ON DIVISORS OF FERMAT, FIBONACCI, LUCAS AND LEHMER NUMBERS, III

C. L. STEWART

1. Introduction

Let r, s, u_0 and u_1 be integers and put $u_n = ru_{n-1} + su_{n-2}$ for n = 2, 3, ... We have

$$u_n = a\alpha^n + b\beta^n \tag{1}$$

where α and β are the roots of $X^2 - rX - s$, $a = (u_1 - u_0 \beta)/(\alpha - \beta)$ and $b = (u_0 \alpha - u_1)/(\alpha - \beta)$ whenever $\alpha \neq \beta$. The binary recurrence sequence $(u_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is said to be non-degenerate if $ab\alpha\beta \neq 0$ and α/β is not a root of unity. For any integer m let Q(m) denote the greatest square-free factor of m with the convention that $Q(0) = Q(\pm 1) = 1$. Thus if $m = p_1^{l_1} \dots p_r^{l_r}$ where p_1, \dots, p_r are distinct prime numbers and l_1, \dots, l_r are positive integers then $Q(m) = p_1 \dots p_r$. In [12] we proved that if u_n is the n-th term of a non-degenerate binary recurrence sequence, as in (1), then

$$Q(u_n) > C(n/(\log n)^2)^{1/d},$$
 (2)

for n > 1, where d is the degree of α over the rational numbers and C is a positive number which is effectively computable in terms of a and b only. We also proved that if α is a real number then, for any positive number ε ,

$$Q(u_n) > n^{1-\epsilon}, (3)$$

whenever n is larger than a number which is effectively computable in terms of a, b, α, β and ε . If $u_0 = 0$ and $u_1 = 1$ then

$$u_n = (\alpha^n - \beta^n)/(\alpha - \beta), \qquad (4)$$

for n = 0, 1, 2, ..., and the sequence $(u_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is a Lucas sequence. Also the related sequence $(v_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$,

$$v_n = \alpha^n + \beta^n \,, \tag{5}$$

for n = 0, 1, 2, ..., is known as a Lucas sequence. Lucas numbers include the Mersenne, Fermat and Fibonacci numbers and they arise in many arithmetical settings because of their divisibility properties. In 1930 Lehmer [4] generalized the

Received 3 August, 1982.

This research was supported in part by a grant from the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada.

results of Lucas [5] on the divisibility properties of Lucas numbers to numbers u_n and v_n with $n \ge 0$ satisfying

$$u_{n} = \begin{cases} \frac{\alpha^{n} - \beta^{n}}{\alpha - \beta}, & \text{for } n \text{ odd,} \\ \frac{\alpha^{n} - \beta^{n}}{\alpha^{2} - \beta^{2}}, & \text{for } n \text{ even,} \end{cases} \qquad v_{n} = \begin{cases} \frac{\alpha^{n} + \beta^{n}}{\alpha + \beta}, & \text{for } n \text{ odd,} \\ \alpha^{n} + \beta^{n}, & \text{for } n \text{ even.} \end{cases}$$
(6)

where $(\alpha + \beta)^2$ and $\alpha\beta$ are non-zero integers and α/β is not a root of unity. The numbers defined above are known as Lehmer numbers. The purpose of this note is to establish estimates from below for $Q(u_n)$ and $Q(v_n)$, where u_n and v_n are Lucas or Lehmer numbers, which improve upon (2) and (3).

Let α and β be complex numbers such that $(\alpha + \beta)^2$ and $\alpha\beta$ are non-zero integers and α/β is not a root of unity. For any positive integer n we denote the n-th cyclotomic polynomial in α and β by $\Phi_n(\alpha, \beta)$, that is,

$$\Phi_n(\alpha,\beta) = \prod_{\substack{j=1\\(j,n)=1}}^n (\alpha - \zeta^j \beta), \qquad (7)$$

where ζ is a primitive *n*-th root of unity. Further, for any integer m let P(m) denote the greatest prime factor of m with the convention that $P(0) = P(\pm 1) = 1$. Schinzel [7] proved that

$$P(\Phi_n(\alpha,\beta)) \geqslant n-1, \tag{8}$$

for n sufficiently large; by a result of Stewart [11] it suffices to take n larger than $e^{452}4^{67}$. Furthermore Shorey and Stewart [8, 10] showed that for $n \ge 2$,

