

Recall: If we choose the bases  $\{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu}\}$ ,  $\{dx^\mu\}$ , then:

$$\text{Eg: } L_{EM} = -\frac{1}{16\pi} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu}$$



$$S[g_{\mu\nu}, \Psi] = \int \left( \frac{1}{16\pi G} R(g_{\mu\nu}(x)) + L_{\text{matter}}(g_{\mu\nu}(x), \Psi(x), \Psi_{;\mu}^{(i)}(x)) \right) \sqrt{g} d^4x$$

$$\frac{\delta S'}{\delta \Psi^{(i)}} = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \text{Eqs. of motion of matter} \\ (\text{Maxwell, Klein Gordon eqns. etc})$$

$$\frac{\delta S'}{\delta g_{\mu\nu}} = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \text{Einstein equation:}$$

$$R^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} g^{\mu\nu} R = 8\pi G T^{\mu\nu}$$

What is the Einstein equation when using a frame so that

$$g_{\mu\nu}(x) = \eta_{\mu\nu} ?$$

Recall:

□ Frames  $\{\theta^\mu\}, \{e_\mu\}$ :

Often, one uses as the bases of  $T_p(M)$ , and  $T_p(M)'$  the canonical bases  $\{dx^\mu\}$  and  $\{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu}\}$  respectively, which suggest themselves when one chooses coordinates, say  $(x^0, \dots, x^3)$ . Thus, when changing coordinate system,  $x \rightarrow \bar{x}$ , one also usually automatically changes basis in  $T_p(M), T_p(M)'$ .

**Important:** The only reason why the components of a tensor can change when we change coordinates is that we can change basis in the (co-)tangent spaces, namely from one canonical basis to another canonical basis, when we change coord. system.

Recall:  
 (a fixed vector has different coefficients in different bases):  
 $\xi^\mu \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu} = \xi^\nu \frac{\partial x^\nu}{\partial x^\mu} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\nu} = \tilde{\xi}^\nu \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\nu} \Rightarrow \tilde{\xi}^\nu = \frac{\partial x^\nu}{\partial x^\mu} \xi^\mu$

$$\xi = \xi^\mu \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu} = \tilde{\xi}^\nu \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\nu}$$

**We notice:** If we choose a fixed basis, say  $\{\theta^\nu\}, \{e_\mu\}$  then the coefficients of tensors no longer depend on the choice of coordinates!

E.g.:  $\xi = \tilde{\xi}^\nu e_\nu$  the same numbers in every coordinate system.

Conversely: Even staying with one coordinate system, we can freely change our choice of basis in the (co-)tangent spaces:

$$\theta^\nu = A^\nu_\mu \theta^\mu$$

scalar functions.

$$e'_\mu = (A^{-1})^\nu_\mu e_\nu$$

So we have e.g.:

$$\xi = \xi^\mu e_\mu = \xi^\mu A^\nu_\mu e'_\nu = \xi'^\nu e'_\nu$$

J.e.:

$$\xi'^\nu = A^\nu_\mu \xi^\mu$$

Examples:  $\square$  The curvature form:  $\Omega'^\mu_\nu = A^\mu_a (A^{-1})^\nu_b \Omega^a_b$

$\square$  But: the connection form  $\omega'^\mu_\nu(\xi) = \xi^k \Gamma^{\mu}_{\kappa\nu}$  obeys:

$$\omega'^\mu_\nu = A^\mu_a \omega^a_b (A^{-1})^\nu_c - (dA)^\mu_c (A^{-1})^\nu_c$$

## How to specify frames?

In an arbitrary coordinate system, we may specify the bases in terms of the canonical bases:

$$\theta^i(x) = A^i_j(x) dx^j$$

(Another possibility? Take  $n$  scalar functions  $f^1, \dots, f^n$  and define  $\theta^i := df^i$ . For generic functions these  $\{\theta^i\}$  will be linearly independent almost everywhere)

**Note:** the  $A^i_j(x)$  change nontrivially when changing the coordinate system!

## Our choice now: orthonormal frames, or "Tetrads"

□ We say that a frame  $\{\theta^\mu\}, \{e_\mu\}$  is orthonormal if in this frame, for all  $p \in M$ :

$$g(e_\mu, e_\nu) = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & & 0 \\ & 1 & \\ 0 & & 1 \end{pmatrix}_{\mu,\nu} = \eta_{\mu\nu} \quad \text{i.e. if: } g = -\theta^0 \otimes \theta^0 + \sum_{i=1}^3 \theta^i \otimes \theta^i$$

□ Existence? Always: At each  $p \in M$  may choose e.g.  $\theta^\mu = dx^\mu$  where  $dx^\mu$  are canonical ON basis at centre of a geodesic cds.

