



Immanants, Schur Functions, and the Macmahon Master Theorem

Author(s): I. P. Goulden and D. M. Jackson

Source: *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, Vol. 115, No. 3 (Jul., 1992), pp. 605-612

Published by: [American Mathematical Society](#)

Stable URL: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2159206>

Accessed: 10/07/2013 08:28

Your use of the JSTOR archive indicates your acceptance of the Terms & Conditions of Use, available at <http://www.jstor.org/page/info/about/policies/terms.jsp>

JSTOR is a not-for-profit service that helps scholars, researchers, and students discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content in a trusted digital archive. We use information technology and tools to increase productivity and facilitate new forms of scholarship. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.



American Mathematical Society is collaborating with JSTOR to digitize, preserve and extend access to *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*.

<http://www.jstor.org>

IMMANANTS, SCHUR FUNCTIONS, AND THE MACMAHON MASTER THEOREM

I. P. GOULDEN AND D. M. JACKSON

(Communicated by Jeffrey N. Kahn)

ABSTRACT. The relationship between the immanant and the Schur symmetric function is examined. Two expressions for the immanant are given in terms of the determinant. Generalisations include Foata and Zeilberger's β -extension of the MacMahon Master theorem. The relationships to some little known results of Littlewood and to idempotents constructed by Young are given.

1. INTRODUCTION

For the symmetric group \mathfrak{S}_n on n symbols, let $\chi^\lambda(\sigma)$ denote the value, at σ , of the character χ^λ of the irreducible representation associated with the conjugacy class indexed by the partition λ of n . The λ th *immanant* of the $n \times n$ matrix \mathbf{A} , with (i, j) -element $a_{i, j}$, is defined by

$$\text{Imm}_\lambda \mathbf{A} = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n} \chi^\lambda(\sigma) \prod_{i=1}^n a_{i, \sigma(i)}.$$

For the purposes of this paper, $a_{1, 1}, a_{1, 2}, \dots, a_{n, n}$ are commutative indeterminates. $\text{Imm}_{[1^n]} \mathbf{A} = \det \mathbf{A}$ and $\text{Imm}_{[n]} \mathbf{A} = \text{per } \mathbf{A}$, so $\text{Imm}_\lambda \mathbf{A}$ is a multilinear function that interpolates between the determinant and the permanent. Some of the combinatorial properties of immanants were considered in [3].

The purpose of this paper is to examine the relationship between the Schur function and the immanant. Section 1 gives the necessary background on the ring of symmetric functions. In §2, we give a result expressing the immanant of \mathbf{A} as the coefficient of $z_1 \cdots z_n$ in the determinant of a designated matrix. It is shown that this gives, in §3, a generalisation of the MacMahon Master theorem [9]. We also show that the coefficient of $z_1^{k_1} \cdots z_n^{k_n}$ in the same determinant is expressible as the immanant of a matrix readily constructible from \mathbf{A} . A further extension, in which cycles are marked, yields a generalisation of Foata and Zeilberger's [1] β -extension of the MacMahon Master theorem. The connexion of these results with those of Littlewood [6, 7] is given in §4 and with the Young idempotents is given in §5.

Received by the editors September 27, 1990 and, in revised form, December 20, 1990.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification* (1985 Revision). Primary 15A15; Secondary 05A15.

This work was supported by the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada grants A-8235 and A-8907.

©1992 American Mathematical Society
0002-9939/92 \$1.00 + \$.25 per page

The reader is referred to [8] for further details on symmetric functions and to [2] for further information about Young’s idempotents.

The following notation is needed. If λ is a partition of n , then we write $\lambda \vdash n$. The number of parts of λ is denoted by $l(\lambda)$. We also write $\lambda = [1^{i_1} 2^{i_2} \dots n^{i_n}]$, where λ has i_j parts equal to j , for $j = 1, \dots, n$. The conjugate of the partition $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots)$ is denoted by $\tilde{\lambda}$. The cycle-type of $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ is $\tau(\sigma) = (i_1, i_2, \dots)$, where σ has i_j cycles of length j . Since χ^λ is a class function, χ_μ^λ denotes $\chi^\lambda(\sigma)$ where $\tau(\sigma) = \mu$. Let $\delta_n = (n-1, n-2, \dots, 1, 0)$.

