

UNIVERSITY OF WATERLOO
Political Science 260
Canadian Government and Politics
Spring 2014 COURSE OUTLINE Peter Woolstencroft

Lectures: Tuesday, Thursday, 10 to 11:20 a.m., AL 105
Office Hours: Tuesday, Thursday, 1:15 to 2:15 p.m., HH 351
Please feel free to make an appointment.

Coordinates: pwool@watarts.uwaterloo.ca; peterwoolstencroft@gmail.com

ORGANIZATION OF COURSE OUTLINE

- A) COURSE THEMES; pages 1-3 including a message from the Governor-General**
- B) SUBJECT MATTER; page 3**
- C) TEXTBOOKS; pages 3-4**
- D) EVALUATION; page 4**
- E) CRITERIA FOR THE EVALUATION OF WRITTEN MATERIAL; page 5**
- F) SHORT PAPERS, ESSAYS, AND REVIEWS; pages 5-6**
- G) SHORT PAPER: TOPICS; page 6**
- H) ESSAYS AND BOOK REVIEWS; pages 7-9**
- I) BOOKS FOR REVIEW PURPOSES; pages 9-10**
- J) NOTE ON AVOIDANCE OF ACADEMIC OFFENSES; page 10-11**
- K) SCHEDULE OF LECTURES AND READING ASSIGNMENTS; pages 11-14**
- L) LEARN; page 14**
- M) NOTE FOR STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES; page 14**
- N) LIBRARY RESOURCES; page 14.**

A) COURSE THEMES: The Economist (December 1, 2005), described Canada as having a “funny sort of politics” and “dysfunctional politics.” Over 35 years ago a Canadian political scientist hesitated to rewrite a textbook because he did not think that Canada would be intact within the next year or so. Two Quebec-based political scientists, writing at the beginning of this century, called Canada’s constitution incomplete because the failure to produce constitutional changes that would bring Quebec to sign the Constitution Act of 1982. And, yet, Canada endures, and in much of the world it is seen to be remarkably successful and praiseworthy. Do the performances of Canada’s athletes in the 2010 and 2014 Olympics and Paralympics – along with the reaction of Canadians to their accomplishments – speak to a new Canadian spirit?

This course addresses various aspects of government and politics that are central to understanding how the Canadian political system operates and the problems it faces.

Building on the past, we think about the present, and contemplate the future. Running through the course are six themes.

The first is **change**. Simply put, the Canadian political system has changed enormously from its form in 1867. You are asked to think about whether change has come about accidentally or from the intersection between fundamental features of Canadian society and the organization of its political system. One thing is certain: although the names of Canada's political institutions come largely from their establishment in 1867, their nature and operation have changed enormously - in some cases to the point of being almost unrecognizable.

The second theme is **territorial instability**. Not only have there been great changes in Canadian political processes, there have been many movements calling for rejection of Canada as a territorial entity: the most notable being the Parti Quebecois in Quebec. Canadians often have seen the basic institutions and operations of their political system as flawed, to the point of calling for fundamental reform or seeking a separatist option. The history of the northern part of North America is one of many constitutions accepted and then rejected in light of emerging critiques. The last successful constitutional revision, in 1982, was followed by two massive failures, the Meech Lake Accord (1987-1990) and the Charlottetown Accord (1992). An argument is often made that the 1982 Constitution Act was imposed on Quebec despite earlier commitments not to do so, which serves as one of the motivating forces for separatism in Quebec. Does Canada have a flawed political contract?

Since the 1970s, aboriginal peoples have become a leading force for change. One result was the Royal Commission on Aboriginal Peoples, amongst the most expensive in Canadian History and one of the most revolutionary in its recommendations. The emergence of aboriginal peoples as a political force has produced a wide range of options. Some commentators call for the wholesale integration of aboriginals into Canadian society. Others call for aboriginal and non-aboriginals to follow separate paths (the "two-path wampum") - one reason being the differences arising from their relationship: the colonizers and the colonized. Still others call for the path of "citizens plus" - shared citizenship but with special provision for aboriginals. In a word, the fundamental relations between the various peoples comprising Canadian society arising from the European colonization of aboriginal peoples are **contested**, the third theme of the course.

