

# Function space emdeddings for non-tensor product spaces and application to high-dimensional approximation

Michael Gnewuch

University of Osnabrück, Germany

August 23, 2024

Based on ongoing joint work with

Peter Kritzer (RICAM Linz) & Klaus Ritter (RPTU Kaiserslautern)

## Motivation: Tractability Analysis

**Of interest:** Approximation problems, defined on scale of function spaces  $(H_d)_{d \in \mathbb{N}}$ , where  $d$  refers to number of variables.

**Important goal:** To find algorithms that break curse of dimensionality, preferably for large classes of scales of function spaces.

**An approach to achieve this goal:**

- Exploit structure of suitable (scales of) function spaces for analysis of promising algorithms.
- Establish embedding theorems for scales of function spaces to transfer results from scales with favourable structure to other scales of interest.

**Already known:** Several general embedding results if  $H_d$  is  $d$ -fold tensor product of reproducing kernel Hilbert space (RKHS)  $H_1$ ; examples include weighted RKHSs based on product weights and spaces of increasing smoothness, see Hefter & Ritter'14; G., Hefter, Hinrichs, Ritter'17; G., Hefter, Hinrichs, Ritter, Wasilkowski'19; G., Hefter, Hinrichs, Ritter'22; G., Hinrichs, Ritter, Rüßmann'24,...

**Outline of this talk:** We discuss new general embedding approach for non-tensor product case and apply it to prove new results for  $L^\infty$ -approximation on RKHS of functions that depend on infinitely many variables (= limiting case of tractability analysis).

## Function Spaces of Infinitely Many Variables

Spaces of univariate functions:  $D$  domain,  $k : D \times D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  bounded reproducing kernel (RK) with  $1 \notin H(k)$ . Then  $H(1 + k) = H(1) \oplus H(k)$ .

$$\kappa := \sup_{x \in D} \sqrt{k(x, x)} < \infty.$$

Spaces of multivariate functions: For  $u \in \mathcal{U} := \{u \subset \mathbb{N} : |u| < \infty\}$  put

$$k_u(\mathbf{x}_u, \mathbf{y}_u) := \prod_{j \in u} k(x_j, y_j), \quad \mathbf{x}_u = (x_j)_{j \in u}, \mathbf{y}_u = (y_j)_{j \in u} \in D^u.$$

Then  $H(k_u) = \bigotimes_{j \in u} H(k)$ .

Spaces of  $\infty$ -variate functions:  $(\gamma_u)_{u \in \mathcal{U}}$  weights satisfying

$$\sum_{u \in \mathcal{U}} \gamma_u \kappa^{2|u|} < \infty \quad (\text{summability condition}),$$

$$K_\gamma(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) := \sum_{u \in \mathcal{U}} \gamma_u k_u(\mathbf{x}_u, \mathbf{y}_u), \quad \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in D^{\mathbb{N}}.$$

Then  $f \in H(K_\gamma)$  has orthogonal function decomposition

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{u \in \mathcal{U}} f_u(\mathbf{x}_u), \quad f_u \in H(k_u), \quad \text{with} \quad \|f\|_{K_\gamma}^2 = \sum_{u \in \mathcal{U}} \gamma_u^{-1} \|f_u\|_{k_u}^2.$$

## Examples of Classes of Weights

$(\gamma_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$  non-increasing sequence in  $]0, \infty[$ .

(i) **Product weights** [Sloan & Woźniakowski'98]:

$$\gamma_u := \prod_{j \in u} \gamma_j .$$

(ii) **Product and order dependent (POD) weights** [Kuo, Sloan & Schwab'12] :

$$\gamma_u := \Gamma_{|u|} \prod_{j \in u} \gamma_j ,$$

where  $1 \leq \Gamma_k \leq c \cdot (k!)^b$  for  $c > 0$  and  $b < \text{dec}((\gamma_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}})$ , where

$$\text{dec}((\gamma_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}) := \sup \left\{ t \geq 0 : \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \gamma_j^{1/t} < \infty \right\} \quad (\text{"polynomial decay rate"})$$

(**Example:**  $\gamma_j \asymp j^{-\alpha} \ln(j)^\beta \implies \text{dec}((\gamma_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}) = \alpha$ .)