$$P(\Phi_n(\alpha,\beta)) > C_0 n \log n, \qquad (9)$$

where C_0 is a positive number which is effectively computable in terms of α , β and the number of distinct prime factors of n. Since

$$\alpha^n - \beta^n = \prod_{d|n} \Phi_d(\alpha, \beta), \qquad (10)$$

and since $v_n = u_{2n}/u_n$ for Lucas and Lehmer numbers, estimates (8) and (9) apply with $Q(u_n)$ and $Q(v_n)$ in place of $P(\Phi_n(\alpha, \beta))$ and this certainly gives an improvement on (2) and (3). In fact we are able to improve substantially on these results. For any positive integer n let q(n) denote the number of square-free divisors of n; thus $q(n) = 2^{\omega(n)}$ where $\omega(n)$ denotes the number of distinct prime factors of n. By an argument which owes much to [8, 9, 10] we shall show that there exists an effectively computable positive constant c such that

$$Q(\Phi_n(\alpha,\beta)) > n^{(c \log n)/(q(n) \log \log n)}, \tag{11}$$

for all integers n larger than a number which is effectively computable in terms of α and β . For any positive integer n let d(n) denote the number of positive divisors of n. We shall employ (11) to prove the following result.

THEOREM 1. Let $(\alpha + \beta)^2$ and $\alpha\beta$ be non-zero integers with α/β not a root of unity. Let u_n and v_n be Lucas or Lehmer numbers as in (4), (5) or (6). There exists an effectively computable positive constant c such that

$$Q(u_n) > n^{c(d(n)\log n)/(q(n)\log\log n)}, \tag{12}$$

for all integers n larger than a number which is effectively computable in terms of α and β . Further, inequality (12) remains valid if we replace u_n by v_n provided that we replace d(n) by $d(n|n|_2)$, where $|n|_2$ denotes the 2-adic value of n normalized so that $|2|_2 = \frac{1}{2}$.

For any positive integer n, $d(n) \ge q(n)$ and $d(n|n|_2) \ge q(n)/2$. Thus

$$Q(u_n) > n^{c(\log n)/\log\log n}, \tag{13}$$

for n sufficiently large; the above estimate is also valid for $Q(v_n)$ with c/2 in place of c. Further, for any non-zero integers a and b with $a \neq \pm b$, (13) applies with u_n replaced by $a^n - b^n$ or $a^n + b^n$ and c replaced by c/2. In particular, there exists an effectively computable positive constant c_1 such that for the Mersenne numbers,

$$\log Q(2^p - 1) > c_1(\log p)^2/\log\log p,$$

for p > 2, while for the Fermat numbers

$$\log Q(2^{2^n}+1) > c_1 n^2/\log n,$$

for n > 2. Notice also, from (12), that for n > 2,

$$\log Q(2^{2^n}-1) > c_2 n^3/\log n \,,$$

where c_2 is an effectively computable positive constant.

We are able to improve estimate (12) for almost all integers n.

THEOREM 2. Let $(\alpha + \beta)^2$ and $\alpha\beta$ be non-zero integers with α/β not a root of unity. Let u_n and v_n be Lucas or Lehmer numbers as in (4), (5) or (6). For any positive number ε and all positive integers n, except perhaps for those in a set of asymptotic density zero,

$$Q(u_n) > n^{(\log n)^{1+\log 2-\varepsilon}}. (14)$$

Further, inequality (14) remains valid if we replace u_n by v_n .

It follows from Lemma 2, Lemma 3 and (10) that for any Lucas or Lehmer number u_n ,

$$Q(u_n) > c_3 n^{d(n)/4} , (15)$$

where c_3 is an effectively computable positive constant. Thus letting n run through the sequence p_1 , $p_1 p_2$, $p_1 p_2 p_3$, ..., where $2 = p_1 < p_2 < ...$ is the sequence of prime numbers, we see that for any positive number ε ,

$$\log Q(u_n) > n^{(\log 2 - \varepsilon)/\log\log n}, \tag{16}$$

for infinitely many integers n. Inequality (15) remains valid with v_n in place of u_n for any Lucas or Lehmer number v_n provided that d(n) is replaced by $d(n|n|_2)$ and thus (16) holds with v_n in place of u_n .