Let R be a commutative ring, and let $\mathbf{z} = (z_1, \dots, z_n)$, $\mathbf{1}_n = (1, \dots, 1)$, $\mathbf{0}_n = (0, \dots, 0)$, with n components. Also let $\mathbf{k} = (k_1, \dots, k_n)$, a vector of nonnegative integers. When no confusion arises, $\mathbf{1}_n$ and $\mathbf{0}_n$ are replaced by $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{0}$. Then $\mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{k}}$ denotes $z_1^{k_1} \dots z_n^{k_n}$ and $[\mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{k}}]f$ denotes the coefficient of $\mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{k}}$ in $f \in R[[\mathbf{z}]]$. Let $\mathbf{k}! = k_1! \dots k_n!$ and $a_\lambda = a_{\lambda_1} \alpha_{\lambda_2} \dots$ for any sequence $\{a_i : i \geq 0\}$. The block matrix obtained from \mathbf{A} by replacing each element $a_{i,j}$ with the $k_i \times k_j$ matrix consisting entirely of $a_{i,j}$ ’s is denoted by $\mathbf{A}^{(\mathbf{k})}$ and is called the \mathbf{k} -replication of \mathbf{A} . Let $\mathbf{Z}^{(\mathbf{k})} = \text{diag}(z_{1,1}, \dots, z_{1,k_1}, \dots, z_{n,1}, \dots, z_{n,k_n})$ and $\mathbf{Z} = \text{diag}(\mathbf{z}) = \mathbf{Z}^{(\mathbf{1})}$. For $\beta \subseteq \mathcal{N}_n = \{1, \dots, n\}$, let $\mathbf{A}[\beta]$ be the submatrix of \mathbf{A} with row and column labels in β . If the elements $m_{i,j} \in R[[\mathbf{z}]]$ of an $n \times n$ matrix \mathbf{M} have no constant terms, then a result of Jacobi, adapted to this ring, states that

$$(1) \quad \text{trace log}(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{M})^{-1} = \text{log det}(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{M})^{-1}.$$

We review some results from the theory of symmetric functions. Let $\Lambda_R(\mathbf{y}) = R[[y_1, y_2, \dots]]^\mathfrak{S}$ denote the ring of symmetric functions in y_1, y_2, \dots , with coefficient ring R where $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, y_2, \dots)$. Where convenient, the name of this ring is abbreviated to Λ . It is graded by degree, so $\Lambda = \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} \Lambda^{(i)}$, where $\Lambda^{(i)}$ is the set of all symmetric functions of degree i in \mathbf{y} . The elementary, complete, monomial, power sum and Schur symmetric functions are denoted by $e_\lambda(\mathbf{y})$, $h_\lambda(\mathbf{y})$, $m_\lambda(\mathbf{y})$, $p_\lambda(\mathbf{y})$, and $s_\lambda(\mathbf{y})$, respectively. Note that $\sum_{i \geq 0} h_i t^i = \prod_{j \geq 1} (1 - t y_j)^{-1} = \{\sum_{i \geq 0} e_i(-t)^i\}^{-1}$. The Schur functions are given in terms of the complete symmetric functions by the Jacobi–Trudi identity

$$(2) \quad s_\lambda(\mathbf{y}) = \text{det}[h_{\lambda_i - i + j}(\mathbf{y})]_{m \times m},$$

where $m = l(\lambda)$. Cauchy’s theorem states that

$$(3) \quad \sum_{\nu} s_\nu(u_1, \dots) s_\nu(v_1, \dots) = \prod_{i,j \geq 1} (1 - u_i v_j)^{-1},$$

where the sum is over all partitions.

Let $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ be an inner product defined on Λ_R by

$$(4) \quad \langle h_\lambda, m_\mu \rangle = \delta_{\lambda, \mu},$$

where $\lambda, \mu \vdash n$, and it follows that

$$(5) \quad \langle s_\lambda, p_\mu \rangle = \chi_\mu^\lambda \quad \text{and} \quad \langle h_\lambda, s_\mu \rangle = K_{\lambda, \mu},$$

where $K_{\lambda, \mu}$ are the Kostka numbers. The Schur functions are orthonormal with respect to this inner product. Let ω be the ring homomorphism defined by $\omega: \Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda: e_k \mapsto h_k$ extended linearly to Λ . Then $\omega(s_\lambda) = s_{\tilde{\lambda}}$ so ω is

an isometry, and, from (2), $s_\lambda(\mathbf{y}) = \det[e_{\lambda_i - i + j}(\mathbf{y})]_{\lambda_1 \times \lambda_1}$. More generally, if a_0, a_1, \dots and b_0, b_1, \dots are sequences related by

$$(6) \quad \sum_{k \geq 0} a_k t^k = \left\{ \sum_{k \geq 0} b_k (-t)^k \right\}^{-1},$$

then $\det[a_{\lambda_i - i + j}]_{m \times m} = \det[b_{\lambda_i - i + j}]_{\lambda_1 \times \lambda_1}$. When $\lambda = [p^q] \vdash n$, this is a result due to Hadamard [4] concerning Hankel determinants.

