PSYCHOLOGY 399: RESEARCH IN INDUSTRIAL & ORGANIZATIONAL PSYCHOLOGY

Department of Psychology Fall 2013-14

Instructor: Professor Ramona Bobocel

Office: Room 4031, PAS Telephone: 888-4567 ext. 33622

Office Hours: Monday 1:30-2:30 p.m., or by appointment

Email: <u>rbobocel@uwaterloo.ca</u>

TA: Lindie Liang
Office: Room 4237, PAS

Email: lindie.liang@uwaterloo.ca

Office Hours: Thursday: 3:00-4:00 p.m., and by appointment

Class Schedule/Location: Monday & Wednesday: 10:00 – 11:20, PAS 4032

<u>Background Reading</u>: Your Psychology 291 texts: Pelham & Blanton, *Measuring the weight of smoke*. Stanovich, *Critical thinking in psychology*.

<u>Reference Source</u>: American Psychological Association. (2001). *Publication Manual of the American Psychological Association* (6th ed.). Washington, DC: Author.

Content of Course and Objectives

This course aims to develop your ability to critically evaluate and conduct research in I/O psychology and psychology in general. We will cover the methods most commonly used in the study of I/O psychology, and will discuss several design and statistical issues of particular importance in field research. Students will leave the course with (a) a comprehensive understanding of a variety of research methods applicable to the study of I/O psychology, and (b) a heightened ability to critically evaluate research in I/O psychology (and psychology more generally), as well as in related fields such as organizational behaviour.

Format of the Course

The course is structured as a series of discussions of prescribed readings. The readings are organized around a particular methodology or issue, and will provide a base from which relevant methodological, theoretical, and practical issues can be addressed. I have an extended reading list on hand, for those of you who are interested in pursuing specific topics in greater detail.

Note that this course is intended to build on earlier method courses you have taken, and as such we will generally discuss methodology in the context of empirical research. This has two advantages: It will allow us to discuss more complex methodological issues in the context of

actual research; at the same time, it will expose you to a variety of research topics and theoretical issues in the field of I/O Psychology.

As noted below, one of the requirements for this course is a research proposal. You will formulate a research idea and design at least one study (and a maximum of two studies) to test your idea employing various methods discussed in class. The course TA and I will be happy to help you develop and refine your research proposal throughout the term.

Evaluation

1. In-class participation: 15% of your grade. This is an advanced undergraduate seminar, and I am keen to hear from you; therefore you have a rare opportunity to actively participate in discussions of the material, regardless of whether or not you are a discussion leader (see below) in any particular class. The seminar has the potential to be a valuable and rewarding learning experience, but that is largely determined by you. I would suggest that in preparing for each meeting you do several things: First, read the assigned article carefully and summarize (in writing) the major ideas, issues, and conclusions. Second, spend some time giving serious thought to the issues raised and to the implications of the material for future research and/or for the practical management of problems in the workplace. You should then find it is quite easy to come to each class with questions, observations, criticisms, praise, and so on. Everyone is expected to bring forth his or her observations every class. (Note: I will grade your participation each session immediately following our class, and later create a composite of these grades, so as to avoid potential rater biases.)

Note that your in-class participation **also includes a presentation** (in groups) of your preliminary research proposal (see point 4 below) in the last few weeks of class.

<u>A word on attendance:</u> Obviously, your in-class participation grade is affected by attendance: If you are not present in class, then you have not participated, and so would receive a zero for that session. If a student is absent once, I will "overlook" this (your grade will not be affected). Absence beyond once, will however adversely affect your participation grade. If you are away for medical reasons (or another emergency), please let me know (before class or the day of class), and you will need to provide the appropriate documentation consistent with UW policy (see notes from Arts Faculty below).

<u>Use of Laptop computers:</u> Use of laptop computers interferes with good discussion and participation. This is a small, seminar in which discussion of concepts and participation is crucial – looking at a computer screen or trying to take notes of everything as you might in a lecture course are the opposite of what you need to do in a seminar course! This is your opportunity to engage in a high level discussion, not to be passive behind a computer. Therefore, laptops aren't permitted in this seminar course. From past years, it is clear that students perform much better when they engage actively in the sessions.

