

Recall:

□ The curvature map, R , is defined through:

$$R: \xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3 \rightarrow R(\xi_1, \xi_2)\xi_3 = (\nabla_{\xi_1}\nabla_{\xi_2} - \nabla_{\xi_2}\nabla_{\xi_1} - \nabla_{[\xi_1, \xi_2]})\xi_3$$

$\xrightarrow{R(\xi_1, \xi_2)}$

↳ So, R can stand for the tensor, the map and this R !

□ 1st Bianchi Identity:

$$\sum_{\text{cyclic}} R(\xi, \eta)v = \sum_{\text{cyclic}} (\nabla_{\xi}(\nabla_{\eta}v) - \nabla_{\eta}(\nabla_{\xi}v))$$

□ 2nd Bianchi Identity:

$$\sum_{\text{cyclic}} (\nabla_{\xi}R)(\eta, v) + R(\nabla_{\xi}\eta, v) = 0$$

In a chart? (Assuming no torsion, and using $\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}$, dx^i bases)

1st Bianchi: $\sum_{(jke)} R^i{}_{jke} = 0$

\uparrow cyclic sum

2nd Bianchi: $\sum_{(k\ell m)} R^i{}_{jke; m} = 0$

\uparrow cyclic sum

Other useful properties:

(Note: This antisymmetry will be useful because it allows one to view R as a 2-form, which is (1,1) tensor-valued)

- $R^i{}_{jke} = -R^i{}_{jek}$
- $R_{ijke} = -R_{jike}$
- $R_{ijke} = R_{k\ell ij}$

$$\left(\begin{aligned} \langle R(\xi, \eta)v, \xi \rangle &= \langle R(\xi, \eta)\xi, v \rangle \\ \langle R(\xi, \eta)v, \xi \rangle &= -\langle R(v, \xi)\xi, \eta \rangle \end{aligned} \right)$$

Contractions of R:

The Ricci Tensor: $R_{je} := R^i_{jil}$
 \Rightarrow clearly: $R_{je} dx^i dx^e \in T_p(M)_2$

The Curvature Scalar: $R := g^{je} R_{je}$

Then, 2nd Bianchi identity implies:

$$(R_i^k - \frac{1}{2} \delta_i^k R)_{;k} = 0$$

\Rightarrow The so-called "Einstein tensor" $G_i^k := R_i^k - \frac{1}{2} \delta_i^k R$ obeys:

$$G_i^k{}_{;k} = 0 \quad \left(\begin{array}{l} \text{this property was crucial} \\ \text{guidance for Einstein, as} \\ \text{we will see} \end{array} \right)$$

Recall strategy:

□ Specified $g \Rightarrow$ specified distances in M
 \Rightarrow implicitly specified "shape" of M

Then, alternatively:

□ Specified $\nabla \Rightarrow$ specified parallel transport in M
 \Rightarrow specified "shape" of M , namely:

∇ specifies Torsion T and Curvature R .

Now assume a manifold is specified by giving a metric g .

There ought to exist a ∇ which describes the same manifold.

How does g determine ∇ ?

Idea: The parallel transport of vectors η, v must be such that their inner product (i.e. their lengths and relative angles) stays constant:

Consider any path γ and any two vector fields η, v that are parallel transported along γ , i.e., for which:

(i.e., autoparallel to γ)

$$\nabla_{\dot{\gamma}} \eta(\gamma(t)) = 0, \quad \nabla_{\dot{\gamma}} v(\gamma(t)) = 0 \quad \text{for all } t.$$

Then, require: $\frac{d}{dt} (g(\gamma(t))_{bc} \eta^b(\gamma(t)) v^c(\gamma(t))) = 0$

$$\text{i.e.: } 0 = \dot{\gamma}^a (g_{bc} \eta^b v^c)_{;a} = \dot{\gamma}^a (g_{bc;a} \eta^b v^c + g_{bc} \eta^b_{;a} v^c + g_{bc} \eta^b v^c_{;a})$$

