

QFT for Cosmology, Achim Kempf, Winter 2014, Lecture 8

The Unruh effect

(W.L. Unruh, 1976)

(Can be interpreted as showing that the very existence or non-existence of particles is sometimes observer dependent.)

The Unruh effect is the observation, by accelerated observers, of particles, even when the field is in the vacuum state in Minkowski space, i.e., even if inertial observers don't see particles.

- Intuition 1:**
- A monochromatic wave in an inertial frame is not monochromatic for an accelerated observer.
 - Thus, the accelerated observer's modes are coupled oscillators: he sees wavelengths change.
 - The oscillator's ground state is now different.

→ **Calculation strategy 1:**

- The accelerated observers' mode decomposition.
- Relate it to inertial observer's mode decomposition.
- Choose vacuum for the inertial observer
- Calculate particle production for accelerated observer
analogous to $|n_{in}\rangle \rightarrow |n_{out}\rangle$ transform for driven
harmonic oscillators' evolution above.

Intuition & Strategy 2: (for a change, we will pursue this one here)

- Consider an accelerated detector of particles.
 - Detector $:=$ a quantum system coupled to the field.
 - Detection $:=$ detector's state goes from ground state
to an excited state.
 - The detector has an accelerated charge for the field.
- \Rightarrow We expect field excitation, i.e. radiation of particles.
- \Rightarrow Field acts on detector \rightarrow detector excitation, i.e. Unruh effect.

An accelerated particle detector:

□ Consider an observer with a particle detector.

□ Definition: Let τ be the eigentime of the accelerated observer and detector.

□ Definition: We write the accelerated path as

$$X^{\mu}(\tau) = (X^0(\tau), \vec{X}(\tau))$$

□ Note: Here, X^0 and $\vec{X} = (X^1, X^2, X^3)$ are the observer and detector's coordinates in a cartesian coordinate system of an inertial observer.

□ Examples:

* An observer at rest has: $X^\mu(\tau) = (\tau, 0, 0, 0)$

* Case of constant velocity:

$$X^\mu(\tau) = (a\tau, \vec{b}\tau)$$

with $a^2 - \vec{b}^2 = 1$. Exercise: verify

* Case of constant acceleration in the x-direction:

$$X^0(\tau) = \alpha \sinh(\tau/\alpha)$$

$$X^1(\tau) = \alpha (1 + \sinh(\tau/\alpha))^2$$

$$X^2(\tau) = X^3(\tau) = 0$$

Exercise: □ verify that $\ddot{X}^\mu \ddot{X}_\mu = \text{const}$

(i.e. for still small velocities)

□ show that for $\tau \ll 1$: $X(\tau) \approx (\tau, a + b\tau^2)$

The quantum field

□ We assume that the detector can detect particles of a Klein Gordon field $\hat{\phi}$.

□ We assume that, for an inertial observer the field $\hat{\phi}$ is in the Minkowski vacuum. Recall:

$$\hat{\phi}(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} \int e^{i\vec{k}\cdot\vec{x}} \hat{\phi}_k(x^0) d^3k \quad \text{with} \quad \hat{\phi}_k(x^0) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(V_k^+(x^0) a_k + V_k^-(x^0) a_k^\dagger \right)$$

$$\text{and } V_k^-(x^0) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\omega_k}} e^{i\omega_k x^0} \quad \text{with} \quad \omega_k = \sqrt{\vec{k}^2 + m^2}.$$

□ Thus:

$$\hat{\phi}(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} \int \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\omega_k}} e^{i\omega_k x^0 + i\vec{k}\cdot\vec{x}} a_k + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\omega_k}} e^{-i\omega_k x^0 + i\vec{k}\cdot\vec{x}} a_{-k}^\dagger \right) d^3k$$

The accelerated detector

□ Assume that the accelerated observer's detector is a quantum system with discrete energy levels:

$$E_0, E_1, E_2, \dots$$

□ Examples:

* An atom

* An oscillator such as the diatomic molecule H_2 .

