The effect of mixing on the molecular weight and size distribution in emulsion polymerization

Shideh Fathi Roudsari, Farhad Ein-Mozaffari, Ramdhane Dhib*

Department of Chemical Engineering, Ryerson University, M5B 2K3 Toronto, Canada

Introduction

Mixing and heat transfer have significant influence on the colloidal stability of latex particles during polymerization. Mixing, which results in bulk movement of the fluid, plays a significant role in maintaining the homogeneity of the reaction mass in emulsion polymerization. Good mixing is necessary to prevent local concentrations of added surfactants and water soluble monomers. Maintaining homogeneity is especially important in large-size reactors. Mixing is also essential to enhance the rate of the mass transfer in to the reaction medium. The shear due to agitation is another important factor that can strongly influence coagulum formation in high-solid concentration emulsions. In fact, shear can accelerate coagulation through the development of fluid velocity gradients, which can increase collision forces between particles as well as the frequency of particle collisions inside the reactor. Therefore, mixing parameter has a major effect on the particle size and molecular weight distributions of the polymerization. In particular, for MMA batch polymerization either macro or mini emulsion, the rate of monomer conversion decreases with an increase in particle size of latex.

^{*} To whom correspondence should be addressed. Email: <u>rdhib@ryerson.ca</u>; Tel: (416) 979-5000 Ext. 63641; Fax: (416) 979-5083

The main objective of this study is to investigate the effects of the impeller speed, baffles, and the temperature on the conversion, molecular weight and size distribution of the polymer. Factorial experimental design approach is used to analyze the effects of the process variables.

Experimental

Polymerization was carried out in a stainless steel tank reactor equipped with a six-bladed pitched 45° turbine- type impeller with a width of half the vessel diameter, a thermocouple, a port for nitrogen purge, an inlet for feeding ingredients and sampling and the mixer power was 1/4 hp. Methyl methacrylate (MMA), Azobisisobutyronitrile (AIBN), and Potassium per sulfate (KPS) were employed as monomer, initiator, and surfactant (emulsifier), respectively. Deionized water (DDI) was used as an inert medium. The impeller speed and temperature were kept constant and the operating conditions range is given in Table 1. The reactor was initially charged with water and surfactant. The reactor then was heated to the required temperature. Gradually, initiator and monomer were poured in to the reactor. The conversion was measured by gravimetric method.

For particle size measurement, a 2 ml sample of latex was diluted with DDI water; the diluted samples were therefore used for particle size distribution measurements. Gas permeation chromatography (GPC) was used to determine molecular weight distribution of polymer. Figure 1 shows a detailed diagram of the experimental set up.

Currently the experimentation is being performed and the results will be discussed at the IPR symposium.

Table 1: Operating Conditions Range



Figure 1: Schematic Diagram of the Polymer Reactor System

References

Chern, C. S. Emulsion polymerization mechanisms and kinetics. *Progress in Polymer Science*, 31, 443-486, 2006.

Choi, Y., Lee, W. Effects of agitation in emulsion polymerization of vinyl acetate, ethylene, and N-methylol acrylamide. *Journal of Industrial and Engineering Chemistry*, *16*, 431-436, **2010**.

Kemmere, M. F., Meuldijk, J., Drinkemburg, A. A. H., German, A. L. Heat transfer in batch emulsion polymerization, *Polymer Reaction Engineering*, 8 (3), 271-297, **2000**.

Oldshue, J. Y., Mechler, D. O., Grinnell, D. W. Fluid mixing variables in suspension and emulsion polymerization. *Chemical Engineering Progress*, 78 (5), 68-74, **1982**.

Penlidis, A. Latex production technology - reactor design considerations. *A Ph.D. Thesis*, McMaster University, **1986**.

Sajjadi, S. Nano particle formation by monomer-starved semibatch emulsion polymerization. *Lanmuir, 23*, 1018-1024, **2007**.

X



- Production of latex paints, rubbers, coatings and adhesives.
- Emulsification of monomer in water & polymerization.