The fourth theme is the state of Canadian democracy as represented in the phrase '**democratic deficit**'. While Canada is one of the world's longest lasting liberal-democracies, in recent times the quality of its democratic life has become increasingly questioned. Examination of the 'democratic deficit' will focus on Canada's central political institutions and the political processes that characterize them, such as political parties and interest groups, voting and elections.

The fifth major theme pertains to the **Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms**. Since

1982 – when the Charter, as part of the new Constitution Act, became part of Canada’s constitutional framework – Canadian politics has been transformed. New issues, new language (“citizens” and “rights”), new actors, and new roles for old institutions (the Supreme Court of Canada) have become central – and contentious – elements of Canadian politics.

The last theme concerns the Canadian identity. In good measure, the self-image of Canadians is **fragmented**, reflecting a history marked by defining events, immigration and demographic change, and value shifts. Are we a British nation? A North American society? Is Canadian society essentially bilingual and bicultural? Or is it multicultural? Does citizenship require equal treatment of each person or can it incorporate more complex understandings?

Overriding the issues of the past and the present is another question: given Canada’s long standing and growing dependency upon the American economy, should this course’s title be “Pre-American Politics”?

A message from Governor-General David Johnston (and former President, University of Waterloo), in response to a question about the public’s understanding of government: “Even as a dean of a law school, I’d be so surprised that students would come through a major in history or political science and have so little understanding of our constitution and our division of powers and our protection of rights and freedoms.” **Globe and Mail**, December 24, 2011, A4.

B) SUBJECT MATTER: This course examines national politics in Canada by discussing:

- The social, economic, and political culture environments
- The nature, development, and operation of the federal system
- The parliamentary system’s evolution and operation
- The bureaucratic system and policy making
- The party system, elections, and voting
- Citizens, advocacy groups, and the state.
- The Charter of Rights and Freedoms.

C) TEXTBOOKS: 1. **Canadian Politics**, by Rand Dyck, concise fifth edition Thomson Nelson, 2012

You can find a lot of helpful material, including self-testing, discussion questions, web-links, and new links chapter-by-chapter at the following:

Course Material http://www.cengage.com/cgi-wadsworth/course_products_wp.pl?fid=M20b&product_isbn_issn=9780176503437&template=nelson

2. **Two Cheers for Minority Government**, by Peter Russell (Emond Montgomery, 2008)

3. **Against Reform**, John Pepall (University of Toronto Press, Scholarly Publishing Division, 2010).

4. IMPORTANT SUPPLEMENTAL TEXTBOOK

Democratizing the Constitution: Reforming Responsible Government by Peter Aucoin, Mark D. Jarvis, and Lori Turnbull (Emond Montgomery, 2011) ON RESERVE (ONE HOUR)

D) EVALUATION: There are three ways in which students will be evaluated.

1. **SHORT PAPER:** (five typed pages, double-spaced); topics listed elsewhere.
DUE: NO LATER THAN 4:00 PM, Wednesday, June 18, 2014 WHERE: IN CLASS OR POLITICAL SCIENCE DROP BOX (OPPOSITE HH 311) WEIGHT: 20 PERCENT

NOTE: LATE SUBMISSIONS WILL BE PENALIZED THREE POINTS A DAY

2. (a) **ESSAY** (ten typed pages, double-spaced); topics listed elsewhere. **DUE: Wednesday, July 23, 2014 WEIGHT: 40 PERCENT WHERE: IN CLASS OR POLITICAL SCIENCE DROP BOX (OPPOSITE HH 311)**

NOTE: LATE SUBMISSIONS WILL BE PENALIZED THREE POINTS A DAY OR

(b) **BOOK REVIEW** (ten typed pages, double-spaced); books listed elsewhere.
DUE: Wednesday, July 23, 2014 WEIGHT: 40 PERCENT WHERE: WHERE: IN CLASS OR POLITICAL SCIENCE DROP BOX (OPPOSITE HH 311)

