ON DIVISORS OF SUMS OF INTEGERS IV

A. SÁRKÖZY AND C. L. STEWART

1. Introduction. Throughout this article c_0, c_1, c_2, \ldots will denote effectively computable positive absolute constants. Denote the cardinality of a set X by |X|. Let N be a positive integer and let A and B be non-empty subsets of $\{1, \ldots, N\}$. Put

$$A_0 = \{a \in A | (N/2) < a \le N\}$$
 and
 $B_0 = \{b \in B | (N/2) < b \le N\}.$

In [3], Balog and Sárközy proved that if $N > c_0$ and

(1)
$$(|A_0||B_0|)^{1/2} > c_1 N^{12/13} (\log N)^{21/13}$$
,

then there exist a_0 and b_0 with $a_0 \in A_0$ and $b_0 \in B_0$ and a prime number p such that

$$p^2|(a_0 + b_0)|$$

and

(2)
$$p^2 > c_2 (|A_0| |B_0|)^{5/2} / (N^4 (\log N)^7).$$

If follows from this result that if $|A| \gg N$ and $|B| \gg N$ then there exist a in A and b in B and a prime p such that $p^2|(a + b)$ with

 $p^2 \gg N/(\log N)^7$.

Let k be an integer with $k \ge 2$. We shall prove that if $|A| \gg N$ and $|B| \gg N$ then there exist $\gg_k N^{1+(1/k)}/\log N$ pairs (a, b) with a in A and b in B for which a + b is divisible by p^k with p a prime and

$$p^k \gg_k N.$$

This result is best possible, up to determination of constants, both with respect to the number of pairs (a, b) and also with respect to the lower bound for p^k . It follows from Theorem 1 below.

The case k = 1 was considered by Balog and Sárközy in [2]. They proved, by means of the large sieve inequality, that if $|A| \gg N$ and $|B| \gg N$ then there exist a in A and b in B and a prime p with p|(a + b) and

Received February 5, 1987. The research of the second author was supported in part by Grant A3528 from the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada.

In part II of this series [9] we showed, by means of the Hardy-Littlewood method, that if $|A| \gg N$ and $|B| \gg N$ then there exist $\gg N^2/\log N$ pairs (a, b) with a in A and b in B for which a + b is divisible by a prime p with

 $p \gg N$.

Put

$$R = 3N/(|A||B|)^{1/2},$$

and

(3)
$$\theta_k = (1 + 2k4^{k-1})^{-1},$$

for $k \ge 2$.

THEOREM 1. Let N and k be positive integers with $k \ge 2$, let A and B be subsets of $\{1, \ldots, N\}$ and let ϵ be a positive real number. There exist effectively computable positive absolute constants c_3 and c_4 and positive numbers C_0 , C_1 and N_0 which are effectively computable in terms of ϵ and k such that if $N > N_0$ and

(4)
$$(|A||B|)^{1/2} > N^{1-\theta_k+\epsilon},$$

then there exist at least

(5)
$$C_0(((|A||B|)^{1/2})^{1+(1/k)}/\log N) \exp(c_3(\log k \log R)/\log \log R)$$

pairs (a, b) with a in A and b in B, (respectively pairs (a_1, b_1) with a_1 in A and b_1 in B), such that for each pair there exists a prime p for which $p^k|(a+b)$, (respectively $p^k|(a_1 - b_1)$), with

(6)
$$\frac{2C_{1}(|A||B|)^{1/2}}{\exp(c_{4}(\log k \log R)/\log \log R)}$$
$$\geq p^{k} > \frac{C_{1}(|A||B|)^{1/2}}{\exp(c_{4}(\log k \log R)/\log \log R)}$$

In particular if (4) holds then for N sufficiently large there exist a in A and b in B and a prime p such that $p^{k}|(a + b)$ with

(7)
$$p^k > C_1(|A||B|)^{1/2} / \exp(c_4(\log k \log R) / \log \log R).$$

Note that if k = 2, (4) is a more stringent requirement that (1), however the lower bound for p^2 given by (7) is better than the one given by (2). In fact the lower bound for p^k given by (7) is best possible apart from the factor

 $\exp(c_4(\log k \log R)/\log \log R)$

as the following example shows. Let A and B consist of all multiples of a positive integer t with $t \leq N^{1/(k+1)}$. Then

|A| = |B| = [N/t].

