





Probing the Side Chain Conformations of PyPEGMA Polymeric Brushes in Solution

JANINE THOMA

PROF. JEAN DUHAMEL

Outline

- Background
 - Polymers with Complex Architecture
 - Brush Polymers
- Fluorescence
 - Pyrene
 - Steady-State and Time Resolved Fluorescence
- Results
- Conclusions
- Future Work

Background

Polymers with complex architecture can be separated into 4 categories. These topologies include:

- Star
- Hyperbranched
- Brush
- Networks/ Gels





A polymeric bottle brush (PBB) is a highly branched macromolecule with a high degree of polymerization and high grafting density.

- Currently PBBs are not synthesized commercially, however, there are a few promising applications.
 - Synthesis of super soft elastomers¹
- Drug delivery systems²

Daniel, W. F. M.; Burdynska, J.; Vatankhah-Varnoosfaderani, M.; Matyjaszewski, K.; Paturej, J.; Rubinstein, M.; Dobrynin, A.V.; Sheiko, S. S. Solvent-Free, Supersoft and Superelastic Bottlebrush Melts and Networks. *Nat. Mater.* 2015, *15*, 183-189.
Johnson, J. A.; Lu, Y. Y.; Burts, A. O.; Xia, Y.; Durrell, A. C.; Tirrell, D. A; Grubbs, R. H. Drug-Loaded, Bivalent-Bottle-Brush Polymers by Graft-through ROMP. *Macromolecules* 2010, *43*, 10326–10335.

Synthesis of PBBs can be done using three different approaches

Grafting through

- Involves the synthesis of macromonomers
- Pro:100% side chain attachment, high grafting density
- Con: May be hard to obtain a high degree of polymerization



Grafting from

- Involves the synthesis of a macroinitiator from which the side chains can be grown from
- Pro: Large degree of polymerization possible
- Con: Side chain length can vary







Grafting to

- Involves the synthesis of a polymer with side chains that can be coupled to another polymer
- Pro: Polymer backbone and side chains can be synthesized separately and with a large degree of polymerization
- Con: Requires a coupling reaction, can result in low and uneven grafting. Challenging if the polymers being coupled are bulky.





Brush Polymers in 3D Versus 2D



 Fouz, M. F.; Mukumoto, K.; Averick, S.; Molinar, O.; McCartney, B. M.; Matyjaszewski, K. Armitage, B. A.; Das, S. R. Bright fluorescent nanotags from bottlebrush polymers with DNA-tipped bristles. *ACS cent. sci.* 2015, *1*, 431-438.
Nese, A.; Li, Y.; Averick, S.; Kwak, Y.; Konkolewicz, D.; Sheiko, S. S.; Matyjaszewski, K. Synthesis of Amphiphilic Poly(N-vinylpyrrolidone)-b-poly(vinyl acetate) Molecular Bottlebrushes. *ACS Macro Lett.* 2012, *1*, 227-231.

Fluorescence

Fluorescence requires that a chromophore be covalently attached to the macromolecule being probed.



Pyrene was chosen because of its interesting characteristics:

- High molar extinction coefficient
- High quantum yield
- Excimer formation *

Fluorescence – Excimer Formation

$$M + h\nu \longrightarrow M^* + M \xrightarrow{\langle k \rangle} (MM)^*$$
$$\int_{\tau_{M}^{-1}} \tau_{E^{-1}}$$

- M = Ground state pyrene monomer
- (MM)*= Pyrene excimer
- <*k*> = average rate constant of excimer formation

Steady-State (SS) Fluorescence



SS fluorescence measures the intensity of the monomer and excimer emission.

The monomer emission produces several fluorescence peaks between 375 nm and 410 nm.

Excimer emission produces a broad band which is centered around 480 nm.

Time Resolved (TR) Fluorescence



Monomer and excimer decays acquired at 344 nm.

Fluorescence of monomer monitored as a function of time at 375 nm. Immediate decay of the monomer is seen.

Fluorescence of excimer monitored as a function of time at 510 nm. Rise time is seen because of the time required for an

excited pyrene to encounter a ground state pyrene.

 $\langle k \rangle = k_{diff} [Py]_{loc}$

 $\langle k \rangle = k_{diff} [Py]_{loc}$ $V_{cylinder} = \pi R^2 N \Delta h$ $V_{cylinder} / monomer = \pi R^2 \Delta h$ $[Py]_{loc} = \frac{1}{\pi R^2 \Delta h}$ R $\langle k \rangle = k_{diff} [Py]_{loc} \propto \frac{1}{R^2}$ $\Delta \mathbf{h}$



Outline

- Background
 - Polymers with Complex Architecture
 - Brush Polymers
- Fluorescence
 - Pyrene
 - Steady-State and Time Resolved Fluorescence
- Results
- Conclusions
- Future Work

Results



Monomers



Monomers



Monomer	Lifetime in THF (ns)	Contribution to decay
Py-EG ₃ -MA	280	0.98
Py-EG ₅ -MA	280	0.96
Py-EG ₈ -MA	280	0.97
Py-EG ₁₂ -MA	280	0.96

Polymers



Polymers – poly(PyEG₃MA)



Polymers- poly(PyEG₃MA)



Polymers- poly(PyEG₃MA)



Polymers- GPC poly(PyEG₅MA) P2



Polymers

Polymer	M _n (kg/mol)	Degree of Polymerization	PDI	<k> (ns⁻¹)</k>
Poly(PyEG ₃ MA)	80	186	1.5	1.05
Poly(PyEG ₅ MA)	61	117	1.5	0.68
Poly(PyEG ₅ MA)	397	463	1.5	0.66
Poly(PyEG ₈ MA)	51	77	1.9	0.46
Poly(PyEG ₁₂ MA)				0.35









Conclusions

• The side chains of a brush polymer which contain 3, 5, 8, and 12 ethylene glycol units will adopt a random coil conformation in THF.

Future Work

• Characterize my Poly(PyEG₁₂MA) polymer using GPC.

• Use a 400 g/mol and 1000 g/mol PEG polymer as my side chain. Then compare <k> to the values obtained for my PEGMA polymers with monodispersed side chains.

• Investigate effect of solvent polarity on α .





I would like to thank:

Jean Duhamel Mario Gauthier Xiaosong Wang Michael Tam Everyone in the Duhamel and Gauthier labs