□ Uniqueness?

For a given space-time,  $(M, g)$ , any ON frame yields a new ON frame by transforming the bases through

$$\theta'^\mu(x) = \Lambda^\mu_\nu(x) \theta^\nu(x),$$

if the linear maps  $\Lambda(x)$  preserve the orthonormality:

$$\eta_{\mu\nu} \theta'^\mu \otimes \theta'^\nu = \eta_{ab} \theta^a \otimes \theta^b$$

i.e. if:  $\Lambda^\mu_a \Lambda^\nu_b \eta_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{ab}$  recall: this is the defining equation for Lorentz transformations. (\*)

$\Rightarrow$  Frames are unique up to local Lorentz transformations.

## Re-express the degrees of freedom:

- We used to specify space-times through these data:  $(\mathcal{M}, g)$
- Now, let us specify space-times, **equivalently**, through data  $(\mathcal{M}, \{\theta^i\})$ :

Namely:

Assume the  $\{\theta^i\}$  are given w. resp. to a basis  $\{dx^\mu\}$  through functions  $A^\mu_\nu$ ,

$$\theta^\mu(x) = A^\mu_\nu(x) dx^\nu$$

so that:  $g_{\mu\nu} = (\theta^i, \theta^j) = \eta_{ij}$  in the basis  $\{\theta^i\}$ !

Notice: knowing the  $A^\mu_\nu(x)$ , we can reconstruct  $g_{\mu\nu}(x)$  in basis  $\{dx^\mu\}$ :

We use that the abstract  $g$  is the same in every basis:

$$g = \underbrace{\eta_{ij}}_{\text{because it's tetrad}} \theta^i \otimes \theta^j = \eta_{\mu\nu} \overbrace{A^\mu_a A^\nu_b}^{= g_{ab}(x)} dx^\mu \otimes dx^\nu = g_{\mu\nu}(x) dx^\mu \otimes dx^\nu$$

$$\Rightarrow \boxed{g_{ab}(x) = \eta_{\mu\nu} A^\mu_a(x) A^\nu_b(x)}$$

$\Rightarrow \{\theta^i(x)\}$  indeed determines  $g_{\mu\nu}(x)$ :

$\Rightarrow$  The  $A^\mu_\nu(x)$  carry all physical (here shape) info!

How then does  $A^i_{\tilde{\nu}}(x)$  encode  $C^i_{jk}, \omega^i_j, \Omega^i_j$ ?

□ Start with orthonormal frame:  $\theta^i(x) = A^i_j(x) dx^j$  (\*)

1.) How do the  $A^i_j(x)$  determine the  $C^i_{jk}(x)$ ?

Recall from lecture 11:

$$d\theta^i(x) = -\frac{1}{2} C^i_{jk}(x) \theta^j(x) \wedge \theta^k(x)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Here: } d\theta^i(x) &= A^i_{j,k}(x) dx^k \wedge dx^j \quad \text{because of (*)} \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} C^i_{ab} \theta^a \wedge \theta^b = -\frac{1}{2} C^i_{ab} A^a_k A^b_j dx^k \wedge dx^j \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow A^i_{j,k} = -\frac{1}{2} C^i_{ab} A^a_k A^b_j$$

$$\Rightarrow C^i_{ab}(x) = -2 A^i_{j,k}(x) (A^{-1}(x))^j_k (A^{-1}(x))^k_b$$

2.) The  $C^i_{jk}(x)$  yield the  $\Gamma^i_{jk}(x)$  through:

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma^l_{ki} = \frac{1}{2} & \left( C^l_{ki} - g_{is} g^{sj} C^s_{kj} - g_{ks} g^{sj} C^s_{ij} \right) \quad (\text{lecture 11}) \\ & + \frac{1}{2} g^{lj} (g_{jki} + g_{jik} - g_{kij}) \quad \leftarrow \text{These all vanish because } g_{\mu\nu} = 0 \text{ now} \end{aligned}$$

Notice: This simplifies for orthonormal frames with  $g_{\mu\nu}(x) = \eta_{\mu\nu}$ !