2. THE IMMANANT AS A DETERMINANT

We begin by exploiting (5) in the enumeration of permutations to obtain the following result, which gives expressions for an arbitrary immanant.

Theorem 2.1. *Let $\sum_k \Delta_k t^k = \det(\mathbf{I} - t\mathbf{Z}\mathbf{A})^{-1} = \{\sum_k D_k(-t)^k\}^{-1}$. Then*

- (1) $\text{Imm}_\lambda \mathbf{A} = [\mathbf{z}^1] \det[\Delta_{\lambda_i - i + j}]_{m \times m}$,
- (2) $\text{Imm}_\lambda \mathbf{A} = [\mathbf{z}^1] \det[D_{\lambda_i - i + j}]_{\lambda_1 \times \lambda_1}$.

Proof. (1) We work in $\Lambda_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbf{y})$, where \mathbb{R} is an appropriately chosen coefficient ring whose choice will be clear from the context, so no further comment will be made about its selection. From (5),

$$\text{Imm}_\lambda \mathbf{A} = \left\langle s_\lambda(\mathbf{y}), \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n} p_{\tau(\sigma)}(\mathbf{y}) \prod_{i=1}^n a_{i, \sigma(i)} \right\rangle.$$

Now $\sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n} p_{\tau(\sigma)}(\mathbf{y}) \prod_{i=1}^n a_{i, \sigma(i)}$ is the (ordinary) generating function for permutations in \mathfrak{S}_n with respect to cycle-type in which $a_{i, j}$ marks the occurrence of $i \mapsto j$ and $p_k(\mathbf{y})$ marks the occurrence of a cycle of length k . This is possible since the $p_k(\mathbf{y})$ are algebraically independent. Then the generating function for all cycles containing $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i\} \subseteq \mathcal{N}_n$ is

$$[z_{\alpha_1} \cdots z_{\alpha_i}] \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{1}{k} p_k(\mathbf{y}) \text{trace}(\mathbf{Z}\mathbf{A})^k.$$

Now a permutation is uniquely expressible as a product of disjoint (and therefore commuting) cycles, so it can be viewed as an unordered collection of cycles, the disjoint union of whose elements is \mathcal{N}_n . Thus

$$\sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n} p_{\tau(\sigma)}(\mathbf{y}) \prod_{i=1}^n a_{i, \sigma(i)} = [\mathbf{z}^1] \exp \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{1}{k} p_k(\mathbf{y}) \text{trace}((\mathbf{Z}\mathbf{A})^k),$$

so

$$(7) \quad \text{Imm}_\lambda \mathbf{A} = [\mathbf{z}^1] \left\langle s_\lambda(\mathbf{y}), \exp \sum_{i \geq 1} \text{trace} \log(\mathbf{I} - y_i \mathbf{Z}\mathbf{A})^{-1} \right\rangle$$

$$(8) \quad = [\mathbf{z}^1] \left\langle s_\lambda(\mathbf{y}), \prod_{i \geq 1} \det(\mathbf{I} - y_i \mathbf{Z}\mathbf{A})^{-1} \right\rangle,$$

by (1); but $\prod_{i \geq 1} \det(\mathbf{I} - y_i \mathbf{Z}\mathbf{A})^{-1} = \sum_\rho \Delta_\rho m_\rho(\mathbf{y})$, where the sum is over all partitions. Substituting this and (2) into the expression for the immanant and

then applying (4) and the bilinearity of the inner product gives

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Imm}_\lambda \mathbf{A} &= [\mathbf{z}^1] \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_m} \text{sgn}(\sigma) \sum_{\rho} \Delta_{\rho} \langle h_{\lambda - \delta_m + \sigma(\delta_m)}(\mathbf{y}), m_{\rho}(\mathbf{y}) \rangle \\ &= [\mathbf{z}^1] \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_m} \text{sgn}(\sigma) \Delta_{\lambda - \delta_m + \sigma(\delta_m)} = [\mathbf{z}^1] \det[\Delta_{\lambda_i - i + j}]_{m \times m}. \end{aligned}$$

(2) Direct from (1) and (6). \square

A special case of the MacMahon Master theorem now follows.