If $p^k | (a + b)$ with a in A and b in B, (or indeed if $p^k | (a - b)$ with a in A, b in B and $a \neq b$), then either p|t in which case

$$p^{k} \leq N^{k/(k+1)} \leq N/t \leq 2(|A||B|)^{1/2}$$

or $p \nmid t$ in which case

$$p^k \leq 2[N/t] = 2(|A||B|)^{1/2}.$$

We shall derive Theorem 1 from the following result of independent interest. For any real number x let [x] denote the greatest integer less than or equal to x, let $\{x\} = x - [x]$ denote the fractional part of x and let

 $||x|| = \min(\{x\}, 1 - \{x\}).$

THEOREM 2. Let k be an integer greater than one and let ϵ be a positive real number. Let N be a positive integer and let y be a real number with

$$(8) \quad 3 \leq y < N^{\gamma_k - \epsilon}$$

where $\gamma_k = (2k4^{k-1})^{-1}$. For any real number α with

$$y^{k-1}/N \le \alpha \le 1 - (y^{k-1}/N),$$

we have

$$\sum_{p^k \le N} \min(y, ||p^k \alpha||^{-1})$$

< $C_2(N^{1/k}/\log N) \exp(c_5(\log k \log y)/\log \log y),$

for $N > N_1$, where c_5 is an effectively computable positive absolute constant and C_2 and N_1 are real numbers which are effectively computable in terms of ϵ and k.

In [10] we established the analogue of Theorem 2 for the case k = 1.

2. Preliminary lemmas. For any real number x denote $e^{2\pi i x}$ by e(x).

LEMMA 1. Let X and Y be positive integers with X < Y. Then for any real number α we have

$$\left|\sum_{X < n \leq Y} e(n\alpha)\right| \leq \min(Y - X, 2||\alpha||^{-1}).$$

Proof. See [8], p. 189.

LEMMA 2. Let V be a positive integer. Then for any real number α we have

$$\left|\sum_{n=0}^{V-1} e(n\alpha) - V\right| \leq 4V^2 |\alpha|.$$

Proof. See [1], Lemma 2.

For any positive integer n let $\omega(n)$ denote the number of distinct prime factors of n.

LEMMA 3. There exists an effectively computable positive real number c_6 such that

$$(9) \quad \omega(n) < c_6(\log n)/\log \log n,$$

for $n \ge 3$.

Proof. This estimate is well known. It can be derived easily from the prime number theorem. In fact for any positive real number ϵ , (9) holds with $c_6 = 1 + \epsilon$ provided that *n* is sufficiently large in terms of ϵ .

We shall next record four additional well known elementary results. For any positive integer n, denote the number of integers less than or equal to *n* and coprime with *n* by $\phi(n)$. ϕ is Euler's phi function.

LEMMA 4. There exists an effectively computable positive real number c_7 such that

$$\phi(n) > c_7 n / \log \log n,$$

for $n \ge 3$.

Proof. See [8], p. 24.

For any positive integer n, denote the number of positive integers which divide n by $\tau(n)$.

LEMMA 5. Let q be a positive integer and let u and v be real numbers with v > 0. Then

$$\left|\sum_{\substack{u < a \leq u + v \\ (a,q) = 1}} 1 - v\phi(q)/q\right| \leq 2\tau(q).$$

.

Proof. This is Lemma 4 of [9].

LEMMA 6. There exists an effectively computable positive real number c_8 such that for any integer b with $b \ge 2$,

$$\sum_{\substack{1 \le n \le b \\ (n,b)=1}} 1/n < c_8(\phi(b)/b) \log b.$$

Proof. This is Lemma 5 of [9].