NOTE: LATE SUBMISSIONS WILL BE PENALIZED THREE POINTS A DAY

3. **FINAL EXAMINATION** (in August, as scheduled and administered by the Registrar's Office). **NOTE: this date may be at any time during the examination period.** The examination will be **two and a half hours** in length; it will be composed of identification questions and essay questions that cover the entire term. **Weight: 40 percent**

E) CRITERIA FOR THE EVALUATION OF WRITTEN WORK

In general, the thesis in the short paper, the essay or the review must have the following characteristics:

- a clearly-stated question;
- focused on central issues;
- analytical (rather than descriptive or chronological);
- well-written;
- grammatically correct; and
- evidence of wide reading of pertinent materials.

First-rate work is fluid, well-organized, and written from a clear point of view. It is well-grounded, being not just opinion but based on consideration of diverse materials and contrary perspectives or interpretations. And, overall, it is a pleasure to read.

Below are the criteria used for the marking of short papers, essays, and book reviews.

Each is evaluated on a scale from “below standard” to “above standard”. For marking purposes, “content” is equal in weight to “structure” and “communication”.

CONTENT

1. Well-focussed thesis or argument
2. Identification of central issues
3. Evidence of relevant and in-depth reading
4. Evidence of knowledge and understanding of basic concepts
5. Evidence of independent thought/originality
6. Substance of topic

STRUCTURE

7. Coherent development of ideas
8. Logical organization and structure of argument
9. Integration of thesis, argument, and conclusion

COMMUNICATION

10. Documentation of references
11. Grammar, syntax, spelling, legibility, proof reading
12. Style
13. Clear exposition of ideas and concepts.

F) SHORT PAPERS, ESSAYS AND BOOK REVIEWS

For preparation of the short paper and the essay or book review, it is advisable to start with the appropriate materials in the course textbook.

YOUR WORK MUST CONTAIN AT LEAST ONE REFERENCE TO THE COURSE TEXTBOOK AND AT LEAST ONE REFERENCE TO COURSE LECTURES.

G) SHORT PAPER: TOPICS

1. "Canada, without Quebec, is just a northern extension of the United States." (Brian Mulroney) Discuss.
2. "Canada is a 'community of communities'." (Joe Clark, 1980) Discuss.
3. Quebec has always been simply "un province comme les autres." Make a case for or against this view.
4. It does – or does not matter – that the province of Quebec is not a signatory to the Constitution Act of 1982.
5. "The central feature of Canadian politics is regionalism." Discuss.
6. "Sooner or later Canadians are going to become Americans. Too bad." **The Economist**, June 19, 1991. Discuss.

7. "Federalism is the most distinctive achievement of Canadian democracy." (Alexander Brady, 1958)
8. In terms of *realpolitik*, the French and English are equal in Canada because each of these linguistic groups has the power to break the country. And this power cannot yet be claimed by the Iroquois, the Eskimos, or the Ukrainians." (Pierre Elliot Trudeau) Discuss.
9. "The national interest is little more than the regional interest of central Canada writ large."
10. "Geography has made us neighbours, History has made us friends. Economics has made us partners. And necessity has made us allies." (John F. Kennedy, 1961.) Discuss.
11. "I wouldn't lift a finger to get rid of the monarchy...I think the monarchy, by and large, has done more good than harm to Canada." (Pierre Elliot Trudeau, 1967.) Discuss.
12. Make an interpretation about what "bringing Canadians together" means.
13. Discuss the merits and demerits of the Conservative government's motion (November 2006) that "Quebec is a nation within a united Canada".
14. What would be the consequences of making the Greater Toronto Area (GTA) a province?
15. In March 2009, the Minister of Citizenship and Immigration declared that Canada would adopt a new model of multiculturalism. Is this a good idea?
16. Reflect upon the meaning of "life, liberty, and the pursuit of happiness" and "peace, order, and good government" in reference to Canada and its development.
17. Should aboriginal peoples be treated in different ways, such as in the legal system, than are other Canadians?
18. Write an essay about the implications of the following:
 "We French, we English, never lost our civil war
 Endure it still, a bloodless civil bore.
 No wounded lying about, no Whitman wanted
 It's only by our lack of ghosts we're haunted."
 Earle Birney, "Can.Lit," (1962).
19. "[French settlers'] habits, their language and their religion have remained as distinct from ours as they were before the conquest. Indeed, it seems a favourite object with them to be considered as a separate nation." James Craig (former Governor to the British authorities), May 1, 1810. Discuss.

