

# PSCI 657/GGOV 650: International Organizations and Global Governance

Fall Term 2017

BSIA 1-31, Wednesday: 2:30 – 5:20 pm

---

**Instructor:** Andrew F. Cooper

**Email Address:** [acooper@uwaterloo.ca](mailto:acooper@uwaterloo.ca)

**Office Location:** BSIA 303

**Office Hours:** Wednesday: 1:00 pm-2:00 pm

**Contact Policy:** Contact can be made via email or during office hours.

**Course Description:** This course is an elective course in the Global Governance program at BSIA and the University of Waterloo Political Science Department. It is also designed to allow Political Science students a better understanding of the conceptualization and practice of International Organizations (IO) with special attention to the dynamics of formal and informal institutions. In the first part of the course, we examine some major conceptual debates related to the motivation, formation and effectiveness of International Organizations. In the second part of the course, we apply this knowledge into specific areas of IOs.

**Pre-Requisites:** This is a course for graduate students only. It is intended for those students specializing in International Relations and Global Governance.

**University Regulations:**

**Cross-listed course:**

Please note that a cross-listed course will count in all respective averages no matter under which rubric it has been taken.

**Academic Integrity:**

**Academic Integrity:** In order to maintain a culture of academic integrity, members of the University of Waterloo are expected to promote honesty, trust, fairness, respect and responsibility.

**Discipline:** A student is expected to know what constitutes academic integrity, to avoid committing academic offences, and to take responsibility for his/her actions. A student who is unsure whether an action constitutes an offence, or who needs help in learning how to avoid offences (e.g., plagiarism, cheating) or about “rules” for group work/collaboration should seek guidance from the course professor, academic advisor, or the Undergraduate Associate Dean. When misconduct has been found to have occurred, disciplinary penalties

will be imposed under Policy 71 – Student Discipline. For information on categories of offenses and types of penalties, students should refer to Policy 71 - Student Discipline, [Policy 71 - Student Discipline](http://uwaterloo.ca/secretariat/policies-procedures-guidelines/policy-71) <http://uwaterloo.ca/secretariat/policies-procedures-guidelines/policy-71>.

**Grievance:** A student who believes that a decision affecting some aspect of his/her university life has been unfair or unreasonable may have grounds for initiating a grievance. Read Policy 70 - Student Petitions and Grievances, Section 4, [Policy 70 - Student Petitions](http://uwaterloo.ca/secretariat/policies-procedures-guidelines/policy-70) <http://uwaterloo.ca/secretariat/policies-procedures-guidelines/policy-70>. In addition, consult [Grievance Processes](http://arts.uwaterloo.ca/student-grievances-faculty-arts-processes) <http://arts.uwaterloo.ca/student-grievances-faculty-arts-processes> for the Faculty of Arts' grievance processes.

**Appeals:** A student may appeal the finding and/or penalty in a decision made under Policy 70 - Student Petitions and Grievances (other than regarding a petition) or Policy 71 - Student Discipline if a ground for an appeal can be established. Read Policy 72 - Student Appeals, [Policy 72 - Student Appeals](http://uwaterloo.ca/secretariat/policies-procedures-guidelines/policy-72) <http://uwaterloo.ca/secretariat/policies-procedures-guidelines/policy-72>.

**Academic Integrity website (Arts):** [Academic Integrity](http://arts.uwaterloo.ca/arts/ugrad/academic_responsibility.html) [http://arts.uwaterloo.ca/arts/ugrad/academic\\_responsibility.html](http://arts.uwaterloo.ca/arts/ugrad/academic_responsibility.html)

**Academic Integrity Office (uWaterloo):** [Academic Integrity Office](http://uwaterloo.ca/academic-integrity/) <http://uwaterloo.ca/academic-integrity/>

#### **Accommodation for Students with Disabilities:**

**Note for students with disabilities:** The AccessAbility Services (AS) Office, located in Needles Hall, Room 1132, collaborates with all academic departments to arrange appropriate accommodations for students with disabilities without compromising the academic integrity of the curriculum. If you require academic accommodations to lessen the impact of your disability, please register with the AS Office at the beginning of each academic term.

#### **Texts:**

Thomas Weiss and Rorden Wilkinson, *International Organizations and Global Governance*, Routledge, 2014.

Kjell Engelbrekt, *High-Table Diplomacy*, Georgetown University Press, 2016.

These core works will be supplemented by a wide variety of Readings, mainly from journals. Along with the rest of the Readings they are aimed to help students preparing for CRITICAL REVIEWS and SEMINAR/RESEARCH PAPERS.

Texts are available for purchase at the University of Waterloo bookstore.