□ The quantum system thus consists of two subsystems, with two Hamiltonians:

$$H^{\text{total}} = H_b^{\text{detector}} \otimes \mathbb{1} + \mathbb{1} \otimes H_b^{\text{field}} + H^{\text{interaction}}$$

□ The Hilbert space factorizes:

$$\mathcal{H}^{\text{total}} = \mathcal{H}^{\text{detector}} \otimes \mathcal{H}^{\text{field}}$$

□ The interaction Hamiltonian $H^{\text{interaction}}$ consists of operators of both subsystems, for example:

$$H^{\text{interaction}}(\tau) = \epsilon(\tau) \hat{Q}(\tau) \hat{\phi}(\mathbf{x}(\tau), \vec{\mathbf{x}}(\tau))$$

Detector efficiency

(can also be used

as on/off switch)

An observable

of the detector's

quantum system

The field $\hat{\phi}$

at the current

detector location

□ Examples: $H^{\text{int}}(\tau) = \hat{S}_3(\tau) \hat{B}_3(\mathbf{x}(\tau))$

detector is a spin.

field is magnetic field.

$$\text{or: } H^{\text{int}}(\tau) = -\frac{e}{mc} \hat{\mathbf{p}}_i \cdot \hat{\mathbf{A}}(\mathbf{x}(\tau))$$

(use Axial gauge: $\partial_i A^i = 0$)

Time evolution

□ If we (realistically) assume that the detector efficiency $\epsilon(\tau)$ is small, we can use perturbation theory.

□ In this case, the Dicke picture of time evolution is convenient:

* Operators evolve according to

$$\hat{H}_{\text{free}} = \hat{H}_{\text{detector}} \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \hat{H}_{\text{field}}$$

For example:

$$\hat{Q}(\tau) = e^{i\hat{H}_{\text{free}}\tau} (\hat{Q}_0 \otimes 1) e^{-i\hat{H}_{\text{free}}\tau}$$

$$= e^{i\hat{H}_{\text{detector}}\tau} \hat{Q}_0 e^{-i\hat{H}_{\text{detector}}\tau} \otimes 1$$

* States evolve according to $H^{\text{int}}(\tau)$, i. e.,

according to the time evolution operator:

$$\hat{U}(\tau) = T \exp \left(i \int_{\tau_i}^{\tau_f} H^{\text{interaction}}(\tau') d\tau' \right)$$

└ the time-ordering symbol

Perturbative ansatz

□ For small detour efficiency $\epsilon(\tau)$ we can expand:

$$\hat{U}(\tau) = 1 + i \int_{-\infty}^{\tau} \epsilon(\tau') \hat{Q}(\tau') \hat{\phi}(x(\tau'), \vec{x}(\tau')) d\tau' + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^2)$$

□ Note: We can set $\tau_i = -\infty$ since we can always switch $\epsilon(\tau)$ on or off.

Initial conditions

□ We assume that the quantum field $\hat{\phi}$ starts out in a state $|\alpha\rangle$ with $|\alpha\rangle = \text{Minkowski vacuum}$, $|\alpha\rangle = |0\rangle$, or a 1-particle state $|\alpha\rangle = |1_k\rangle$.

□ We assume that the detector starts out in its ground state $|E_0\rangle$.

□ Thus, the combined system starts out in the state:

$$|\psi_{in}\rangle = |E_0\rangle \otimes |\alpha\rangle$$

□ Time evolution:

At time τ the total system is in the state

$$|\psi(\tau)\rangle = \hat{U}(\tau) |\psi_{in}\rangle$$

Particle creation

□ The problem:

What is the probability amplitude that, if we measure at time τ the detector system will be found to have detected something, i.e., to be in an excited state $|E_n\rangle$?