Corollary 2.2. $\text{per } \mathbf{A} = [\mathbf{z}^1] \det(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{Z}\mathbf{A})^{-1}$.

Proof. From Theorem 2.1(1), $\text{per } \mathbf{A} = \text{Imm}_{[n]} \mathbf{A} = [\mathbf{z}^1 t^n] \det(\mathbf{I} - t\mathbf{Z}\mathbf{A})^{-1} = [\mathbf{z}^1] \det(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{Z}\mathbf{A})^{-1}$. \square

Corollary 2.2 enables us to reexpress Theorem 2.1(1) in terms of permanents.

Corollary 2.3. Let $\sum_k P_k t^k = \text{per}(\mathbf{I} + t\mathbf{Z}\mathbf{A})$. Then

$$\text{Imm}_\lambda \mathbf{A} = [\mathbf{z}^1] \det[P_{\lambda_i - i + j}]_{m \times m}.$$

Proof. By Corollary 2.2, the squarefree terms in $\det(\mathbf{I} - t\mathbf{Z}\mathbf{A})^{-1}$ agree with the squarefree terms in $\text{per}(\mathbf{I} - t\mathbf{Z}\mathbf{A})$, and the result follows from the Theorem 2.1 (1). \square

By specialising \mathbf{A} it is possible to use these results directly to derive the familiar expressions for particular character evaluations. For example, let $\alpha = [1^{a_1} 2^{a_2} \dots]$, $\tau(\sigma) = \alpha$, and ω_n be an n -cycle. Let \mathbf{C}_i be the $i \times i \{0, 1\}$ -matrix corresponding to an i -cycle, and let

$$\mathbf{B} = \underbrace{(\mathbf{C}_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbf{C}_1)}_{a_1} \oplus \dots \oplus \underbrace{(\mathbf{C}_n \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbf{C}_n)}_{a_n}.$$

Then $\chi^{[1^n]}(\sigma) = \text{Imm}_{[1^n]} \mathbf{B}$, $\chi^{[n]}(\sigma) = \text{Imm}_{[n]} \mathbf{B}$, $\chi^\alpha(\omega_n) = \text{Imm}_\alpha \mathbf{C}_n$. The details of evaluating these immanants are left to the reader.

By equating coefficients of $\prod_{i=1}^n a_{i, \sigma(i)}$ in Corollary 2.3, we obtain the expression $\sum_{\mu} \langle s_{\lambda}, m_{\mu} \rangle \cdot \langle h_{\mu}, p_{\tau(\sigma)} \rangle$ for $\chi^{\lambda}(\sigma)$; however, this expression is also an immediate consequence of (4) and (5).

The immanant can be also expressed as a Schur function at particular arguments. To see this, let w_1, \dots, w_n be the eigenvalues of the $n \times n$ matrix $\mathbf{Z}\mathbf{A}$. Then $1 - y_i w_j$ are eigenvalues of $\mathbf{I} - y_i \mathbf{Z}\mathbf{A}$ for $j = 1, \dots, n$, so from (3), $\prod_{i \geq 1} \det(\mathbf{I} - y_i \mathbf{Z}\mathbf{A})^{-1} = \prod_{i \geq 1} \prod_{j=1}^n (1 - y_i w_j)^{-1} = \sum_{\mu} s_{\mu}(\mathbf{y}) s_{\mu}(w_1, \dots, w_n)$. Since $\langle s_{\lambda}(\mathbf{y}), s_{\mu}(\mathbf{y}) \rangle = \delta_{\lambda, \mu}$, the desired expression is, from (8),

$$(9) \quad \text{Imm}_\lambda \mathbf{A} = [\mathbf{z}^1] s_{\lambda}(w_1, \dots, w_n).$$

3. IMMANANTS OF k -REPLICATIONS

In §2, expressions for $\text{Imm}_\lambda \mathbf{A}$ were given as the coefficient of \mathbf{z}^1 in various power series. The general coefficient is given by means of the following lemma for the \mathbf{k} -replication of \mathbf{A} .