## **Course Requirements, Expectations, and Standards:**

### **Assignments:**

#### *Assignment #1:*

**CRITICAL REVIEW:** 30% (with 15% for one page outline and class presentation and 15% for critical review itself) Students will submit a one page **OUTLINE** (core themes/findings) and **CRITICAL REVIEW** 4-5 pages (12 font, 1.5 line spacing) of the literature/central debate on one of the core topics in Part I of the course. This assignment including **OUTLINE**, **PRESENTATION**, and **CRITICAL REVIEW** should outline the major themes, strengths and weaknesses of the literature/debates under review. Students are encouraged to look beyond the course readings for critiques and book reviews that may assist them, especially in light of shifts in debates/literature given the Trump/BREXIT phenomenon. The **OUTLINE** should be submitted via email to the class by 10 pm the day before the class it is to be presented. The **CRITICAL REVIEWS** will be handed in a week after the **PRESENTATION**.

#### *Assignment #2:*

**RESEARCH PAPER:** 30% (with 10% for in class presentation and 20% for paper). **DUE AS HARD COPY** on December 6. Students will prepare one 10-12 pages **RESEARCH PAPER** for one class in Part II of the course. The **RESEARCH PAPER** is intended to move students from criticism about other peoples' work (with arguments about a body of literature/debates) to giving students an opportunity to investigate specific issues related to IOs. The writing assignment should include: a clear statement of and justification for your research question; and the research paper itself, 8-12 pages in length (12 font, 1.5 line spacing)

**PARTICIPATION:** 20%. **PARTICIPATION** in this course will be expected to achieve a number of objectives: comprehend readings that discuss the theory, development, roles and functions that international organizations play in the world; Participate regularly in seminar discussions, where quality participation is more highly valued than quantity participation.

### **Tests & Examinations:**

#### *Test #1:*

**TEST:** 20%. **TEST** questions will be handed out in the week of October 18 to be submitted on November 1. The **TEST** will be done as a take-home (with **NO** class on October 25) instead of an in-class test. Students will answer two questions, each worth 10%.

### **Schedule:**

#### **Week 1– September 13: An Introduction to the course**

- Surveying the contours and trajectories of International Organizations
- The shift to altered forms of multilateralism – but more plurilateral, self-selective, and informal
- A crisis of traditional multilateralism – in the context of the Global Financial Crisis?

- Connections (and disconnections) with the advance of global governance
- A crisis of both global governance and diplomacy, with a return to self-help

**Reading 1:** Weiss and Wilkinson – Introduction and ch 2 (Murphy)

**Reading 2:** Kjell Engelbrekt, High-Table Diplomacy, Ch. 1.

*Other Readings of interest as an introduction to IO*

Hillman, “Saving Multilateralism” < <http://www.g8.utoronto.ca/scholar/hillman-2010.pdf>>

Patrick, Messy Multilateralism < <http://valdaiclub.com/files/11399/>>

Bosco, “Come Together”, Foreign Policy, 18 August 2011. < <http://foreignpolicy.com/2011/08/18/come-together/>>

Support for the Trump agenda < <http://www.heritage.org/global-politics/report/eleven-priorities-international-organizations-the-trump-administration>>

Caution about over-reaction to the Trump agenda < <http://opiniojuris.org/2017/02/01/why-you-shouldnt-panic-over-president-trumps-draft-executive-orders-on-funding-for-international-organizations-and-multilateral-treaties/>>

## **Week 2– September 20**

**Big picture perspectives**, provoking debate, organizing material

- Out of step with changes on the ground, with support for formal organizations based on legitimacy but with an efficiency gap filled in part by informal organizations

**Reading 1:** Weiss and Wilkinson – Ch 2 (Ku), ch 3 (Banett and Duvall), ch 4 (Held), ch 5 (Sell)

### **Shifts in global summitry**

**Reading 1:** Alan Alexandroff and Don Breaun, Defining global summitry: its meaning and scope. Global Summitry: Politics, Economics and Law in International Governance 1, no. 1 (2014) < [https://www.researchgate.net/publication/280295549\\_Global\\_Summitry\\_Its\\_Meaning\\_and\\_Scope\\_Part\\_One](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/280295549_Global_Summitry_Its_Meaning_and_Scope_Part_One)

**Reading 2:** Global Summitry, Episode 6: ‘Shaking the Global Order: American Foreign Policy in the Age of Trump’. An Interview with Rory MacFarquhar < <https://academic.oup.com/globalsummitry/pages/Podcasts#GS:6>

**Reading 3:** Aseem Prakash and Nives Dolšak, International Organizations and the Crisis of Legitimacy < <http://www.globalpolicyjournal.com/blog/12/06/2017/international-organizations-and-crisis-legitimacy>>

*Note:* Students will pick Critical Review topics. Students will also pick the general theme of their Research Presentation/Paper.

### **Week 3– September 27**

#### **Established/Rising Powers and IOs**

- Structure over Agency? Are formal or informal organizations the primary choice?

**Reading 1:** Weiss and Wilkinson – 21 (Cooper and Thakur) 20 (Knight) and 21 (Taylor)

**Reading 2:** Patrick. 2010. “Irresponsible Stakeholders? The Difficulty of Integrating Rising Powers,” Foreign Affairs, November / December 2010.