□ To this end, calculate:

$$p(\tau) := \left(\langle E_n | \otimes \langle S_1 | \right) | \psi(\tau) \rangle$$

for an arbitrary end state $|S_1\rangle$ of the quantum field $\hat{\phi}$.

□ Note: We will see that not all states $|S_1\rangle$ yield a nonzero $p(\tau)$.

Total detection probability:

II The probability for detection eventually is:

$$p(\infty) \approx \langle E_n | \hat{Q} \langle \Omega | \left(1 + i \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \varepsilon(\tau) \hat{Q}(\tau) \hat{\phi}(x(\tau)) d\tau \right) | E_0 \rangle \langle \Omega | \rangle$$

(we may choose $\varepsilon(\tau)$ so as to make it finite)

Note: $\langle E_n | E_0 \rangle = 0 \Rightarrow$ 1st term vanishes \Rightarrow

$$= i \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \varepsilon(\tau) \langle E_n | \hat{Q}(\tau) | E_0 \rangle \langle \Omega | \hat{\phi}(x(\tau)) | \Omega \rangle d\tau$$

Recall: $\hat{Q}(\tau) = e^{iH_0 \tau} \hat{Q}_0 e^{-iH_0 \tau}$

↑ detector
↑ detector

$$= i \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \varepsilon(\tau) e^{i(E_a - E_0)\tau} \langle E_a | \hat{Q}_0 | E_0 \rangle \langle \Omega | \hat{\phi}(x(\tau)) | \Omega \rangle d\tau$$

Recall: $\hat{\phi}(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} \int \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\omega_k}} e^{i\omega_k x^0 - i\vec{k}\vec{x}} a_{\vec{k}}^{\dagger} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\omega_k}} e^{-i\omega_k x^0 + i\vec{k}\vec{x}} a_{\vec{k}} \right) d^3k$

The case $|\Omega\rangle = |0\rangle$:

* In $\hat{\phi}(x)$, only the terms $\sim a_{\vec{k}}^{\dagger}$ can contribute,
because $a_{\vec{k}} |0\rangle = 0$

* Thus, in $|\Omega\rangle$ only the one-particle components contribute:

$$|\Omega\rangle = \Omega |0\rangle + \int \Omega_{\vec{k}} a_{\vec{k}}^{\dagger} |0\rangle d^3k + \int \int \Omega_{\vec{k}_1 \vec{k}_2} a_{\vec{k}_1}^{\dagger} a_{\vec{k}_2}^{\dagger} |0\rangle d^3k_1 d^3k_2 + \dots$$

* Thus, let us consider a $|\Omega\rangle = a_{\vec{k}}^{\dagger} |0\rangle$:

$$\Rightarrow P(\infty) = i \frac{\langle E_n | \hat{Q}_1 | E_i \rangle}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \epsilon(\tau) e^{i(E_n - E_i)\tau} \langle 0 | a_k^+ \int \frac{e^{i\omega_k x(\tau) - i\vec{k}\cdot\vec{x}(\tau)}}{\sqrt{2\omega_k}} a_k^+ d^3k | 0 \rangle d\tau$$

Leads to:

$$\langle 0 | a_k^+ a_k^+ | 0 \rangle = \langle 0 | a_k^+ a_k + \delta^3(\vec{k} - \vec{k}) | 0 \rangle = \delta^3(\vec{k} - \vec{k})$$

\Rightarrow

$$P(\infty) = i \underbrace{\frac{\langle E_n | \hat{Q}_1 | E_i \rangle}{(2\pi)^{3/2}}}_{\text{some constant}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{i(E_n - E_i)\tau} e^{i(\omega_k x(\tau) - \vec{k}\cdot\vec{x}(\tau))} \epsilon(\tau) d\tau$$

Special case: $|d\rangle = |0\rangle$ and detector inertial:

* Choose the detector's rest frame: $x^\mu(\tau) = (\tau, 0, 0, 0)$

* Thus:

$$P(\infty) = i \frac{\langle E_n | \hat{Q}_1 | E_0 \rangle}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{i(E_n - E_0)\tau} e^{i(\omega_R x^0(\tau) - \tilde{k} \cdot \tilde{x}(\tau))} \mathcal{E}(\tau) d\tau$$