Let a, k and q be integers with k and q positive. We define the function f(a, k, q) by

(10)
$$f(a, k, q) = \sum_{\substack{0 \le x < q \\ (x,q) = 1 \\ x^k \equiv a(\text{mod}q)}} 1.$$

LEMMA 7. Let a, k and q be integers with k and q positive. (i) If (a, q) = 1 and $f(a, k, q) \neq 0$ then

(11)
$$f(a, k, q) = f(1, k, q).$$

(ii) If p is a prime number, r and k are positive integers and (a, p) = 1 then

(12)
$$f(a, k, p^r) \leq \begin{cases} 2k & \text{for } p = 2 \\ k & \text{for } p > 2. \end{cases}$$

(iii) There exists an effectively computable positive real number c_9 such that for $k \ge 2$, $q \ge 3$ and (a, q) = 1,

 $f(a, k, q) < \exp(c_0(\log k \log q) / \log \log q).$

Proof. Let x_1, \ldots, x_t denote a complete set of incongruent solutions modulo q of

 $x^k \equiv 1 \pmod{q},$

and let x_0 be a solution of

(13) $x^k \equiv a \pmod{q}$.

Then x_0x_1, \ldots, x_0x_t is a complete set of incongruent solutions of (13) and this implies (11).

(ii) follows easily from the theory of binomial congruences.

Let

 $q = p_1^{r_1} \dots p_l^{r_l}$

with r_1, \ldots, r_l positive integers and p_1, \ldots, p_l distinct primes. By the Chinese Remainder Theorem

 $f(a, k, q) = f(a, k, p_1^{r_1}) \dots f(a, k, p_l^{r_l}).$

Thus by (ii) and Lemma 3

$$f(a, k, q) \leq 2k^l$$

 $= 2 \exp((\log k)\omega(q)) < \exp(c_9(\log k \log q)/\log \log q)$

as required.

Let *i*, *n* and *q* be integers with $q \ge 2$. Put

(14)
$$\xi(i, n, q) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i \equiv n \pmod{q} \\ 0 & \text{if } i \not\equiv n \pmod{q}. \end{cases}$$

LEMMA 8. Let a, b, k and q be integers with $k \ge 2$, $q \ge 3$ and (a, q) = 1and let u and v be real numbers with v > 0. Then

$$\left| \sum_{u < i \le u + v} \sum_{\substack{0 \le n < q \\ (n,q) = 1}} \xi(i, an^k + b, q) - v\phi(q)/q \right| < q^{1/2} \exp(c_{10}(\log k \log q)/\log \log q),$$

where c_{10} is an effectively computable positive real number.

Proof. We have, for
$$(n, q) = 1$$
,
 $\xi(i, n, q) = (1/\phi(q)) \sum_{\chi} \overline{\chi}(i)\chi(n)$,

where the summation is taken over all characters χ modulo q. We shall denote the principal character modulo q by χ_0 . Thus

$$\begin{split} &\sum_{u < i \leq u+v} \sum_{\substack{0 \leq n < q \\ (n,q) = 1}} \xi(i, an^{k} + b, q) \\ &= \sum_{u < i \leq u+v} \sum_{\substack{0 \leq n < q \\ (n,q) = 1}} \xi(i - b, an^{k}, q) \\ &= \sum_{u - b < j \leq u+v-b} \sum_{\substack{0 \leq n < q \\ (n,q) = 1}} \xi(j, an^{k}, q) \\ &= \sum_{u - b < j \leq u+v-b} \sum_{\substack{0 \leq n < q \\ (n,q) = 1}} (1/\phi(q)) \sum_{\chi} \overline{\chi}(j)\chi(an^{k}) \\ &= (1/\phi(q)) \sum_{\chi} \left(\chi(a) \sum_{u - b < j \leq u+v-b} \overline{\chi}(j) \sum_{n=0}^{q-1} \chi^{k}(n) \right) \\ &= (1/\phi(q)) \chi_{0}(a) \sum_{u - b < j \leq u+v-b} \overline{\chi}_{0}(j) \sum_{n=0}^{q-1} \chi^{b}(n) \\ &+ (1/\phi(q)) \sum_{\chi \neq \chi_{0}} \left(\chi(a) \sum_{u - b < j \leq u+v-b} \overline{\chi}(j) \sum_{n=0}^{q-1} \chi^{k}(n) \right) \\ &= \sum_{u - b < j \leq u+v-b} 1 + \sum_{\substack{\chi \neq \chi_{0} \\ \chi^{k} = \chi_{0}}} \left(\chi(a) \sum_{u - b < j \leq u+v-b} \overline{\chi}(j) \sum_{n=0}^{q-1} \chi^{k}(n) \right) \end{split}$$