H) ESSAYS AND BOOK REVIEWS

You may write on a topic other than those listed. Similarly, it is possible to review a book other than those listed. The Dyck textbook contains suggestions at the end of most chapters.

IF YOU WISH TO WRITE ON A DIFFERENT TOPIC, YOU MUST RECEIVE PROFESSOR WOOLSTENCROFT'S WRITTEN PERMISSION. PLEASE MAKE YOUR REQUEST BY EMAIL.

TOPICS FOR ESSAYS:

1. "It is unthinkable that the provinces should have the responsibility for the provision of social services." Make a case for or against this view.
2. The national government should have policies and programmes that deal directly with cities and municipalities. Make a case for or against this view.
3. Analyze the forces which have contributed to "province-building" in the Canadian federation. What are the consequences of "province-building"?
4. Analyze the issue of "non-voting" in Canadian federal elections.
5. Is there a problem with female participation in Canadian politics?
6. Discuss the evolution (role, problems) of one of the parties in national politics.
7. "I cannot support the Meech Lake Accord because it violates the principles of Canadian federalism." (Clyde Wells) Discuss.
8. Make an argument in favour of or against the Clarity Act.
9. Argue in favour of a particular version of Senate reform.
10. Describe the nation-building perspective in Canadian politics and the forces working for and against it.
11. Discuss how Stephen Harper's government has handled the recommendations coming out of Justice Gomery's report into the "sponsorship scandal."
12. Discuss the roles of "officers of parliament."
13. Discuss the concept of "New Public Management" and its application in the Canadian case.
14. Discuss the matter of low "class voting" in Canadian elections.
15. Account for the ability of the Liberal party to be the dominant force in Canadian politics since 1896.
16. Make an argument for or against Aboriginal self-government.
17. Make a case for the national government to have an enhanced role in education. How would this be accomplished?
18. Make an argument for or against the exercise of the federal spending power.
19. Make an argument for or against executive federalism.
20. Make an argument for or against the view that the workings of interest groups contribute to the unhealthy state of Canadian democracy.
21. Is the argument made by Louis Hartz (as amended by Gad Horowitz) or Seymour Martin Lipset pertinent to politics in contemporary Canada?
22. Was it a mistake to defeat the Charlottetown Accord?
23. The courts, through their interpretation of the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms, have inappropriately taken over the role of Parliament. Discuss.
24. "The cabinet has, in fact, taken over the allotted role of the Senate as the protector of the rights of the provinces, and it has done an incomparably better job." (R. Macgregor Dawson, 1922)
25. "It is a historical error and political mistake to deny "special status" for Quebec in our Constitution." Discuss.
26. Make an argument in favour of the "Triple E" Senate.
27. Make an argument for or against equalization fiscal arrangements.

28. Make an argument for or against the Social Union Framework Agreement.
29. Analyze the forces underlying separatism in Quebec.
30. Analyze the forces underlying "western alienation".
31. Analyze why Canada has a multi-party system and the United States has a two-party system.
32. Should interest groups be restricted from participating in election campaigns?
33. Do young people look at Canadian politics in significantly different ways than do older Canadians?
34. Make an argument for or against the provinces having exclusive control over immigration.
35. Discuss the applicability of "asymmetrical" models of federalism to Canada.
36. Analyze the strategies of one of the four national political parties in the 2011 election.
37. Make a case for/against the override provision of Section 33 of the Constitution Act of 1982.
38. Discuss proposals for changing the appointment process for Supreme Court judges.
39. "The fundamental problem for Quebec is not the economy, or the social security system, or the method of constitutional change: Quebec is moved by its belief that its culture and its language continue to be threatened." Discuss
40. What is the nature of "party identification" in Canada compared to the pattern in the United States?
41. Make a case for or against reform of the Canadian electoral system.
42. Is the parliamentary system of government incompatible with the nature of Canadian society?
43. Discuss how Parliament does – or does not – have control over public spending.
44. Discuss proposals for reforming the parliamentary system.
45. Make an argument for or against more "free votes" in the House of Commons.
46. Write an essay in which you discuss how technology has and might change electoral politics in Canada.
47. Write an essay in which you discuss Canadian politics from the perspective of Canada being a plurinational system.
48. Write an essay in which you discuss the relationship between the government of Newfoundland and Labrador (or any other province) and the national government.
49. Analyze the relationship between the national government and Nunavut.
50. Make an argument for or against compulsory voting.
51. Analyze Canada's changing demographics (past, present, and future) and its significance for the political system.
52. Analyze the concept of a "representative civil service" in reference to the national government's attempts to develop a bilingual bureaucracy.