**Lemma 1a.**  $\gamma$  product or POD weights,  $C > 0$ . Then

$$\sum_{u \in \mathcal{U}} \gamma_u C^{2|u|} < \infty \iff \sum_{u \in \mathcal{U}} \gamma_u < \infty \iff \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \gamma_j < \infty .$$

## Examples of Classes of Weights

(iii) Finite-order weights of order  $\omega \in \mathbb{N}$  [Dick, Sloan, Wang & Woź.'06]:

$$\gamma_u = 0 \quad \text{for all } u \in \mathcal{U} \text{ with } |u| > \omega.$$

**Lemma 1b.**  *$\gamma$  finite order weights of order  $\omega$ ,  $C > 0$ . Then*

$$\sum_{u \in \mathcal{U}} \gamma_u C^{2|u|} < \infty \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad \sum_{u \in \mathcal{U}} \gamma_u < \infty.$$

**Remark.** For summable (general) weights  $\gamma$ :

$$H(K_\gamma) = \bigotimes_{j \in \mathbb{N}} H(1 + \gamma_j k) \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad \gamma \text{ are product weights.}$$

## Approximation Problem: Solution Operator, Algorithms & Cost

Solution operator:  $B(D^{\mathbb{N}})$  space of bounded fcts. on  $D^{\mathbb{N}}$  with norm  $\|\cdot\|_{\infty}$ .

$$S_{\gamma} : H(K_{\gamma}) \rightarrow B(D^{\mathbb{N}}), f \mapsto f,$$

$$\|S_{\gamma}\| = \sup_{\mathbf{x} \in D^{\mathbb{N}}} \sqrt{K_{\gamma}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x})} = \sum_{u \in \mathcal{U}} \gamma_u \kappa^{2|u|} < \infty.$$

Admissible algorithms: Linear algorithms

$$A_n(f) = \sum_{i=1}^n f(\mathbf{x}^{(i)}) g_i, \quad \mathbf{x}^{(i)} \in D^{\mathbb{N}}, g_i \in B(D^{\mathbb{N}}).$$

Cost: Fix default value  $a \in D$ .

Unrestricted Subspace Sampling [Kuo, Sloan, Wasilkowski, Woźniakowski'10a]

$$\text{cost}(A_n) := \sum_{i=1}^n |\{j \in \mathbb{N} : x_j^{(i)} \neq a\}|$$

## Approximation Problem: Error Criterion

Error criterion: Worst-case error

$$e(A_n; S_\gamma, K_\gamma) := \sup_{\|f\|_{K_\gamma} \leq 1} \|A(f) - f\|_\infty$$

$n$ -th minimal randomized error:

$$e(n, K_\gamma) := \inf\{e(A; S_\gamma, K_\gamma) : A \text{ adm. alg.}, \text{cost}(A) \leq n\}$$

“(Polynomial) Convergence rate” of  $e(n, K_\gamma)$ :

$$\text{dec}(K_\gamma) := \text{dec}((e(n, K_\gamma))_{n \in \mathbb{N}})$$

## Analysis of Algorithms

**Task:** Analyze the error of a promising adm. algorithm  $A$  on  $H(K_\gamma)$ .

**Problem:** Norm on  $H(K_\gamma)$  may not be well-suited for error analysis.

**Remedy:** Find RK  $\hat{k}$  on  $D$  and weights  $\gamma^\uparrow$ , s.t. corresponding kernel  $\hat{K}_{\gamma^\uparrow}$  on  $D^\mathbb{N}$  induces norm on  $H(\hat{K}_{\gamma^\uparrow})$  appropriate for error analysis and

$$H(K_\gamma) \hookrightarrow H(\hat{K}_{\gamma^\uparrow}).$$



# How to Find a Suitable Embedding Space $H(\widehat{K}_{\gamma^\uparrow})$ ?

Step I: Choose suitable kernel  $\widehat{k}$  on  $D$ .

Take  $\widehat{k}$  with  $H(1) \cap H(\widehat{k}) = \{0\}$  and  $H(1+k) = H(1+\widehat{k})$  as vector spaces that has features supporting the error analysis.

**Example 1.** For  $a \in D$  exists *always* kernel  $\widehat{k}$  s.t.  $\widehat{k}(a, a) = 0$  (“**anchor condition**”) and  $H(1+k) = H(1+\widehat{k})$  as vector spaces.

$\gamma^\uparrow$  weights with  $\sum_{u \in \mathcal{U}} \gamma_u^\uparrow \widehat{\kappa}^{2|u|} < \infty$ , where  $\widehat{\kappa} := \sup_{x \in D} \sqrt{\widehat{k}(x, x)}$ .

Then  $\widehat{K}_{\gamma^\uparrow}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{a}) = 0$  and decomposition

$$f = \sum_{u \subset_f \mathbb{N}} f_u, \quad f_u \in H(\widehat{k}_u),$$

on  $H(\widehat{K}_{\gamma^\uparrow})$  is **anchored decomposition** (or **cut HDMR**) of  $f$ .

It can be **calculated directly** as

$$f_u(\mathbf{x}) := \sum_{v \subseteq u} (-1)^{|u \setminus v|} f(\mathbf{x}_v, \mathbf{a}_{\mathbb{N} \setminus v}),$$

cf. [Kuo, Sloan, Wasilkowski, Woźniakowski’10b].

