



Objective: To construct amphiphilic arborescent copolymers from L-glutamic acid derivatives and a variety of hydrophilic components to make biocompatible, stable polymeric micelles for controlled drug delivery applications. Maintaining a narrow structure-property correlations and for biomedical applications.

HO $n-C_6H_{13}NH_2$ DMF / 0 °C n-C₆H₁₃−N[≮] **(A)** + DIC/HOBt ΝH₂ DIC = 1,3 Diisopropylcarbodiimide HOBt = 1-hydroxybenzotriazole Hydrophilic Segment (Micelle Shell) Poly(glutamic acid) Poly(glycidol) N-carboxyanhydride carbodiimide/HOBt (standard peptide coupling chemistry) scheme) Deprotect 2) DIC/HOBt NH₂ **G1R-Micelle** 1) Functionalization 1) Functionalization Same grafting method as for arborescent PBG 2) Grafting 2) Grafting Shell characteristics varied based on hydrophilic components ~~~* Linear G0 **G1** 1) TFA 2) DIC/HOBt \sim NH₂ 1) *Random* Functionalization 2) Random Grafting of G1-PBG Core G1E-Micelle Hydrophilic Segments * ~~ G1-Micelle Last PBG grafting cycle: Side chains G1-Core

molecular weight distribution (MWD) throughout the synthesis is desirable to allow Biocompatibility is a prerequisite for biomedical applications. Systems used for that purpose such as block copolymer micelles incorporate biocompatible components in some cases, but are often unstable in highly dilute environments (e.g. blood stream), where their concentration drops below their critical micelle concentration (cmc). Amphiphilic arborescent copolymers have the advantage of acting like unimolecular micelles, the stability of the structure being independent of concentration. Furthermore, the size range of arborescent unimolecular micelles is comparable to block copolymer micelles. The amphiphilic arborescent copolymers currently available incorporate a polystyrene core surrounded by a shell of poly(ethylene oxide), poly(2-vinylpyridine), or poly(methacrylic acid). These systems are useful to demonstrate the concept of encapsulation, but not sufficiently biocompatible for biomedical applications. To solve this problem, amphiphilic arborescent copolymers are now generated from γ -benzyl \lfloor -glutamate and different hydrophilic segments such as poly(L-glutamic acid) (PGA), polyglycidol, poly(ethylene oxide) (PEO), or poly(2hydroxyethyl acrylate) (PHEA). • Linear polymer produced by ring opening polymerization of γ -benzyl L-glutamic acid Grafting substrate obtained by partial deprotection and activation with **Branched structure** [Poly(γ -benzyl L-glutamate), **PBG**] obtained from *grafting onto* Process repeated for G1, G2, and so on... **Core Synthesis**





ARBORESCENT POLMERS BASED ON AMINO ACIDS

Greg Whitton, Mario Gauthier

Institute for Polymer Research, Department of Chemistry, University of Waterloo, Waterloo, Ontario N2L 3G1



Arborescent PBG (Micelle Core)



Functionalization of chain-ends only provides a sharp core-shell interface



Table 1. Characteristics of Arborescent PBG of **Successive Generations**

Sample #	M _n Side chains	MALL	MALLS		Branching
		M_n	M _w /M _n	Yield (%)	Functionality
G0	5,700	66,000	1.04	43	10
G1	4,300	235,000	1.05	63	43
G2	4,300	1,100,000	1.06	30	201
G3	4,300	3,300,000	1.07	35	512

Table 2. Hydrodynamic Diameter of Arborescent PBG

	DI	ИF ^а	DMSO ^a		
	1 st order	2 nd order	1 st order	2 nd order	
G1	10.7	8.4	15.7	14.1	
G2	13.1	12.1	21.3	20.1	
G3	24.5	23.5	34.5	32.5	

^a 0.05% LiCl added to suppress aggregation

Table 3. Characteristics of PBG Randomly Grafted Micelles						
	MALLS		Grafting	Wt %	Hydrodynamic Diameter	
	M _n	M _w /M _n	Yield (%)	PBG	1 st order	2 nd order
					THF (nm)	
G1R35-GlyAc8	606,000	1.10	17*	39	29.2	25.6
G2R34-GlyAc8	1,780,000	1.11	44	62	26.8	25.0
G1R35-GlyAc25	1,800,000	1.08	48	13	47.1	45.3
G2R26-GlyAc25	3,740,000	1.07	29	29	70.0	66.6
					DMF (nm)	
G1R35-PEO5	1,000,000	1.07	33	18	22.5	13.3
G2R26-PEO5	3,470,000	1.05	43	28	46.3	36.8
G3R34-PEO5	3,810,000	1.04	24	75		
					PBS Buffer (nm)	
G1R38-PGA1.2		1.17	22	38	217	208
G2R26-PGA1.2		1.22	32	46	120	98
G3R34-PGA1.2		1.17	18	39		
^a 100% excess of side	chains used					

Table 4. 0

Characteristics of PBG End Grafted Micelles							
MALLS		Grafting	Wt %	Hydrodynamic Diameter (nm)			
		[–] Yield (%)	PBG		/		
n	$M_{\rm w}/M_{\rm n}$			1 st order	2 nd order		
				THF			
000	1.08	44	39	22.9	18.8		
,000	1.04	46	49	42.3	39.6		
				PBS			
	-	40	59	47	43		

M

G1E9-GlyAc8 G3E11-GlyAc8

609,0 6,800,

G2E10-PGA2









