On the greatest square free factor of terms of a linear recurrence sequence

by

C.L. Stewart*

For Professor Tarlok Shorey on the occasion of his 60th birthday.

1. Introduction

Let r_1, \ldots, r_k and u_0, \ldots, u_{k-1} be integers and put

$$u_n = r_1 u_{n-1} + \dots + r_k u_{n-k}, \tag{1}$$

for n = k, k + 1, ... The sequence $(u_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is a linear recurrence sequence. Let \mathbb{Q} denote the field of rational numbers. It is well known, see [2, p. 62] or [11, p. 33], that

$$u_n = f_1(n)\alpha_1^n + \dots + f_t(n)\alpha_t^n, \tag{2}$$

where f_1, \ldots, f_t are non-zero polynomials with degrees less than ℓ_1, \ldots, ℓ_t respectively and with coefficients from $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_t)$ where $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_t$ are the non-zero roots of the characteristic polynomial

$$X^k - r_1 X^{k-1} - \dots - r_k,$$

and ℓ_1, \ldots, ℓ_t are their respective multiplicities. The sequence $(u_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is said to be non-degenerate if t > 1 and α_i / α_j is not a root of unity for $1 \le i < j \le t$. In 1935 Mahler [3] proved that if u_n is the *n*-th term of a non-degenerate linear recurrence sequence then

$$|u_n| \to \infty \quad \text{as } n \to \infty.$$
 (3)

For any integer m let P(m) denote the greatest prime factor of m and let Q(m) denote the greatest square free factor of m with the convention that $P(0) = P(\pm 1) = 1 = Q(\pm 1) = Q(0)$. Thus, if $m = p_1^{h_1} \cdots p_r^{h_r}$ with p_1, \ldots, p_r distinct primes and h_1, \ldots, h_r positive integers, then $Q(m) = p_1 \cdots p_r$.

van der Poorten and Schlickewei [6] and Evertse [1] proved, by means of a p-adic version of Schmidt's Subspace Theorem due to Schlickewei [8], that if $(u_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is a non-degenerate linear recurrence sequence then

$$P(u_n) \to \infty \quad \text{as } n \to \infty.$$
 (4)

Estimates (3) and (4) are both ineffective. On the other hand if one of the roots of the characteristic polynomial has modulus strictly larger than the others, say

$$|\alpha_1| > |\alpha_i|, \quad i = 2, \dots, t, \tag{5}$$

^{*}This research was supported in part by the Canada Research Chairs Program and by Grant A3528 from the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada.

$$|u_n| > c_1 n^{\ell_1} |\alpha_1|^n,$$

for $n > c_2$ where c_1 is one half of the absolute value of the coefficient of x^{ℓ_1} in the polynomial f_1 and where c_2 is a positive number which is effectively computable in terms of $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_t$ and f_1, \ldots, f_t . In 1982 Stewart [13] obtained effective estimates from below for the greatest prime factor and the great squarefree factor of u_n in the case that (5) holds. In particular, if $u_n \neq f_1(n)\alpha_1^n$, then, for any $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$P(u_n) > (1 - \varepsilon) \log n \tag{6}$$

and

then

$$Q(u_n) > n^{1-\varepsilon},\tag{7}$$

for $n > c_3$, a number which is effectively computable in terms of ε , $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_t$ and f_1, \ldots, f_t . Estimates (6) and (7) were established by means of a version, due to Waldschmidt [16], of Baker's theorem on linear forms in the logarithms of algebraic numbers. Shparlinski [12] independently proved (6) in the case that $f_1(n)$ is a non-zero constant and with $1 - \varepsilon$ replaced by a small positive number.

The purpose of this note is to show that estimates (6) and (7) may be improved with the help of a recent result of Matveev [4] on linear forms in the logarithms of algebraic numbers.