<http://search.proquest.com.proxy.lib.uwaterloo.ca/docview/763491621?accountid=14906>

**Reading 3:** Karthik Narayanaswami, BRIC Economies & Foreign Policy: An Analytical Study <http://blogs.harvard.edu/karthik/files/2013/01/BRIC-Economies-and-American-Foreign-Policy-E1897-KNarayanaswami.pdf>

**Reading 4:** Harsh V. Pant, In Goa, A Moment of Reckoning for the BRICS

<http://thediplomat.com/2016/10/in-go-a-moment-of-reckoning-for-the-brics/>

#### **The Rise (and Fall, and Rise again) of Middle Power diplomacy**

**Reading 1:** Price (1998) “Reversing the Gun Sights: Transnational Civil Society Targets Land Mines,” International Organization 52 (3), 613-44.

<http://www.jstor.org.proxy.lib.uwaterloo.ca/openurl?volume=52&date=1998&spage=613&issn=00208183&issue=3>

**Reading 2:** Bone, Andrew. Chapter 11, “Conflict diamonds: the De Beers Group and the Kimberley Process,” in A.J.K. Bailes and I. Formmelt, eds., Business and Security: Public-Private Sector Relationships in a New Security Environment, Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2004. <<http://books.sipri.org/files/books/SIPRI04BaiFro/SIPRI04BaiFro.pdf>>

**Reading 3:** Cooper, Andrew. “Stretching the Model of “Coalitions of the Willing” CIGI Working Paper #1 <https://www.cigionline.org/publications/2005/10/stretching-model-coalitions-willing>

**Reading 4:** Cooper, Andrew and Emel Dal on the evolution of Middle Powers <  
<file:///Users/andrewcooper/Documents/MPIntroPositioning%20the%20third%20wave%20of%20middle%20power%20diplomacy:%20Institutional%20elevation,%20practice%20limitationsI.we barchive>>

**Reading 5:** Francis A. Kornegay, Move over BRICS and IBSA - MIKTA's here! <  
<http://www.gegafrika.org/blogs/francis-a-kornegay-jr-move-over-brics-and-ibsa-mikta-s-here>>

**Reading 6:** Is Germany a middle power plus?

Matthijs <https://www.sais-jhu.edu/sites/default/files/The%20Three%20Faces%20of%20German%20Leadership%20-%20Survival%20-%20FINAL.pdf>

#### **Week 4– October 4**

**Note:** Critical Reviews– with a critical focus on one of the embedded topics (more than one student can do one topic). These are short presentations no more than 10 minutes with discussion another 15. Up to 5 students can present in a week.

**Theme 1:** Evaluating the Merits of leading conceptual contenders in examining IOs – how do they stack up against each other? What do they say about the formal/informal debate?

- Students can either ‘take sides’ in this debate or highlight the attractions/flaws of both sides. Alternatively, students can focus on a particular reading/s.

#### **On the continued value and/or flaws of liberal internationalism in studying IOs**

**Reading 1:** Weiss and Wilkinson – 7 (Jönsson) and 8 (Forsythe)

**Reading 2:** Ikenberry, “The Rise of China and the Future of the West,” Foreign Affairs, 87 (No. 1, 2010)  
<http://www.jstor.org.proxy.lib.uwaterloo.ca/openurl?volume=87&date=2008&spage=23&issn=00157120&issue=1>

**Reading 3:** Hurrell, “Global Liberalism in Harder Times,” International Public Policy Review.  
[http://www.ucl.ac.uk/ippr/journal/downloads/vol6no1/3-CM-Global\\_Liberalism\\_Hurrell.pdf](http://www.ucl.ac.uk/ippr/journal/downloads/vol6no1/3-CM-Global_Liberalism_Hurrell.pdf)

**Reading 5:** Stein, Art liberal Internationalism, Oxford Handbook of International Relations.  
<http://www.oxfordhandbooks.com/view/10.1093/oxfordhb/9780199219322.001.0001/oxfordhb-9780199219322-e-11>

**Reading 6:** forum on the future of liberal internationalism  
<https://issforum.org/roundtables/policy/1-6-liberal-internationalism>

## **A critical analysis of the continued value and/or flaws of Realism in studying IOs**

**Reading 1:** Weiss and Wilkinson – 6 (Charette and Sterling-Folker)

**Reading 2:** John Mearsheimer, “The False Promise of International Institutions,” *International Security*, 19.3 (1994-95): 5-49.

[http://muse.jhu.edu.proxy.lib.uwaterloo.ca/journals/international\\_security/v019/19.3.mearsheimer.html](http://muse.jhu.edu.proxy.lib.uwaterloo.ca/journals/international_security/v019/19.3.mearsheimer.html)

**Reading 3:** Robert Keohane and Lisa Martin, “The Promise of Institutional Theory,” *International Security* 20.1 (1995): 39-51.

