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Abstract—This paper presents a new approach to multiscale segmentation of satellite multispectral imagery using edge information. The Canny edge detector is applied to perform multispectral edge detection. The detected edge features are then utilized in a multiscale segmentation loop, and the merge procedure for adjacent image objects is controlled by a separability criterion that combines edge information with segmentation scale. The significance of the edge is measured by adjacent partitioned regions to perform edge assessment. The proposed method is based on a half-partition structure, which is composed of three steps: single edge detection, separated pixel grouping, and significant feature calculation. The spectral distance of the half-partitions separated by the edge is calculated, compared, and integrated into the edge information. The results show that the proposed approach works well on satellite multispectral images of a coastal area.

Index Terms—Edge detection, multiscale segmentation, object-based image analysis, scale selection.

I. INTRODUCTION

THE multiscale segmentation method, initially named the fractal net evolution approach (FNEA) [1], [2], which provides a key technique for extraction of image objects, is based on the fact that most image data contain object-based information [3]. One obvious advantage is that objects as minimum classification units help overcome the problem of salt-and-pepper effects resulting from conventional pixel-based classification methods [4], [5]. However, the procedure of segmentation where pixels are linked to the objects necessarily involves scale [6]. The importance of scale has been addressed in applications such as object-based mapping of vegetation parameters with hyperspectral imagery [7]. Landscape is a complex system composed of a large number of heterogeneous components with varying size and shape [8]. A certain scale used in segmentation does not yield a perfect partition of the scene but produces either too many small regions (oversegmentation) or too few large segments (undersegmentation). Thus, a segmentation scheme was designed [9] in which objects were generated at many different scales in order to determine optimal scale parameters.

In some cases, researchers have tried to display the whole scene in one layer. Burnett and Blaschke [5] developed a methodology called as Multi Scale Segmentation/Object Related Modelling (MSS/ORM) to simultaneously derive objects at several levels of segmentation detail. Lang and Langanke [10] showed a one-level representation that might be sufficient and more straightforward. Hay et al. [11], [12] developed an object-specific upscaling methodology. Chen et al. [13], [14] tried to identify a meaningful image object by calculating its difference distinctive feature in each loop of MSS. The appropriate scale of observation is a function of the type of environment and information that is being sought. Scale selection is still very important and is a hot research topic in object-based image analysis [15]. This paper proposes an edge-guided MSS approach that performs unsupervised scale selection in object-based analysis. The methodology is integrated with edge detection and region extraction adapted to uniform and/or weakly textured remote-sensed imagery.

It is well known that regions and edges are the two key features in visual perception. Approaches based on region and edge features are based on two fundamental observations [16]: discontinuity and similarity. Technically, edge detection methods place emphasis on discontinuity and locate the meaningful intensity discontinuity by using spatial differentiation or edge template operations. However, the edge detection methods suffer from the fact that the edge pixels produced by the edge detectors are discontinuous and seldom characterize a region completely. Therefore, image segmentation is conceptually based on similarity. In order to overcome the difficulties to obtaining satisfying image partitioning results by using only one segmentation method, cooperative approaches [17]–[19] were based on combination and integration of several methods. These techniques [20], [21] have been proposed to generate a coherent and stable image representation in hierarchical or multiscale image segmentation. The additional information obtained from edge or regions [22]–[24] has been used to eliminate the uncertainty [25], [26] of segmentation and object evaluation [27], [28]. These complementary results tried to fulfill the weaknesses of each of the different segmentation methods. The methodology presented here therefore uses integrated edge detection and MSS, and is almost automatic and unsupervised. This integration allows us to exploit the
advantages of each method. The performance of this approach has been experimentally demonstrated on coastal remote sensing applications.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. The mathematical foundation for developing the edge-guided MSS method, including MSS and multispectral edge detection, is introduced in Section II. The exercisable experiments are presented in Section III. This forms a basis for the newly developed edge-guided MSS method, and the details of the newly proposed edge assessment approach are introduced in the subsequent sections. The experimental results obtained using coastal satellite multispectral images are presented and discussed in Section IV. In addition, some conclusions are drawn in Section V.

