Introduction

- Most polymerization reactors exhibit highly nonlinear dynamics and can benefit from process control strategies that account for these features.
- Chemical processes usually operate at or close to constraints (e.g. product quality, environmental) and it is important that the controller is aware of them.
- Nonlinear model predictive control (NMPC) is an advanced control algorithm that *explicitly* considers nonlinear dynamics and plant constraints in its formulation.
- The goal of this work was to develop and demonstrate (simulation) an NMPC formulation for the control of temperature profile and polymer quality (molecular weight) in a high-pressure LDPE autoclave reactor.

Process Modeling

- Industrial LDPE autoclaves are usually long vessels with multiple initiator, monomer feed points along the reactor length.
- The reactor is usually assumed to be adiabatic because the thick reactor walls required to withstand high operating pressures more-or-less prevents heat transfer/loss.
- In this study, the LDPE autoclave is modeled as an adiabatic reactor with three well mixed zones.
- The reactor is divided such that a single pair of initiator, monomer feed streams enters each zone.
- Backmixing between adjacent zones is included to model imperfect mixing.
- Online measurements of temperature profile and molecular weight (i.e. controlled variables) are assumed available.
- Control inputs are the initiator (heating effect), monomer (cooling effect) feed rates.

Model Development

• Material, energy, and population balances were developed for all three reactor zones yielding a system of 21 ODEs with state vector $x = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{I} & \mathbf{M} & \mathbf{M_t} & \mathbf{T} & \mu_0 & \mu_1 & \mu_2 \end{bmatrix}^T$

where, for example

$$\mathbf{T} = \begin{bmatrix} T_1 & T_2 & T_3 \end{bmatrix}$$

• The controlled outputs (z_k) , measurements (y_k) , and control inputs (u_k) used here are:

$$z_k = y_k = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{T} & \overline{M}_w \end{bmatrix}^T$$
 $u_k = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{q_f} & \mathbf{I_f} \end{bmatrix}^T$

where,

$$\overline{M}_{w} = M_0 \left(\mu_{2_3} / \mu_{1_3} \right)$$







Unscented Kalman Filter based Nonlinear MPC of an Autoclave LDPE Reactor

Noel C. Jacob and Ramdhane Dhib Department of Chemical Engineering, Ryerson University

$$\int_{j} R \Delta u_{t,j}$$

Simulation Results

- using the feasible-path GRG code CONOPT.
- form to improve conditioning of the controller NLPs.
- control horizon M = 4 was found to give acceptable results.

Response to Polymer Grade Change



Figure: Comparison of temperature profile (left) and weight-averaged molecular weight (right) responses to a polymer grade change for NMPC (red) and linear MPC (blue) controllers. Note: No setpoint change to the temperature profile.

Response with Plant-Model Mismatch



Figure: Comparison of temperature profile (left) and weight-averaged molecular weight (right) responses to a sudden unmeasured +7 °C rise in feed temperature for the nominal (red) and plant-model mismatch (blue) cases. The mismatch used here was a +5% increase to some rate constants in the 'internal' nonlinear model.

Conclusions

- (results not shown here).
- presence of reasonable plant-model mismatch.

The finite-horizon optimal control problem was discretized using orthogonal collocation on finite elements (OCFE), and the resulting NLP was solved

RYERSON

UNIVERSITY

Simulations to test the controller performance were performed in Matlab. The states, inputs, and outputs were transformed to a 'scaled-deviation'

• The control interval chosen was 1 min long. Prediction horizon P = 6 and

• NMPC was shown to be superior to linear MPC in polymer grade change situations, though the difference is more subtle for regulatory control

• The results also show that the NMPC controller performs well even in the