[http://muse.jhu.edu.proxy.lib.uwaterloo.ca/journals/international\\_security/v020/20.1.keohane.html](http://muse.jhu.edu.proxy.lib.uwaterloo.ca/journals/international_security/v020/20.1.keohane.html)

**Reading 4:** John Goldsmith and Stephen D. Krasner, “The Limits of Idealism,” *Daedalus*, 132.1 (2003): 47-63.

<http://www.jstor.org.proxy.lib.uwaterloo.ca/openurl?volume=132&date=2003&spage=47&issn=00115266&issue=1>

**Reading 5:** Students can also draw from “Promises, Promises: Can Institutions Deliver?” (Responses to Mearsheimer), *International Security*, Vol. 20, No. 1 (Summer), 1995, pp. 39-93.

**Reading 6:** Is Trump a Realist <http://nationalinterest.org/feature/donald-trump-realist-19810>

**Week 5– October 11 (Because of the UW study break this class will be pushed back to October 13)**

## **A critical analysis of the continued value and/or flaws in constructivism/ English School studying IOs**

**Reading 1:** Weiss and Wilkinson – 10 (Bruce Hall)

**Reading 2:** Ruggie, “What Makes the World Hang Together? Neo-utilitarianism and the Social Constructivist Challenge,” *International Organization* 52.4 (1998): 855-85\*

[http://journals1.scholarsportal.info.proxy.lib.uwaterloo.ca/details-sfx.xqy?uri=/00208183/v52i0004/855\\_wmtwhtnatscc.xml](http://journals1.scholarsportal.info.proxy.lib.uwaterloo.ca/details-sfx.xqy?uri=/00208183/v52i0004/855_wmtwhtnatscc.xml)

**Reading 3:** Johnston (2001) “Treating International Institutions as Social Environments.” *International Studies Quarterly* 45(4), p. 487-515.

<http://journals1.scholarsportal.info.proxy.lib.uwaterloo.ca/tmp/12048007356299171242.pdf>

**Reading 4:** Reus-Smit, “The Constitutional Structure of International Society and the Nature of Fundamental Institutions,” *International Organization* Vol. 51 No. 4, 1997, pp. 555-89.

[http://journals2.scholarsportal.info.proxy.lib.uwaterloo.ca/details-sfx.xqy?uri=/00208183/v51i0004/555\\_tcoisatnofi.xml](http://journals2.scholarsportal.info.proxy.lib.uwaterloo.ca/details-sfx.xqy?uri=/00208183/v51i0004/555_tcoisatnofi.xml)

**Reading 5:** Another useful source is Wendt, “Anarchy is What States Make of It: The Social Construction of Power Politics,” *International Organization*, 46.2 (1992): 391-425.

<http://www.jstor.org/discover/10.2307/2706858?uid=3739448&uid=2&uid=3737720&uid=4&sid=21102552022211>

**Reading 6:** Has Trump borrowed from constructivism or critical theory?

<https://www.nytimes.com/2017/04/17/opinion/has-trump-stolen-philosophys-critical-tools.html>

### **A critical analysis of alternative conceptualizations of IOs**

**Reading 1:** Weiss and Wilkinson – 9 (Tamm and Snidal), 11 (Cox), 12 (Cammack), and 13 Zwingel, Prugl, and Cagar).

*Added references can be located if this topic is chosen*

**Note:** BREXIT be examined as well through these conceptual lenses.

### **Week 6– October 18**

**Theme 2:** A critical analysis of the logic for formal and/or informal IOs. Students can either ‘take sides’ in this debate or highlight the attractions/flaws of both sides. Alternatively, students can focus on a particular reading/s.

**Reading 1:** Abbott, Kenneth W., and Duncan Snidal. (1998) “Why States Act Through Formal International Organizations.” *Journal of Conflict Resolution*. 42 (1): 3-32.

<http://journals1.scholarsportal.info.proxy.lib.uwaterloo.ca/tmp/15474324461416273656.pdf>

**Reading 2:** Lipson, Charles. 1991. “Why Are Some International Agreements Informal?” *International Organization* 45 (4): 495-538.

<http://www.jstor.org.proxy.lib.uwaterloo.ca/openurl?volume=45&date=1991&spage=495&issn=00208183&issue=4>

**Reading 3:** Risto Penttila. “Multilateralism light: The rise of informal international governance”. (2009). *Multilateralism light: The rise of informal international governance*.

< [http://leszek3.delfnet.com/jarosz/LSC\\_materialy/articles/penttila\\_essay\\_july09.pdf](http://leszek3.delfnet.com/jarosz/LSC_materialy/articles/penttila_essay_july09.pdf) >



**Reading 4:** Stone, Randall. “Informal governance in international organizations: Introduction to the special issue” <http://link.springer.com/content/pdf/10.1007%2Fs11558-013-9168-y.pdf>

**Reading 5:** Felicity Vabulas and Duncan Snidal, “Organization without delegation: Informal intergovernmental organizations (IIGOs) and the spectrum of intergovernmental arrangements,” *Review of International Organizations*, 8, 2 2013 <  
<http://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s11558-012-9161-x>>

**Reading 6: personal big power diplomacy at the G20** <https://www.theguardian.com/us-news/2017/jul/18/trump-and-putin-undisclosed-second-meeting-white-house-confirms>

**Theme 3:** Where does Multilateralism connect and disconnect with Global Governance? A critical analysis of the strength and/or weaknesses of either/both. Alternatively, students can focus on a particular reading/s.