3.) The  $\Gamma^i_{kj}(x)$  yield the  $\omega^i_j(x)$ :

$$\omega^i_j(x) := \Gamma^i_{kj}(x) \theta^k(x)$$

4.) Recall the 2<sup>nd</sup> structure equation:

$$\Omega^i_j(x) := d\omega^i_j + \omega^i_k \wedge \omega^k_j$$

$\Rightarrow$  We have:  $A^i_j \rightarrow \theta^i \rightarrow C^i_{jk} \rightarrow \Gamma^i_{jk} \rightarrow \omega^i_j \rightarrow \Omega^i_j$

## Recall important identities: (torsionless case)

□ Structure eqn. I:

$$\Theta^i = D\theta^i = d\theta^i + \omega^i_j \wedge \theta^j = 0$$

□ Structure eqn II:

$$\Omega^i_j = d\omega^i_j + \omega^i_k \wedge \omega^k_j$$

□ Bianchi identity I:

$$\Omega^i_j \wedge \theta^j = 0$$

□ Bianchi identity II:

$$D\Omega^i_j = 0$$

↑ (Ordinarily:  $\theta^i = dx^i \Rightarrow d\theta^i = 0$   
and  $\omega^i_j \wedge \theta^j = 0$  is  $\Gamma^i_{jk} = \Gamma^i_{kj}$ )

← (Recall:  $R^i_{jkl} = \Gamma^i_{jk,l} - \Gamma^i_{jl,k} + \Gamma^m_{jk}\Gamma^i_{lm} - \Gamma^m_{jl}\Gamma^i_{km}$ )

→ (From diffeomorphism invariance)

And, in the case of ON frames:

$$\omega_{\mu\nu} + \omega_{\nu\mu} = 0$$

## Tetrad formulation of GR:

Consider the action, for now, without cosmological constant and without matter:

$$S_{\text{grav}} = \frac{1}{16\pi G} \int_B R \sqrt{g} d^4x$$

0-form

Recall Hodge \*:  $\int \nu = \frac{1}{p!} \nu_{i_1 \dots i_p} \theta^{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \theta^{i_p}$

then  $*\nu = \frac{1}{p!} \sqrt{g} \epsilon_{i_1 \dots i_n} \nu^{i_1 \dots i_p} \theta^{i_{p+1}} \wedge \dots \wedge \theta^{i_n}$

= ±1, totally anti-symmetric

i.e.  $*: \Lambda^p \rightarrow \Lambda^{n-p}$

Thus:

$$S_{\text{grav}} = \frac{1}{16\pi G} \int_B \underbrace{*R}_{4\text{-form}}$$

Aim now: Re-express  $S'_{\mu\nu}$  in terms of  $\theta^\mu$  and  $\Omega^{\mu\nu}$ .

□ Define: "capital  $\eta$ " is a  $(0,2)$  tensor-valued 2-form

$$H_{\lambda\beta} := *(\theta^\lambda \wedge \theta^\beta) = \frac{1}{2} \overset{1}{V}_g^{\lambda\beta\gamma\delta} \epsilon_{\lambda\beta\gamma\delta} \theta^\gamma \wedge \theta^\delta$$

$$H_{\lambda\beta\gamma} := *(\theta^\lambda \wedge \theta^\beta \wedge \theta^\gamma) = \frac{1}{2} \overset{1}{V}_g^{\lambda\beta\gamma\delta} \epsilon_{\lambda\beta\gamma\delta} \theta^\delta$$

↑ a  $(0,3)$  tensor-valued 1-form.