$\nabla_{\dot{\gamma}} \langle \eta, v \rangle$
 by ∇ obeying Leibniz rule
 because $\nabla_{\dot{\gamma}} \eta = 0$
 because $\nabla_{\dot{\gamma}} v = 0$

$$\Rightarrow 0 = g_{bc;a} \dot{\gamma}^a \eta^b v^c \quad \text{for all arbitrary } \dot{\gamma}, \eta, v!$$

\Rightarrow Compatibility of ∇ with g means:

$$\nabla_{\xi} g = 0 \quad \text{for all } \xi$$

Is there a ∇ for each choice of g ? Indeed:

Fund. theorem of (pseudo) Riemannian geometry:

For each (pseudo) Riemannian manifold (M, g) there exists a unique ∇ that is torsionless and compatible with g , i.e., which obeys $\nabla g = 0$, the Levi-Civita connection.

More generally: $\forall (M, g)$ and a tensor field T with $T^k_{ij} = -T^k_{ji}$ there is a metric-preserving ∇ whose torsion is T .

In a chart: How to obtain the Levi-Civita ∇ from g ?

$$\nabla g = 0 \text{ means } g_{\mu\nu,\alpha} - g_{\mu\beta}\Gamma^{\beta}_{\nu\alpha} - g_{\beta\nu}\Gamma^{\beta}_{\mu\alpha} = 0 \quad \text{I}$$

$$\text{i.e. } g_{\alpha\mu,\nu} - g_{\alpha\beta}\Gamma^{\beta}_{\mu\nu} - g_{\beta\mu}\Gamma^{\beta}_{\alpha\nu} = 0 \quad \text{II}$$

$$\text{and } g_{\nu\alpha,\mu} - g_{\nu\beta}\Gamma^{\beta}_{\alpha\mu} - g_{\beta\alpha}\Gamma^{\beta}_{\nu\mu} = 0 \quad \text{III}$$

$$\text{take: } \frac{1}{2}(-\text{I} + \text{II} + \text{III})$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{1}{2}(g_{\alpha\mu,\nu} + g_{\nu\alpha,\mu} - g_{\mu\nu,\alpha}) = g_{\alpha\beta}\Gamma^{\beta}_{\nu\mu}$$

$$\underline{\text{Thus:}} \quad \Gamma^{\beta}_{\nu\mu} = \frac{1}{2}g^{\alpha\beta}(g_{\alpha\mu,\nu} + g_{\nu\alpha,\mu} - g_{\mu\nu,\alpha})$$

\uparrow "Levi-Civita" connection or also called "Riemannian" connection.

Upgrade the math:

□ Make use of arbitrary bases e_i, θ^i in (co-) tangent spaces: frames

□ Allow forms to be tensor-valued: obtain, e.g., torsion and curvature forms. Also: connection forms.

\Rightarrow We will obtain powerful, simple equations that relate $\nabla, g, R, \mathcal{T}$. (Even the Bianchi identities will look simple.)

Now: Assume again that ∇ and g are still unrelated and $\mathcal{T} \neq 0$.
(possibly)

"Moving frames":

Def: A "moving frame" is a set, $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^m$, of contra variant vector fields e_i which, together, at each point $p \in M$ form a basis of $T_p(M)$.

Def: We denote the dual basis $\{\theta^i\}_{i=1}^m$.

$$\text{It obeys: } \theta^i(e_j) = \delta^i_j.$$

Def: For $n=4$ it may be called ^{german: 4 legs.} vierbein or tetrad.
(in arb. dimensions: "vielbein" = many legs)

Notice: Each co-vector $\theta^i(x)$ is a 1-form, and $d\theta^i$ is a 2-form!

Def: Collect them in a "Frame": $\theta^i \otimes e_i$, i.e. a (1,0)-tensor valued 1-form

Remark: If we choose e.g. $\theta^i(x) := dx^i$, then $d\theta^i(x) = 0$.