Theorem 1. Let α be a real algebraic number with absolute value greater than one and let f be a non-zero polynomial with coefficients which are algebraic numbers. Let δ be a real number with $0 < \delta < 1$, let n be a positive integer and let u(n) be an integer for which

$$0 < |u(n) - f(n)\alpha^n| < |\alpha|^{\delta n}.$$
(8)

There exist positive numbers C_1 , C_2 and C_3 , which are effectively computable in terms of δ , α and f, such that if n exceeds C_3 and f(n) is non-zero, then

$$P(u(n)) > C_1 \log n \frac{\log \log n}{\log \log \log n}$$
(9)

and

$$Q(u(n)) > n^{C_2(\log\log n)/\log\log\log n}.$$
(10)

In particular, if u_n is the *n*-th term of a non-degenerate linear recurrence sequence, defined as in (2), $|\alpha_1| > |\alpha_j|$ for j = 2, ..., t and $u_n \neq f_1(n)\alpha_1^n$, then estimates (9) and (10) hold with u(n) replaced by u_n .

For any real number x let [x] denote the greatest integer less than or equal to x and let $\langle x \rangle$ denote the nearest integer to x with the proviso that if x is an integer then $\langle x + 1/2 \rangle$ equals x. Further, as in [13] and following an idea of Mignotte [5], we may apply Theorem 1 to integers of the form $[\lambda \theta^n]$ or $\langle \lambda \theta^n \rangle$ where λ and θ are non-zero real algebraic numbers with $|\theta| > 1$ for which $\lambda \theta^n$ is not an integer. In particular, in this case there exist positive numbers c_4 , c_5 and c_6 which are effectively computable in terms of λ and θ , such that for $n > c_4$,

$$P([\lambda \theta^n]) > c_5 \log n \frac{\log \log n}{\log \log \log n},$$

and

$$Q([\lambda \theta^n]) > n^{c_6(\log \log n)/\log \log \log n}.$$

In the special case of binary recurrence sequences, so k = 2 in (1), stronger estimates apply than those which follow from (9) and (10). If u_n is the *n*-th term of a binary recurrence sequence, then, for $n \ge 0$,

$$u_n = a\alpha^n + b\beta^n,\tag{11}$$

where α and β are the roots of $x^2 - r_1 x - r_2$ and

$$a = \frac{u_0 \beta - u_1}{\beta - \alpha}$$
 and $b = \frac{u_1 - u_0 \alpha}{\beta - \alpha}$,

whenever $\alpha \neq \beta$. The binary recurrence sequence $(u_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is non-degenerate whenever $ab\alpha\beta \neq 0$ and α/β is not a root of unity.

In 1967 Schinzel [7] proved that if $(u_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is a non-degenerate binary recurrence sequence then there exist positive numbers c_7 , c_8 and c_9 such that

$$P(u_n) > c_7 n^{c_8} (\log n)^{c_9},$$

where $c_8 = 1/84$ and $c_9 = 7/12$ if α and β are integers while $c_8 = 1/133$ and $c_9 = 7/19$ otherwise and where c_7 is effectively computable in terms of r, s, u_0 and u_1 . Let d denote the degree of α over the rationals. In 1982 Stewart [13] proved that if u_n , as in (11), is the *n*-th term of a non-degenerate binary recurrence sequence then

$$P(u_n) > c_{10} \left(\frac{n}{\log n}\right)^{1/(d+1)} \tag{12}$$

and

$$Q(u_n) > c_{11} \left(\frac{n}{(\log n)^2}\right)^{1/d}$$
 (13)

where c_{10} and c_{11} are effectively computable in terms of a and b only. In a letter to the author Shorey [10] pointed out that for those indices n which are odd, the argument given in [13] leads to a dependence of c_{10} and c_{11} on α and β in addition to a and b. However, with some additional work we were able to show that the numbers c_{10} and c_{11} do indeed depend on a and b only. In 1995 Yu and Hung [17] improved both (12) and (13). They proved that if u_n is the *n*-th term of a non-degenerate binary recurrence sequence, as in (11), then

$$P(u_n) > c_{12} n^{1/(d+1)},$$

and

$$Q(u_n) > c_{13} \left(\frac{n}{\log n}\right)^{1/d},$$

where c_{12} and c_{13} are positive numbers which are effectively computable in terms of a, b and the class number of the field obtained by adjoining α to \mathbb{Q} .