□ Proposition:

$$*R = H_{\mu\nu} \wedge \Omega^{\mu\nu} \quad \left( \begin{array}{l} \text{it is a } (0,0) \text{ tensor-valued} \\ \text{4-form} \end{array} \right)$$

∴ ∴ ∴  $\int_{\gamma_{\mu\nu}} (\theta^\mu) = \int H_{\mu\nu} \wedge \Omega^{\mu\nu}$

□ Proof:

Use  $\Omega^{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2} R^{\mu\nu}{}_{\kappa\lambda} \theta^\kappa \wedge \theta^\lambda \Rightarrow$

$$H_{\mu\nu} \wedge \Omega^{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2 \cdot 2} \epsilon_{\mu\nu\gamma\delta} R^{\mu\nu}{}_{\kappa\lambda} \underbrace{\theta^\gamma \wedge \theta^\delta \wedge \theta^\kappa \wedge \theta^\lambda}_{\epsilon_{\gamma\delta\kappa\lambda} \theta^\gamma \otimes \theta^\delta \otimes \theta^\kappa \otimes \theta^\lambda}$$

Use also:  $\epsilon_{\mu\nu\gamma\delta} \epsilon_{\gamma\delta\kappa\lambda} = 2(\delta_{\nu\mu} \delta_{\lambda\kappa} - \delta_{\mu\kappa} \delta_{\nu\lambda}) \Rightarrow$

$$H_{\mu\nu} \wedge \Omega^{\mu\nu} = \frac{4}{4} R^{\mu\nu}{}_{\mu\nu} \theta^1 \wedge \theta^2 \wedge \theta^3 \wedge \theta^4 = *R \quad \checkmark$$

(need later for derivation of the Einstein equation)

□ Proposition:  $DH_{\mu\nu} = 0$

Recall the "first structure equation":  $D\theta^a = 0$

□ Proof:  $DH_{\mu\nu} = D\left(\frac{1}{2} \overset{1}{V}_g^{\mu\nu\sigma\tau} \epsilon_{\mu\nu\sigma\tau} \theta^\sigma \wedge \theta^\tau\right) = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{\mu\nu\sigma\tau} (D\theta^\sigma \wedge \theta^\tau + \theta^\sigma \wedge D\theta^\tau)$

constant because ON basis

The main proposition:

variation, not co-derivative

Variation of the action with respect to  $\delta\theta^\mu(x)$  yields:  
i.e., we vary the  $A^\mu(x)$  by local Lorentz transformations

$$\delta(*R) = (\delta\theta^\mu) \wedge H_{\mu\nu\sigma} \wedge \Omega^{\nu\sigma} + d(\text{something})$$

It implies:

$$16\pi G \delta S'_{\text{grav}} = \int_B \delta\theta^\mu \wedge H_{\mu\nu\sigma} \wedge \Omega^{\nu\sigma} + \int_{\partial B} (\text{something})$$

Stokes:  
 $\int_B dF = \int_{\partial B} F$   
← require variation to vanish at boundary  $\partial B$ ,  
so: = 0

Definition: The "energy-momentum 1-form"  $T_\mu$  is defined as the solution to:

$$\delta S'_{\text{matter}} =: \int_B \delta\theta^\mu \wedge (*T_\mu)$$

⇒ The equation of motion, i.e., the **Einstein equation**,

$$\frac{\delta(S'_{\text{grav}} + S'_{\text{matter}})}{\delta\theta^\mu} = 0$$

becomes:

$$\boxed{-\frac{1}{2} H_{\mu\nu\sigma} \wedge \Omega^{\nu\sigma} = 8\pi G *T_\mu}$$

Exercise: add the cosmological constant.