Anchored decomposition is, e.g., helpful if function decomposition should be explicitly calculated or if one wants to use Taylor expansion.

## How to Find a Suitable Embedding Space $H(\widehat{K}_{\gamma^\uparrow})$ ?

**Example 2.** Let  $\mu$  probability measure on  $D$  and  $k$  measurable. There exists *always* RK  $\widehat{k}$  s.t.

$$\int_D \widehat{k}(x, y) d\mu(y) = 0 \quad \text{for all } x \in D. \quad (\text{"ANOVA condition"})$$

and  $H(1 + k) = H(1 + \widehat{k})$  as vector spaces.

$\gamma^\uparrow$  weights with  $\sum_{u \in \mathcal{U}} \gamma_u^\uparrow \widehat{\kappa}^{2|u|} < \infty$ , where  $\widehat{\kappa} := \sup_{x \in D} \sqrt{\widehat{k}(x, x)}$ .

Then  $H(\widehat{K}_{\gamma^\uparrow}) \subset L^2(D^{\mathbb{N}}, \mu^{\mathbb{N}})$  and decomposition

$$f = \sum_{u \subset_f \mathbb{N}} f_u, \quad f_u \in H(\widehat{k}_u),$$

on  $H(\widehat{K}_{\gamma^\uparrow})$  is ( $\infty$ -variate) ANOVA decomposition of  $f$ .

In particular,

$$\|f\|_{L^2(D^{\mathbb{N}}, \mu^{\mathbb{N}})}^2 = \sum_{u \in \mathcal{U}} \|f_u\|_{L^2(D^u, \mu^u)}^2 \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Var}(f) = \sum_{u \in \mathcal{U}} \text{Var}(f_u).$$

ANOVA decomposition is, e.g., helpful for  $L^2$ -approximation or for analysis of unbiased randomized algorithms (error = variance).

## How to Find a Suitable Embedding Space $H(\hat{K}_{\gamma^\uparrow})$ ?

Step II: Choose suitable weights  $\gamma^\uparrow$ .

Let  $C$  denote norm of embedding  $H(k) \hookrightarrow H(1 + \hat{k})$ .

Assume  $\gamma$  satisfies

$$\sum_{v \in \mathcal{U}} \gamma_v C^{2|v|} < \infty.$$

Define

$$\gamma_u^\uparrow := \sum_{u \subseteq v \in \mathcal{U}} \gamma_v C^{2|v|}$$

**Theorem 1.** Let  $\hat{K}_{\gamma^\uparrow}$  weighted RK on  $D^{\mathbb{N}}$  corresponding to  $\hat{k}$  and  $\gamma^\uparrow$ . Then

$$H(K_\gamma) \hookrightarrow H(\hat{K}_{\gamma^\uparrow})$$

with embedding norm at most 1.

**Lemma 1.** If  $\gamma$  are product weights, POD weights, or finite-order weights then

$$\text{dec}(\gamma) = \text{dec}(\gamma^\uparrow).$$

## How to Attack the $L^\infty$ -Approximation Problem?

For given RK  $k$  and weights  $\gamma$  as above assume  $k$  anchored in default value  $a \in D$ . Resulting anchored space  $H(K_\gamma)$  well-suited for analysis of

**Multivariate decomposition method (MDM):**

For  $f \in H(K_\gamma)$  denote its anchored decomposition by

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{u \in \mathcal{U}} f_{u,a}(\mathbf{x}_u).$$

- 1 For given error tolerance  $\varepsilon$  choose finite set  $\mathcal{A}(\varepsilon) \subset \mathcal{U}$  of most important groups of variables.
- 2 Choose for each  $u \in \mathcal{A}(\varepsilon)$  linear algorithm  $A_{u,n_u}$  using  $n_u$  samples to approximate  $S(f_{u,a}) = f_{u,a}$ .

Final algorithm  $A^{\text{MDM}}$  is of form

$$A^{\text{MDM}}(f) = \sum_{u \in \mathcal{A}(\varepsilon)} A_{u,n_u}(f_{u,a})$$

## Multivariate Decomposition Method

MDM *aka* **changing dimension algorithm** for  $\infty$ -variate setting introduced in

- Kuo, Sloan, Wasilkowski & Woźniakowski'10a; Plaskota & Wasilkowski'11 ( $\infty$ -variate integration)
- Wasilkowski & Woźniakowski'11a, '11b ( $\infty$ -variate approximation).

Further papers on MDM include: Wasilkowski'12; G.'13; Dick & G.'14a, 14b; Plaskota & Wasilkowski'14; Wasilkowski'14; Gilbert & Wasilkowski'17; G., Hefter, Hinrichs & Ritter'17; G., Hefter, Hinrichs, Ritter & Wasilkowski'17; Kuo, Nuyens, Plaskota, Sloan, Wasilkowski'17; Gilbert, Kuo, Nuyens & Wasilkowski'18; G., Hefter, Hinrichs, Ritter & Wasilkowski'19; G. & Wnuk'20; G., Hinrichs, Ritter & Rüßmann'24...