Furthermore, Shorey [9] in 1983 proved that there exist positive numbers c_{14} and c_{15} which are effectively computable in terms of a, b, α and β such that if u_n is the *n*-th term of a non-degenerate binary recurrence sequence, as in (11), and n exceeds c_{15} then

$$Q(u_n) > n^{c_{14}(\log n)/\log\log n}.$$
(14)

Estimate (14) had been established earlier by Stewart [14] when u_n is the *n*-th term of a Lucas or Lehmer sequence. A Lucas sequence is a non-degenerate binary recurrence sequence with initial terms $u_0 = 0$ and $u_1 = 1$. For a more extensive history of these topics see [15].

2. Preliminary lemma

Let $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n$ be non-zero algebraic numbers and put $K = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n)$ Let D denote the degree of K over \mathbb{Q} . We shall define the height $H(\beta)$ of an algebraic number β by

$$H(\beta) = |a_d| \prod_{i=1}^d \max\{1, |\beta_i|\},$$

where

$$a_d X^d + \dots + a_0 = a_d \prod_{i=1}^d (X - \beta_i)$$

is the minimal polynomial of β in $\mathbb{Z}[X]$. Let $\log \alpha_1, \ldots, \log \alpha_n$ be non-zero values of the logarithms of $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n$ and suppose that

 $A_j \ge \max\{H(\alpha_j), \exp(|\log \alpha_j|), 2\}$

for $j = 1, \ldots, n$. Let b_1, \ldots, b_n be integers and put

$$B = \max\{|b_1|, \dots, |b_n|, 2\}$$

Define Λ by

 $\Lambda = b_1 \log \alpha_1 + \dots + b_n \log \alpha_n.$

In 2000 Matveev [4] proved the following result.

Lemma 1. There exists a positive number C, which is effectively computable, such that if $\Lambda \neq 0$ then

$$|\Lambda| > \exp(-C^n D^{n+2} \log D \log A_1 \dots \log A_n \log B).$$

Proof. This follows from Corollary 2.3 of [4]. In fact, Matveev gives an estimate for $|\Lambda|$ in an explicit form from which it would be easy to determine C.

3. Proof of Theorem 1

We shall follow the proof of Theorem 4 of [13]. By replacing f(n) by -f(n) if necessary, we may assume that α is a positive real number. Let K be the field obtained by adjoining α and the coefficients of f to \mathbb{Q} . Let D be the degree of K over \mathbb{Q} . Let c_1, c_2, \ldots denote positive numbers which are effectively computable in terms of δ , α and f. We shall suppose throughout that n exceeds a sufficiently large number c_1 .

The proof proceeds by a comparison of estimates for $|\log R|$, where

$$R = \frac{u(n)}{f(n)\alpha^n}.$$

Put $h(n) = u(n) - f(n)\alpha^n$. We have $R = 1 + (h(n)/f(n)\alpha^n)$ and for n sufficiently large

$$|\log R| \le \frac{2|h(n)|}{|f(n)|\alpha^n}$$

since $|\log(1+x)| \le 2|x|$ whenever $|x| \le 1/2$. Thus, from (8),

$$|\log R| \le \alpha^{-((1-\delta)/2)n}.$$
(15)

Suppose that

$$u(n) = (-1)^{a_0} p_1^{a_1} \cdots p_t^{a_t},$$

with p_1, \ldots, p_t distinct prime numbers, a_1, \ldots, a_t positive integers and a_0 from $\{0, 1\}$. Then $\log R = a_0 \log(-1) + a_1 \log p_1 + \cdots + a_t \log p_t - \log f(n) - n \log \alpha$. Note that by (8) $u(n) \neq f(n)\alpha^n$ and so $R \neq 1$. Thus $\log R \neq 0$. Further note that by (8)

$$\max(|a_1|,\ldots,|a_t|) < c_2 n$$

Furthermore

$$\log H(f(n)) < c_3 \log n$$

Therefore, by Lemma 1,

$$|\log R| > \exp(-c_4^{t+1} D^{t+2} \log D \log p_1 \cdots \log p_t (\log n)^2).$$
(16)

We deduce, from (15) and (16), on taking logarithms, that

$$c_5\left(\frac{n}{(\log n)^2}\right) < c_6^t \log p_1 \cdots \log p_t.$$
(17)

By the arithmetic-geometric mean inequality

$$\prod_{i=1}^{t} \log p_i \le \left(\frac{\log\left(\prod_{i=1}^{t} p_i\right)}{t}\right)^t.$$
(18)