**Reading 1:** Weiss and Wilkinson – 14 (Acharya)

**Reading 2:** Caporaso, “International Relations Theory and Multilateralism: The Search for Foundations,” *International Organization* 46, 3: 599-632.  
<http://www.jstor.org/discover/10.2307/2706990?uid=3739448&uid=2&uid=3737720&uid=4&sid=21102552022211>

**Reading 3:** Dingwerth and Pattburg, “Global Governance as a Perspective on World Politics,” *Global Governance*.< [http://www.glogov.net/images/doc/GG12\\_2\\_Dingwerth\\_Pattberg1.pdf](http://www.glogov.net/images/doc/GG12_2_Dingwerth_Pattberg1.pdf)>

**Reading 4:** Ruggie, “Reconstituting the Global Public Domain – Issues, Actors and Practices,” *European Journal of International Relations*; Dec 2004.  
<http://www.jstor.org.proxy.lib.uwaterloo.ca/openurl?volume=46&date=1992&spage=599&issn=00208183&issue=3>

**Reading 5:** Payne, “How Many G’s are there in ‘Global Governance’ after the Crisis?” *International Affairs* (2010) 86, 3: 729-740.  
[http://www.chathamhouse.org/sites/default/files/public/International%20Affairs/2010/86\\_3payne.pdf](http://www.chathamhouse.org/sites/default/files/public/International%20Affairs/2010/86_3payne.pdf)

**Reading 6:** Debate about whether global governance is finished with Trump and BREXIT  
<http://carnegieeurope.eu/strategieurope/60355>

**Week 7– October 25 MID TERM (TAKE HOME NO CLASS)**

**Week 8– November 1**

**Note:** Student presentations start on the RESEARCH PAPERS. Up to 4 students per week. A 20 minute presentation (with power point/visuals) followed by 20 minutes discussion.

### **Topic 1:**

Evaluating the historical strengths and weaknesses of concerts of power. Were they a positive or negative form of governance? Alternatively, students can focus on a particular reading/s.

#### **On concerts**

**Reading 1:** Engelbrekt, High-Table Diplomacy, Ch 2.

**Reading 2:** Go back to Alan Alexandroff and Don Brean, Defining global summitry: its meaning and scope. Global Summitry: Politics, Economics and Law in International Governance 1, no. 1 (2014) <

[https://www.researchgate.net/publication/280295549\\_Global\\_Summitry\\_Its\\_Meaning\\_and\\_Scope\\_Part\\_One](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/280295549_Global_Summitry_Its_Meaning_and_Scope_Part_One)

**Reading 3:** Elrod (1976), “The Concert of Europe: A Fresh Look at an International System,” World Politics 28 (January 1976):159-74.

<http://www.jstor.org.proxy.lib.uwaterloo.ca/openurl?volume=28&date=1976&spage=159&issn=00438871&issue=2&>

**Reading 4:** Aslund, A. (2009) “The Group of 20 must be stopped,” Financial Times, 26 November. <http://www.ft.com/intl/cms/s/0/37deacb4-dad0-11de-933d-00144feabdc0.html>

**Reading 5:** Woods, N. (2010) “Global Governance after the Financial Crisis: A New Multilateralism or the Last Gasp of the Great Powers?”

<http://www.globaleconomicgovernance.org/wp-content/uploads/Ngaire-Woods-Global-Governance-after-the-Financial-Crisis.pdf>

**Reading 6:** A view that Trump is going back to the 19<sup>th</sup> century statecraft <

<http://www.politico.com/magazine/story/2016/01/donald-trump-foreign-policy-213546>>

**Topic 2:** Moving from theory to practice –into new forms of informality. Lots of case studies. Backward and forward looking overviews – a return to concerts or a shift to networked diplomacy.

#### **G20 as a hub**

**Reading 1:** Beeson and Bell, “The G-20 and International. Economic Governance: Hegemony, Collectivism, or Both?” Global Governance.

[http://uwa.academia.edu/MarkBeeson/Papers/536999/G-20 and International Economic Governance Hegemony Collectivism or Both](http://uwa.academia.edu/MarkBeeson/Papers/536999/G-20_and_International_Economic_Governance_Hegemony_Collectivism_or_Both)

**Reading 2:** Aslund, A. (2009) “The Group of 20 must be stopped,” Financial Times, 26 November. <<http://www.ft.com/intl/cms/s/0/37deab4-dad0-11de-933d-00144feabdc0.html>>

**Reading 3:** Woods, N. (2010) “Global Governance after the Financial Crisis: A New Multilateralism or the Last Gasp of the Great Powers?”  
<http://www.globaleconomicgovernance.org/wp-content/uploads/Ngaire-Woods-Global-Governance-after-the-Financial-Crisis.pdf>