Similar idea used for **multivariate integration** in Griebel & Holtz'10 (**dimension-wise quadrature methods**).

## Result for Anchored Kernels and POD Weights

“Clean result” in the case of POD weights (we have also results for “general weights”, but they are a bit more technical...):

**Theorem 2.** Assume  $\gamma$  are summable POD weights. Let  $k$  be bounded RK anchored in  $a \in D$  and  $K_\gamma$  corresponding weighted RK. Then

$$\text{dec}(K_\gamma) = \min \left( \text{dec}(1 + k), \frac{\text{dec}(\gamma) - 1}{2} \right),$$

where  $\text{dec}(1 + k)$  denotes convergence rate of  $n$ th minimal errors of univariate  $L^\infty$ -approximation on  $H(1 + k)$ .

**Remark.** (i) Upper bound on  $\text{dec}(K_\gamma^a)$  can be derived from corresponding bound for integration problem for product weights from Kuo, Sloan, Wasilkowski, Woźniakowski'10a.

(ii) In special case where  $H(K_\gamma)$  is Sobolev space of dominating mixed smoothness  $r \in \mathbb{N}$  the lower bound on  $\text{dec}(K_\gamma^a)$  was proved in Wasilkowski'14; in that case  $\text{dec}(1 + k) = r - 1/2$ . (He actually considered  $L^q$ -approximation for  $L^p$ -Sobolev spaces for  $1 \leq p, q \leq \infty$ .)

## Result for Anchored Kernels and POD Weights

For given bounded RK  $k$  and summable POD weights  $\gamma$  choose corresponding RK  $\hat{k}$  anchored in default value  $a \in D$  and corresponding weights  $\gamma^\uparrow$ .

Resulting space  $H(K_{\gamma^\uparrow})$  is anchored in  $\mathbf{a}$ , but weights  $\gamma^\uparrow$  are not necessarily POD weights anymore... but there exist POD weights  $\eta, \zeta$  s.t.

$$\eta_u \leq \gamma_u^\uparrow \leq \zeta_u \quad \text{for all } u \in \mathcal{U} \quad \text{and} \quad \text{dec}(\eta) = \text{dec}(\gamma^\uparrow) = \text{dec}(\zeta).$$

**Corollary 1.** Assume  $\gamma$  are POD weights. Let  $k$  be bounded RK and  $K_\gamma$  corresponding weighted RK. Then

$$\text{dec}(K_\gamma) = \min \left( \text{dec}(1 + k), \frac{\text{dec}(\gamma) - 1}{2} \right),$$

where  $\text{dec}(1 + k)$  denotes convergence rate of  $n$ th minimal errors of univariate  $L^\infty$ -approximation on  $H(1 + k)$ .

*Proof.* Theorem 2, applied to  $H(\hat{K}_\zeta)$ , and  $H(K_\gamma) \hookrightarrow H(\hat{K}_{\gamma^\uparrow}) \hookrightarrow H(\hat{K}_\zeta)$  yield the lower bound on  $\text{dec}(K_\gamma)$ .

Upper bound on  $\text{dec}(K_\gamma)$  can be derived from corresponding upper bound for integration for corresponding product weights in G., Hefter, Hinrichs, Ritter'17.

## Appendix: Some Properties of the Manipulated Weights $\gamma^\uparrow$

For  $u \in \mathcal{U}$  define Difference Operator  $\Delta_u$  via

$$\Delta_u \gamma := \gamma_v - \gamma_{u \cap v} \quad \text{for all weights } \gamma, v \in \mathcal{U}.$$

Weights  $\gamma$  are *completely monotone* if

$$(\Delta_{u_n} \Delta_{u_{n-1}} \cdots \Delta_{u_1} \gamma)_v \geq 0$$

for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , all  $u_1, \dots, u_n \in \mathcal{U}$ , and all  $v \in \mathcal{U}$ .

**Assumption:**  $(\gamma_u)_{u \in \mathcal{U}}$  weights satisfying  $\sum_{u \in \mathcal{U}} \gamma_u \kappa^{2|u|} < \infty$

Then  $\gamma^\uparrow$  are completely monotone.

Define  $\gamma^\downarrow$  via

$$\gamma_u^\downarrow := C^{-2|u|} \cdot \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{u \subseteq v \in \mathcal{U}} (-1)^{|v| - |u|} \gamma_v, \quad u \in \mathcal{U}.$$

Then

$$((\gamma^\uparrow)^\downarrow)_u = \gamma_u \quad \text{for all } u \in \mathcal{U}.$$