Remark: A general choice for the $\theta^i(x)$ can always be written in the form:

$$\theta^i(x) = \lambda(x)^i_j dx^j$$

↑ scalar coefficient functions

Def: We denote the expansion coefficients by functions C^i_{jk} :

Exercise:

Express the C^i_{jk} in terms of the λ^i_j .

$$d\theta^i = -\frac{1}{2} C^i_{jk} \theta^j \wedge \theta^k \text{ with } C^i_{jk} = -C^i_{kj}$$

convention
coefficient functions depend on choice of frame
basis for space of all 2-forms
the sym. part would drop out

Coefficients:

□ Torsion: $T^i_{\kappa\ell} := \langle \theta^i, T(e_\kappa, e_\ell) \rangle$

□ Curvature: $R^i_{j\kappa\ell} := \langle \theta^i, R(e_\kappa, e_\ell)e_j \rangle$

□ Metric: $g_{i\kappa} := g(e_i, e_\kappa) = \langle e_i, e_\kappa \rangle$

□ Christoffel: $\Gamma^i_{\kappa j} e_i := \nabla_{e_\kappa} e_j$

Consider arbitrary change of frame: (has nothing to do with a change of chart!)

□ assume $\bar{\theta}^i(x) = A^i_j(x) \theta^j(x)$

□ then: $\bar{e}_i(x) = (A^{-1})^j_i(x) e_j(x)$

↖ (because we chose bases that are dual: $\bar{\theta}^i(\bar{e}_j) = \delta^i_j$)

Another step towards more abstract formulation:

Tensor-valued p-forms:

Def: A (r,s) -tensor-valued p-form ϕ is an anti-symmetric p-multilinear mapping at each $q \in M$:

$$\phi: \underbrace{T_q(M)^r \times \dots \times T_q(M)^s}_{p \text{ factors}} \rightarrow T_q(M)^r_s$$

Def: The p-forms $\phi_{j_1, \dots, j_p}^{i_1, \dots, i_r} := \phi(\theta^{i_1}, \dots, \theta^{i_r}, e_{j_1}, \dots, e_{j_p})$ are called the component p-forms relative to the basis $\{\theta^i, e_j\}$.

Special cases:

□ (r,s) tensors are (r,s) tensor-valued 0-forms.

□ p-forms are $(0,0)$ tensor-valued forms.

Torsion 2-form:

- We recall that $T(\xi, \eta) = -T(\eta, \xi) \Rightarrow$ can define the torsion's $(1,0)$ tensor-valued 2-form through its action on 2 vector fields ξ, η :

"torsion 2-form" $\rightarrow \underbrace{\Theta^i(\xi, \eta)}_{\substack{\text{the 2 form } \Theta^i \\ \text{fed 2 vectors to} \\ \text{yield a vector}}} e_i := J(\xi, \eta)$

- Given a frame:

$\Theta^i = \frac{1}{2} J^i_{ke} \theta^k \wedge \theta^e$ ← using their antisymmetry

Curvature 2-form:

- We recall that also $R(\xi, \eta) = -R(\eta, \xi)$

\Rightarrow can define curvature's $(1,1)$ tensor-valued 2-form:

"curvature 2-form" $\rightarrow \underbrace{\Omega^i_j(\xi, \eta)}_{\substack{\text{numbers} \\ \text{tangent vector}}} e_i := R(\xi, \eta) \underbrace{e_j}_{\text{tangent vector}}$

recall that in canonical basis:
 $R^i_{jkl} = -R^i_{jlk}$

Recall: $R: \xi \wedge \eta \rightarrow \nabla_\xi \nabla_\eta e_j - \nabla_\eta \nabla_\xi e_j - \nabla_{[\xi, \eta]} e_j$

- Given a frame $\{\theta^i\}_{i=1}^m$:

$$\Omega^i_j = \frac{1}{2} R^i_{jke} \theta^k \wedge \theta^e$$

The connection as a form?

□ Nontrivial because:

1. Christoffels $\Gamma^i_{\kappa j} e_i := \nabla_{e_\kappa} e_j$
are not tensors to start with!

2. $\Gamma^i_{\kappa j}$ is not antisym. in any indices,
so can't be a 2-form (but can be 1-form):

□ Define the connection 1-forms ω^i_j : $\omega^i_j := \Gamma^i_{\kappa j} \theta^\kappa$

Thus:

$$\underbrace{\nabla_\xi e_j}_{\text{vector}} = \underbrace{\omega^i_j(\xi)}_{\text{vector}} \underbrace{e_i}_{\text{vector}}$$

