On irregularities of distribution in shifts and dilations of integer sequences, II

J. Beck ^{*}, A. Sárközy [†] and C.L. Stewart [‡]

February 21, 2002

Dedicated to Professor A. Schinzel on the occasion of his sixtieth birthday

1 Introduction

Let N be a positive integer. In 1964, Roth [3], see also [4] and [5], proved that no matter how we partition $\{1, \ldots, N\}$ into two sets there will always be an arithmetic progression which contains a preponderance of terms from one of the two sets. In particular, let $\varepsilon_1, \ldots, \varepsilon_N$ be elements of $\{1, -1\}$ and put $\varepsilon_i = 0$ for i < 1 and i > N. He proved that there exist positive numbers c_0 and c_1 such that if N exceeds c_0 then

$$\max_{a,q,t\in\mathbb{Z}^+} \left| \sum_{j=1}^t \varepsilon_{a+j q} \right| > c_1 N^{1/4} .$$
(1)

In 1981, Beck [1] proved that (1) is best possible, apart from a logarithmic factor, by proving that there exists a positive number c_2 such that for each integer N larger than one there is a sequence $(\varepsilon_1, \ldots, \varepsilon_N)$ of plus and minus ones for which

$$\max_{a,q,t\in\mathbb{Z}^+} \left| \sum_{j=1}^t \varepsilon_{a+j q} \right| < c_2 N^{1/4} (\log N)^{5/2} .$$
 (2)

Recently Matousek and Spencer [2] proved that the factor $(\log N)^{5/2}$ can be removed in (2) and so (1) is best possible up to a constant factor.

In 1987 Sárközy and Stewart [7] generalized Roth's result by studying shifts and dilations of arbitrary sequences of positive integers in place of arithmetical

^{*}Research partially supported by NSF Research Grant DMS-9626151.

 $^{^{\}dagger}\mathrm{Research}$ partially supported by the Hungarian National Foundation for Scientific Research, Grant T 017433.

 $^{^{\}ddagger}\mathrm{Research}$ supported in part by Grant A3528 from the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada.

progressions. In particular, let $\varepsilon_1, \ldots, \varepsilon_N$ be complex numbers and put $\varepsilon_i = 0$ for i < 1 and i > N. Let (b_1, b_2, \ldots) be any sequence of positive integers. Their objective was to estimate

$$\max_{a \in \mathbb{Z}, q, t \in \mathbb{Z}^+} \left| \sum_{j=1}^t \varepsilon_{a+b_j q} \right| . \tag{3}$$

They were able to obtain non-trivial lower bound for (3) when $(b_1, b_2, ...)$ is an increasing sequence which does not grow more quickly than the sequence of squares. For the sequence of squares they proved the following result. Let δ be a positive real number and suppose that $\varepsilon_1 \ldots, \varepsilon_N$ are of absolute value one. There is a number $c_3(\delta)$, which is effectively computable in terms of δ , such that if N exceeds $c_3(\delta)$ then

$$\max_{a\in\mathbb{Z},q,t\in\mathbb{Z}^+} \left| \sum_{j=1}^t \varepsilon_{a+j^2q} \right| > N^{1/6} \exp(-(1+\delta)(\log 2\log N)/3\log\log N) .$$
(4)

Our goal in this paper is to strengthen and to extend the range of applicability of the estimates of [7]. For instance, we shall prove that the exponential factor on the right hand side of inequality (4) may be replaced by a constant. Further we are able to treat shifts and dilations of the sequence of rth powers for any positive integer r.

Theorem 1. Let N, t and Q be positive integers with

.

$$2t \le Q \ . \tag{5}$$

Let b_1, \ldots, b_t be positive integers with $b_t = \max_i b_i$ and let $\varepsilon_1, \ldots, \varepsilon_N$ be complex numbers. Put $\varepsilon_i = 0$ for i < 1 and i > N. Then

$$\sum_{q=1}^{Q} \sum_{a=-Qb_t+1}^{N} \left| \sum_{j=1}^{t} \varepsilon_{a+b_j q} \right|^2 \ge \frac{tQ}{4} \sum_{n=1}^{N} |\varepsilon_n|^2 .$$
 (6)