Lemma 3.1. If $\sum_{i \geq 0} D_i^{(\mathbf{k})} t^i = \det(\mathbf{I} + t\mathbf{Z}^{(\mathbf{k})}\mathbf{A}^{(\mathbf{k})})$ and f is a power series, then

$$[z_{1,1} \dots z_{1,k_1} \dots z_{n,1} \dots z_{n,k_n}] f(D_1^{(\mathbf{k})}, D_2^{(\mathbf{k})}, \dots) = [\mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{k}} / \mathbf{k}!] f(D_1, D_2, \dots).$$

Proof. Let $\mathbf{U} = \text{diag}(u_1, \dots, u_n)$ and $u_i = z_{i,1} + \dots + z_{i,k_i}$. By expanding the determinant of the sum, we have $\det(\mathbf{I} + t\mathbf{Z}^{(\mathbf{k})}\mathbf{A}^{(\mathbf{k})}) = \det(\mathbf{I} + t\mathbf{U}\mathbf{A})$. Then $[z_{1,1} \cdots z_{1,k_1} \cdots z_{n,1} \cdots z_{n,k_n}]u_1^{k_1} \cdots u_n^{k_n} = \mathbf{k}!$, giving the result. \square

Comparing this with the results in §2 gives the following corollaries.

Corollary 3.2. *Let $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m) \vdash N$ and $k_1 + \dots + k_n = N$. Then*

- (1) $\text{Imm}_\lambda \mathbf{A}^{(\mathbf{k})} = [\mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{k}}/\mathbf{k}!] \det[\Delta_{\lambda_i - i + j}]_{m \times m}$,
- (2) $\text{Imm}_\lambda \mathbf{A}^{(\mathbf{k})} = [\mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{k}}/\mathbf{k}!] \det[D_{\lambda_i - i + j}]_{\lambda_1 \times \lambda_1}$.

Proof. (2) From Theorem 2.1(2),

$$\text{Imm}_\lambda \mathbf{A}^{(\mathbf{k})} = [z_{1,1} \cdots z_{1,k_1} \cdots z_{n,1} \cdots z_{n,k_n}] \det[D_{\lambda_i - i + j}^{(\mathbf{k})}]_{\lambda_1 \times \lambda_1}.$$

The result follows from Lemma 3.1.

(1) This follows from Theorem 2.1(1) and Lemma 3.1, since a power series in the Δ_i is a power series in the D_i , from (6). \square

An immediate consequence is the following.

Theorem 3.3 (MacMahon Master theorem).

$$[\mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{k}}] \prod_{i=1}^n \left(\sum_{j=1}^n a_{i,j} z_j \right)^{k_i} = [\mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{k}}] \det(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{Z}\mathbf{A})^{-1}.$$

Proof. From Corollary 3.2(1), with $\lambda = [N]$, we have

$$\mathbf{k}! [\mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{k}}] \prod_{i=1}^n \left(\sum_{j=1}^n a_{i,j} z_j \right)^{k_i} = [\mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{k}}/\mathbf{k}!] \det(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{Z}\mathbf{A})^{-1},$$

since both are equal to $\text{per } \mathbf{A}^{(\mathbf{k})}$. The factor $\mathbf{k}!$ arises since, in the permanent, the columns are distinguishable. \square

Let $\mathbf{l} = (l_1, \dots, l_n)$ and $l_1 + \dots + l_n = k_1 + \dots + k_n$. The (\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{l}) -replication of \mathbf{A} is the matrix obtained from \mathbf{A} by replacing each $a_{i,j}$ with a $k_i \times l_j$ block of $a_{i,j}$'s and is denoted by $\mathbf{A}^{(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{l})}$. From [5], the exponential generating function for \mathbf{k}, \mathbf{l} -replications of the permanent of \mathbf{A} is

$$\sum_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{l} \geq \mathbf{0}} \text{per } \mathbf{A}^{(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{l})} \frac{\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{k}} \mathbf{y}^{\mathbf{l}}}{\mathbf{k}!\mathbf{l}!} = \exp \mathbf{x}\mathbf{A}\mathbf{y}^t,$$

where $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$. We have been unable to find an extension of this result to the case of an arbitrary immanant, although Corollary 3.2 serves this purpose for the ‘‘diagonal’’ of this series.

Finally, we consider

$$\text{Imm}_\lambda^{(u)} \mathbf{A} = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n} \chi^\lambda(\sigma) u^{l(\tau(\sigma))} \prod_{i=1}^n a_{i, \sigma(i)},$$

a generalisation of the immanant in which an indeterminate u marks the number of (disjoint) cycles.