II. TRANSFORM

The FNEA method is considered to be one of the effective region-based segmentation techniques. Technically, FNEA composes of two fundamental components: the generation of a multiscale representation and information extraction \[2\], \[5\]. The threshold used to control the segmentation procedure is a combination of size and homogeneity. Given a definition for image fractal homogeneity, the merging criteria for an adjacent object pair is found by calculating the overall fusion value \(f\). Here, it is changed to \(F\) in order to satisfy the additional condition \(G\) in our method as follows:

\[
F = \begin{cases} f, & G \leq \varepsilon \\ \infty, & G > \varepsilon \end{cases}
\]

where \(G\) is the measure of edge information and \(\varepsilon\) is a user-given edge criteria to complete the judgment of separability. \(G\) is calculated from the result of the edge detector and can be determined as the following function:

\[
G = g(e \cdot p)
\]

where \(e\) is the measure of edge strength and \(p\) works as a correction parameter with regard to the significance of the regions separated by it. Here, by judging the edge point in the interior of object pair \(A\), the function can be briefly specified as follows:

\[
g(e \cdot p) = \sum |e \cdot p|, e \in |A|.
\]

Moreover, \(f\) can be still represented as follows:

\[
f = w \cdot h_{\text{color}} + (1 - w) \cdot h_{\text{shape}}
\]

where \(h_{\text{color}}\) and \(h_{\text{shape}}\) are the spectral heterogeneity and shape heterogeneity, respectively, and \(w\) is the user-defined weight for spectral (against shape) within the range \(0 \leq w \leq 1\) (for more details, see \[2\] or \[29\]). The color criterion \(h_{\text{color}}\) is the weighted mean of all changes in standard deviations for each channel \(e\), as given in

\[
h_{\text{color}} = \sum e \left( n_{\text{Merge}} \cdot G_e^{\text{Merge}} - (n_{\text{obj1}} \cdot \sigma_{e}^{\text{obj1}} + n_{\text{obj2}} \cdot \sigma_{e}^{\text{obj2}}) \right)
\]

where \(\sigma_{e}\) is the standard deviation and \(n_{\text{obj}}\) is the object size. The shape criterion \(h_{\text{shape}}\) consists of smoothness and compactness, which can be computed by

\[
h_{\text{shape}} = w_{\text{comp}} \cdot h_{\text{comp}} + (1 - w_{\text{comp}}) \cdot h_{\text{smooth}}
\]

where \(w_{\text{comp}}\) is the user-defined weight for the compactness criterion with \(0 \leq w_{\text{comp}} \leq 1\). Again, the change in shape heterogeneity caused by merging is evaluated by calculating the differences between the situation after and before the merge

\[
h_{\text{comp}} = n_{\text{Merge}} \cdot l_{\text{Merge}} / \sqrt{n_{\text{Merge}}}
\]

\[
- \left( \frac{l_{\text{obj1}}}{\sqrt{n_{\text{obj1}}}} + \frac{l_{\text{obj2}}}{\sqrt{n_{\text{obj2}}}} \right)
\]

\[
h_{\text{smooth}} = n_{\text{Merge}} \cdot l_{\text{Merge}} / \sqrt{n_{\text{Merge}}}
\]

\[
- \left( \frac{l_{\text{obj1}}}{\sqrt{n_{\text{obj1}}}} + \frac{l_{\text{obj2}}}{\sqrt{n_{\text{obj2}}}} \right)
\]

where \(n\) denotes the object size, \(l\) is the object perimeter, and \(b\) is the perimeter of the bounding box of the object.

Edge strength \(e\) is obtained from the edge detector as a measure of local discontinuity. The Canny edge detector \[30\] is considered as a state-of-the-art edge detector. In addition, its variation \[31\], which is particularly developed for multispectral remote-sensed imagery, considered the problem of multidimensional imagery in vector space. Let us consider the multispectral image function \(C\) and the direction \(r\), which is defined by the angle \(\varphi\). While an intensity image function would only have one component, a multispectral function \(C(x, y)\) forms a vector of \(m\) scalars at each image position as follows:

\[
\vec{C}(x, y) = \begin{pmatrix} C_1(x, y) \\ \vdots \\ C_m(x, y) \end{pmatrix} \quad \vec{r} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \varphi \\ \sin \varphi \end{pmatrix}
\]