Condition (5) is necessary and cannot be weakened by a factor of 2 as the following example shows. Let p be a prime number and put Q = t = p - 1, and $b_j = j$ for $j = 1, \ldots, t$. Let N be a positive integer and put $\varepsilon_n = \left(\frac{n}{p}\right)$ for $n = 1, \ldots, N$, where $\left(\frac{n}{p}\right)$ denotes the Legendre symbol of n modulo p. Notice that

$$\left|\sum_{j=1}^{t} \varepsilon_{a+b_j q}\right| = \left|\sum_{j=1}^{p-1} \left(\frac{a+jq}{p}\right)\right| \le 1$$

for $1 \le q \le t = p - 1$ and for $0 \le a \le N - t^2$. For $-Qb_t + 1 = -t^2 + 1 \le a < 0$ and for $N - t^2 < a \le N$ we certainly have

$$\left|\sum_{j=1}^{t} \varepsilon_{a+b_j q}\right| \le t$$

and thus the left hand side of inequality (6) is at most $tN + 2t^5$. On the other hand the right hand side of inequality (6) is at least $\frac{tQ}{4}N\left(\frac{p-1}{p}\right)$, hence at least $\frac{Nt^2}{8}$. A comparison of these two estimates yields a contradiction for tin the range $16 < t < (N/32)^{1/3}$. Furthermore, the lower bound in (6) is, in general, best possible apart from a constant factor as may be seen from

the Rudin-Shapiro construction from harmonic analysis, see [6, Theorem 4] for details.

Choosing Q = 2t in Theorem 1 we obtain the next result.

Corollary 1. Let N and t be positive integers. Let b_1, \ldots, b_t be positive integers with $b_t = \max_i b_i$ and let $\varepsilon_1, \ldots, \varepsilon_N$ be complex numbers. Put $\varepsilon_i = 0$ for i < 1 and i > N. If

$$2tb_t \le N , \qquad (7)$$

then

$$\max_{\substack{1 \le q \le 2t \\ N < a \le N}} \left| \sum_{j=1}^{t} \varepsilon_{a+b_j q} \right| \ge \frac{t^{1/2}}{\sqrt{8}} \left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} |\varepsilon_n|^2 \right)^{1/2}$$

It follows from the result of Matousek and Spencer [2] that condition (7) can not be weakened by more than a constant factor.

For any real number x, let [x] denote the greatest integer less than or equal to x. Let r be a positive integer and put

$$t = [(N/2)^{1/(r+1)}]$$
.

We now apply Corollary 1 with $b_j = j^r$ for j = 1, ..., t. Observe that $t \ge (N^{1/r+1})/2$ provided that $N \ge 5^{r+1}$ and so we obtain the result below.

Corollary 2. Let N and r be positive integers and assume N is at least 5^{r+1} . Let $\varepsilon_1, \ldots, \varepsilon_N$ be complex numbers of absolute value 1. Put $\varepsilon_i = 0$ for i < 1 and i > N. Then

$$\max_{\substack{1 \le q \le 2N^{1/(r+1)} \\ -N < a \le N}} \left| \sum_{1 \le j \le (\frac{N}{2})^{1/(r+1)}} \varepsilon_{a+j^r q} \right| \ge \frac{1}{4} N^{1/2(r+1)} .$$
(8)

Certainly from (8) we have

$$\max_{a\in\mathbb{Z},q,t\in\mathbb{Z}^+} \left| \sum_{j=1}^t \varepsilon_{a+j^r q} \right| \ge \frac{1}{4} N^{1/2(r+1)}$$
(9)

.

and we suspect that the lower bound on the right hand side of inequality (9) is best possible, up to a constant factor for each positive integer r. This is certainly the case when r = 1 by the result of Matousek and Spencer [2]. Finally, we remark that on taking the ε_i 's to be plus or minus one we induce a partition of $\{1, \ldots, N\}$. Therefore, by Corollary 2, if N exceeds 5^{r+1} then no matter how we split $\{1, \ldots, N\}$ into two disjoint sets there will always be a shift and dilation of the sequence of r-th powers of positive integers which contains $\frac{1}{4} N^{1/2(r+1)}$ more terms from one set than from the other.