2. Discussion Leader: 15% of your grade. In small groups (size will depend on class size), you will be responsible for leading discussion each session – this will involve meeting outside class time with your group mates and preparing together. Obviously, your written thoughts (from point 1 above) can serve as the basis for how you will lead the discussion. In

leading discussion, you should assume that all of us have read the readings--in other words, your role is not merely to summarize the readings. Rather, you should have questions, comments, observations, critical evaluations, and so forth, to put to the class. *Note that students are expected to contribute in a meaningful way even when they are not the discussion leader*. Thus being discussion leader does not mean that you should dominate the discussion; instead, you will be responsible for guiding the discussion and keeping it going. You should aim to lead the discussion for about 50 minutes of the 80-minute class period; I will interject throughout and/or at the end of the discussion to cover methodological issues in greater depth (normally requiring 20-30 minutes). **Note: When you are discussion leader, bring in two copies of your questions/comments.** One copy is for me to keep.

Because you are reading published articles, the statistics that are employed will naturally be more sophisticated than what you have been introduced to in your past statistics courses. Do not panic, we will work through in class the key statistics that are reasonable for 3rd year. I make it very clear what fundamental statistics you need to understand. We will work through these more conceptually vs. mathematically; in this way, the course is a good companion to 391 which most of you will be taking concurrently.

- 3. Test: 30% of your grade. Toward the end of the term (see topic list attached), you will write an exam that will test knowledge gained throughout the preceding weeks. The exam will test your abilities in formulating appropriate research designs, interpreting data, depicting data from results, and critiquing methodology.
- **4. Research Proposal: 40% of your grade**. You will also develop a research proposal in dyads/small groups. You will need to meet with your group members throughout the term outside of class. The proposal is due on **Friday, Dec. 6 before 4 pm**. The maximum length of the proposal will be 10 standard pages (12 point font, double spaced, 1 inch margins all around), excluding references and tables, etc. Anything beyond 10 pages will not be considered, and the penalty for late submissions is 5% per day.

In the last few weeks of class, you will present your initial proposal in pairs to the class (20-30 minute presentation depending on class size) and receive feedback from the instructor and other class members. You should present the theoretical background and rationale, your hypotheses, operationalizations, design and method, expected results, and implications.

Note that, although you will develop the research proposal in pairs or small groups, **ultimately** you will each write up the proposal individually.

In brief, the goal of the research proposal is to develop a research question that you consider interesting and worthy of study, and to design a study (or at maximum two studies) to investigate it. You will need to locate, read, and report on relevant research that pertains to the rationale for your research question. The proposal should contain <u>no less than</u> 4 references (and it need not be more than 10). The objective for this course is not to conduct an exhaustive literature review; rather you need only a sufficient background to develop your idea. The focus in this course is on how you decide to test your question(s).

Your proposal should be in APA format and include: a) an introduction section—in which your hypotheses are developed and proposed), b) a method section—in which the research design,

procedure, and measures are described, c) a results section—in which the expected pattern of results is described, and d) a discussion section—in which the implications of the results for theory, practice and future research, as well as the limitations are discussed. In addition, you will include an appendix, containing all the measures, questionnaires, or other materials needed to conduct your study.

Some of the major relevant scientific journals to which you may wish to refer (all available in the Dana Porter Library or most via Psych Info):

Journal of Applied Psychology
Personnel Psychology
Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes
Journal of Organizational Behavior
Academy of Management Journal
Journal of Personality and Social Psychology
Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin
Psychological Bulletin

Messages from the Faculty of Arts Council

<u>Academic Integrity:</u> in order to maintain a culture of academic integrity, members of the University of Waterloo community are expected to promote honesty, trust, fairness, respect and responsibility.

<u>Discipline</u>: A student is expected to know what constitutes academic integrity, to avoid committing academic offenses, and to take responsibility for his/her actions. A student who is unsure whether an action constitutes an offense, or who needs help in learning how to avoid offenses (e.g., plagiarism, cheating) or about "rules" for group work/collaboration should seek guidance from the course professor, academic advisor, or the Undergraduate Associate Dean. When misconduct has been found to have occurred, disciplinary penalties will be imposed under Policy 71 – Student Discipline. For information on categories of offenses and types of penalties, students should refer to Policy 71 - Student Discipline, http://www.adm.uwaterloo.ca/infosec/Policies/policy71.htm
Grievance: A student who believes that a decision affecting some aspect of his/her university life has been unfair or unreasonable may have grounds for initiating a grievance. Read Policy 70 - Student Petitions and Grievances, Section 4,

http://www.adm.uwaterloo.ca/infosec/Policies/policy70.htm

<u>Appeals:</u> A student may appeal the finding and/or penalty in a decision made under Policy 70 - Student Petitions and Grievances (other than regarding a petition) or Policy 71 - Student Discipline if a ground for an appeal can be established. Read Policy 72 - Student Appeals, http://www.adm.uwaterloo.ca/infosec/Policies/policy72.htm