The directional derivative of the vector-valued function \(\vec{C} \rightarrow \vec{C}\) again gives a vector that consists of the directional derivatives of each component of \(C\). The first directional derivative of \(\vec{C}\) can be denoted in the following way:

\[
\frac{\partial \vec{C}}{\partial \vec{r}} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial C_1}{\partial r_x} \\ \vdots \\ \frac{\partial C_m}{\partial r_x} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \nabla C_1 \cdot \vec{r} \\ \vdots \\ \nabla C_m \cdot \vec{r} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} C_{1x} \\ \vdots \\ C_{mx} \end{pmatrix} \cdot \vec{r} = J \cdot \vec{r}.
\]

The matrix \(J\) containing the derivatives of each component of \(\vec{C}\) is called the Jacobian matrix. A gradient-like solution would then be obtained by determining that direction \(r\), which corresponds to a maximum value of change. It turns out to be mathematically attractive to define the magnitude of change through the Euclidean length \(L\) of the vector \(J \cdot \vec{r}\) as follows:

\[
L^2 = ||J \cdot \vec{r}||^2 = (J \cdot \vec{r})^T \cdot (J \cdot \vec{r}) = \vec{r}^T \cdot (J^T \cdot J) \cdot \vec{r}.
\]
Thus, by maximizing $L^2$ as a function of $\hat{r}$, the following coefficients describing the symmetric $2 \times 2$ matrix $J^T J$ is

$$J^T \cdot J = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} \end{pmatrix}$$

where

$$a_{11} = C_{1x}^2 + \cdots + C_{mx}^2$$
$$a_{22} = C_{1y}^2 + \cdots + C_{my}^2$$
$$a_{12} = C_{1x}C_{1y} + \cdots + C_{mx}C_{my}$$
$$a_{21} = a_{12}.$$

As it can be seen that the term $\hat{r}^T \cdot (J^T \cdot J) \hat{r}$ is equivalent to the Rayleigh quotient of the matrix $J^T J$. Locally estimating the direction and magnitude of the strongest change in a multidimensional image function can be regarded as an eigenvalue problem. As long as the image function $C$ is defined on two spatial dimensions ($x$ and $y$), there exist only two eigenvalues $\lambda_1$ and $\lambda_2$, where $\lambda_{\text{max}} = \max(|\lambda_1|, |\lambda_2|)$ is given by

$$\lambda_{\text{max}} = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{(a_{11} + a_{22})^2 + 4a_{12}^2}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (13)

The direction of the corresponding eigenvector can be derived from the eigenvector equation and the results in

$$\varphi_{\text{max}} = \arctan \left( \frac{\lambda_{\text{max}} - a_{11}}{a_{12}} \right).$$  \hspace{1cm} (14)

Here, the direction angle $\varphi_{\text{max}}$ is used to compute the gradient magnitudes in the $x$-direction and in the $y$-direction, which would be utilized in the next Canny edge detection procedure.

Upon specifying values for “high_threshold” and “lower_threshold” in the algorithm, then

If ($\lambda_{\text{max}} \geq \text{high_threshold}$) $e = 1$
Else if ($\lambda_{\text{max}} < \text{lower_threshold}$) $e = 0$
Else $e = (\lambda_{\text{max}} - \text{lower_threshold})/(\text{high_threshold} - \text{lower_threshold}).$

Moreover, the edge strength $e$ is adjusted by the local parameter $p$. The value of $p$ is calculated by a half-partition structure, which is separated by the edge. Then, the difference of the partitioned regions can be calculated as follows:

$$d = \sqrt{\sum_c (v_{1c} - v_{2c})^2}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (15)

where $d$ is the spectral distance of two partitions 1 and 2 separated by edge and $v$ is the mean value of partition for each channel $c$. For each edge, let $\sigma_1$ and $\sigma_2$ be the standard deviation of two partitions adjacent to the edge, where modification factor of edge strength is assumed to be a parameter $p$.