Assume $\mathcal{E}(\tau) = 1, \dots$, "always on".

$$= i \frac{\langle E_n | \hat{Q}_1 | E_0 \rangle}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{i(E_n - E_0)\tau} e^{i\omega_R \tau} d\tau$$

$$\sqrt{k^2 + m^2} > 0$$

> 0

||

$$= i \frac{\langle E_n | \hat{Q}_1 | E_0 \rangle}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} (2\pi)^{1/2} \delta(\underbrace{E_n - E_0}_{> 0} + \omega_R)$$

This cannot be 0

$$= 0$$

\Rightarrow No excitation of the detector, as expected.

Special case: $\langle \hat{x} \rangle = \langle \hat{p} \rangle$ and detector non-inertial:

□ The probability amplitude for the detector to become excited will depend on the excitation energy:

$$E_{0x} := E_n - E_0$$

□ Norming:

$$P(\infty) = \underbrace{i \frac{\langle E_n | \hat{Q}_1 | E_0 \rangle}{(2\pi)^{3/2}}}_{\text{a constant}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \underbrace{e^{i(E_n - E_0)\tau}}_{\text{Fourier factor i.e. } \sigma \text{ and } E_x} \underbrace{e^{i(\omega_R x^0(\tau) - \vec{k} \cdot \vec{x}(\tau))}}_{\text{function that is being Fourier transformed}} \mathcal{E}(\tau) d\tau$$

← one a Fourier pair (if neglecting the constant)

□ Clearly:

For generic, accelerated detectors the function

$$f(\tau) := e^{i(\omega_R X^0(\tau) - \tilde{h} \tilde{X}(\tau))} \xi(\tau)$$

possesses a Fourier transform

$$F(E_X) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{iE_X \tau} f(\tau) d\tau, \quad E_X = E_n - E_0$$

which is generally nonzero for positive E_X .

$\Rightarrow p(\infty) \sim F(\tilde{E}_X) \neq 0 \Rightarrow$ detector does get excited.
^(↑) "proportional to" (European notation) (while also the field gets excited)

Example: The constantly accelerated detector.

* One finds that the prob. of excitation is identical to the case in which the detector is in a heat bath of temperature $T \propto \alpha$ where α is the acceleration.

* For details, see e.g. text by Birn & Davies.

Remark: * Note that both the detector and the quantum field become excited. Is energy conservation violated?

* One can show that the energy stems from the accelerating agent:

* It's the case of an system with charge in time-dependent interaction with the field: An antenna where field & system get excited.

E.g.: Think of a regular antenna. If the accelerated e^- were excitable little systems, they would get excited.

Special case: $|d\rangle = |1_k\rangle$:

Recall:

Prob. amplitude for detector to get excited

$$P = i \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \epsilon(t) e^{i(E_a - E_0)t} \langle E_a | \hat{Q}_0 | E_0 \rangle \langle \Omega | \hat{\phi}(x(t)) | d \rangle dt$$

Recall: $\hat{\phi}(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} \int \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\omega_k}} e^{i\omega_k x^0 - i\vec{k}\cdot\vec{x}} a_{\vec{k}}^\dagger + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\omega_k}} e^{-i\omega_k x^0 + i\vec{k}\cdot\vec{x}} a_{\vec{k}} \right) d^3k$

\Rightarrow For $|d\rangle = |1_k\rangle = a_{\vec{k}}^\dagger |0\rangle$, we can have:

a.) $|d\rangle = |2_k\rangle$, or $|1_k, 1_{\vec{k}}\rangle$ would mean detector excites the field further

b.) $|d\rangle = |0\rangle$: Means detector absorbs a particle.
 $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{i.e., not only "detects" a particle.} \end{array} \right.$