairly evenly, but we’ll also take into account the recurring nature of some of the events as has been done in the past. Provide more help for events that need a start-up push instead of well-established events. The university is also not just giving us 20 000$ they aren't just transferring it to feds, we have 20,000$ to discount groups and we tell foods services how much to discount.

Savoy: How does it compare to the old subsidy?

Russell: It is same

Savoy: what is the administration BOG debating?

Noble: We are looking at the legalese and we are looking at compensation in the long term, it needs to be very clear. I don’t have the expertise in the legal department.

Savoy: By compensation you don’t mean the 20000$.
Noble: Yes to take over a 2 million dollar building.

Sean Hunt: Student council may or may not want to reconsider their stance on the New Student Building.

**Motion to rescind the last BIFRT Fed Hall motion from July 8th.**

July 8th motion was:
BIFRT if an agreement has not been reached between the University and the Federation with respect to the above, by August 31st, that the Federation is opposed to the construction or creation of a new student building or the holding of a referendum over the construction of a new student building.

Moved by:
McGinnis, Seconded by Zaptse.

Domanski: Can you explain why you want to do this?

McGinnis: the compensation that Noble has spoken to, does adequately meet what we are looking for. It’s all gotten to the legal side of things. The legal part should not be rushed, for the new building. 
What is public is good, what is finalized legally is also good, so we should be happy.

Josh Shabtai: While we are talking about not rushing decisions. We may not want to rush the decision to rescind this message until it is actually signed.
Pratik Patel: Why don’t we just push the date.
Ramdev: They have written things down.
Noble: It’s been agreed to verbally, we will put it to the lawyer and the secretariat.
Ramdev: Josh’s point is valid then.

Noble: I would not want everything reconsidered; I like the last BIFRT or the second last BIFRT statement.

Noble: point of information is it possible to just reconsider the last point
Speaker: We’ll reconsider and amend and then approve it.

in favour 8
opposed 2
Abstentions: Collins, Ramdev, Fisher
Motion to amend the date from August 31st to October 14th.
Moved by:
McGinnis, Romualdo

Ramdev: What time do you think the legality will take approximately?

Noble: I’ve been too optimistic in the past, but I have a meeting on Wednesday with our lawyer. In discussion with Chris, the university will not want a building without the FEDS support. Which is disappointing as the university spoke in favour of this. It’s an awkward stage.

Collins: My point of frustration, is that the point of the motion was to give the university a deadline. Because they failed to meet it, we have to take the actions we promised. This issue does not have grey areas, my constituents want this to be wrapped up. I am in favour of leaving this motion as it is, for the betterments of my constituents, we have to be thinking long term. We made a promise we must keep it.

Savoy: I say we either strike it or leave it.
McGinnis: I’d prefer to just strike the last motion.
Ramdev: Once we take a stance we should be solid on it. We shouldn’t look soft to the university.
Fisher: Although we do want to take a stance and say no. There is a risk that Chris Read will say that we will no longer support the building. The university has time and money, they can wait for a new exec to come around. For us to get the building through now. We shouldn’t be taking this risk to show that we are not soft, it’s not a risk we should take.

Noble: Here is what I think, we should strike down this amendment, since there are many people against it. Then we can make a motion to strike out the last BIFRT statement.

Pratik: We need the university, they don’t need us. The university doesn’t care about us. Chris wants to pretty much take Fed Hall off the table. We should get rid of this now. What we discuss with Chris Read is all we’ll get. We need to get something back for Fed Hall.

Sean Hunt: The relationship is adversarial. One of the biggest problems is adversarial. We should be working together. If
FEDS were to take a hard line stance we're not going to budge an inch this tends to hurt relationship. As long as negotiations are continuing then it is fine. For discussion if they stop then FEDS can take this stance

Domanski: What are the other opinions of execs?

Patel: Agree with what Sean Hunt says, I've been hearing it for the past 16 months. Wrapping up legal does take time.

