waterioo Probing the Long Range Chain Dynamics of a Polypetide in Aqueous **Solution**

Hummara Aslam, Jean Duhamel

Institute for Polymer Research, Department of Chemistry, University of Waterloo, 200 University Avenue west, Waterloo, ON N2L3G1, Canada

ABSTRACT

Analytical tools capable of describing the dynamics of a polymer chain in solution provide important information on the folding dynamics of complex macromolecules such as proteins. Over the years, several studies have demonstrated the numerous experimental advantages of fluorescence for probing long range polymer chain dynamics (LRPCD), Poly(L-lysine) (PLL), which can exist as a randomcoil, α -helix, or β -sheet, was chosen to study the LRPCD of polypeptides in aqueous solution. For such studies, a water-soluble luminophore and guencher are needed. Ideally, both luminophore and quencher should be randomly attached to a polymer to apply the fluorescence blob model (FBM) to study the chain dynamics. This study illustrates how ruthenium (II) bisbypridine 5-amino-1,10phenanthroline chloride (RuNH₂) can be used to probe the dynamics of a model polypeptide. The amine of RuNH, can be chemically modified into an isothiocyanate to enable its covalent attachment onto PLL. The synthesis, characterization, and modification of RuNH₂ will be presented. 3,5dinitrophenyl isocyanate is used as a quencher. The polypeptide randomly labeled with quencher and luminophore will be studied by time-resolved fluorescence. Analysis of the fluorescence decays with the FBM will provide information on the dynamics of a polypeptide chain in aqueous solution.

INTRODUCTION

Long range polymer chain dynamics (LRPCD) can typically be probed by attaching a luminophore (D) and guencher (O) at the ends of a polyme chain and measuring the rate constant k_{cv} of their encounter by end-to-end cyclization (EEC).1

Figure 1: Illustration of a EEC process

Long polymer chains can be randomly labeled with the luminophores and quenchers to bring them close together. However, the data analysis of the rate constants of encounters between luminophores and quenchers becomes complicated. This complication can be overcome by using a fluorescence blob model (FBM).²

The FBM arbitrarily divides the coil of a randomly labeled polymer chain into blobs. where a blob is the volume of the polymer coil being probed by the excited chromophore during its lifetime.²

N_{blob} = number of monomer units per blob = rate constant for diffusional encounter between luminophore (D) and quencher

(0)Figure 2: Schematic representation of the Blob Model approach.

PURPOSE

The goals of this project include:

- 1) Synthesis, characterization, and modification of a water-soluble ruthenium luminophore (RuNH₂)
- 2) Labeling of PLL with the synthesized luminophore and its appropriate water-soluble quencher. 3) Acquisition of time-resolved fluorescence decays of the labeled PLL under different pH
- conditions in aqueous solution
- 4) Analysis of the fluorescence decays using FBM to gain information about the LRPCD of a polypeptide as PLL undergoes different conformational changes.

The water-soluble luminophore and guencher chosen for this project are, ruthenium bisbipyridine 5-aminophenanthroline chloride (RuNH2) and 3,5-dinitrophenyl isocyanate, respectively



Figure 3: Structures of the A) luminophore, B) guencher, and C) polypeptide used in the project



Figure 4: Structure of the labeled PLL

RESULTS

PLL is labeled with

the luminophore

and quencher by

using the procedure

al.3 The structure of

the labeled PLL is

shown in Figure 4.

described by Ryan et

To date, RuNH, has been successfully synthesized, characterized, and converted to RuNCS. RuNH, has been synthesized according to the method described by Ellis et al.,4 and modified by Quinn.5 The structure of the product was confirmed by both ¹H NMR and MS.



Figure 5: ¹H NMR (A) and mass spectrum (B) of RuNH₂

RUNH, was converted to RUNCS by using the procedure of Ryan et al.³ The structure of the product was confirmed by both ¹H NMR and MS.



Figure 6: ¹H NMR (A) and mass spectrum (B) of RuNCS

UV-visible absorption and emission spectra of RuNH₂ in 0.1 M Na₂CO₃ aqueous solution at pH 9.6 were acquired. The absorption peak at 454 nm and emission neak at 610 nm match well the reported values.3



Figure 7: Absorption (A) and emission (B) spectra of RuNH, in 0.1 M Na₂CO₂ solution The procedure described by Ryan et al.³ was used to label PLL with RUNCS and is described in Scheme 1.



Scheme 1: Labeling of PLL with RuNCS

sĒ



Figure 8 shows the absorption and emission spectra of the labeled PLL in 0.1 M Na₂CO₃ aqueous solution at pH 9.6. Both absorption and emission spectra of the labeled PLL resemble closely those of RuNH₂

spectra of labeled PLL in 0.1 M Na2CO3

degassed RuNH₂ solution in 0.1 M Na₂CO₃ at pH 9.6 was obtained by time-resolved fluorescence as shown in Figure 9A. The decay was slightly biexponential and an average lifetime of 667 ns was obtained which compares well with the reported value of 639 ns.³ Four exponential decays were needed to fit the decay of the labeled PLL shown in Figure

solution

9B. The decay of ruthenium labeled PLL (RuPLL) had an average lifetime of 297 ns.

The shorter $\langle \tau \rangle$ might be the result of the high luminophore content of PLL which was found to equal 14 mol%. The effect that the luminophore content of RuPLL has on fluorescence decay of RuPLL will be investigated in the future.

B) labeled PLL in 0.1 M Na,CO, solution

FUTURE WORK

Next, RuPLL will be randomly labeled with different amounts of 3,5-dinitrophenyl isocyanate which is expected to act as a quencher of RuNCS based on an earlier study.⁵ Luminophore and guencher content of the labeled PLL will then be determined and time-resolved fluorescence decays will be acquired using the SPC technique. These decays will be fitted with the fluorescence blob model to get the parameters N_{blob} and k_{blob} that provide useful information about LRPCD.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors thank NSERC for generous funding.

REFRENCES

- 1. Winnik, M. A. Acc. Chem. Res. 1985, 18, 73-79.
- 2. Mathew, A. K.; Siu, H.; Duhamel, J. Macromolecules, 1999, 32, 7100-7108. 3. Ryan, E.; O'Kennedy, R.; Feeney, M.M.; Kelly, J.M.; Vos, J.G. Bioconjugate Chem.
- 1992. 3. 285-290.
- 4. Ellis, C.D.; Margerum, L.D.; Murray, R.W.; Meyer, T.J. Inorg. Chem. 1983, 22, 1283-1291
- 5. Quinn, C. Master's Thesis, University of Waterloo, 2007.

shown in Figure 7.