I) BOOKS FOR REVIEW PURPOSES

M.Adams, **Fire and Ice: The United States, Canada, and the Myth of Converging**

Values

M. Adams, **Sex in the Snow: The Surprising Revolution in Canadian Social Values**

M. Adams, **Unlikely Utopia: The Surprising Triumph of Canadian Multiculturalism**

P. Aucoin, et al, **Democratizing the Constitution: Reforming Responsible Government**

J. Bakan, **Just Words: Constitutional Rights and Social Wrongs.**

K. Banting and R. Simeon, eds., **And No One Cheered: Federalism, Democracy and the Constitution Act**

S. Bashevkin, **True Patriot Love**

S. Delacourt, **Shopping for Votes**

D. Bercuson, **Deconfederation**

M. Behiels, ed., **The Meech Lake Primer: Conflicting Views of the 1987 Constitutional Accord**

D. Bell, **The Roots of Disunity: A Study in Canadian Political Culture**, rev. edition

N. Bissoondath, **Selling Illusions: The Cult of Multiculturalism in Canada**

R. Bothwell, **Canada and Quebec: One Country, Two Histories**

P. Brimelow, **The Patriot Game: Canada and the Canadian Question Revisited**

A. Cairns, **Charter versus Federalism: The Dilemma of Constitutional Reform**

A. Cairns, **Constitution, Government and Society in Canada**

A. Cairns, **Disruptions: Constitutional Struggle, from the Charter to Meech Lake**

A. Cairns, **Reconfigurations; Canadian Citizenship and Constitutional Change**

H. Clarke, **A Polity on the Edge: Canada and the Politics of Fragmentation**

A. Cohen, **A Deal Undone: The Making and Breaking of the Meech Lake Accord**

A. Cohen, **The Unfinished Canadian: The People We Are**

C. Dufour, **A Canadian Challenge**

G. Fraser, **Sorry, I don't speak French: Confronting the Canadian crisis that won't go away**

G. Grant, **Lament for a Nation and Technology and Empire** (both books)

R. Griffiths, **Who We Are: A Citizen's Manifesto**

A. Heard, **Canadian Constitutional Conventions: The Marriage of Law and Politics**

J. Hiebert, **Limiting Rights: The Dilemma of Judicial Review**

P. Howe and D. Northup, **Strengthening Canadian Democracy: The Views of Canadians**

D. Michael Jackson, **The Crown and Canadian Federalism**

S.M. Lipset, **Continental Divide**

M. Mandel, **The Charter of Rights and the Legalization of Politics in Canada** (revised edition)

K. McRoberts, **Misconceiving Canada: The Struggle for National Unity**

H. Milner, **Making Every Vote Count**

M. Ignatieff, **Fire and Ashes** and D. Smith, **Ignatieff's World Updated.**

F. Morton, **The Charter Revolution and the Court Party**

N. Nevitte, **The Decline of Deference: Canadian Value Change in Cross-National Perspective**

J. Pammett and Christopher Dornan, eds., **The Canadian General Election of 2000 (or 2004 or 2006 or 2008 or 2011)**.

P. Resnick, **Letters to a Quebecois Friend**; P. Resnick, **Thinking English Canada**

P. Resnick, **Toward a Canada-Quebec Union** (two of three).

R. Romanow, **Canada Notwithstanding: The Making of the Constitution, 1976-82**

P. Russell, et al, **Judicial Power and Canadian Democracy**

P. Russell and Lorne Sossin, **Parliamentary Democracy in Canada**

D. Savoie, **Governing from the Centre: The Concentration of Power in Canadian Politics**

D. Schneiderman, **Charting the Consequences: The Impact of Charter Rights on Canadian Law and Politics**

D. Schneiderman, **The Quebec Decision: The Supreme Court Case and Commentary**

L. Seidel, **Equity and Community: The Charter, Interest Advocacy, and Representation**

J. Smith, **The Democratic Dilemma: Reforming the Canadian Senate**