**Reading 3:** Luckhurst, Decentralizing Turn, <http://risingpowersproject.com/hamburg-g20-summit-reaffirms-decentralizing-global-authority/>

**Reading 3:** Wright, T. (2017) “The G20 is Obsolete”.  
<https://www.theatlantic.com/international/archive/2017/07/g20-obsolete-trump-putin-russia-germany-france/533238/>

### **Topic 3 the choice of Informal versus formal by the BRICS or middle powers**

**Reading 1:** BRICS and new initiatives. Cooper <<http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/1758-5899.12458/abstract?campaign=wolearlyview>>

**Reading 2:** Shanghai Cooperation Organization/New Silk Road/Belt and Road Initiative

For example Oliver Stuenkel, <http://blog.t20germany.org/2016/11/24/the-brics-grouping-in-the-g20-a-hedging-strategy/>

**Reading 2:** Gabuev on SCO < <http://carnegie.ru/commentary/71350>>

**Week 9- November 8 NO CLASS (AS I AM AT A CONFERENCE). IF NEEDED AN EXTRA CLASS WILL BE ADDED/**

### **Week 10- November 15**

**Topic 4:** How effective has the UN been in dealing with the ‘big’ issues – security, counter-terrorism, environment.

**Reading 1:** Engelbrekt, High-Table Diplomacy, ch 3, 4, 5

*See also:*

**Reading 1:** Weiss and Wilkinson – chs 15 (Gordenker), 16 (Peterson), 22 (Gregoratti), 30 (Williams and Bellamy), 49 (Harman), 50 (Koser)

**Reading 2:** Ruggie, John Gerard. “The United Nations and Globalization: Patterns and Limits of Institutional Adaptation.” *Global Governance*, Jul-Sep2003, Vol. 9 Issue 3, p301, 21p.  
<<http://www.jstor.org.proxy.lib.uwaterloo.ca/openurl?volume=9&date=2003&spage=301&issn=10752846&issue=3>>

**Reading 3:** Chow, 2010. “Is the WHO Becoming Irrelevant?,” *Foreign Policy*, December.  
[http://www.foreignpolicy.com/articles/2010/12/08/is\\_the\\_who\\_becoming\\_irrelevant](http://www.foreignpolicy.com/articles/2010/12/08/is_the_who_becoming_irrelevant)

**Reading 4:** Srridhar, Devi, Sanjeev Khagram and Tikki Pang (2008) “Are Existing Governance Structures Equipped to deal with Today’s Global Health Challenges—Towards Systematic Coherence in Scaling Up,” *Global Health Governance*, Vol. II, No.2 (Fall 2008/Spring 2009).

**Reading 5:** Fidler, David. “Architecture amidst Anarchy: Global Health’s Quest for Governance,” *Global Health Governance*, Vol. 1, No. 1 (January 2007)  
[http://diplomacy.shu.edu/academics/global\\_health](http://diplomacy.shu.edu/academics/global_health)

## Topic 5

A Critical analysis of the strengths and weaknesses of Universal and/or Regional IOS? Does regionalism strengthen or weaken Universal IOs. Alternatively, students can focus on a particular reading/s.

**Reading 1:** Cronin, “The Two Faces of the United Nations: The Tensions Between Intergovernmentalism and Transnationalism,” *Global Governance*, 8.1 (2002).  
<<http://www.jstor.org.proxy.lib.uwaterloo.ca/stable/27800327>>

**Reading 2:** Hurd, “Legitimacy, Power, and the Symbolic Life of the UN Security Council,” *Global Governance*, 8 (2002), pp. 35-51.  
<<http://www.jstor.org.proxy.lib.uwaterloo.ca/openurl?volume=8&date=2002&spage=35&issn=10752846&issue=1>>

**Reading 3:** Katzenstein, P. J. (2007). "Regionalism Reconsidered," *Journal of East Asian Studies* (7): 395-412.  
<<http://basc.berkeley.edu/pdf/articles/The%20Evolution%20of%20Regionalism%20in%20East%20Asia.pdf> >

**Reading 4:** Acharya. 2007. “The Emerging Regional Architecture of World Politics,” *World Politics*. 59 (July) 629-652. [http://muse.jhu.edu/journals/world\\_politics/v059/59.4acharya.html](http://muse.jhu.edu/journals/world_politics/v059/59.4acharya.html)

**Reading 5:** Regional/UN dynamic on migration <  
<https://www.un.org/press/en/2016/sc12389.doc.htm>>

## **Week 11 - November 22**

**Topic 6:** Evaluating the role of IOs in the financial, trade or other examples in consultation with me

**Reading 1:** Weiss and Wilkinson – Chs 40 (Momani), 41 (Hockman), 42 (DeSombre), 44 (Strand).

**Reading 2:** Robert H. Wade, “Emerging World Order? From Multipolarity to Multilateralism in the G20, the World Bank, and the IMF,” *Politics & Society*. 39(3) 347–378.  
<<http://journals2.scholarsportal.info.proxy.lib.uwaterloo.ca/tmp/15058528951657939438.pdf>>