2. Preliminary lemmas

Lemma 1. Let $\varepsilon(n)$ be a real valued function satisfying $\lim_{n\to\infty} \varepsilon(n) = 0$. For all positive integers n, except a set of asymptotic density zero, and for all divisors l of n with $l > n^{1/2}$, there exists an integer s, depending on l, such that if $1 = d_1 < d_2 < ... < d_l = l$ are the divisors of l then

$$d_s/d_{s-1} > n^{\varepsilon(n)}.$$

Proof. We may assume without loss of generality that e(n) is positive for all integers n. In the proof of Lemma 11 of [10], which was motivated by earlier work of Erdös, we showed that almost all integers n have no divisor between $n^{1/2}$ and $n^{(1/2)+\epsilon(n)}$. Thus for almost all integers n, all divisors l of n have no divisor between $n^{1/2}$ and $n^{(1/2)+\epsilon(n)}$; for each divisor l of n with $l > n^{1/2}$ we set s equal to the index of the smallest divisor of l larger than $n^{(1/2)+\epsilon(n)}$ and our result then follows since $d_{s-1} \leq n^{1/2}$.

For brevity we shall denote $\Phi_n(\alpha, \beta)$ by Φ_n .

Lemma 2. Let $(\alpha + \beta)^2$ and $\alpha\beta$ be coprime non-zero integers with α/β not a root of unity. If n > 4 and $n \neq 6$, 12 then P(n/(3, n)) divides Φ_n to at most the first power. All other prime factors of Φ_n are congruent to $\pm 1 \pmod{n}$. Further if $n > e^{452}4^{67}$ then Φ_n has at least one prime factor congruent to $\pm 1 \pmod{n}$.

Proof. The first two assertions follow from work of Carmichael [2], Lehmer [4] and Lucas [5]: see Lemma 6 of [10]. It follows from the proof of Theorem 1 of [11] (see also [7]) that $|\Phi_n| > n$ for $n > e^{452}4^{67}$. Our third assertion is thus a consequence of the earlier two assertions since $P(n/(3, n)) \le n$.

For any integer n > 2 let $Q'(\Phi_n)$ denote the largest square-free divisor of Φ_n composed of prime numbers congruent to $\pm 1 \pmod{n}$.

LEMMA 3. Let $(\alpha + \beta)^2$ and $\alpha\beta$ be coprime non-zero integers with α/β not a root of unity. Let $n_1, ..., n_r$ be distinct integers larger than 12. Then

$$Q\left(\prod_{i=1}^r \Phi_{n_i}\right) \geqslant \prod_{i=1}^r Q'(\Phi_{n_i}).$$

Proof. Let n and m be integers larger than 12 with n > m. By Lemma 7 of [10], (Φ_n, Φ_m) divides P(n/(3, n)) and thus, by Lemma 2, $Q'(\Phi_n)$ and $Q'(\Phi_m)$ are coprime. Lemma 3 follows directly.

3. Proof of Theorem 1

Denote the greatest common divisor of $(\alpha + \beta)^2$ and $\alpha\beta$ by d and let α' and β' satisfy $(\alpha' + \beta')^2 d = (\alpha + \beta)^2$ and $\alpha'\beta'd = \alpha\beta$. Certainly $(\alpha' + \beta')^2$ and $\alpha'\beta'$ are coprime. Further, by (7), for n > 2,

$$\Phi_{n}(\alpha,\beta) = \prod_{\substack{j=1\\(j,n)=1}}^{\lfloor n/2\rfloor} (\alpha^{2} + \beta^{2} - (\zeta^{j} + \zeta^{-j})\alpha\beta);$$

hence $\Phi_n(\alpha, \beta) = d^{\phi(n)/2}\Phi_n(\alpha', \beta')$. Thus, from (10) and the definition of Lucas and Lehmer numbers, it is no loss of generality to assume that $(\alpha + \beta)^2$ and $\alpha\beta$ are coprime.