(because $\nabla_{\xi^\kappa} e_j = \xi^\kappa \nabla_{e_\kappa} e_j$)

□ Proposition: cov. deriv. for covectors reads

$$\nabla_\xi \theta^i = -\omega^i_j(\xi) \theta^j$$

Proof: $0 = \nabla_\xi \langle \theta^i, e_j \rangle \stackrel{= \delta_j^i}{=} \langle \nabla_\xi \theta^i, e_j \rangle + \langle \theta^i, \nabla_\xi e_j \rangle$
Leibniz rule and using that ∇ and contractions commute

$$= \langle \nabla_\xi \theta^i, e_j \rangle + \langle \theta^i, \omega^k_j(\xi) e_k \rangle \quad (*)$$

$= \omega^i_j(\xi)$ because $\langle \theta^i, e_k \rangle = \delta^i_k$

\Rightarrow indeed:

$$\nabla_\xi \theta^i = -\omega^i_j(\xi) \theta^j$$

Contract with $\langle \cdot, e_j \rangle$
to verify that this is Eq. (*)



Connection 1-forms are non-tensorial:

Proposition: Under change of frame $\bar{\theta}^i(x) = A^i_j(x) \theta^j(x)$
the transformation is:

$$\bar{\omega}^a_b = \underbrace{A^a_i}_{1\text{-form}} \underbrace{\omega^i_j}_{1\text{-form}} \underbrace{A^{-1j}_b}_{\text{functions}} - \underbrace{(dA^a_i)}_{1\text{-form}} \underbrace{(A^{-1})^i_b}_{\text{functions}} = \xi(A^a_b)$$

matrix inverse.

Proof:

$$\begin{aligned} -\bar{\omega}(\xi)_b \bar{\theta}^b &= \nabla_\xi \bar{\theta}^a = \nabla_\xi (A^a_b \theta^b) = (dA^a_b(\xi)) \theta^b + A^a_b \nabla_\xi \theta^b \\ &= dA^a_b(\xi) \theta^b - A^a_b \omega(\xi)_c \theta^c \\ &= dA^a_b(\xi) A^{-1c}_b \bar{\theta}^c - A^a_b \omega(\xi)_c A^{-1c}_d \bar{\theta}^d \end{aligned}$$

true for all $\bar{\theta} \Rightarrow$ proposition above. ✓

The "absolute exterior differential" D:

(It generalizes both ∇ and d)

□ Proposition: (proof, see e.g. Straumann: check tensorial behaviour under frame change)

For every (r,s) tensor-valued p -form ϕ there exists a unique (r,s) tensor-valued $(p+1)$ form $D\phi$ whose components relative to $\{\theta^i\}$ are:

$$(D\phi)^{i_1 \dots i_p}_{j_1 \dots j_s} = \underbrace{d\phi^{i_1 \dots i_p}_{j_1 \dots j_s}}_{p+1 \text{ form}} + \underbrace{\omega^{\ell i_1}}_{1 \text{ form}} \wedge \underbrace{\phi^{\ell i_2 \dots i_p}_{j_1 \dots j_s}}_{p \text{ form}} + \dots - \omega^{\ell j_1} \wedge \phi^{\ell i_1 \dots i_p}_{\ell, \dots, j_s} - \dots \quad (*)$$

Proposition: D is an anti-derivation: degree of ϕ

$$D(\phi \wedge \psi) = D\phi \wedge \psi + (-1)^p \phi \wedge D\psi$$

Special cases:

• An ordinary p -form is $(0,p)$ tensor-valued.

In this case, clearly:

$$D = d$$

• An ordinary tensor field is a tensor-valued 0-form. In this case:

$$D = \nabla$$

Exercise: Verify

Hint: Choose frame $\theta^i = dx^i$, use $\omega^i_j = \Gamma^i_{kj} \theta^k$, then show $(*)$ implies indeed:

$$\phi^i_{j_1 \dots j_p} = \phi^i_{j_1 \dots j_p k} + \Gamma^i_{kl} \phi^l_{j_1 \dots j_p} + \dots - \Gamma^l_{kj} \phi^i_{l j_2 \dots j_p} - \dots$$

How are $\omega, g, \Theta, \Omega$ related now?

