

# CHARACTERIZING THE NUMBER OF COLOURED $m$ -ARY PARTITIONS MODULO $m$ , WITH AND WITHOUT GAPS

I. P. GOULDEN AND PAVEL SHULDINER

ABSTRACT. In a pair of recent papers, Andrews, Fraenkel and Sellers provide a complete characterization for the number of  $m$ -ary partitions modulo  $m$ , with and without gaps. In this paper we extend these results to the case of coloured  $m$ -ary partitions, with and without gaps. Our method of proof is different, giving explicit expansions for the generating functions modulo  $m$ .

## 1. INTRODUCTION

An  $m$ -ary partition is an integer partition in which each part is a nonnegative integer power of a fixed integer  $m \geq 2$ . An  $m$ -ary partition *without gaps* is an  $m$ -ary partition in which  $m^j$  must occur as a part whenever  $m^{j+1}$  occurs as a part, for every nonnegative integer  $j$ .

Recently, Andrews, Fraenkel and Sellers [AFS15] found an explicit expression that characterizes the number of  $m$ -ary partitions of a nonnegative integer  $n$  modulo  $m$ ; remarkably, this expression depended only on the coefficients in the base  $m$  representation of  $n$ . Subsequently Andrews, Fraenkel and Sellers [AFS16] followed this up with a similar result for the number of  $m$ -ary partitions without gaps, of a nonnegative integer  $n$  modulo  $m$ ; again, they were able to obtain a (more complicated) explicit expression, and again this expression depended only on the coefficients in the base  $m$  representation of  $n$ . See also Edgar [E16] and Ekhad and Zeilberger [EZ15] for more on these results.

The study of congruences for integer partition numbers has a long history, starting with the work of Ramanujan (see, e.g., [R19]). For the special case of  $m$ -ary partitions, a number of authors have studied congruence properties, including Churchhouse [C69] for  $m = 2$ , Rødseth [R70] for  $m$  a prime, and Andrews [A71] for arbitrary positive integers  $m \geq 2$ . The numbers of  $m$ -ary partitions without gaps had been previously considered by Bessenrodt, Olsson and Sellers [BOS13] for  $m = 2$ .

In this note, we consider  $m$ -ary partitions, with and without gaps, in which the parts are *coloured*. To specify the number of colours for parts of each size, we let  $\mathbf{k} = (k_0, k_1, \dots)$  for positive integers  $k_0, k_1, \dots$ , and say that an  $m$ -ary partition is  $\mathbf{k}$ -coloured when there are  $k_j$  colours for the part  $m^j$ , for  $j \geq 0$ . This means that there are  $k_j$  different kinds of parts of the same size  $m^j$ . Let  $b_m^{(\mathbf{k})}(n)$  denote the number of  $\mathbf{k}$ -coloured  $m$ -ary partitions of  $n$ , and let  $c_m^{(\mathbf{k})}(n)$  denote the number of  $\mathbf{k}$ -coloured  $m$ -ary partitions of  $n$  without gaps. For the latter, some part  $m^j$  of any colour must occur as a part whenever some part  $m^{j+1}$  of any colour (not necessarily the same colour) occurs as a part, for every nonnegative integer  $j$ .

We extend the results of Andrews, Fraenkel and Sellers in [AFS15] and [AFS16] to the case of  $\mathbf{k}$ -coloured  $m$ -ary partitions, where  $m$  is relatively prime to  $(k_0 - 1)!$  and to  $k_j!$  for  $j \geq 1$ . Our method of proof is different, giving explicit expansions for the generating functions modulo  $m$ . These expansions depend on the following simple result.

**Proposition 1.1.** *For positive integers  $m, a$  with  $m$  relatively prime to  $(a - 1)!$ , we have*

$$(1 - q)^{-a} \equiv (1 - q^m)^{-1} \sum_{\ell=0}^{m-1} \binom{a-1+\ell}{a-1} q^\ell \pmod{m}.$$

---

*Date:* January 26, 2017.

*2010 Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 05A17, 11P83; Secondary 05A15.

*Key words and phrases.* partition, congruence, generating function.

The work of IPG was supported by an NSERC Discovery Grant.

