**EMPLOYER EVALUATION REQUEST**

**FORM 2**

**EMPLOYER REQUEST:** Date: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

The employer considers the information in the following work report to be confidential or proprietary and requires the report to be evaluated by individuals inside the company. The report has been evaluated by an Engineer, and the employer requests that the work term student named below be given credit as author of the report. The employer and student acknowledge that this report is not eligible for a work report award.

**REPORT & STUDENT DATA:**

Work Report Title:\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ Report Dated: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ # of Pages: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Prepared by: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ UW ID #: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Employer: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Address:\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Signature of Evaluator: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Name & Title (please print/type): \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

P.Eng License # and Province: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ Phone #: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

**Note:** If your marker **does** **not** have P.Eng, please reach out to [mme-academic-services@uwaterloo.ca](mailto:mme-academic-services@uwaterloo.ca). We require proof of their credentials (copy of degree/LinkedIn profile etc.) in order to approve them to mark.

**SUBMISSION:**

After completing this form, it is the student’s responsibility to hand this into the **Confidential dropbox in LEARN**. If you have any questions, don’t hesitate to reach out to [mme-academic-services@uwaterloo.ca](mailto:mme-academic-services@uwaterloo.ca).

**Evaluation of Work Term Report**

Report Title:

Student Name / ID: Report Number:

Employer: Marker:

The work report examines a student’s abilities to display sound engineering judgement on a topic of analysis or design, and to produce a written report which is clear, concise, and convincing. Constructive comments by evaluators are given to guide the student towards improved skills in communicating engineering ideas.

**Structure and Flow:** The topic is clearly introduced and discussed, with conclusions drawn and recommendations made at appropriate points in the report body. The topic, major conclusions and recommendations are restated in the front matter. Sectioning effectively guides the reader through the report. Complex details are relegated to figures, tables, glossaries, appendices, or cited references.

Yes Mostly Marginally Slightly No

**Detail Presentation:** Low-level explanations are clear, concise, and direct. Paragraph and sentence structures are appropriate to the student’s academic level. Standards techniques are used to refer to mathematics, figures, tables, code fragments, appendices, glossaries, references, etc. Acronyms and Jargon are defined.

Yes Mostly Marginally Slightly No

**Writing and Formatting:** Spelling and grammar are correct, consistent, and appropriate to the student’s academic level. The report is formatted in a consistent and visually pleasing way which adheres to MME guidelines. Material from other sources is properly cited.

Yes Mostly Marginally Slightly No

**Technical Communication Critical Feedback:**

**Technical Content:** The topic has sufficient scope and depth to justify a report. The writing displays evidence of sound engineering judgement, analysis, and insight appropriate to the student’s academic level. The technical details appear to be correct, and form a coherent whole.

Yes Mostly Marginally Slightly No

**Additional Feedback:**

**EVALUATION:**

Using the University of Waterloo work report standards, the Engineer has evaluated the report as (select one):

A (Excellent) B (Very Good) C (Satisfactory) F (Unacceptable)