

READ ME FIRST – How to Use the Rubric

- Assign a grade to the four sections of the Leverage and/or Scale EOI (impact, leverage, collaborate, external partners) using the criteria outlined. Each section is linked back to the public information available on the GF Website
- Please include notes to justify your scores. These will be shared in a summary chart for other reviewers in advance of the Feb 17 SC meeting.
- Please include comments for the applicant; these will be collated with other reviewer comments, discussed at the Feb 17 SC meeting and refined before being shared with the Deans Council and then the applicant, whether they are successful or not.
- Assign a grade out of five for the top three activities. There is no criterion here, since each application may differ greatly. Please use your expertise and consider the potential value of the activities.
- Grades and comments should then be submitted via your reviewer Excel sheet (found in the shared Teams drive)

Administrative Information:

1. Lead applicant and affiliation

2. Co-lead applicant (where applicable)

3. Initiative name
4. Initiative type (research, education, service)

5. Funding proposed

6. Duration proposed

7. Top 3 key activities for funding and duration proposed

Criterion	Does not meet the criterion	Meets criterion	Exceeds criterion	Outstanding on the criterion	Notes to justify scores	Comments to help the applicant with improving their EOI or for the full proposal, where applicable
Score:	0	1	2	3		
<b>Impact</b> on one or more Future	Impact is incidental/marginal or is based too narrowly around pure research without any apparent translational impact.	The initiative is clearly situated within one or more Global Futures, with an articulated pathway to impact.	The initiative presents a well-defined and compelling contribution to one or more Global Futures, supported by planned activities that have a very strong pathway to impact.	The initiative demonstrates transformational potential for advancing one or more Global Futures, with impact already demonstrated or credibly underway.		

Criterion	Does not meet the criterion	Meets criterion	Exceeds criterion	Outstanding on the criterion	Notes to justify scores	Comments to help the applicant with improving their EOI or for the full proposal, where applicable
Score:	0	1	2	3		
Leverages <b>existing and emerging strengths</b>	The activity proposed is not based on any existing or emerging strength area at UWaterloo.	The initiative draws on identified institutional strengths, with a plausible connection between those strengths and the intended outcomes.	The initiative meaningfully advances existing or emerging strengths, with well-designed linkages and attainable results.	The initiative significantly amplifies institutional strengths in an integrated manner, producing outcomes that are greater than the sum of individual contributions and positioning Waterloo for excellence.		
<b>Collaborative activities</b> across disciplines and units at UWaterloo	Activity is confined to a single unit with no collaboration or coordination scoped with others on our campus(es).	The initiative involves at least one other pertinent unit outside the ‘home’ unit, with defined roles and coordinated or collaborative activities.	The initiative engages multiple relevant units through a clear and feasible model of coordination and collaboration.	The initiative is positioned for university-wide relevance through significant collaboration and coordination already confirmed with strategic unit(s) and others actively engaged or ready for institutional-level programming/outputs		

Engagement of <a href="#">external partners</a> and financial sustainability	No concrete or clearly defined plan for external engagement that can support sustainability beyond proposed funding.	The initiative outlines a fair degree of external engagement activities or options that have the potential to support the activity beyond the Global Future Fund.	The initiative outlines a thorough external engagement plan that has very strong potential to support the activity beyond the Global Future Fund.	A robust growth plan is in place or shared that provides significant assurance for sustainability through an anchor partner(s)/source that underwrites financial support. Through external engagement underway or planned, there exists a very high potential to attract more support at various levels. A revenue stream(s) is in place or designed with a high degree of confidence for successful implementation.		
Strength of the top 3 activities  Please assign a grade 0 to 5 (5 = strongest) reflecting your assessment of the strength and feasibility of the top three activities.						