Remark: The Einstein form  $G_\mu := G_{\mu\nu} \theta^\nu$  obeys

$$*G_\mu = -\frac{1}{2} H_{\mu\nu\sigma} \wedge \Omega^{\nu\sigma}$$

⇒

$$\boxed{G_\mu = 8\pi G T_\mu}$$

(it is a (0,1) tensor-valued 1-form)



Proof of the main proposition:

$$\delta(*R) = (\delta\theta^\mu) \wedge H_{\mu\nu\sigma} \wedge \Omega^{\nu\sigma} + d(\text{something})$$

Indeed:

$$\delta(*R) = (\delta H_{\mu\nu}) \wedge \Omega^{\mu\nu} + H_{\mu\nu} \wedge \delta\Omega^{\mu\nu}$$

Consider the first term:

$$\begin{aligned} \delta H_{\mu\nu} &= \delta \overbrace{\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{V}_g^\sigma \varepsilon_{\mu\nu\sigma} \theta^\sigma}^{\text{const.}} \wedge \theta^\mu \wedge \theta^\nu \\ &= (\delta\theta^\mu) \wedge H_{\mu\nu\sigma} \end{aligned}$$

by definition of  $H_{\mu\nu\sigma}$  above:  
 $H_{\mu\nu\sigma} := \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{V}_g^\sigma \varepsilon_{\mu\nu\sigma} \theta^\sigma$

$$\Rightarrow \delta(*R) = (\delta\theta^\mu) \wedge H_{\mu\nu\sigma} \wedge \Omega^{\nu\sigma} + \underbrace{H_{\mu\nu} \wedge \delta\Omega^{\mu\nu}}_{\text{examine this term:}}$$

$$\delta\Omega^{\mu\nu} \stackrel{\text{2nd structure equation}}{=} \delta(d\omega^{\mu\nu} + \omega^\mu{}_\sigma \wedge \omega^{\sigma\nu})$$

$$= d\delta\omega^{\mu\nu} + (\delta\omega^\mu{}_\sigma) \wedge \omega^{\sigma\nu} + \omega^\mu{}_\sigma \wedge \delta\omega^{\sigma\nu}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow H_{\mu\nu} \wedge \delta\Omega^{\mu\nu} &= d(H_{\mu\nu} \wedge \delta\omega^{\mu\nu}) - (dH_{\mu\nu}) \wedge \delta\omega^{\mu\nu} \\ &\quad + H_{\mu\nu} \wedge \delta\omega^\mu{}_\sigma \wedge \omega^{\sigma\nu} + H_{\mu\nu} \wedge \omega^\mu{}_\sigma \wedge \delta\omega^{\sigma\nu} \end{aligned}$$

$$\stackrel{\text{by Dgl. of D:}}{=} (\delta\omega^{\mu\nu}) \wedge \underbrace{DH_{\mu\nu}}_{\substack{\text{recall: } = 0 \\ \text{by Prop. above.}}} + d(H_{\mu\nu} \wedge \delta\omega^{\mu\nu})$$

$\Rightarrow$  Indeed:

$$\delta(*R) = (\delta\theta^\mu) \wedge H_{\mu\nu\sigma} \wedge \Omega^{\nu\sigma} + d(H_{\mu\nu} \wedge \delta\omega^{\mu\nu}) \quad \checkmark$$

## General Relativity as a "gauge theory"

Recall:

$$\int_{\text{manifold}} (\theta^{\mu}) = \int H_{\mu\nu} \wedge \Omega^{\mu\nu} \quad \text{Einstein action}$$

$$-\frac{1}{2} H_{\mu\nu\sigma} \wedge \Omega^{\nu\sigma} = 8\pi G *T_{\mu} \quad \text{Einstein equation}$$

are now the same in all coordinate systems.

In addition:

They are the same also with any choice of ON bases in the tangent spaces, i.e., we have a local symmetry under:

$$\theta^{\mu}(x) \rightarrow \tilde{\theta}^{\mu}(x) = A^{\mu}_{\nu}(x) \theta^{\nu}(x)$$

The  $A^{\mu}_{\nu}(x)$  are local Lorentz transformations.

Upshot:  $\square$  We can start with any matter theory that is invariant under global Lorentz transformations and, through general relativity, turn it into a theory that is invariant under local Lorentz transformations.

$\square$  Thereby:

Derivatives become covariant derivatives.

A new field is introduced: gravity's  $\Gamma$ .

$\rightsquigarrow$  This is analogous to the gauge principle of particle physics:

- $\square$  A global symmetry is "gauged" to become local.
- $\square$  Derivatives become covariant derivatives
- $\square$  A new field is introduced.