G. Stewart, **The Origins of Canadian Politics: A Comparative Approach**

P. Trudeau, **Federalism and the French Canadians**

R. Young, **The Struggle for Quebec: From Referendum to Referendum**

J) NOTE ON AVOIDANCE OF ACADEMIC OFFENSES

The following reflects changes to policies 70, 71, and new policy 72 passed by UW Senate's Undergraduate Council in May, 2008:

Academic Integrity: To create and promote a culture of academic integrity, the behaviour of all members of the University of Waterloo is based on honesty, trust, fairness, respect and responsibility.

Discipline: A student is expected to know what constitutes academic integrity, to avoid committing academic offenses, and to take responsibility for his/her actions. A student who is unsure whether an action constitutes an offense, or who needs help in learning how to avoid offenses (e.g., plagiarism, cheating) or about "rules" for group work/collaboration should seek guidance from the course professor, academic advisor, or the Undergraduate Associate Dean. When misconduct has been found to have occurred, disciplinary penalties will be imposed under Policy 71 – Student Discipline. For information on categories of offenses and types of penalties, students should refer to Policy 71 - Student Discipline, Student Discipline <http://www.adm.uwaterloo.ca/infosec/Policies/policy71.htm>

Grievance: A student who believes that a decision affecting some aspect of his/her university life has been unfair or unreasonable may have grounds for initiating a grievance. Read Policy 70 - Student Petitions and Grievances, Section 4, Student Petitions <http://www.adm.uwaterloo.ca/infosec/Policies/policy70.htm>

Appeals: A student may appeal the finding and/or penalty in a decision made under Policy 70 - Student Petitions and Grievances (other than regarding a petition) or Policy 71 - Student Discipline if a ground for an appeal can be established. Read Policy

72 - Student Appeals, Student Appeals

<http://www.adm.uwaterloo.ca/infosec/Policies/policy72.htm> There is also a website available (Avoiding Academic Offences@ - www.arts.uwaterloo.ca/arts/ugrad/academic_responsibility.html)

K) SCHEDULE OF LECTURES AND READING ASSIGNMENTS

Lectures and reading assignments are organized in terms of units. The best approach is to read assigned materials before the unit's first lecture is given. While not all ideas or materials discussed in the textbook will be explicitly addressed in lectures, you are responsible for them for examination purposes. There are two non-textbook readings for which you are responsible. In your reading of them you should focus on the major ideas and interpretations, which is precisely why they are so important and considered "classics."

UNIT ONE: INTRODUCTION TO THE STUDY OF CANADIAN POLITICS

Although **Two Cheers for Minority Government** and **Against Reform** are especially pertinent to Unit 5, it is advisable to start reading them at the beginning of the term because they cover a wide range of material and recent political events.

READING: Dyck, Chapter One, 1-18.

May 6 Introduction to Course Materials

May 8 Images of Canadian Politics Two Ways to Study Canadian Politics

UNIT TWO: NATURE, SOCIETY, ECONOMY, AND POLITICAL CULTURE

READING: Dyck, Chapter 2, 21-47 Dyck, Chapter 6, 131-54.

NON-TEXTBOOK READING (REQUIRED)

Donald M. Savoie, "All Things Canadian are Now Regional," **Journal of Canadian Studies**, Volume 35, No. 1 (Spring 2000), 203-17. AVAILABLE ELECTRONICALLY.

