

## **EMPLOYER EVALUATION REQUEST**

## FORM 2

EMPLOYER REQUEST:	Date:
The employer considers the information in the following and requires the report to be evaluated by individuals in evaluated by an Engineer, and the employer requests the credit as author of the report. The employer and studer a work report award.	nside the company. The report has been nat the work term student named below be given
REPORT & STUDENT DATA:	
Work Report Title:	
Report Dated: # of Pages: _	
Prepared by:	_ UW ID #:
Employer:	
Address:	
Signature of Evaluator:	
Name & Title (please print/type):	
P.Eng License # and Province:	Phone #:

**Note:** If your marker **does not** have P.Eng, please reach out to your academic advisor. We require proof of their credentials (copy of degree/LinkedIn profile etc.) in order to approve them to mark.

## **SUBMISSION:**

After completing this form, it is the student's responsibility to hand this into the **Confidential dropbox in LEARN**. If you have any questions, don't hesitate to reach out to the appropriate academic advisor. <a href="mailto:mechadvisor@uwaterloo.ca">mechadvisor@uwaterloo.ca</a> (Mechanical) or <a href="mailto:mechadvisor@uwaterloo.ca">mechadvisor@uwaterloo.ca</a> (Mechanical)



## **EVALUATION OF WORK REPORT**

Report Title:			
Student Name / ID:	Repo	ort Number:	
Employer:	Mark	ker:	
design, and to produce a written evaluators are given to guide the <b>Structure and Flow:</b> The topic recommendations made at apprecommendations are restate	report which is clear, concise, and student towards improved skills in is clearly introduced and discust propriate points in the report bed in the front matter. Sectioning	convincing judgement on a topic of analysis convincing. Constructive comments by communicating engineering ideas.  ssed, with conclusions drawn and body. The topic, major conclusions and g effectively guides the reader through	l n the
Yes Mostl		aries, appendices, or cited references. Slightly No	
<b>Detail Presentation:</b> Low-leve structures are appropriate to	el explanations are clear, concise the student's academic level. St	e, and direct. Paragraph and sentence candards techniques are used to refer to ossaries, references, etc. Acronyms and	
Yes Mostl	y Marginally	Slightly	)
Writing and Formatting: Spell	ing and grammar are correct, co	onsistent, and appropriate to the stud	ent's
academic level. The report is f guidelines. Material from other	formatted in a consistent and vier sources is properly cited.	sually pleasing way which adheres to I	
academic level. The report is f guidelines. Material from othe Yes Mostl	formatted in a consistent and vier sources is properly cited.  y Marginally		
academic level. The report is figuidelines. Material from other and the second	formatted in a consistent and vier sources is properly cited.  y Marginally  tical Feedback:  has sufficient scope and depth t	sually pleasing way which adheres to No Slightly No to justify a report. The writing displays tht appropriate to the student's acade	)
academic level. The report is figuidelines. Material from other and the second	formatted in a consistent and vier sources is properly cited.  y Marginally  tical Feedback:  has sufficient scope and depth to g judgement, analysis, and insigneer to be correct, and form a content of the correct.	sually pleasing way which adheres to No Slightly No to justify a report. The writing displays tht appropriate to the student's acade	o mic
academic level. The report is figuidelines. Material from other and the second	formatted in a consistent and vier sources is properly cited.  y Marginally  tical Feedback:  has sufficient scope and depth to g judgement, analysis, and insigneer to be correct, and form a content of the correct.	sually pleasing way which adheres to formally Slightly Notes to justify a report. The writing displays that appropriate to the student's academic otherent whole.	o mic
academic level. The report is figuidelines. Material from other Yes Mostly  Technical Communication Crit  Technical Content: The topic levidence of sound engineering level. The technical details appropriate the sound engineering level. The technical details appropriate the sound engineering level. The technical details appropriate the sound engineering level.	formatted in a consistent and vier sources is properly cited.  y Marginally  tical Feedback:  has sufficient scope and depth to g judgement, analysis, and insigneer to be correct, and form a content of the correct.	sually pleasing way which adheres to formally Slightly Notes to justify a report. The writing displays that appropriate to the student's academic otherent whole.	o mic
academic level. The report is figuidelines. Material from other Yes Mostl  Technical Communication Cri  Technical Content: The topic levidence of sound engineering level. The technical details approximately Yes Mostl  Additional Feedback:  EVALUATION:	formatted in a consistent and vier sources is properly cited.  y Marginally  tical Feedback: has sufficient scope and depth to give judgement, analysis, and insigneer to be correct, and form a consignation of the property	sually pleasing way which adheres to formally Slightly Notes to justify a report. The writing displays that appropriate to the student's academic otherent whole.	mic