The gauge principle:

Action for a Dirac field (electrons, quarks etc):

$$S'[\psi] = \int \bar{\psi} (i \gamma^\mu \partial_\mu - m) \psi d^4x$$

It has a global symmetry:

$$\psi(x) \rightarrow \tilde{\psi}(x) := e^{i\alpha} \psi(x), \text{ i.e., } \bar{\psi}(x) \rightarrow \tilde{\bar{\psi}}(x) = e^{-i\alpha} \bar{\psi}(x)$$

$$\Rightarrow S'[\psi] \rightarrow S'[\tilde{\psi}] = S'[\psi]$$

However, no local symmetry:

$$\psi(x) \rightarrow \tilde{\psi}(x) := e^{i\alpha(x)} \psi(x) \quad \bar{\psi}(x) \rightarrow \tilde{\bar{\psi}}(x) = e^{-i\alpha(x)} \bar{\psi}(x)$$

$$S'[\psi] \rightarrow S'[\tilde{\psi}] \neq S'[\psi] !$$

Gauge principle: Introduce a new field  $A_\mu(x)$  that transforms so as to absorb the extra term:

$$S'[\psi, A] := \int \bar{\psi}(x) \underbrace{\left( i \gamma^\mu (\partial_\mu + i A_\mu(x)) - m \right)}_{\text{"covariant derivative"}} \psi(x) d^4x$$

Now under

$$\psi(x) \rightarrow \tilde{\psi}(x) := e^{i\alpha(x)} \psi(x)$$

$$A_\mu(x) \rightarrow \tilde{A}_\mu(x) := A_\mu(x) - i \partial_\mu \alpha(x)$$

the action obeys:

$$S'[\psi, A] \rightarrow S'[\tilde{\psi}, \tilde{A}]$$

$$= \int \bar{\psi}(x) e^{-i\alpha(x)} \left( i \gamma^\mu (\partial_\mu + i A_\mu - i \partial_\mu \alpha - m) \right) e^{i\alpha(x)} \psi(x) d^4x$$

$$= S'[\psi, A]$$

## Generalization to Yang-Mills theory

Gauging  $\psi(x) \rightarrow e^{i\alpha(x)} \psi(x)$  introduced  $A_\mu(x)$ .

and  $A_\mu(x)$  turns out to exist: The EM 4-potential.

We "derived" the electromagnetic force!

Notice:  $e^{i\alpha(x)} \in U(1)$

$$U(1) = \{G \in \mathbb{C} \mid G^* = G^{-1}\}$$

Now give the Dirac particles an extra index (isospin bundle)

$$S[\psi] = \int \bar{\psi}_a \left( i \gamma^\mu \delta_{ab} \partial_\mu - m \delta_{ab} \right) \psi_b d^4x \quad \left( \sum_{ab} \text{implied} \right)$$

It's invariant under:

$$\psi_a(x) \rightarrow G_{ab} \psi_b(x) \quad \left( \sum_{b=1}^N \text{implied} \right)$$

where  $G \in SU(N)$

$$SU(N) = \{G \in M_n(\mathbb{C}) \mid G^* = G^{-1}, \det(G) = 1\}$$

Now, we gauge, i.e., require invariance under:

$$\psi_a(x) \rightarrow G_{ab}(x) \psi_b(x) \quad \text{where } G \in SU(N)$$

$\rightsquigarrow$  Invariance of the action now requires new field  $B_\mu(x)$ :

$$S[\psi] = \int \bar{\psi}_a \left( i \gamma^\mu \underbrace{\left( \delta_{ab} \partial_\mu + i B_\mu(x) T_{ab}^\nu \right)}_{\text{"covariant derivative"}} - m \delta_{ab} \right) \psi_b d^4x$$

and  $B_\mu(x)_r \rightarrow \tilde{B}_\mu(x)_r = B_\mu(x)_r + \text{complicated}$

Here:  $T_{ab}^\nu \in \mathfrak{su}(N)$  are a Lie algebra basis, i.e. they are generators of infinitesimal  $SU(N)$  transformations.

Upshot:  $\square$   $N=2$  Weak force (though mixed with  $N=1$  EM)  
 $\square$   $N=3$  Strong force QCD.