*Proof.* From the binomial theorem we have

$$(1-q)^{-a} = \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \binom{a-1+\ell}{a-1} q^{\ell}.$$

Now using the falling factorial notation  $(a-1+\ell)_{a-1} = (a-1+\ell)(a-2+\ell)\cdots(1+\ell)$  we have

$$\binom{a-1+\ell}{a-1} = ((a-1)!)^{-1} (a-1+\ell)_{a-1}.$$

But

$$(a-1+\ell+m)_{a-1} \equiv (a-1+\ell)_{a-1} \pmod{m},$$

for any integer  $\ell$ , and  $((a-1)!)^{-1}$  exists in  $\mathbb{Z}_m$  since  $m$  is relatively prime to  $(a-1)!$ , which gives

$$(1) \quad \binom{a-1+\ell+m}{a-1} \equiv \binom{a-1+\ell}{a-1} \pmod{m},$$

and the result follows.  $\square$

## 2. COLOURED $m$ -ARY PARTITIONS

In this section we consider the following generating function for the numbers  $b_m^{(\mathbf{k})}(n)$  of  $\mathbf{k}$ -coloured  $m$ -ary partitions:

$$B_m^{(\mathbf{k})}(q) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_m^{(\mathbf{k})}(n) q^n = \prod_{j=0}^{\infty} (1 - q^{m^j})^{-k_j}.$$

The following result gives an explicit expansion for  $B_m^{(\mathbf{k})}(q)$  modulo  $m$ .

**Theorem 2.1.** *If  $m$  is relatively prime to  $(k_0 - 1)!$  and to  $k_j!$  for  $j \geq 1$ , then we have*

$$B_m^{(\mathbf{k})}(q) \equiv \left( \sum_{\ell_0=0}^{m-1} \binom{k_0-1+\ell_0}{k_0-1} q^{\ell_0} \right) \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{\ell_j=0}^{m-1} \binom{k_j+\ell_j}{k_j} q^{\ell_j m^j} \right) \pmod{m}.$$

*Proof.* Consider the finite product

$$P_i = \prod_{j=0}^i (1 - q^{m^j})^{-k_j}, \quad i \geq 0.$$

We prove that

$$(2) \quad P_i \equiv \left( \sum_{\ell_0=0}^{m-1} \binom{k_0-1+\ell_0}{k_0-1} q^{\ell_0} \right) (1 - q^{m^{i+1}})^{-1} \prod_{j=1}^i \left( \sum_{\ell_j=0}^{m-1} \binom{k_j+\ell_j}{k_j} q^{\ell_j m^j} \right) \pmod{m},$$

by induction on  $i$ . As a base case, the result for  $i = 0$  follows immediately from Proposition 1.1 with  $a = k_0$ . Now assume that (2) holds for some choice of  $i \geq 0$ , and we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} P_{i+1} &= \prod_{j=0}^{i+1} (1 - q^{m^j})^{-k_j} = (1 - q^{m^{i+1}})^{-k_{i+1}} P_i \\ &\equiv \left( \sum_{\ell_0=0}^{m-1} \binom{k_0-1+\ell_0}{k_0-1} q^{\ell_0} \right) (1 - q^{m^{i+1}})^{-k_{i+1}-1} \prod_{j=1}^i \left( \sum_{\ell_j=0}^{m-1} \binom{k_j+\ell_j}{k_j} q^{\ell_j m^j} \right) \pmod{m} \\ &\equiv \left( \sum_{\ell_0=0}^{m-1} \binom{k_0-1+\ell_0}{k_0-1} q^{\ell_0} \right) (1 - q^{m^{i+2}})^{-1} \prod_{j=1}^{i+1} \left( \sum_{\ell_j=0}^{m-1} \binom{k_j+\ell_j}{k_j} q^{\ell_j m^j} \right) \pmod{m}, \end{aligned}$$

where the second last equivalence follows from the induction hypothesis, and the last equivalence follows from Proposition 1.1 with  $a = k_{i+1} + 1$ ,  $q = q^{m^{i+1}}$ .

This completes the proof of (2) by induction on  $i$ , and the result follows immediately since

$$B_m^{(\mathbf{k})}(q) = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} P_i.$$

□

Now we give the explicit expression for the coefficients modulo  $m$  that follows from the above expansion of the generating function  $B_m^{(\mathbf{k})}(q)$ .

**Corollary 2.2.** *For  $n \geq 0$ , suppose that the base  $m$  representation of  $n$  is given by*

$$n = d_0 + d_1 m + \dots + d_t m^t, \quad 0 \leq t.$$

*If  $m$  is relatively prime to  $(k_0 - 1)!$  and to  $k_j!$  for  $j \geq 1$ , then we have*

$$b_m^{(\mathbf{k})}(n) \equiv \binom{k_0 - 1 + d_0}{k_0 - 1} \prod_{j=1}^t \binom{k_j + d_j}{k_j} \pmod{m}.$$

*Proof.* In the expansion of the series  $B_m^{(\mathbf{k})}(q)$  given in Theorem 2.1, the monomial  $q^n$  arises uniquely with the specializations  $\ell_j = d_j$ ,  $j = 0, \dots, t$  and  $\ell_j = 0$ ,  $j \geq t$ . But with these specializations, we have  $\binom{k_j + \ell_j}{k_j} = \binom{k_j}{k_j} = 1$ , and the result follows immediately. □

Specializing the expression given in Corollary 2.2 to the case  $k_j = 1$  for  $j \geq 0$  provides an alternative proof to Andrews, Fraenkel and Sellers' characterization of  $m$ -ary partitions modulo  $m$ , which was given as Theorem 1 of [AFS15].