<u>Avoiding Academic Offences</u>: http://arts.uwaterloo.ca/arts/ugrad/academic responsibility.html

Accommodation for course requirements:

Students who are requesting accommodation for course requirements (assignments, midterm tests, final exams, etc.) due to illness should do the following:

- seek medical treatment as soon as possible and obtain a completed UW Verification of Illness Form: http://www.healthservices.uwaterloo.ca/Health Services/verification.html
- · submit that form to the instructor within 48 hours.
- · (preferably) inform the instructor by the due date for the course requirement that you will be unable to meet the deadline and that documentation will be forthcoming.

<u>In the case of a missed final exam</u>, the instructor and student will negotiate an extension for the final exam which will typically be written as soon as possible, but no later than the next offering of the course.

In the case of a missed assignment deadline or midterm test, the instructor will either

- a) waive the course component and re-weight remaining term work as he/she deems fit according to circumstances and the goals of the course, or
- b) provide an extension.

In the case of bereavement, the instructor will provide similar accommodations to those for illness. Appropriate documentation to support the request will be required.

Students who are experiencing extenuating circumstances should also inform their academic advisors regarding their personal difficulties.

Suggestions for Effective Use of Readings in a Seminar

Here are some questions that you might find useful to consider when reading articles. Of course, depending on the nature/purpose of the article, some of these questions may apply more or less readily. These questions will not only help you prepare for class discussions, but also they underlie an effective research proposal.

1. Statement of the theory or key assumptions and tenets underlying the research (in empirical articles) or the conceptual framework provided (in review articles):

To understand the basic ideas, or principles, underlying the research, identify:

- a) What are the major variables involved in explaining the phenomenon under investigation (e.g., commitment to the organization)? Note that some of the variables may be presumed to be antecedents, others may be moderators, and still others may be mediators. (If you are not clear on these terms, this is something to bring up in class!) You should thus not only identify the variables, but also indicate their presumed role.
- b) Once you identify the major variables, indicate how they act to influence the phenomenon under investigation. That is, outline the process explaining why the effects are expected, according to the particular perspective.

Your answers for a) and b) may be brief, but what you should end up with is an outline of the particular theory or assumptions underlying the phenomenon of interest.

2. Also, you should indicate what the fundamental assumptions underlying the approach are. For example, what must we assume about the nature of humans to buy a particular theoretical framework? (You might indicate assumptions first, then follow with the tenets of the theory.)

More Detail on Preparation for Class:

As stated above, you should all have a few questions/observations to bring to class each week. Below are some specific questions that might aid you in this regard. These questions should NOT limit or constraint your thinking. You will, undoubtedly, have other insights that you are encouraged to share with the class.

- 1. Are there any observations you make as you read the articles? For example, do you see inconsistencies/parallels to readings from a previous week? Are there aspects of the various theories that are competing, or can they be reconciled? Are there any consistencies/discrepancies to what you have observed in the organization?
- 2. Are you skeptical about the basis of any of ideas or the conclusions? Why? Any methodological concerns?
- 3. In what way did you feel heartened and/or disheartened by the readings, with respect to your understanding about theory and research on behavior in the workplace.

- 4. What did you learn that seemed counterintuitive to you? What seemed to be something "your grandmother could have told you"?
- 5. What did you want to most want to know--both as a scientist and a practitioner--after reading the work?
- 6. What applications did you take away from what you read? Or, alternatively, are there any problems (or limits) that you see to as far as application?
- 7. What's the next study you see as necessary?

WEEKLY TOPIC AND READING LIST

Sept 9 & 11 Introduction

Mon: Overview of course & Intro to I/O Psychology

Wed: Research Workshop 1: Development of your group ideas for the

proposal (participation graded)

Sept 16 & 18 Theory, Constructs, and Hypothesis Generation

Mon: Scientific Process & Overview of Methods

Wed: Judd, C. M., Smith, E. R., & Kidder, L. H. (1991). Research methods in

social relations (6th ed.) Holt, Rinehart and Winston, Inc. Chapter 2:

Examining Social Relations Research

Sackett & Larson (1990) article. Research Strategies and tactics in I/O

Psychology. pp 420-428

Daft, R.L. (1984). Antecedents of significant and not-so-significant organizational research. In Bateman, J.S., & Ferris, G.R. (Eds.) *Methods and analysis in organizational research*. (pp. 3-14). Reston, VA: Prentice

Hall.