If ($d \geq \max(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)$) $p = 1$
Else if ($d < \min(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)$) $p = 0.5$
Else $p = 0.75$

The edge strength derived from the edge detector is adjusted by the local parameter $p$.

III. EXPERIMENTS

The proposed approach (see Fig. 1) consists of four main procedures: 1) preprocessing, including dimensionality reduction and noise filtering; 2) multispectral edge detection; 3) MSS from minimum scale to a relative large scale; and 4) multiscale presentation of the homogenous candidates or potential meaningful image objects. The result of edge detection performs a controlling function in the MSS procedure as a substitute for scale. The edge detector plays an important role in edge-guided MSS. The algorithm used in our experiments follows a detection scheme, which was proposed by John F. Canny. The Canny edge detector works in a multistage process.

The scheme includes the following.

1) Calculating $\lambda_{\text{max}}$ and $\varphi_{\text{max}}$: For each component of imagery, the performance includes: 1) computing the directional derivatives with Gaussian smoothing and 2) calculating $a_{11}$, $a_{22}$, $a_{12}$, and $a_{21}$ using gradient magnitude.

2) Extracting directional magnitude values: Edge magnitude values have to be projected and obtained in the $x$-direction and the $y$-direction at each image position based on $\lambda_{\text{max}}$ and $\varphi_{\text{max}}$. This information is used in edge linking.
3) Nonmaximal suppression: The process is applied to identify the local maxima. Only pixels with edge strength larger than their two adjacent pixels in the gradient direction are identified as edge candidates. Nonmaximal suppression results in one-pixel wide edge segments.

4) Edge linking: The hysteresis tracking process is further applied with thresholds in which all candidate edge pixels below the lower threshold are labeled as nonedges, and all pixels above the low threshold that can be connected to any pixels above the high threshold through a chain of edge pixels are labeled as edge pixels. Each edge segment should be labeled for the following parameter estimation.

The gradient magnitude of a Gaussian smoothed image is not a means to separate two ground objects ideally. It would be adjusted by a local parameter \( p \). Thus, a classification-based approach was proposed to optimize the edge detector for image segmentation in remote sensing applications. This research focused on edge partitioning two spatial adjacent homogeneous objects, which belong to different classes. Based on labeled edge segments obtained in edge detection, a half-partition structure is constructed by a loop consisting of three steps (see Fig. 2): a monotone increasing or decreasing edge identification, a separated pixels selection, and a significant feature calculation. In this way, this paper presents a new algorithm to measure the significance of the edge using the pixels of partitioned regions instead of the pixels in the range of the template of the edge detector token as a filter. The edge detection procedure was improved by estimating the difference of adjacent regions in two steps: the horizontal significance process and the vertical significance process. Each significance process can be described as following pseudocode:

```plaintext
{ Construct line half part by scanning point on each line; Link line half part into half-partition by edge labeling; Calculate the amount, mean and deviation on each half-partition; Compute the spectral distance of half-partitions by each edge segment; Set the values on each edge point; Estimate local parameter using the significance of each edge point. }
```

In the FNEA method, the objects are regions under a certain scale from image segmentation. They are generated by one or more criteria of homogeneity in one or more dimensions, respectively. When there are more than two neighborhood objects fulfilling the merge condition, a region-growing question arises on how to select the best fitting image fractal pair to merge. This should involve searching the whole scene and performing one merge in each repeated loop. The solution adopted in FNEA is a local mutual best fitting region-growing strategy. Further, the scale controlling the segmentation result is enhanced by satisfying edge condition \( G \). Here, we constrict the merge procedure by the additional condition of the strength of the edge between them.