### 3. COLOURED $m$ -ARY PARTITIONS WITHOUT GAPS

In this section we consider the following generating function for the numbers  $c_m^{(\mathbf{k})}(n)$  of  $\mathbf{k}$ -coloured  $m$ -ary partitions without gaps:

$$C_m^{(\mathbf{k})}(q) = 1 + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_m^{(\mathbf{k})}(n) q^n = 1 + \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \prod_{j=0}^i \left( (1 - q^{m^j})^{-k_j} - 1 \right).$$

The following result gives an explicit expansion for  $C_m^{(\mathbf{k})}(q)$  modulo  $m$ .

**Theorem 3.1.** *If  $m$  is relatively prime to  $(k_0 - 1)!$  and to  $k_j!$  for  $j \geq 1$ , then we have*

$$C_m^{(\mathbf{k})}(q) \equiv 1 + \left( \sum_{\ell_0=1}^m \binom{k_0 - 1 + \ell_0}{k_0 - 1} q^{\ell_0} \right) \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} (1 - q^{m^{i+1}})^{-1} \prod_{j=1}^i \left( \sum_{\ell_j=0}^{m-1} \left\{ \binom{k_j + \ell_j}{k_j} - 1 \right\} q^{\ell_j m^j} \right) \pmod{m}.$$

*Proof.* Consider the finite product

$$R_i = \prod_{j=0}^i \left( (1 - q^{m^j})^{-k_j} - 1 \right), \quad i \geq 0.$$

We prove that

$$(3) \quad R_i \equiv \left( \sum_{\ell_0=1}^m \binom{k_0 - 1 + \ell_0}{k_0 - 1} q^{\ell_0} \right) (1 - q^{m^{i+1}})^{-1} \prod_{j=1}^i \left( \sum_{\ell_j=0}^{m-1} \left\{ \binom{k_j + \ell_j}{k_j} - 1 \right\} q^{\ell_j m^j} \right) \pmod{m},$$

by induction on  $i$ . As a base case, the result for  $i = 0$  follows immediately from Proposition 1.1 with  $a = k_0$ . Now assume that (3) holds for some choice of  $i \geq 0$ , and we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
R_{i+1} &= \prod_{j=0}^{i+1} \left( (1 - q^{m^j})^{-k_j} - 1 \right) = \left( (1 - q^{m^{i+1}})^{-k_{i+1}} - 1 \right) R_i \\
&\equiv \left( \sum_{\ell_0=1}^m \binom{k_0 - 1 + \ell_0}{k_0 - 1} q^{\ell_0} \right) \left\{ (1 - q^{m^{i+1}})^{-k_{i+1}-1} - (1 - q^{m^{i+1}})^{-1} \right\} \\
&\quad \times \prod_{j=1}^i \left( \sum_{\ell_j=0}^{m-1} \left\{ \binom{k_j + \ell_j}{k_j} - 1 \right\} q^{\ell_j m^j} \right) \pmod{m} \\
&\equiv \left( \sum_{\ell_0=1}^m \binom{k_0 - 1 + \ell_0}{k_0 - 1} q^{\ell_0} \right) (1 - q^{m^{i+2}})^{-1} \prod_{j=1}^{i+1} \left( \sum_{\ell_j=0}^{m-1} \left\{ \binom{k_j + \ell_j}{k_j} - 1 \right\} q^{\ell_j m^j} \right) \pmod{m},
\end{aligned}$$

where the second last equivalence follows from the induction hypothesis, and the last equivalence follows from Proposition 1.1 with  $a = k_{i+1} + 1$ ,  $q = q^{m^{i+1}}$  and  $a = 1$ ,  $q = q^{m^{i+1}}$ .

This completes the proof of (3) by induction on  $i$ , and the result follows immediately since

$$C_m^{(\mathbf{k})}(q) = 1 + \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} R_i.$$

□

**Corollary 3.2.** For  $n \geq 1$ , suppose that  $n$  is divisible by  $m$ , with base  $m$  representation given by

$$n = d_s m^s + \dots + d_t m^t, \quad 1 \leq s \leq t,$$

where  $1 \leq d_s \leq m - 1$ , and  $0 \leq d_{s+1}, \dots, d_t \leq m - 1$ . If  $m$  is relatively prime to  $(k_0 - 1)!$  and to  $k_j!$  for  $j \geq 1$ , then for  $0 \leq d_0 \leq m - 1$  we have

$$c_m^{(\mathbf{k})}(n - d_0) \equiv \binom{k_0 - 1 - d_0}{k_0 - 1} \left( \varepsilon_s + (-1)^{s-1} \left\{ \binom{k_s + d_s - 1}{k_s} - 1 \right\} \sum_{i=s}^t \prod_{j=s+1}^i \left\{ \binom{k_j + d_j}{k_j} - 1 \right\} \right) \pmod{m},$$

where  $\varepsilon_s = 0$  if  $s$  is even, and  $\varepsilon_s = 1$  if  $s$  is odd.