May 13 Environment of Canadian Politics

May 15 Canadian Political Culture 1: Hartz-Horowitz and Lipset

May 20 Canadian Political Culture 2: regionalism, post-materialism

UNIT THREE: CANADA'S CONSTITUTIONAL FRAMEWORK

READING: Dyck, Chapter 11, 255-266 Dyck, Chapter 3, 49-52.

May 22, 27, 29 Constitutionalism: Pre-Confederation to Confederation
Confederation: Federalism as the option for Canada
Confederation: Incomplete beginning, disputed heritage.

UNIT FOUR: THE FEDERAL SYSTEM

READING: Dyck, Chapter 12, 283-306. Dyck, Chapter 3, 52-72.

NON-TEXTBOOK READING (HIGHLY RECOMMENDED)

E.R. Black and Alan C. Cairns, "A Different Perspective on Canadian Federalism,"
Canadian Public Administration, Vol. IX, No. 1 (March 1966; 27-45); reprinted in J.
Peter Meekison, ed., **Canadian Federalism. Myth or Reality** (Reserve, Dana Porter).

June 3 Federalism: Concepts – Wheare, Livingston, Riker
June 5 Judicial Interpretation: 1867-1937: Development of the Modern System
June 10 Money; province-building; the development of Executive Federalism
June 12 Quebec, the West, and the national government
June 17: Meech Lake Accord: Charlottetown Accord; Clarity Act

UNIT FIVE: THE INSTITUTIONAL FRAMEWORK OF CANADIAN POLITICS: PARLIAMENT, PRIME MINISTERS, CABINETS AND THE BUREAUCRACY

READING: All of Peter Russell, **Two Cheers for Minority Government**
Dyck, Chapter 15, 367-98,
Dyck, Chapter 13, 315-41
Dyck, Chapter 14, 343-65

June 19 Central Political Institutions: Parliament –House of Commons
June 24 Central Political Institutions: Parliament – Senate; Prime Ministers
June 26 Central Political Institutions: Control from the Centre
July 3 Central Political Institutions: The Bureaucracy

UNIT SIX: POLITICAL PARTIES, THE ELECTORAL SYSTEM, ELECTIONS

NON-TEXTBOOK READING (Highly Recommended)

R.K. Carty, "Three Canadian Party Systems," in R.K. Carty, ed., **Canadian Political Party Systems** (Peterborough: Broadview Press, 1992), 563-87.

READING:

Dyck, Chapter 9, 205-30

Dyck, Chapter 8, 177-203

Dyck, chapter 7, 155-75

July 8 Political Parties: Functions

June 10 The Canadian party system and the electoral system

July 15 Understanding elections, voting and non-voting

UNIT SEVEN : THE NATURE AND ROLE OF ADVOCACY GROUPS

READING: Dyck, chapter 10, 231-54

July 17 Pluralist Theory and Its Critique

July 22 Groups in Action: the case of the CCCE

UNIT EIGHT: THE CHARTER OF RIGHTS AND FREEDOMS

READING: Dyck, Chapter 11, 257-85

July 24 The Charter of Rights and Freedoms: Basic Features Interpretation Issues; Appointment of Judges

UNIT NINE: CONCLUSIONS AND REVIEW

July 29 Where have we been? Where are we going?

READING: Dyck, Chapter Five, 105-30.

L) LEARN Professor Woolstencroft will use LEARN.

M) NOTE FOR STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES

The Office for Persons with Disabilities (OPD), located in Needles Hall, Room 1132, collaborates with all academic departments to arrange appropriate accommodations for students with disabilities without compromising the academic integrity of the curriculum. If you

require academic accommodations to lessen the impact of your disability, please register with the OPD at the beginning of each academic term.

N) LIBRARY RESOURCES

For assistance in using the library, students are encouraged to contact:

Jane Forgay, Political Science Liaison Librarian (x35417) or jdforgay@uwaterloo.ca

See also the [web page specifically set up for Poli Sci students](#) – it provides general help for finding books and journal articles, etc. Library Resource

<http://www.lib.uwaterloo.ca/discipline/politic/index.html>