We shall assume that n exceeds a sufficiently large number C_1 , where C_1 , C_2 , ... are positive numbers which are effectively computable in terms of α and β only. We shall denote by c_1 , c_2 , ... effectively computable positive constants. Let $d_0 = 1$ and let $d_1 < ... < d_t$ be all the positive divisors of n with $\mu(n/d_r) \neq 0$. Take s to be the smallest integer not less than 1 such that $d_s \ge n^{s/t}$. Then

$$d_s/d_{s-1} \ge \exp\left((\log n)/q(n)\right). \tag{17}$$

We shall assume that $(\log n)/q(n) \ge 9 \log \log n$. By Lemma 2,

$$\Phi_n = p_0 \prod_{i=1}^k p_i^{h_i}, (18)$$

where $h_1, ..., h_k$ are positive integers, $p_1, ..., p_k$ are distinct prime numbers congruent to $\pm 1 \pmod{n}$ and $\pm p_0$ is 1 or P(n/(3, n)). If α and β are real numbers then we may proceed as in the proof of Theorem 1 of [10] to compare estimates for

$$\prod_{r=s}^{t} \left(1 - (\beta/\alpha)^{d_r}\right)^{\mu(n/d_r)},$$

with the aid of an estimate for linear forms in the logarithms of algebraic numbers due to Baker [1]. From (22) and (28) of [10] we obtain

$$d_s \log |\alpha/\beta| - \log\log n < C_2 d_{s-1} (\log n)^4 k^{c_1 k} \log p_1 \dots \log p_k.$$
 (19)

From (17) and (19) we find that

$$\exp((\log n)/q(n)) < C_3(\log n)^4 k^{c_1 k} \prod_{i=1}^k \log p_i.$$
 (20)

If α and β are not real then we may proceed as in the proof of Theorem 1 of [8]. However, when we employ Lemma 1 of [8], a p-adic version of Baker's estimate due to van der Poorten [6], we do not make the simplifying assumption that $p_i < n^2$ for i = 1, ..., k. Therefore $(k \log n)^{c_3 k}$ is replaced by $k^{c_3 k} \log n \prod_{i=1}^k \log p_i$ in (9) of [8]. On making the corresponding modification in (10) and comparing (6) and (10) of [8] we again obtain (20).

Thus, whether α or β are real or not, we have, on taking logarithms in (20),

$$(\log n)/q(n) < C_4 + 4 \log\log n + c_1 k \log k + \log \left(\prod_{i=1}^k \log p_i\right). \tag{21}$$

By the arithmetic-geometric mean inequality and (18),

$$\prod_{i=1}^{k} \log p_i \leqslant \left(\left(\sum_{i=1}^{k} \log p_i \right) / k \right)^k \leqslant \left((\log Q'(\Phi_n)) / k \right)^k. \tag{22}$$

By assumption $(\log n)/q(n) \ge 9 \log \log n$ and therefore, from (21) and (22),

$$(\log n)/2q(n) < c_1 k \log k + k \log\log Q'(\Phi_n), \qquad (23)$$

for n sufficiently large. We may assume, without loss of generality, that $c_1 \ge 1$. By Lemma 2, $p_i \ge n-1$ for i=1,...,k and $k \ge 1$ and therefore if $k \ge (\log n)/(8c_1 q(n) \log \log n)$ then, from (18),

$$Q'(\Phi_n) > n^{c_2(\log n)/(q(n)\log\log n)}, \tag{24}$$

as required. If, on the other hand, $k < (\log n)/(8c_1q(n)\log\log n)$ then $c_1k\log k \le (\log n)/(8q(n))$ since $c_1 \ge 1$. It then follows from (23) that

$$(\log n)/(4q(n)) < k \log\log Q'(\Phi_n),$$

whence

$$Q'(\Phi_n) > e^{(\log n)^2}.$$

Consequently the estimate (24) for $Q'(\Phi_n)$ applies for all integers n with $n \le (\log n)/(9 \log \log n)$. By Lemma 2, $Q'(\Phi_n) \ge n-1$ for n sufficiently large, nerefore estimate (24), with c_2 replaced by c_3 , in fact applies for all sufficiently large integers n.

Let u_n be the Lucas or Lehmer number associated with α and β . From (10) and Lemma 3 we have

$$Q(u_n) \geqslant \prod_{\substack{l \mid n \\ l \geqslant \sqrt{n}}} Q'(\Phi_l). \tag{25}$$

Since at least $\frac{1}{2}$ of the positive divisors of n are at least $n^{1/2}$ in size it follows from (24) and (25) that

$$Q(u_n) > n^{c_4(d(n)\log n)/(q(n)\log\log n)},$$

as required.

Let v_n be the Lucas or Lehmer number associated with α and β . To establish the result for v_n we first note that $\alpha^n + \beta^n = (\alpha^{2n} - \beta^{2n})/(\alpha^n - \beta^n)$. Thus, from (10) and Lemma 3,

$$Q(v_n) \geqslant \prod_{\substack{l \mid 2n \\ l \geqslant \sqrt{n}}} Q'(\Phi_l). \tag{26}$$

The number of divisors of 2n which do not divide n is $d(n|n|_2)$ and the number of divisors which are in addition at least $n^{1/2}$ is at least $(d(n|n|_2))/2$. Our result now follows from (24) and (26).