Garcia: I disagree with Sean about FEDS taking a hard stance. We never wanted Fed Hall to be taken away. At what point did we take a hard stance. The university just determined they wanted it. Everything since that point has been done in such good faith and coming into the situation has been so frustrating, we expected more respect from the university for the students that go here. For once we said have it done by a certain date and they didn’t. The university treats us badly I’m so sick of it

Patel: What Sean said, we’ve been doing, we’ve been playing very nicely.

Pratik Patel: Articles in Imprint, have been wrong. University Staff thought what was in imprint was true. We do need what the university is giving us now, because we won’t get any more now.

Romualdo: If we stop, we don’t get anything, we lose FED hall and the new building.
Patel: If we stop what?
Romualdo: If we leave this in, do we stop everything.
Russell: We stop negotiations on the new building, not that we are stopping talking about FED Hall.
McGinnis: If we stop negotiations on the new building, we lose the new building. If we lose the new building, what do we have. To me it looks like we lose everything.

Domanski: You said that Chris Read has made it obvious that this new student building will stop. Shouldn’t all of council and students know about this. You said he made it obvious.

Noble: A conversation I had with him, if we don’t go forward then. Then things will not go forward without the student union. They don’t want to go forwards without the student union. That discussion came up, honestly. That’s just conversation between the two of us.

Garcia: Does it sound like the University doesn’t want to move forward on the new building, it sounds like a power play Who
isn’t acting in good faith there? The university doesn’t want to work with students for a building that students want.

Fisher: If we say no, we don’t want this. Why should we pursue this if FEDS is against this, the students will be against this. The university can wait, we can’t wait.

Sean Hunt: Is Chris is implying if the FEDS stop negotiating, would it continue or would it die. If feds came back would the university come back to the table?

Noble: Chris Read wouldn’t phrase it like that. I would think so from my discussion with Chris Read.

Patel: I’ve interacted with the administrators for a while now, it’s one of Feridun’s top priorities. The university wants this building more than we do. They want this building ASAP

Collins: In presenting this to constituents, they are going to ask what’s going to happen. We have already had space taken away, there is a precedent of having things taken away. It’s happened before. We can’t just roll over all the time.

**In favour 0**  
**Opposed 12**  
**Abstentions : Maciel, Ramdev, Mcginnis**

Motion to strike the 4th point

**BIFRT if an agreement has not been reached between the University and the Federation with respect to the above, by August 31st, that the Federation is opposed to the construction or creation of a new student building or the holding of a referendum over the construction of a new student building.**

Moved by:  
McGinnis, Fisher

McGinnis: We continue to have the option, if we continue to build up the new building. By the time we have to make a decision we can make the decision of whether or not to have a referendum. This gives us until the deadline for approving the referendum. The date to approve a referendum can’t be changed. It’s a hard deadline in
a practical sense. We can choose not to run the referendum. They know it’s a very hard deadline. We’ll have better leverage if we put our best effort into the new building, and if they don’t present a good solution on fed hall or the new building, it’s a bigger hurt to them from a media perspective if we stop then.

Savoy: I speak in favour

Motion to call the question
Patel, Chen

In favour 14
Opposed 3
Abstentions 0

Motion to Strike
BIFRT if an agreement has not been reached between the University and the Federation with respect to the above, by August 31st, that the Federation is opposed to the construction or creation of a new student building or the holding of a referendum over the construction of a new student building.

In favour 12
Opposed 4
Abstentions 1 Ramdev

Garcia: What is the deadline for the referendum question?

Speaker: The referendum question has to be brought 6 days before opening of the polls.

McGinnis: The imprint publication deadline that will get it published 6 days before opening of the polls.

Speaker: If it was council doing it, it would need to be in the January meeting, if it was the Board of Directors it would need to be in a meeting 6 days before, so probably the end of January and if it was a petition from 10% of students it would need to be done it would need to be exactly 6 days before imprint publication.

Garcia: Where we had a deadline of August 31st, and we still do
not have compensation for FED hall, we are going to keep on putting resources, time and money and volunteer efforts. We are now going to work on a building with a deadline of January. We might not have compensation from FED hall until January. If we have it then we’ll run a referendum, if we don’t have then we won’t have a referendum. By then we’ll have to have started running campaigns and working with the university. So why did you want the August 31st deadline, because now you want January.