Since $\prod_{i=1}^{t} p_i = Q(u(n))$ it follows from (17) and (18) that

$$\log n - 2\log \log n + \log c_5 < t\log\left(\frac{\log Q(u(n))}{t}\right) + c_7 t,$$

and so, for n sufficiently large,

$$\left(\frac{\log n}{t}\right) - c_8 \frac{\log \log n}{t} < \log \left(\frac{\log Q(u(n))}{t}\right) + c_7$$

hence

$$c_9 t e^{((\log n)/t) - c_8(\log \log n)/t} < \log Q(u(n)).$$
(19)

We assume first that t is less than $(\log n)/\log \log \log n$. Put

 $h(t) = t e^{(\log n - c_8 \log \log n)/t}$

and notice that h is decreasing for t in the range from 1 to $\log n - c_8 \log \log n$. Thus for n sufficiently large $(\log n)/\log \log \log n$ is less than $\log n - c_8 \log \log n$ and so, by (19),

$$e^{c_{10}(\log n \log \log n)/\log \log \log n} < Q(u(n)), \tag{20}$$

as required. On the other hand if t is at least $(\log n)/\log \log \log n$ then the product of the first t primes exceeds $e^{(\log n \log \log n)/2 \log \log \log n}$ for n sufficiently large and therefore

$$e^{(\log n \log \log n)/2 \log \log \log n} < Q(u(n)).$$
(21)

Thus (10) follows from (20) and (21).

For any positive integer m

$$Q(m) \le \prod_{p \le P(m)} p < e^{c_{11}P(m)}$$

$$\tag{22}$$

and thus (9) follows from (10) and (22).

References

- J.H. Evertse, On sums of S-units and linear recurrences, Compositio Math. 53 (1984), 225–244.
- [2] D.J. Lewis, Diophantine equations: p-adic methods, Studies in Number Theory 6, ed. W.J. Leveque, Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey, 1969.
- [3] K. Mahler, Eine arithmetische Eigenschaft der rekurrierenden Reihen, Mathematica (Leiden) 3 (1934–35), 153–156.
- [4] E.M. Matveev, An explicit lower bound for a homogeneous rational linear form in the logarithms of algebraic numbers, II, *Izvestiya Mathematics* 64 (2000), 1217–1269.

- [5] M. Mignotte, Tagungsbericht Math. Inst., Oberwolfach 1977.
- [6] A.J. van der Poorten and H.P. Schlickewei, The growth conditions for recurrence sequences, *Macquarie Math. Reports* 82–0041 (1982).
- [7] A. Schinzel, On two theorems of Gelfond and some of their applications, Acta Arith. 13 (1967), 177–236.
- [8] H.P. Schlickewei, Linearformen mit algebraischen Koeffizienten, Manuscripta Math. 18 (1976), 147–185.
- [9] T.N. Shorey, The greatest square free factor of a binary recursive sequence, Hardy Ramanujan Journal 6 (1983), 23–36.
- [10] T.N. Shorey, personal communication.
- [11] T.N. Shorey and R. Tijdeman, Exponential Diophantine Equations, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics 87, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1986.
- [12] I.E. Shparlinski, Prime divisors of recurrent sequences, Isv. Vyssh. Uchebn. Zaved. Math. 215 (1980), 101–103.
- [13] C.L. Stewart, On divisors of terms of linear recurrence sequences, J. reine angew. Math. 333 (1982), 12–31.
- [14] C.L. Stewart, On divisors of Fermat, Fibonacci, Lucas and Lehmer numbers III, J. London Math. Soc. 28 (1983), 211–217.
- [15] C.L. Stewart, On the greatest prime factor of terms of a linear recurrence sequence, *Rocky Mountain J. Math.* 15 (1985), 599–608.
- [16] M. Waldschmidt, A lower bound for linear forms in logarithms, Acta Arith. 37 (1980), 257–283.
- [17] Kunrui Yu and Ling-kei Hung, On binary recurrence sequences, Indag. Mathem., N.S. 6 (1995), 341–354.

Department of Pure Mathematics University of Waterloo Waterloo, Ontario Canada N2L 3G1 email: cstewart@uwaterloo.ca