For calculating edge condition \( G \), it should determine the number of edge points on the border between two adjacent regions. Ideally, the description of an image from edge and region primitives must be identical. In practice, the differences are important, and it rarely obtained equivalent descriptions from these two primitives. This duality and complementarity can be expressed in four different ways [32]: 1) the regions are situated in the interior of close contours, and consequently, there are no edge points in the interior of a region; 2) a real edge point must be situated on or at the proximity of a region’s boundary; 3) a region’s boundary is naturally closed, and an edge boundary should also be closed; 4) an edge cannot be situated in the interior of a region and must be situated on the totality of the common border between two regions. Accordingly, in application, the size of the image object should be larger than the minimum required fulfilling Shannon’s sampling pixels, and the distribution of pixel of image object at least fits the \( 3 \times 3 \)-pixel kernel. On the basis of these rules of duality, it can account the edge strength by using once assumed merge:

Let \( \cup (o) \) as set of object pair in segmentation procedure. By calculating the interior edge point in the assumed merged object \( \text{obj}_1 \cup \text{obj}_2 \cup \text{obj}_3 \) and its neighbor \( \text{obj}_2, \cup (o) \) can be divided into \( \cup (o) \) and \( \cup g (o) \). For each pair \( d \in \cup g (o) \), it meets \( g (o) \leq \varepsilon \), and \( F = f \). Then

\[
\text{scale} = \min \_\text{scale} ;
\]

While size of \( \cup g (o) \) > 0 and \( \text{scale} < \max \_\text{scale} \)

\[
\text{scale} + = \Delta \text{scale} ;
\]

MSS performing in \( \cup g (o) \);

update \( \cup g (o) \);

}
Here, the scale is mainly used to determine the sequence of merges in terms of the increase in $\Delta$ scale, and it is not a key limitation to prevent merging in the procedure.

IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A. Data set

A 1024 $\times$ 1024-pixel subimage of the Satellite pour l’Observation de la Terre (SPOT5) scene acquired on May 7, 2005 is shown in Fig. 3. The area represents a portion of the highly fragmented agro-waterfront landscape. SPOT 5 provides an 8-bit multispectral data in red, green, near-infrared and far-infrared channels at 10-m spatial resolution and an 8-bit panchromatic channel at 5-m resolution. Only the multispectral data have been tested and assessed in image segmentation in this paper. This image is used to test the developed algorithms and to assess the performance of the edge detection process in this paper. IKONOS, QuickBird2, and Worldview satellite multispectral images of a coastal area were also used (see Fig. 4).

Fig. 3. SPOT5 multispectral image (Red: band4; Green: band1; and Blue: band2) and results at the scales 20, 30, 50 and 100, respectively. The final segmentation is the result of proposed method. The original and segmented images are 1024 $\times$ 1024 pixels. The grayscale value of the result indicates the scale of the segmentation (similarly hereinafter).

Fig. 4. Multispectral image of IKONOS, QuickBird2, and Worldview and results by application of proposed method (The original and segmented images are both 800 $\times$ 800 pixels.)

B. Edge-Restricted MSS

The result of the proposed approach, as well as results with specified scale, is shown in Fig. 3. Generally, in MSS, a varying scale would be applied to find different types of ground objects with diversity of size and spectral properties. This approach requires a complex designed schema with the aid of masking. In comparison, our approach yielded a single segmentation result, guided by edge information and contained variant scales according to real ground objects. That was, the measure of homogeneity, which given by the segmentation scale, was not a criterion to separate real ground objects basically. The proposed method also has been applied in to three images that were obtained from different sensors and depict typical landscape of coastal area. The scales of regions when they emerged were indicated by the gray value (see Fig. 4). They were also survived and restricted with respect to diverse sizes of ground objects through the whole segmentation procedure, which a relative large scale was set in.

The existing edges prevented regions from being undersegmentation. The size of the image object reflects the real patch of the area by the detected edge. The size of segmented result of agrarian field has been observed larger than the size of residential area. In addition, the scales of image regions show
Fig. 5. Detected edge of multispectral image and its constricted multiscale segmentation result. Canny edge detection procedure. Upper (detail): Sigma of the Gaussian filter is 0.4, the ratio of the high threshold is 0.7, and the ratio of the low threshold is 0.3. Below (coarse): Sigma of Gaussian filter is 0.4, the ratio of high threshold is 0.8, and the ratio of low threshold is 0.5.

that there were two types of ponds. The distribution of the scales of our results is more rational compared with the one-scale segmentation result. The detected edges helped to choose the meaningful scales of image objects from the whole multiscale representation. The artificial determination of segmentation scale is avoided. The optimal scale selection in multiscale analysis is reduced to the operation of edge detection. The results (see Fig. 5) of edge-guided MSS of two level details of the detected edge (i.e., detail and coarse) still contained variant image objects. When we overlaid the detected edge with the normal segmented result of the multiscale, there were a large number of intersections between the image objects and detected edges (see Table I). It reveals that the discontinuity and the similarity are not the absolute opposite conception. The segmentation results based on two conceptions are near but not equal.