Therefore

$$\left| \sum_{\substack{u < i \leq u + v}} \sum_{\substack{0 \leq n < q \\ (n,q) = 1}} \xi(i, an^k + b, q) - v\phi(q)/q \right|$$
$$\leq \left| \sum_{\substack{u - b < j \leq u + v - b \\ (j,q) = 1}} 1 - v\phi(q)/q \right| + \sum_{\substack{\chi \neq \chi_0 \\ \chi^k = \chi_0}} \left| \sum_{\substack{u - b < j \leq u + v - b \\ \chi^k = \chi_0}} \overline{\chi}(j) \right|$$

which, by Lemma 5, the Pólya-Vinogradov inequality [7], [11] and the trivial inequality $\tau(q) \leq 2q^{1/2}$, is

$$< 2\pi(q) + \sum_{\substack{\chi \neq \chi_0 \\ \chi^k = \chi_0}} c_{11}q^{1/2} \log q$$

$$\leq 4q^{1/2} + c_{11}q^{1/2} \log q \sum_{\chi^k = \chi_0} 1$$

$$= 4q^{1/2} + c_{11}q^{1/2} \log q \sum_{\chi} (1/\phi(q)) \sum_{n=0}^{q-1} \chi^k(n)$$

$$= 4q^{1/2} + c_{11}q^{1/2} \log q \sum_{n=0}^{q-1} (1/\phi(q)) \sum_{\chi} \chi(n^k)$$

$$= 4q^{1/2} + c_{11}q^{1/2} \log q \sum_{n^k \equiv 1 \pmod{q}} 1$$

$$= 4q^{1/2} + c_{11}q^{1/2} \log q f(1, k, q).$$

The result now follows from Lemma 7.

LEMMA 9. Let h, a and q be integers with a > 0, q > 1 and (a, q) = 1. Let $\rho(n)$ be a real valued function defined for those integers n with $h \leq n \leq h + q$ and (n, q) = 1. Put

$$\lambda = \max_{\substack{h \le n < h+q \\ (n,q)=1}} \rho(n) - \min_{\substack{h \le n < h+q \\ (n,q)=1}} \rho(n)$$

and

 $\eta(n) = (an + \rho(n))/q.$

There is an effectively computable positive absolute constant c_{12} such that if $\lambda \leq 1$ and if E is a real number satisfying $2 \leq E \leq q$ then

$$\sum_{\substack{h \leq n < h+q \\ (n,q)=1}} \min(E, ||\eta(n)||^{-1}) < c_{12}\phi(q) \log E.$$

794

Proof. This is Lemma 6 of [9].

LEMMA 10. Let k, h, a and q be integers with $k \ge 2$, $a \ge 1$, $q \ge 3$ and (a, q) = 1. Let $\rho(n)$ be a real valued function defined for those integers n with $h \le n < h + q$, (n, q) = 1 and f(n, k, q) > 0. Put

$$\lambda = \max_{\substack{h \le n < h+q \\ (n,q)=1 \\ f(n,k,q)>0}} \rho(n) - \min_{\substack{h \le n < h+q \\ (n,q)=1 \\ f(n,k,q)>0}} \rho(n)$$

and

 $\eta(n) = (an + \rho(n))/q.$

There exists an effectively computable positive absolute constant c_{13} and a positive real number C_3 which is effectively computable in terms of k such that if $\lambda \leq 1$ and if E is a real number satisfying $3 \leq E \leq q$, then