Recall:

$$\int_{\text{man}} (\theta^\mu) = \int H_{\mu\nu} \wedge \Omega^{\mu\nu} \quad \text{Einstein action}$$

$$-\frac{1}{2} H_{\mu\nu} \wedge \Omega^{\mu\nu} = 8\pi G *T_\mu \quad \text{Einstein equation}$$

are the same also with any choice of ON bases in the tangent spaces, i.e., we have a local symmetry under:

$$\theta^\mu(x) \rightarrow \tilde{\theta}^\mu(x) = A^\mu{}_\nu(x) \theta^\nu(x)$$

The  $A^\mu{}_\nu(x)$  are local Lorentz transformations.

Our covariant derivative:

$$\nabla_{e_\mu} (v^\nu(x) e_\nu) = \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu} v^\nu(x) \right) e_\nu + v^\nu(x) \underbrace{\omega^\sigma{}_\nu(e_\mu)}_{\text{plays role of } A_\mu, B_\mu} e_\sigma$$

Do the  $\omega^\sigma{}_\nu$  indeed generate infinitesimal Lorentz transformations?

Plays rôle of  $A_\mu, B_\mu$  but is now gravity!

→ Interpretation of the connection in ON frames:

**Q:** The connection 1-forms  $\omega^\mu{}_\nu$  are not, we know, tensor-valued 1-forms. Wherin do they take their values?

**A:** The connection 1-forms take values in the set of infinitesimal Lorentz transformations

Intuition?

The connection yields the change under infinitesimal parallel transport - and parallel transport preserves the metric, i.e. it preserves the lengths of vectors, i.e. the change can only be an infinitesimal "rotation", i.e. an infinitesimal Lorentz transformation.

Recall: "Lorentz transformations  $\Lambda_a^\mu$ " are lin. maps obeying:

$$\Lambda_a^\mu \Lambda_b^\nu \eta_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{ab}$$

$\Rightarrow$  Infinitesimal Lorentz transformations

$$\Lambda_a^\mu = \delta_a^\mu + \varepsilon_a^\mu \quad \text{with } (\varepsilon_a^\mu)^2 = 0$$

obey:

$$(\delta_a^\mu + \varepsilon_a^\mu) (\delta_b^\nu + \varepsilon_b^\nu) \eta_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{ab}$$

$$\text{i.e.: } \varepsilon_a^\mu \eta_{\mu b} + \varepsilon_b^\nu \eta_{a\nu} = 0$$

$\Rightarrow$  Infinitesimal Lorentz transformations "JLT" are given by

$$\text{all } \Lambda_a^\mu = \delta_a^\mu + \varepsilon_a^\mu \text{ which obey: } \boxed{\varepsilon_{ba} + \varepsilon_{ab} = 0}$$

**Q:** Are connection 1-forms JLT-valued?

Proposition:

In orthonormal frames, the 1-form  $\omega_{\mu\nu}$  obeys

$$\omega_{\mu\nu} + \omega_{\nu\mu} = 0$$

i.e. it takes values that are infinitesimal Lorentz transformations.

Proof:

$\square$  Recall: Absolute exterior derivative: (an anti-derivation)

$$D t^{a...b}_{c\dots d} = dt^{a...b}_{c\dots d} + \omega^a_i t^{i...b}_{c\dots d} + \dots - \omega^i_c t^{a...b}_{i\dots d} - \dots$$

any tensor-valued differential form.

play the role of the  $\Gamma^a_{bc}$

Thus:

$$0 = \nabla g_{\mu\nu} = D g_{\mu\nu} = d g_{\mu\nu} - \omega^i_\mu \wedge g_{i\nu} - \omega^i_\nu \wedge g_{\mu i}$$

(0,2) tensor-valued 0-form  $\downarrow$   $= 0$  because  $g_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{\mu\nu} = \text{const}$   
can drop the  $\wedge$  because  $g$  is a 0-form.

$$\text{i.e. } 0 = \omega_{\nu\mu} + \omega_{\mu\nu} \quad \checkmark$$

Recall that by using a tetrad, we achieved that  $g_{\mu\nu} = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \eta_{\mu\nu}$  everywhere!