2 The proof of Theorem 1

For any real number α we denote $e^{2\pi i\alpha}$ by $e(\alpha)$. Put

$$S(\alpha) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \varepsilon_n e(n\alpha) \ .$$

We now introduce the Féjer kernel. For each positive integer Q denote $F_Q(\alpha)$ by

$$F_Q(\alpha) = \left| \sum_{q=0}^{Q} e(q\alpha) \right|^2 = Q + 1 + \sum_{q=1}^{Q} (Q + 1 - q)(e(q\alpha) + e(-q\alpha)) ,$$

and observe that

$$F_Q(0) = (Q+1)^2 . (10)$$

Next, put

$$G(\alpha) = \sum_{j=1}^{t} \sum_{k=1}^{t} F_Q((b_j - b_k)\alpha) .$$

Observe that

$$G(\alpha) = t^2(Q+1) + 2 \sum_{q=1}^{Q} (Q+1-q) \sum_{j=1}^{t} \sum_{k=1}^{t} e((b_j - b_k)q\alpha)$$

hence

$$G(\alpha) = t^2(Q+1) + 2 \sum_{q=1}^{Q} (Q+1-q) \left| \sum_{j=1}^{t} e(b_j q \alpha) \right|^2 .$$
 (11)

Our proof proceeds by a comparison of estimates for

$$J = \int_0^1 |S(\alpha)|^2 G(\alpha) d\alpha .$$

We establish a lower bound first. Since $F_Q(\alpha)$ is always non-negative,

$$J \ge \int_0^1 |S(\alpha)|^2 \sum_{j=1}^t F_Q((b_j - b_j)\alpha) d\alpha ,$$

and so, by (10),

$$J \ge t(Q+1)^2 \int_0^1 |S(\alpha)|^2 d\alpha$$
.

Therefore, by Parseval's formula,

$$J \ge t(Q+1)^2 \sum_{n=1}^{N} |\varepsilon_n|^2$$
 (12)

On the other hand, by (11),

$$J = t^2 (Q+1) \int_0^1 |S(\alpha)|^2 d\alpha + 2 \sum_{q=1}^Q (Q+1-q) \int_0^1 \left| S(\alpha) \sum_{j=1}^t e(-b_j q\alpha) \right|^2 d\alpha.$$
(13)
Since

Since

$$S(\alpha) \sum_{j=1}^{t} e(-b_j q \alpha) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{t} \varepsilon_n e((n-b_j q) \alpha) ,$$

we have

$$S(\alpha) \sum_{j=1}^{t} e(-b_j q \alpha) = \sum_{a=-\infty}^{\infty} \delta_{a,q} e(a\alpha)$$

where

$$\delta_{a,q} = \sum_{j=1}^t \varepsilon_{a+b_j q} \text{ for } a \in \mathbb{Z} .$$

Notice that $\delta_{a,q} = 0$ when a exceeds N or when a is at most $-Qb_t$. From (13) and Parseval's formula we obtain

$$J \le t^2 (Q+1) \sum_{n=1}^N |\varepsilon_n|^2 + 2(Q+1) \sum_{q=1}^Q \sum_{a=-Qb_t+1}^N |\sum_{j=1}^t \varepsilon_{a+b_j q}|^2 .$$
(14)

The result now follows from (5), (12) and (14).

References

- J. Beck, Roth's estimate on the discrepancy of integer sequences is nearly sharp, Combinatorica 1 (1981), 319–325.
- [2] J. Matousek and J. Spencer, Discrepancy in arithmetic progressions, Journal of the A.M.S., 9 (1996), 195–204.
- [3] K. F. Roth, Remark concerning integer sequences, Acta Arith. 9 (1964), 257–260.
- [4] K. F. Roth, Irregularities of sequences relative to arithmetic progressions I, Math. Ann. 169 (1967), 1–25.
- [5] K. F. Roth, Irregularities of sequences relative to arithmetic progressions II, Math. Ann. 174 (1967), 41–52.
- [6] A. Sárközy, Some remarks concerning irregularities of sequences of integers in arithmetic progressions II, Studia Sci. Math. Hung. 11 (1976), 79–104.
- [7] A. Sárközy and C.L. Stewart, On irregularities of distribution in shifts and dilations of integer sequences I, Math. Ann. 276 (1987), 353–364.

J. Beck Department of Mathematics Rutgers University New Brunswick, NJ U.S.A. 08903 A. Sárközy
Department of Algebra & Number Theory
University Eötvös Loránd
Muzeum krt. 6-8
H-1088 Budapest
Hungary

C.L. Stewart Department of Pure Mathematics University of Waterloo Waterloo, ON Canada N2L 3G1