(15)
$$\sum_{\substack{h \le n < h+q \\ (n,q)=1}} f(n, k, q) \min(E, ||\eta(n)||^{-1})$$

< $C_3\phi(q) \exp(c_{13}(\log k \log E)/\log \log E).$

Proof. If $q^{1/3} \leq E \leq q$ then, by Lemmas 7 and 9,

(16)
$$\sum_{\substack{h \le n < h+q \\ (n,q)=1}} f(n, k, q) \min(E, ||\eta(n)||^{-1})$$
$$\leq \left(\max_{\substack{0 \le n < q \\ (n,q)=1}} f(n, k, q)\right) \sum_{\substack{h \le n < h+q \\ (n,q)=1}} \min(E, ||\eta(n)||^{-1})$$
$$< \exp(c_9(\log k \log q)/\log \log q)c_{12}\phi(q) \log E$$
$$< \phi(q) \exp(c_{14}(\log k \log E)/\log \log E).$$

Thus we may assume that

(17)
$$3 \le E < q^{1/3}$$
.

Put

$$r = \begin{bmatrix} \min_{\substack{h \le n < h+q \\ (n,q)=1 \\ f(n,k,q)>0}} \rho(n) \end{bmatrix},$$

and $\rho_1(n) = \rho(n) - r$. Note that

$$0 \leq \rho_1(n) < \lambda + 1 \leq 2.$$

We have

$$\eta(n) = ((an + r) + \rho_1(n))/q$$

and so

$$(an + r)/q \leq \eta(n) < (an + r + 2)/q,$$

hence

$$\|\eta(n)\|^{-1} \leq \max(\|(an+r)/q\|^{-1}, \|(an+r+1)/q\|^{-1}, \|(an+r+2)/q\|^{-1}), \|(an+r+2)/q\|^{-1}),$$

subject to the convention that

$$a \le \max(1/0, b)$$
 and $1/0 \le \max(1/0, a)$

for all real numbers a and b. Thus, on recalling (14), we find that

$$\sum_{\substack{h \leq n < h+q \\ (n,q)=1}} f(n, k, q) \min(E, ||\eta(n)||^{-1})$$

$$\leq \sum_{\substack{h \leq n < h+q \\ (n,q)=1}} f(n, k, q) \sum_{i=0}^{2} \min(E, || (an + r + i)/q||^{-1})$$

$$\leq 3 \max_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{\substack{h \leq n < h+q \\ (n,q)=1}} f(n, k, q) \min(E, || (an + j)/q||^{-1})$$

$$= 3 \max_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{\substack{h \leq n < h+q \\ (n,q)=1}} f(n, k, q) \sum_{i=0}^{q-1} \xi(i, an + j, q) \min(E, ||i/q||^{-1})$$

$$= 3 \max_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{\substack{0 \leq n < q \\ (n,q)=1}} \sum_{i=0}^{q-1} \xi(i, an^k + j, q) \min(E, ||i/q||^{-1})$$

$$\leq 3 \max_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{\substack{0 \leq n < q \\ (n,q)=1}} \sum_{i=0}^{q-1} \xi(i, an^k + j, q) + \xi(q - i, an^k + j, q))$$

$$\times \min(E, q/i) \leq 3 \max_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(E \sum_{\substack{0 \leq n < q \\ (n,q)=1}} \sum_{i=0}^{q-1} (\xi(i, an^k + j, q) + \xi(q - i, an^k + j, q)) + \sum_{i=1}^{q-1} (\xi(i, an^k + j, q) + \xi(q - i, an^k + j, q)) \right)$$

796

which, by Lemma 8, is

$$\leq 6E((1 + (q/E))(\phi(q)/q) + q^{1/2} \exp(c_{10}(\log k \log q)/\log \log q)) + 6\sum_{u=1}^{[E]} (E/u)((1 + (q/E))(\phi(q)/q) + q^{1/2} \exp(c_{10}(\log k \log q)/\log \log q))$$

and, by (17), is

$$\leq 12\phi(q) + 6q^{5/6} \exp(c_{10}(\log k \log q) / \log \log q)$$

+
$$12(1 + \log E)(\phi(q) + q^{5/6} \exp(c_{10}(\log k \log q)) \log \log q)),$$

whence, by Lemma 4, is

$$(18) < C_4 \phi(q) \log E,$$

where C_4 is a positive number which is effectively computable in terms of k. Lemma 10 now follows from (16) and (18).