**Reading 3:** Amrita Narlikar and Diana Tussie, “The G20 at the Cancun Ministerial: Developing Countries and their evolving coalitions in the WTO.” <<http://rrii.flacso.org.ar/web/wp-content/uploads/2010/10/g20-developing-wto.pdf>>

**Reading 4:** Eric Helleiner and Bessma Momani. “Slipping into Obscurity: Crisis and Reform at the IMF.” CIGI Papers, 2007.  
<[http://www.cigionline.org/sites/default/files/Paper16\\_Helleiner\\_Momani.pdf](http://www.cigionline.org/sites/default/files/Paper16_Helleiner_Momani.pdf)>

**Reading 5:** Weaver and Leiteritz, “‘Our Poverty is a World Full of Dreams’: The World Bank’s Strategic Compact and the Tenacity of Organizational Culture,” paper presented at the annual meeting of the International Studies Association, New Orleans, LA, March 24-27, 2002,  
<<http://alcor.concordia.ca/~mlipson/Weaver%20and%20Leiteritz.pdf>>

**Reading 6:** Eric Helleiner, "What Role for the New Financial Stability Board? The Politics of International Standards After the Crisis." *Global Policy*. 1:3 (2010)

**Reading 7:** Go back to Aseem Prakash and Nives Dolšak, *International Organizations and the Crisis of Legitimacy* <<http://www.globalpolicyjournal.com/blog/12/06/2017/international-organizations-and-crisis-legitimacy>>

## **Week 12– November 29**

**Topic 7:** Evaluation of possible trends in IOs from a non-state perspective

**Reading 1:** Weiss and Wilkinson – chs 22 (Gregoratti), 23 (Scholte), 24 (Haworth and Huges), 25 (Sinclair), 26 (McGann), 27 (Moran), 28 (Hofman), 29 (Madsen)

**Reading 2:** Cooper. The BRICS, 6

**Reading 3:** Cooley and Ron. (2002) “The NGO Scramble: Organizational Insecurity and the Political Economy of Transnational Action.” *International Security*, 27:1, pp. 5-39.

<http://www.jstor.org.proxy.lib.uwaterloo.ca/openurl?volume=27&date=2002&spage=5&issn=01622889&issue=1>

**Reading 4:** Neumann, “Governance to Governmentality: Analyzing NGOs, States, and Power”, *International Studies Quarterly*, Vol. 50, 2006, pp 651–672

<http://www.jstor.org.proxy.lib.uwaterloo.ca/openurl?volume=50&date=2006&spage=651&issn=00208833&issue=3>

**Reading 5:** Ruggie, John. “Reconstituting the Global Public Domain: Issues, Actors, and Practices” A Working Paper of the Corporate Social Responsibility Initiative, Harvard University. [http://www.ksg.harvard.edu/m-rcbg/CSRI/publications/workingpaper\\_6\\_ruggie.pdf](http://www.ksg.harvard.edu/m-rcbg/CSRI/publications/workingpaper_6_ruggie.pdf)

**Reading 6:** Moran, (2008). “The 800 pound gorilla': the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation, the GAVI Alliance and philanthropy in international public policy”

<http://researchbank.swinburne.edu.au/vital/access/manager/Repository/swin:20048?f0=subject%3A%22Public-private+partnerships%22&query=philanthropy>

**Reading 6:** On wider trends in networking see, David Singh Grewal, *Network Power: The Social Dynamics of Globalization* (Yale University Press, 2008). <

[http://www.amazon.com/Network-Power-Social-Dynamics-Globalization/dp/0300112408/ref=sr\\_1\\_1?ie=UTF8&s=books&qid=1228317248&sr=1-1](http://www.amazon.com/Network-Power-Social-Dynamics-Globalization/dp/0300112408/ref=sr_1_1?ie=UTF8&s=books&qid=1228317248&sr=1-1)

Gates influence over the WHO < <http://www.politico.eu/article/bill-gates-who-most-powerful-doctor/>>

**OR: Topic 8:** Evaluation of specific regional or inter-regional projects

**Reading 1:** Weiss and Wilkinson – chs 14 (Acharya), 17 (Hertz), 18 (Rosamond), 43 (DeSombre)

#### a.) The Americas

**Reading 1:** Malamud and Gardini, “Has Regionalism Peaked? The Latin American Quagmire and its Lesson”, *The International Spectator*, Vol. 47, No. 1, March 2012, 116-133.<

[http://americo.usal.es/iberoame/sites/default/files/malamud\\_gardini\\_has\\_regionalism\\_peaked.pdf](http://americo.usal.es/iberoame/sites/default/files/malamud_gardini_has_regionalism_peaked.pdf)

>

**Reading 2:** Shifter (2012), “The Shifting Landscape of Latin American Regionalism,” *Current History*, January 23: 56-61. <http://www.thedialogue.org/PublicationFiles/Shifter-CurrentHistory.pdf>

**Reading 3:** Burges. 2007. “Building a Global Southern Coalition: The Competing Approaches of Brazil’s Lula and Venezuela’s Chavez,” *Third World Quarterly*, 28 (7), 1343-1358. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/20455002>