McGinnis: In addition of going to referendum, this gives the students the chance to determine if they gave a suitable return for Fed hall and an opportunity to have more power to make the decision, and see if this is what they wanted.

Amendment: As determined by Student council
Moved by Garcia, Seconded Ramdev

WHEREAS the University of Waterloo, hereafter “the University”, assumed control of Federation Hall, hereafter “Fed Hall”, on May 1st 2012.

WHEREAS the Federation of Students, hereafter “the Federation”, has hired a contract lawyer costing $18,100 and has spent countless hours working towards a mutual agreement with the University regarding Fed Hall.

WHEREAS the University, on June 14th 2011, committed to the following: “a continued partnership on student space with the Federation of Student and respects the Federation’s request for further dialogue and negotiation on the plans for Federation Hall. The dialogue would address a range of topics from the priority and funding of student events to building occupancy plans, compensation for student investment as covered in the Fed Hall agreement, and future space concerns of the Federation.”

WHEREAS the University has not agreed in writing to a new agreement between the University and the Federation regarding Fed Hall compensation and student event access.

BIRT Students believe the University's unilateral decision to terminate the Federation Hall lease was a violation of the rights of students to the space for which they paid.

BIFRT Students believe the University's continued failure to arrive at an agreement with the Federation of Students to compensate for the loss of Federation Hall is an unacceptable treatment of undergraduate students.

BIFRT Students do not agree with the construction of a new student building, or the holding of a referendum over the construction of a new
student building, unless it has secured proper compensation for the loss of Federation Hall as determined by student council.

Garcia: I am concerned that Board will determine what appropriate compensation is. This will make it public.

Collins: I support this motion

Amendment Passes Unanimously

WHEREAS the University of Waterloo, hereafter “the University”, assumed control of Federation Hall, hereafter “Fed Hall”, on May 1st 2012.

WHEREAS the Federation of Students, hereafter “the Federation”, has hired a contract lawyer costing $18,100 and has spent countless hours working towards a mutual agreement with the University regarding Fed Hall.

WHEREAS the University, on June 14th 2011, committed to the following: “a continued partnership on student space with the Federation of Student and respects the Federation’s request for further dialogue and negotiation on the plans for Federation Hall. The dialogue would address a range of topics from the priority and funding of student events to building occupancy plans, compensation for student investment as covered in the Fed Hall agreement, and future space concerns of the Federation.”

WHEREAS the University has not agreed in writing to a new agreement between the University and the Federation regarding Fed Hall compensation and student event access.

BIRT Students believe the University’s unilateral decision to terminate the Federation Hall lease was a violation of the rights of students to the space for which they paid.

BIFRT Students believe the University's continued failure to arrive at an agreement with the Federation of Students to compensate for the loss of Federation Hall is an unacceptable treatment of undergraduate students.

BIFRT Students do not agree with the construction of a new student building, or the holding of a referendum over the construction of a new student building, unless it has secured proper compensation for the loss of Federation Hall as determined by student council.
Motion Passes Unanimously

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>General Announcements</th>
<th>Information</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Russell: The University diversity committee needs two at large members, the position is advertised on the website. Club and societies day is on Thursday.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ramdev: What happened to the team building activity?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Noble: Feds Leadership On Weekend(FLOW). All of council proper would be invited. We were thinking of this coming weekend, but the timeline was too short. So we were thinking of the weekend leading up to the next council meeting.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patel: There is a handbook contest. You doodle on your handbook and you submit it online. You can win a 400$ gift card to Conestoga mall. Bar night on Saturday Nights was just piloted, last Saturday was a soft launch. It will be open on Saturday nights with live music. We are looking at making the bombshelter pub an official Oktoberfest hall. Around November we will be releasing loose leaf tea at campus bubble. If you like tea or know people who like tea, we'll be looking for testers.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ramdev: Will Saturday night have the kitchen open?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patel: It will be a very limited menu, but the kitchen is open.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flannigan: We will have the investiture from noon till two. On Sunday the 23rd. It would be nice if our executive could attend.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patel: Council gear is here.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Adjournment**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Motion to Adjourn</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Noble, Seconded by Chen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motion carries unanimously</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>