Corollary 3.4. *Let $\sum_k \Delta_k^{(u)} t^k = \det(\mathbf{I} - t\mathbf{ZA})^{-u} = \{\sum_k D_k^{(u)} (-t)^k\}^{-1}$. Then*

- (1) $\text{Imm}_\lambda^{(u)} \mathbf{A}^{(\mathbf{k})} = [\mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{k}}/\mathbf{k}!] \det[\Delta_{\lambda_i - i + j}^{(u)}]_{m \times m}$,
- (2) $\text{Imm}_\lambda^{(u)} \mathbf{A}^{(\mathbf{k})} = [\mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{k}}/\mathbf{k}!] \det[D_{\lambda_i - i + j}^{(u)}]_{\lambda_1 \times \lambda_1}$.

Proof. Follows from the methods of proof of Theorem 2.1 and Corollary 3.2, having replaced (7) by

$$\text{Imm}_\lambda^{(u)} \mathbf{A} = [\mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{1}}] \left\langle s_\lambda(\mathbf{y}), \exp \left\{ u \sum_{i \geq 1} \text{trace} \log(\mathbf{I} - y_i \mathbf{ZA})^{-1} \right\} \right\rangle. \quad \square$$

The special case $\lambda = [n]$ of Corollary 3.4 has been previously obtained combinatorially by Foata and Zeilberger [1].

4. LITTLEWOODS'S RESULTS

The results that we have given are related to the following little known theorems of Littlewood [7, §6.5].

Theorem I [7, p. 118]. *Corresponding to any relation between S -functions of total weight n , we may replace each S -function by the corresponding immanant of complementary coaxial minors of $[a_{s,t}]$, provided that every product is summed for all sets of complementary coaxial minors.*

In the following two theorems, Littlewood generalised the concept of a minor to permit arbitrary repetition of rows and columns. Thus \mathbf{A} has $\binom{n-r+1}{r}^2$ r -rowed minors. He also attached a factor of $1/r!$ to every immanant of a minor for each row that is repeated r times in the minor.

Theorem II [7, p. 120]. *Corresponding to any relation between S -functions we may replace each S -function by the corresponding immanant of a coaxial minor of $[a_{s,t}]$, provided that we sum with respect to all coaxial minors of the appropriate order.*

Theorem III [7, p. 121]. *The S -function $\{\lambda\}$ of weight p of the characteristic roots of a matrix $[a_{s,t}]$ is equal to the sum of immanants corresponding to the partition $\{\lambda\}$ of all p -rowed coaxial minors of $[a_{s,t}]$.*

The next proposition is an alternative presentation of Theorem 2.1 adapted to the action of $\phi_{\mathbf{A}}$, the linear mappings defined on $\Lambda^{(n)}$ by $\phi_{\mathbf{A}}g(\mu)p_\mu = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{E}_\mu} \prod_{i=1}^n a_{i, \sigma(i)}$, where \mathcal{E}_μ is the conjugacy class indexed by $\mu \vdash n$ and $g(\mu) = |\mathcal{E}_\mu|/n!$.

Proposition 4.1. *Let $f \in \mathbb{R}[[h_1, h_2, \dots]]$ and $g \in \mathbb{R}[[e_1, e_2, \dots]]$. Then*

- (1) $\phi_{\mathbf{A}}s_\lambda = \text{Imm}_\lambda \mathbf{A}$,
- (2) $\phi_{\mathbf{A}}f(h_1, h_2, \dots) = [\mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{1}}]f(P_1, \dots) = [\mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{1}}]f(\Delta_1, \dots)$,
- (3) $\phi_{\mathbf{A}}g(e_1, e_2, \dots) = [\mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{1}}]g(D_1, \dots)$.

Proof. (1) Follows from (5).