**Reading 4:** Roberts, “Rethinking the Summit of the Americas and Advancing Free Trade in Latin America.” (Backgrounder, The Heritage Foundation, August 8, 2008). <http://www.globalcitizen.net/Data/Pages/1460/Papers/20090512123224705.pdf>

**Reading 5:** David Harris and Diego Azzi, “ALBA Venezuela’s answer to “free trade”: the Bolivarian alternative for the Americas.” *Focus on Global South*, Occasional Paper 3. <http://www.focusweb.org/node/1087>

**Reading 6:** Bourne, “Backgrounder on ALBA, the Bolivarian Alliance for the People of Our America.” Center for Democracy in the Americas. [http://politologia.pl/fck\\_pliki/File/2009/Dr.%20Maria%20de%20Monserrat%20Llaur%20-%20Backgrounder\\_on\\_ALBA.pdf](http://politologia.pl/fck_pliki/File/2009/Dr.%20Maria%20de%20Monserrat%20Llaur%20-%20Backgrounder_on_ALBA.pdf)

Regional allies and Venezuela < <http://www.reuters.com/article/us-cuba-venezuela-idUSKBN17D0AJ>>

## **b.) Asia-Pacific**

**Reading 1:** Acharya, “ASEAN at 40: Mid-Life Rejuvenation,” August 15, 2007. <http://www.foreignaffairs.com/articles/64249/amitav-acharya/asean-at-40-mid-life-rejuvenation>

**Reading 2:** Ravenhill. 2007 “From Poster Child to Orphan: The Rise and Demise of APEC.” UNISCI Discussion Papers, No. 13, January Universidad Compostense de Madrid, Madrid, Espana. <http://www.isn.ethz.ch/isn/Digital-Library/Publications/Detail/?ots591=0c54e3b3-1e9c-be1e-2c24-a6a8c7060233&lng=en&id=31720>

**Reading 3:** Higgott, and Stubbs (1995). “Competing conceptions of economic regionalism: APEC versus EAEC in the Asia Pacific.” *Review of International Political Economy*. 2(3): 516-535.

<http://www.jstor.org.proxy.lib.uwaterloo.ca/openurl?volume=2&date=1995&spage=516&issn=09692290&issue=3>

**Reading 4:** Ravenhill, (2009). “East Asian regionalism: Much Ado about Nothing?” Review of International Studies. 35: 215-235.

<http://journals1.scholarsportal.info.proxy.lib.uwaterloo.ca/tmp/4786628629930526468.pdf>

**Reading 5:** Acharya, ‘Regional Worlds in a Post-Hegemonic Era’, SPIRIT Working Papers, No.1 (Science Po, 2009).

[http://spirit.sciencespobordeaux.fr/Cahiers%20de%20SPIRIT/Cahiers%20de%20SPIRIT\\_1\\_Acharya.pdf](http://spirit.sciencespobordeaux.fr/Cahiers%20de%20SPIRIT/Cahiers%20de%20SPIRIT_1_Acharya.pdf)

**Reading 6:** Simon. 2008. “ASEAN and Multilateralism: The Long, Bumpy Road to Community.” Contemporary Southeast Asia, Aug 2008. Vol. 30, Issue 2; 264–292.

[http://muse.jhu.edu.proxy.lib.uwaterloo.ca/journals/contemporary\\_southeast\\_asia\\_a\\_journal\\_of\\_international\\_and\\_strategic\\_affairs/v030/30.2.simon.html](http://muse.jhu.edu.proxy.lib.uwaterloo.ca/journals/contemporary_southeast_asia_a_journal_of_international_and_strategic_affairs/v030/30.2.simon.html)

**Reading 7:** Yoshimatsu, “Regional Cooperation in Northeast Asia: Searching for the Mode of Governance,” International Relations of the Asia-Pacific 10 (2010): 247–274.

<http://journals1.scholarsportal.info.proxy.lib.uwaterloo.ca/tmp/13981400887392397417.pdf>

**Reading 8:** Martin Jones, “Security and democracy: the ASEAN charter and the dilemmas of regionalism in South-East Asia,” International Affairs, 84.4 (2008): 735-56.

<http://journals2.scholarsportal.info.proxy.lib.uwaterloo.ca/tmp/7418259928303706534.pdf>

**Reading 9:** Ann Capling and John Ravenhill, “Multilateralising regionalism: what role for the Trans-Pacific Partnership Agreement? Pacific Review

<http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/09512748.2011.634078#.UheLLM12IHg>

ASEAN in time of crisis < <http://www.reuters.com/article/us-cuba-venezuela-idUSKBN17D0AJ>>

**Note: RESEARCH PAPERS to be handed in December 6.**

**Late Policy:**

Late assignments will be subject to a penalty of 5 points out of a grade of 100 for the first five days (that is to say, until the Monday after the assignment is due), and 5 points per week after that unless an extension has been given on individual medical or compassionate grounds or on a collective basis .