*Proof.* First note that we have

$$n - d_0 = m - d_0 + (m - 1)m^1 + \dots + (m - 1)m^{s-1} + (d_s - 1)m^s + d_{s+1}m^{s+1} + \dots + d_t m^t.$$

Now consider the following specializations:  $\ell_0 = m - d_0$ ,  $\ell_j = m - 1$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, s - 1$ ,  $\ell_s = d_s - 1$ ,  $\ell_j = d_j$ ,  $j = s + 1, \dots, t$ , and  $\ell_j = 0$ ,  $j > t$ . Then, in the expansion of the series  $C_m^{(\mathbf{k})}(q)$  given in Theorem 3.1, the monomial  $q^n$  arises once for each  $i \geq 0$ , in particular with the above specializations truncated to  $\ell_0, \dots, \ell_i$ . But with these specializations we have

- for  $j = 0$ :

$$\binom{k_j - 1 + \ell_j}{k_j - 1} - 1 = \binom{k_0 - 1 + m - d_0}{k_0 - 1} - 1 = \binom{k_0 - 1 - d_0}{k_0 - 1}, \quad \text{from (1),}$$

- for  $j = 1, \dots, s - 1$ :

$$\binom{k_j + \ell_j}{k_j} - 1 = \binom{k_j - 1}{k_j} - 1 = 0 - 1 = -1,$$

and

$$\sum_{i=0}^{s-1} \prod_{j=1}^i \left\{ \binom{k_j + \ell_j}{k_j} - 1 \right\} = \sum_{i=0}^{s-1} (-1)^i = \varepsilon_s,$$

- for  $j = s$ :

$$\binom{k_j + \ell_j}{k_j} - 1 = \binom{k_s + d_s - 1}{k_s} - 1,$$

- for  $j = s + 1, \dots, t$ :

$$\binom{k_j + \ell_j}{k_j} - 1 = \binom{k_j + d_j}{k_j} - 1,$$

- for  $j > t$ :

$$\binom{k_j + \ell_j}{k_j} - 1 = \binom{k_j}{k_j} - 1 = 1 - 1 = 0.$$

The result follows straightforwardly from Theorem 3.1. □

Specializing the expression given in Corollary 3.2 to the case  $k_j = 1$  for  $j \geq 0$  provides an alternative proof to Andrews, Fraenkel and Sellers' characterization of  $m$ -ary partitions modulo  $m$  without gaps, which was given as Theorem 2.1 of [AFS16].

#### REFERENCES

- A71. G. E. Andrews, *Congruence properties of the  $m$ -ary partition function*, J. Number Theory **3** (1971), 104–110.
- AFS15. George E. Andrews, Aviezri S. Fraenkel, James A. Sellers, *Characterizing the Number of  $m$ -ary Partitions Modulo  $m$* , American Mathematical Monthly **122** (2015), 880–885.
- AFS16. George E. Andrews, Aviezri S. Fraenkel, James A. Sellers,  *$m$ -ary partitions with no gaps: A characterization modulo  $m$* , Discrete Mathematics **339** (2016), 283–287.
- BOS13. C. Bessenrodt, J. B. Olsson, J. A. Sellers, *Unique path partitions: characterization and congruences*, Annals Comb. **17** (2013), 591–602.
- C69. R. F. Churchhouse, *Congruence properties of the binary partition function*, Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **66** (1969), 371–376.
- E16. Tom Edgar, *The distribution of the number of parts of  $m$ -ary partitions modulo  $m$* , Rocky Mountain J. Math. (to appear), arXiv 1603.00085 math.CO
- EZ15. Shalosh B. Ekhad and Doron Zeilberger, *Computerizing the Andrews-Fraenkel-Sellers Proofs on the Number of  $m$ -ary partitions mod  $m$  (and doing MUCH more!)*, arXiv 1511.06791 math.CO
- R19. S. Ramanujan, *Some properties of  $p(n)$ , the number of partitions of  $n$* , Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **19** (1919), 207–210.
- R70. Ø. Rødseth, *Some arithmetical properties of  $m$ -ary partitions*, Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **68** (1970), 447–453.

DEPT. OF COMBINATORICS AND OPTIMIZATION, UNIVERSITY OF WATERLOO, CANADA  
*E-mail address:* `ipgoulde@uwaterloo.ca`, `pavel.shuldiner@gmail.com`