(2) and (3) These follow by adapting the proof of Theorem 2.1 to show that $\phi_{\mathbf{A}}h_\lambda = [\mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{1}}]\Delta_\lambda = [\mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{1}}]P_\lambda$ and that $\phi_{\mathbf{A}}e_\lambda = [\mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{1}}]D_\lambda$, and by then using the linearity of $\phi_{\mathbf{A}}$. \square

Lemma 4.2. *Let $\alpha \vdash l$ and $\beta \vdash m$. Then, with the convention that the unions that appear below are to be disjoint,*

$$\phi_{\mathbf{A}} s_{\lambda} s_{\mu} = \sum_{\substack{\alpha \cup \beta = \mathcal{N}_n \\ |\alpha|=l, |\beta|=m}} \phi_{\mathbf{A}[\alpha]} s_{\lambda} \phi_{\mathbf{A}[\beta]} s_{\mu}.$$

Proof. From Proposition 4.1(2) and the Jacobi-Trudi identity,

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_{\mathbf{A}} s_{\lambda} s_{\mu} &= [\mathbf{z}^1] \det[P_{\lambda, -i+j}]_{l \times l} \det[P_{\mu, -i+j}]_{m \times m} \\ &= \sum_{\alpha \cup \beta = \mathcal{N}_n} [z_{\alpha}] \det[P_{\lambda, -i+j}]_{l \times l} [z_{\beta}] \det[P_{\mu, -i+j}]_{m \times m} \\ &= \sum_{\alpha \cup \beta = \mathcal{N}_n} \text{Imm}_{\lambda} \mathbf{A}[\alpha] \text{Imm}_{\mu} \mathbf{A}[\beta] \quad (\text{from Corollary 2.3}), \end{aligned}$$

and the result follows from Proposition 4.2(1). \square

More generally, if $f \in \Lambda^{(i)}$, $g \in \Lambda^{(n-i)}$, then it follows immediately that

$$\phi_{\mathbf{A}} f g = \sum_{\substack{|\alpha| \subseteq \mathcal{N}_m \\ |\alpha|=i}} \phi_{\mathbf{A}[\alpha]} f \phi_{\mathbf{A}[\mathcal{N}_m - \alpha]} g.$$

Theorem I, in which Littlewood used the terms “S-function” for *Schur function* and “coaxial minor” for *principal minor*, is obtained by applying Lemma 4.2 to an arbitrary relation between Schur functions of total weight n . In particular, Lemma 4.2 contains Theorem 2.1 as a special case. The appearance of principal minors and the role of complementarity is clear.

Littlewood’s conventions for Theorems II and III entail \mathbf{k} -replications. Although Corollary 3.2 follows by this means from Theorem II, it is not clear how Littlewood would have handled Corollary 3.4.

Remark (9) follows from Theorem III by replacing each $a_{i,j}$ with $z_i a_{i,j}$ and by applying $[\mathbf{z}^1]$. Littlewood’s convention allows repeated rows, so the extraction of the linear term ensures that each row appears exactly once.

Note that Corollary 2.2 is recovered by applying Theorem I to the relation $\sum_{i=0}^n e_i h_{n-i} (-1)^i = 0$, $n > 0$ with the identification of $e_k = s_{[1^k]}$ and $h_k = s_{[k]}$. Littlewood [7, p. 119] did this for $n = 4$. Had Littlewood given an example of the use of Theorem II of the type he gave for Theorem I with $n = 4$, he would have obtained an instance of the MacMahon Master theorem involving the coefficient of the general term $x_1^{k_1} \cdots x_4^{k_4}$ rather than the coefficient of $x_1 \cdots x_4$. It therefore appears that Littlewood [6] had, implicitly, a symmetric function proof of the MacMahon Master theorem. Merris and Watkins [10] have used Theorem I to obtain bounds for generalised matrix functions.

5. THE YOUNG IDEMPOTENTS

The action of the mapping $\phi_{\mathbf{A}}$, defined in §4, is related to certain idempotents in $\mathbb{C}\mathfrak{S}_n$, for which Young [11] gave an explicit construction. Any $f \in \mathbb{C}\mathfrak{S}_n$ may be regarded as a function $f: \mathfrak{S}_n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ or as a formal sum $\sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n} f(\sigma) \sigma$. The centre \mathcal{Z}_n is the set of all class functions so $\{\kappa_{\lambda}: \lambda \vdash n\}$ is a basis of \mathcal{Z}_n , where $\kappa_{\lambda} = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{\lambda}} \sigma$. Also, $\chi^{\lambda} \in \mathcal{Z}_n$. Let $\psi_{\mathbf{A}} \sigma = \prod_{i=1}^n a_{i, \sigma(i)}$, extended linearly to $\mathbb{C}\mathfrak{S}_n$. Then $\psi_{\mathbf{A}} \chi^{\lambda} = \text{Imm}_{\lambda} \mathbf{A}$. But the Frobenius map is $F: \mathcal{Z}_n \rightarrow \Lambda^{(n)}: \kappa_{\mu} \mapsto g(\mu) p_{\mu}$, so $\phi_{\mathbf{A}} = F^{-1} \psi_{\mathbf{A}}$ and, from the above,