The scale distribution in this paper shows that there is a double-humped structure of scale of ground objects in this highly fragmented agro-waterfront landscape (see Fig. 6). On the contrary, the scale structure of one certain scale segmentation result does not reflect this kind of distribution (see Fig. 7). When the small segmentation scale has been implemented, then the most large ground objects retained over segmentation, and it has not conveyed the advantage of segmentation. The larger scale poses a long tail phenomenon, which means that the smaller scale ground objects keep under segmentation. The result of our method reflects the real structure of scale distribution of ground objects. On that regard, our approach can overcome the limitation that existed in single-scale segmentation.

C. Edge Assessment by Half-Partition Structure

The Canny edges reflect the pixel difference in a short designed range, which is determined by the template of the filter. Nevertheless, this does not really reflect the true spectral difference between these two pixel sets that were separated by edges. The edges by which the separated partitions belonging to different classes are more expected. Considering that, there should be a mechanism to determining interclass and intraclass edges. As most classification methods for remote sensing data are based on the statistical parameter evaluation, with the assumption that samples obey the normal distribution. Based on this hypothesis, this paper assumed that one partition was a sample of one class. At that point, the separated partitions were considered as an approximate estimate of real objects. Each detected edge is coupled with one edge (or boundaries of image) in its left side and restricted a set of pixels as its left partition. In the same way, it restricted its right partition (see Fig. 8). Thus,
it would face the problem as follows. If we followed edge-point loop clockwise or counterclockwise, the right partition would become the left partition and the left partition would become the right partition at the edge corner. Avoiding this situation, the edges should break in their turn and keep their monotone increasing or decreasing status. Therefore, each scanning line of imagery was divided into several segments, and the segments linked to the same region were regarded as a part of the same class.

We dealt with edge information by calculating the mean and the deviation of half-partition limited by two neighbor edges provided by the Canny operator. and then, the pixels in the line segment between each edge couples would divide into two partitions: the left half-partition associated to the left edge and, meanwhile, the right half-partition associated to the right edge. The spectral distance of each partition and its neighborhood was compared mutually, which was used to further determine the significance of the edge that departed them. Through this way, the separability of edges would be enhanced by the spectral distance of the class sample instead of its inherent property of gradient magnitude from using a fixed threshold in the edge detection procedure. According to the image classification in remote sensing, this meant that the significance of meaningful edge could be assessed by calculation of the separability between those two classes essentially. If the mean and the deviation of the both sides of an edge was similar, it meant that the edge would be given a lower significance.

V. Conclusion

In this paper, a new edge-guided MSS has been recommended, trying to perform unsupervised scale selection in object-based analysis. The proposed approach includes four main implementation steps. The merge procedure of two adjacent regions in MSS is constrained by an additional condition of the strength of edge information between them. The performance of the approach was experimentally demonstrated in coastal remote sensing applications. The result of new method reflects the real structure of scale distribution of ground objects in complex areas such as a highly fragmented agro-waterfront landscape. This successfully avoids the shortcoming that exists in one certain scale segmentation result. Edge information is calculated after the application of the Canny edge detector on multispectral imagery extended from monochrome edge detection. As edge performs a controlling role in the segmentation procedure as a substitute for scale, the optimal scale selection in multiscale analysis is reduced to the operation of edge detection.

This paper has also described a new way to measure the significance of the detected edge and to discover the meaningful edges by means of half-partition structure. This is performed and constructed through three algorithms including monotone increasing or decreasing edge identification, separated pixels selection, and significant feature calculation. The half-partitions are regarded as approximate estimates for the sample of class. The spectral distance of separated partition and its neighbors is calculated and compared, which is used to further determine the significance of the edge departed them. Thus, the edges derived from local upper and lower threshold would be adjusted by a local parameter identified by the separability of regions.
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