Emul Exper	lsion Polymeri imental Previous	zation Studies	Introduction Iderature Review Research Objectives Experimental Study Realls Fature Work Concluding Remarks
Parameter	Researcher	System	Description
Mixing	Choi and Lee (2010)	VAC, ET & NMA Impeller: Rushton Turbine Semibatch	Coagulation increased with impeller speed.
	Dobie and Boodhoo (2010)	MMA and MA Impeller: 3-blade marine propeller Batch	Intensive mixing resulted in aggregation of the smaller particles.
	Ozdeger et al. (1998)	Styrene and n-butyl acrylate Impellers: A310 fluidfoil & Rushton	At high solids (50%), Conversion with Rushton impeller was higher. Bimodality was more significant for the A310 Fluidfoil impeller.
Particle Size	Fontenot and Schork (1993);	MMA, Batch	Cosurfactant (HD) increased particle numbers. The miniemulsion particles had higher polymerization compared to mircoemulsion.
	Yu et al. (1995)	MMA Batch	Polymerization rate was faster and particle size was smaller when decreasing the ratio of the water/monomer or increasing the temperature of polymerization or the amount of the emulsifier.
Temperature	Okaya et al. (2004)	MMA, PVA colloid Batch	Lower temperature than cloud point of PVA, resulted in coagulation.
	Dimitratos et al., (1989)	copolymerization of vinyl-acetate-n- butyl acrylate Semibatch	Process disturbances and measurement errors were investigated.
	Tanaka, (1997)	MMA CSTR	PID control of Temperature was investigated.
Feed rate	Penlidis (1986); Lin et al. (1982)	VAC , Batch Vinyl Chloride, Batch	Emulsifier feed rate control and monomer to water ratio control were studied.
			5

Emulsion Polymerization Lack of Information	Literature Review Research Objectives Experimental Study Results Future Work Concluding Remarks

Effects of process parameters (mixing, temperature, and , ...) on particle nucleation , growth, agglomeration and breakage are not clear.







Unit: met	er (m)	Diameter	Length	Radial Distance ¹	
Vesse!		0.1016	0.2667	-	
Shaft		0.016	0.2476	0	
U shape co	oling coil	ID :0.00312 OD :0.00633	0.254	0.0314	
Inlet		ID: 0.0031 OD: 0.0063	0.254	0.0395	
Outlet		ID: 0.0031 OD: 0.0063	0.2476	0.0395	
Thermoco	uple jacket	0.0127	0.2413	0.0364	
6-Bladed4 impeller	5° pitched	0.0508	0.02448 ID: 0.0234	0	
Blade		0.0024	0.014 ^s 0.0133	-	

omponents	& Paramete	ers			Introduction Literature Review Research Objective Experimental Stud Results Future Work Concluding Remar
Component	Chemical Formula	Molecular Weight (g/mole)	Density (kg/m³)	Viscosity (Pa. s)	Solubility (g/100 ml water)
Monomer: Methyl Methacrylate (MM	C3H4O2	100.12	895	0.00037	1.5 (25° C)
Initiator: Sodium dodecyl sulfate	$C_8H_{12}N_4$	164.21	1100	0.000278	
Inert medium: Water	H ₂ O	18.01	1000	0.001	-
Surfactant: KPS	K2S2O8	270.322	2477	-	5.29 (20 ° C)
	Parameter Temperature	Range 50-70°C			
	Impeller Speed Water to Monomer Ratio	50-250 rpm 4			1



















Fu

RYERSON UNIVERSITY

Concluding Remarks		Literature Review Research Objectives Experimental Study Results Concluding Remarks Future Work
	-	Future work
 Raising the rate of stirring resulted in h 	igher Mw and conv	version at

- Increasing the impeller speed resulted in lower PI.
- Raising the reactor temperature enhanced Mw and conversion.
- Increasing the reactor temperature produced lower PSD.



Future Works

Experimental





- □ Supervisors: Dr. Dhib and Dr. Ein Mozaffari
- □ NSERC and Ryerson University for Financial Support
- □ Xerox Research Centre of Canada

<u>Thanks For Your Attention</u> 24