

A note on large gaps between consecutive prime numbers

by C.L. Stewart\*

In 1938 Rankin [7], improving on an earlier result of Erdős [3], showed that for each  $\epsilon > 0$  there exist infinitely many integers  $n$  such that

$$p_{n+1} - p_n > (1/3 - \epsilon) \log p_n \log_2 p_n \log_4 p_n / (\log_3 p_n)^2, \quad (1)$$

where  $p_n$  denotes the  $n$ -th largest prime number and  $\log_i = \log(\log_{i-1})$  denotes the  $i$ -th iteration of the logarithm function. In 1963 Schönhage [9] showed that it is possible to replace  $1/3$  in (1) by  $e^\gamma/2$  where  $\gamma$  is Euler's constant and Rankin [8] in the same year improved  $e^\gamma/2$  to  $e^\gamma (= 1.78\dots)$ . In this note I would like to give a simple proof of (1) with  $1/3$  replaced by  $1/2$ .

Let  $t$  be a positive integer and let  $\epsilon$  be a real number with  $0 < \epsilon < 1/2$ . Denote the interval  $[t^{(1-\epsilon)\log_3 t / \log_2 t}, t / \log t]$  by  $T$  and put

$$k = \prod_{p \in T} p,$$

where the product is taken over primes  $p$ . Note that by the prime number theorem

$$k = e^{(1+o(1))t / \log t}. \quad (2)$$

The number of integers from  $1, \dots, t$  which are coprime with  $k$  is at most  $N_1 + N_2$  where  $N_1$  is the number of positive integers less than or equal to  $t$  all of whose prime factors are less than  $t^{(1-\epsilon)\log_3 t / \log_2 t}$  and where  $N_2$  is the number of positive integers

---

\* This research was supported in part by Grant A3528 from the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada.

less than or equal to  $t$  and having a prime factor greater than  $t/\log t$ . It follows from work of de Bruijn, in particular (1.3) and (1.4) of [1] and (1.8) of [2], that  $N_1 = t/u^{u(1+o(1))}$  with  $u = \log_2 t / (1-\varepsilon) \log_3 t$ , hence  $N_1 = o(t/\log t)$ . Further,

$$N_2 = \sum_{t/\log t < p \leq t} \left[ \frac{t}{p} \right] \leq t \sum_{t/\log t < p \leq t} \frac{1}{p},$$

hence, (by 22.7.3 and 22.7.4 of [4]),

$$\begin{aligned} N_2 &\leq t(\log_2 t - \log(\log t - \log_2 t) + o(1/\log t)) \\ &\leq t(-\log(1 - (\log_2 t / \log t)) + o(1/\log t)) \\ &\leq t \log_2 t / \log t + o(t/\log t). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$N_1 + N_2 \leq (1+o(1))t \log_2 t / \log t. \quad (3)$$

Let  $S$  denote the number of primes which are of the form  $kz+\ell$  with  $1 \leq \ell \leq t$  and  $1 \leq z \leq k^{\lfloor \log_2 t \rfloor}$ . By the Brun-Titchmarsh theorem (see Theorem 2 of [6]),

$$S \leq (N_1 + N_2) 2k^{1 + \lfloor \log_2 t \rfloor} / \phi(k) \log k^{\lfloor \log_2 t \rfloor}. \quad (4)$$

Since  $\phi(k) = k \prod_{p \in T} (1 - \frac{1}{p})$  we have, by Mertens' theorem (Theorem 429 of [4]),

$$\phi(k) = k(1-\varepsilon + o(1)) \log_3 t / \log_2 t. \quad (5)$$

We find, from (2), (3), (4) and (5), that

$$S \leq (2/(1-\varepsilon)+o(1))(\log_2 t / \log_3 t) k^{[\log_2 t]}.$$

Thus for some integer  $z_0$  with  $1 \leq z_0 \leq k^{[\log_2 t]}$  the interval  $[kz_0+1, kz_0+t]$  will contain at most  $(2/(1-\varepsilon)+o(1))(\log_2 t / \log_3 t)$  primes and so in this interval there will be a gap between consecutive primes,  $p_n$  and  $p_{n+1}$  say, of size at least  $((1-\varepsilon)/2+o(1))t \log_3 t / \log_2 t$ .

But  $p_n \leq k^{1+[\log_2 t]} + t$  hence, recall (2),

$$\log p_n \leq (1+o(1))t \log_2 t / \log t, \quad (6)$$

and so,

$$p_{n+1} - p_n \geq \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + o(1)\right) \log p_n \log t \log_3 t / (\log_2 t)^2.$$

Our result now follows since, by (6),  $\log_2 p_n \leq (1+o(1)) \log t$ .

The key estimate in the above argument is the estimate for  $N_1$  which allows us to sieve the integers from  $1, \dots, t$  by primes from  $T$  in an efficient manner. A similar estimate also appears in the proofs of Rankin [7], [8] and of Schönhage [9]. Rankin and Schönhage construct, by a sieving process and the Chinese Remainder Theorem, long intervals free of primes whereas we use the Brun-Titchmarsh theorem and an averaging argument to this end. Maier [5] has used a related averaging argument to show that for each positive integer  $j$  there is a positive number  $c_j$  such that for infinitely many integers  $n$ ,

$$p_{n+i+1} - p_{n+i} > c_j \log p_n \log_2 p_n \log_4 p_n / (\log_3 p_n)^2,$$

for  $i = 0, \dots, j-1$ .

References

- [1] de Bruijn, N.G., On the number of integers  $\leq x$  and free of prime numbers  $> y$ , Nederl. Akad. Wetensch. Proc., (A) 54 (1951), 50-60.
- [2] de Bruijn, N.G., The asymptotic behaviour of a function occurring in the theory of primes, J. Indian Math. Soc., 15 (1951), 25-32.
- [3] Erdos, P., On the difference of consecutive primes, Quart. J. Math. Oxford 6 (1935), 124-128.
- [4] Hardy, G.H. and Wright, E.M., An introduction to the theory of numbers, Oxford University Press, 5th ed., Oxford, 1979.
- [5] Maier, H., Chains of large gaps between consecutive primes, Advances in Math. 39 (1981), 257-269.
- [6] Montgomery, H.L. and Vaughan, R.C., The large sieve, Mathematika 20 (1973), 119-134.
- [7] Rankin, R.A., The difference between consecutive prime numbers, J. London Math. Soc. 13 (1938), 242-247.
- [8] Rankin, R.A., The difference between consecutive prime numbers V, Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc. (2) 13 (1962/63), 331-332.
- [9] Schönhage, A., Eine Bemerkung zur Konstruktion grosser Primzahllücken, Arch. Math. 14 (1963), 29-30.

Department of Pure Mathematics  
University of Waterloo  
Waterloo, Ontario  
Canada N2L 3G1