$\chi^\lambda = F^{-1}s_\lambda$. Let $p^\lambda = F^{-1}h_\lambda$ and $n^\lambda = F^{-1}e_\lambda$. Then $p^\lambda, n^\lambda, \chi^\lambda$ are idempotents. From §2, these have power series representations

(10)

$$\psi_A p^\lambda = [z^1]\Delta_\lambda, \quad \psi_A n^\lambda = [z^1]D_\lambda, \quad \psi_A \chi^\lambda = [z^1]\det[\Delta_{\lambda_i-i+j}] = [z^1]\det[D_{\lambda_i-i+j}]$$

and are constructed as follows [11]. Let $\lambda \vdash n$, be an arbitrary (ordered) partition of \mathcal{N}_n whose i th block has size λ_i , for $i = 1, \dots, m$, and $\text{Fix } \pi$ be the set of all permutations in \mathfrak{S}_n that fix every block of π . Thus $\text{Fix } \pi \cong \mathfrak{S}_{\lambda_1} \times \dots \times \mathfrak{S}_{\lambda_m}$. Let $\lambda! = \lambda_1! \dots \lambda_m!$, $P_\pi = \sum_{\sigma \in \text{Fix } \pi} \sigma / \lambda!$, and $N_\pi = \sum_{\sigma \in \text{Fix } \pi} \text{sgn}(\sigma) w \sigma / \lambda!$, and $N_\pi = \sum_{\sigma \in \text{Fix } \pi} \text{sgn}(\sigma) \sigma / \lambda!$. If \mathcal{T} is any Young tableau of shape λ , its rows and columns, respectively, induce (set) partitions ρ, κ of \mathcal{N}_n whose block sizes are listed by $\lambda, \tilde{\lambda}$. Then

$$p^\lambda = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n} \sigma P_\pi \sigma^{-1}, \quad n^\lambda = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n} \sigma N_\pi \sigma^{-1},$$

$$\chi^\lambda = \frac{1}{n!} \lambda! \tilde{\lambda}! \chi^\lambda(e) \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n} \sigma P_\rho N_\kappa \sigma^{-1},$$

independent of the choices of π and \mathcal{T} .

It would be of interest to derive the power series representations (10) for $\psi_A \chi^\lambda$ from Young's constructions, but we have been unable to do so.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Ian Macdonald for pointing out that (9) follows from (8).

REFERENCES

1. D. Foata and D. Zeilberger, *Laguerre polynomials, weighted derangements, and positivity*, SIAM. J. Discrete Math. 1 (1988), 425–433.
2. A. M. Garsia and J. Remmel, *Symmetric functions and raising operators*, Linear and Multilinear Algebra 10 (1981), 15–43.
3. I. P. Goulden and D. M. Jackson, *Immanants of combinatorial matrices*, J. Algebra (to appear).
4. J. S. Hadamard, *Essai sur l'étude des fonctions données par leur développement de Taylor*, Journal des Mathématiques (4), 8 (1892), 1–86.
5. D. M. Jackson, *The unification of certain enumeration problems for sequences*, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A 22 (1977), 92–96.
6. D. E. Littlewood, *Invariant theory, tensors and group characters*, Philos. Trans. Roy. Soc. London Ser. A 239 (1944), 305–365.
7. —, *The theory of group characters*, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1950.
8. I. G. Macdonald, *Symmetric functions and Hall polynomials*, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1979.
9. P. A. MacMahon, *Combinatory analysis*, Chelsea, New York, 1960.
10. R. Merris and W. Watkins, *Inequalities and identities for generalized matrix functions*, Linear Algebra Appl. 64 (1985), 223–242.
11. G. de B. Robinson *The collected papers of Alfred Young: 1873–1940*, Math. Exp. No. 21, University of Toronto, 1977.

DEPT. OF COMBINATORICS AND OPTIMIZATION, UNIVERSITY OF WATERLOO, WATERLOO ONTARIO, CANADA N2L 3G1

DEPT. OF COMBINATORICS AND OPTIMIZATION, UNIVERSITY OF WATERLOO, WATERLOO ONTARIO, CANADA N2L 3G1