Sept 23 & 25 Psychological Measurement and Construct Validity

Mon: Allen, N.J. & Meyer, J.P. (1990). The measurement and antecedents of

affective, continuance and normative commitment to the organization.

Journal of Occupational Psychology, 63, 1-18.

Wed: Judge, T., Erez, A., Bono, J. E, Thorensen, C. J. (2003). The core self-

evaluations scale. Development of a measure. Personnel Psychology, 56,

303-331.

Sept 30 & Oct 2 Survey Design and Analysis 1 (Mediation in Survey Design)

Mon: Folger, R. & Konovsky, M. A. (1989). Effects of procedural and

distributive justice on reactions to pay raise decisions. Academy of

Management Journal, 32, 115-130.

Wed: Judge, T. A., & Higgins, C. A. (1998). Affective disposition and the letter

of reference. Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes,

75, 207-221.

Oct 7 & 9 Moderation in Survey Design

Mon: Witt et al. (2002). The interactive effects of conscientiousness and

agreeableness on job performance. Journal of Applied Psychology, 87,

164-169.

Wed: Research Workshop 2: Bring ideas and preliminary methods for

discussion in class (split class)

Oct 14 & 16 Survey Design & Analysis 2: Longitudinal Analysis

Mon: Thanksgiving – Enjoy and be thankful!

Wed: Tay, C., et al. (2006). Personality, biographical characteristics, and job

interview success: A longitudinal study of the mediating effects of interviewing self-efficacy and the moderating effects of internal locus of

causality. Journal of Applied Psychology, 91, 446-454.

Oct 21 & 23 Experimental Design and Analysis 1

Mon: Howell, J. M. & Frost, P. J. (1989). A laboratory study of charismatic

leadership. Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes, 43,

243-269.

Wed: Research Workshop 3: Bring hypothesis and proposed method for

discussion in class (split class)

Oct 28 & 30 Experimental Design and Analysis 2: Moderation & Mediation

Mon: Greenberg, J. (1993). Stealing in the name of justice: Informational and

interpersonal moderators of theft reactions to underpayment inequity. *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes*, *54*, 81-103.

Wed: Allen, T.D., & Rush, M.C. (1998). The effects of organizational

citizenship behavior on performance judgments: A field study and a laboratory experiment. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 83, 247-260.

Nov 4 & 6 Quasi-Experimental Design

Mon: Turn in 1 pg (max) synopsis of research idea(s) and proposed method

Tutorial - Writing a Research Proposal

Wed: Schmitt & Klimoski (1991), pp 386-391. (for background)

Greenberg, J. (2006). Losing sleep over organizational injustice: Attenuating insomniac reactions to underpayment inequity with

supervisory training in interactional justice. *Journal of Applied*

Psychology, 91, 58-69.

Nov 11 & 13

Mon: Q & A Review Session

Wed: Test

Nov 18 & 20

Mon: Presentations Wed: Presentations

Nov 25 & 27

Mon: Presentations Wed: Presentations

Dec 2

Mon: Presentations/Exam Review

RESEARCH PROPOSAL DUE: Friday, Dec 6, 2013 (before 4:00 pm)

Discussion Leaders & Dates

Discussion Leaders	& Dates	
Wed, Sept 18	Theory, Constructs, Hypothesis Generation (For those of you who are willing to go first, good for you! I will be available on Monday Sept 16 or Tues Sept 17 if you would like to make an appointment to discuss anything in preparation)	Number of people: Add names 4
Mon, Sept 23	Measurement and Construct Validity	4
Wed, Sept 25	Measurement and Construct Validity	5
Mon, Sept 30	Survey Design	4
Wed, Oct 2	Mediation in Survey Design	4
Wed, Oct 7	Moderation in Survey Design	5
Wed, Oct 16	Survey Design & Analysis II (Longitudinal)	5
Mon, Oct 21	Experimental Design & Analysis I	5
Mon, Oct 28	Experimental Design & Analysis II: Mediation & Moderation	5
Wed, Oct 30	Experimental Design & Analysis II: Mediation & Moderation	5
Wed, Nov 5	Quasi-Experimental Design	4

Research Proposal Groups (From past years, 3/group works the best):

List of groups:

Proposal due: Friday, Dec 6, 2013 (before 4:00 pm – my office or mailbox)