Proposition: (Exercise: check)

An affine connection ∇ is metric, if and only if $Dg = 0$, i.e., iff:

$$dg_{ik} - \omega_{ik} - \omega_{ki} = 0$$

(0,2) tensor-valued 1-form

They express torsion and curvature in terms of the connection

Theorem: "The Cartan structure equations"

In special case of frame $\theta^i = dx^i$:

$$J^i_{kj} = \Gamma^i_{kj} - \Gamma^i_{jk}$$

1.)

$$\Theta^i = d\theta^i + \omega^i_j \wedge \theta^j \quad \text{i.e.} \quad \Theta^i = D\theta^i$$

= 0 for metric connection

Torsion $\Theta = \Theta^i_{ab} \theta^a \wedge \theta^b$ (1,0) tensor-valued 2-form

(The frame, $\theta = \theta^i e_i$, is a (1,0) tensor-valued 1-form. notice the upper index clear)

2.)

$$\Omega^i_j = d\omega^i_j + \omega^i_k \wedge \omega^k_j$$

$$R^i_{jkl} = \Gamma^i_{kl,j} - \Gamma^i_{kj,l} + \Gamma^m_{kl} \Gamma^i_{jm} - \Gamma^m_{kj} \Gamma^i_{lm}$$

Proof of 2.:

$$\begin{aligned}\Omega^i_j(\xi, \eta)e_i &= \nabla_\xi \nabla_\eta e_j - \nabla_\eta \nabla_\xi e_j - \nabla_{[\xi, \eta]} e_j \\ &= \nabla_\xi (\omega^i_j(\eta)e_i) - \nabla_\eta (\omega^i_j(\xi)e_i) - \omega^i_j([\xi, \eta])e_i \\ &= \left(\xi(\omega^i_j(\eta)) - \eta(\omega^i_j(\xi)) - \omega^i_j([\xi, \eta]) \right) e_i \\ &\quad + \left(\omega^i_j(\eta) \omega^k_i(\xi) - \omega^i_j(\xi) \omega^k_i(\eta) \right) e_k \\ &= d\omega^i_j(\xi, \eta)e_i + (\omega^i_k \wedge \omega^k_j)(\xi, \eta)e_i\end{aligned}$$

Exercise: Fill in all steps.

true for all $\xi, \eta, e_i \Rightarrow \checkmark$

Use of the Cartan Structure equations?

- Allow proof of simple formulation of the Bianchi identities:

1st Bianchi: $D\Theta^i = \Omega^i_j \wedge \theta^j$

2nd Bianchi: $D\Omega^i_j = 0$

\hookrightarrow i.e. "Riemannian", i.e. "Levi-Civita", without torsion.

- Thus, for metric connection, i.e. when $dg_{ik} = \omega_{ik} + \omega_{ki}$ and $\Theta^i = 0$ (same as $\nabla g = 0$, and $\Gamma_{ij} = \Gamma_{ji}$)

then:

$$\begin{aligned}\Omega^i_j \wedge \theta^j &= 0 \\ D\Omega^i_j &= 0\end{aligned}$$

Proposition:

- In the case of metric connection, the Cartan equations yield for arbitrary bases:

$$\Gamma_{ki}^l = \frac{1}{2} \left(C_{ki}^l - g_{is} g^{sj} C_{kj}^s - g_{ks} g^{sj} C_{ij}^s \right) + \frac{1}{2} g^{ij} (g_{ij,k} + g_{jk,i} - g_{ki,j})$$

$\Gamma_{ki}^l = 0$ in canonical frame $\{dx^i\}$

Recall:

$$d\theta^i = -\frac{1}{2} C_{jk}^i \theta^j \wedge \theta^k$$

convention
coeff. ient functions depend on choice of frame
basis for space of all 2-forms

- In this case, also:

$$R^i{}_{jab} = \Gamma^i{}_{bja,a} - \Gamma^i{}_{ajb,b} + \Gamma^i{}_{ae} \Gamma^e{}_{bj} - \Gamma^i{}_{be} \Gamma^e{}_{aj} - \Gamma^i{}_{ej} C_{ab}^e$$

absent in canonical frame