4. Proof of Theorem 2

Let $\varepsilon_1(n) = (\log \log n)^{-1}$ for n > 3. For almost all integers n and for each divisor l of n with $l > n^{1/2}$ put $d_0 = 1$ and let $d_1 < ... < d_t = l$ be the divisors of l with $\mu(l/d_r) \neq 0$. Then, by Lemma 1, there exists an integer s, depending on l, such that

$$d_s/d_{s-1} > n^{\epsilon_1(n)}. (27)$$

We may now argue as in the proof of Theorem 1 employing (27) in place of (17). In this way we prove that for almost all integers n and for all divisors l of n with $l > n^{1/2}$,

$$Q'(\Phi_l) > n^{((\varepsilon_1(n))^2 \log n)/\log \log n}; \tag{28}$$

note that for any $\delta > 0$ almost all integers n have fewer than $(\log n)^{\log 2 + \delta}$ divisors (see Theorem 432 of [3]) and so the restriction $q(n) \leq (\log n)/9 \log \log n$ required initially in the proof of (24) in §3 certainly applies here. Since for any $\delta > 0$ almost all integers n have at least $(\log n)^{\log 2 - \delta}$ divisors (see Theorem 432 of [3]), and indeed have at least $(\log n)^{\log 2 - \delta}$ divisors larger than $n^{1/2}$, our result for u_n follows from (25) and (28). To establish a comparable estimate for $Q(v_n)$ we first remark that (28) applies for almost all integers n and for all divisors l of 2n with $l > n^{1/2}$. Further it is easy to show that for any $\delta > 0$ the number of divisors l of 2n which do not divide n and are larger than $n^{1/2}$ is at least $(\log n)^{\log 2 - \delta}$ for almost all integers n, since the number of divisors of n is at least $(\log n)^{\log 2 - \delta}$ for almost all integers n. Thus, from (26) and (28), we obtain the required estimate for $Q(v_n)$.

References

1. A. Baker, 'The theory of linear forms in logarithms', Transcendence theory: advances and applications (eds. A. Baker and D. Masser, Academic Press, London, 1977), pp. 1-27.

2. R. D. CARMICHAEL, 'On the numerical factors of the arithmetic forms $\alpha'' \pm \beta'''$, Ann. of Math. (2), 15 (1913), 30-70.

3. G. H. HARDY and E. M. WRIGHT, An introduction to the theory of numbers, 5th edition (Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1979).

D. H. LEHMER, 'An extended theory of Lucas' functions', Ann. of Math. (2), 31 (1930), 419-448.
 E. LUCAS, 'Théorie des fonctions numériques simplement périodiques', Amer. J. Math., 1 (1878), 184-240, 289-321.

6. A. J. VAN DER POORTEN, 'Linear forms in logarithms in the p-adic case', Transcendence theory: advances and applications (eds. A. Baker and D. Masser, Academic Press, London, 1977), pp. 29-57.

7. A. SCHINZEL, 'Primitive divisors of the expression $A^n - B^n$ in algebraic number fields', J. Reine Angew. Math., 268/269 (1974), 28-33.

8. T. N. Shorey and C. L. Stewart, 'On divisors of Fermat, Fibonacci, Lucas and Lehmer numbers, II', J. London Math. Soc. (2), 23 (1981), 17-23.

9. C. L. Stewart, 'The greatest prime factor of $a^n - b^n$ ', Acta Arith., 26 (1975), 427-433.

10. C. L. Stewart, 'On divisors of Fermat, Fibonacci, Lucas and Lehmer numbers', Proc. London Math. Soc. (3), 35 (1977), 425-447.

11. C. L. Stewart, 'Primitive divisors of Lucas and Lehmer numbers', Transcendence theory: advances and applications (eds. A. Baker and D. Masser, Academic Press, London, 1977), pp. 79-92.

12. C. L. STEWART, 'On divisors of terms of linear recurrence sequences', J. Reine Angew. Math., 333 (1982), 12-31.

Department of Pure Mathematics,
University of Waterloo,
Waterloo,
Ontario,
Canada N2L 3G1.