LEMMA 11. Let θ be a positive real number and let k be an integer larger than one. If α is a real number and a, q and N are positive integers with (a, q) = 1 and $|\alpha - (a/q)| < q^{-2}$ then

$$\left|\sum_{p\leq N} e(\alpha p^k)\right| < C_5 N^{1+\theta} (q^{-1} + N^{-1/2} + q N^{-k})^{4^{1-k}},$$

where C_5 is a real number which is effectively computable in terms of k and θ ; the summation above is over primes p with $p \leq N$.

Proof. This follows from Theorem 1 of [4] by partial summation.

LEMMA 12. Let δ be a real number satisfying

$$0 < \delta \leq 1/2.$$

Then there exists a periodic function $\psi(x, \delta)$, with period 1, such that

(i) $\psi(x, \delta) \ge 1$ in the interval $-\delta \le x \le \delta$,

(ii) $\psi(x, \delta) \ge 0$ for all x,

(iii) $\psi(x, \delta)$ has a Fourier series expansion of the form

$$\psi(x, \delta) = a_0 + \sum_{0 < j \le (1/2\delta) - 1} a_j \cos 2\pi j x,$$

where

$$|a_0| \leq \pi^2 \delta,$$

and

 $|a_j|<2\pi^2\delta,$

for $0 < j \le (1/2\delta) - 1$.

Proof. This is Lemma 4 of [10]. In fact in [10] it is shown that one may take

$$\psi(x, \,\delta) \,=\, (\pi^2/(4N^2)\,)|\,(1\,-\,e(Nx)\,)/(1\,-\,e(x)\,)\,|^2$$

where $N = [1/(2\delta)]$. Of course results of this character are well known. They were introduced in this setting by Weyl and have often been used by Vinogradov and others.

Let x be a real number and let l and k be positive integers. As usual we denote the number of primes less than or equal to x by $\pi(x)$ and the number of primes less than or equal to x and congruent to l modulo k by $\pi(x, k, l)$.

LEMMA 13. There exist effectively computable positive real numbers c_{15} and c_{16} such that if X and Y are real numbers with $X > c_{15}$ and $Y \ge X^{23/42}$ then

$$\pi(X + Y) - \pi(X) > c_{16}Y/\log X.$$

Proof. This is the main theorem of [5].

In fact we only require Lemma 13 for the range $Y \ge X^{(5/8)+\epsilon}$ for ϵ an arbitrary positive real number and so Ingham's Theorem would suffice here.

LEMMA 14. (Brun-Titchmarsh Theorem). Let x and y be positive real numbers and let k and l be relatively prime positive integers with y > k. Then

 $\pi(x + y, k, l) - \pi(x, k, l) < \frac{2y}{\phi(k)} \log(\frac{y}{k}).$

Proof. This is Theorem 2 of [6].

3. The proof of theorem 2. As before, C_0, C_1, \ldots and N_0, N_1, \ldots denote positive real numbers which are effectively computable in terms of ϵ and k and c_0, c_1, \ldots denote effectively computable positive absolute constants. We shall assume, without loss of generality, that

$$0 < \epsilon < (2k4^{k-1})^{-1}.$$

Put

$$P = (yN^{\epsilon/2})^{4^{\kappa-1}}$$
 and $Q = N/P$.

Let T_1 denote the set of those α in the interval

$$(y^{k-1}/N, 1 - (y^{k-1}/N))$$

for which for all integers n with $1 \le n \le y$ there exist positive integers r_n and s_n with $(r_n, s_n) = 1$,

(19)
$$|n\alpha - (r_n/s_n)| < 1/s_n^2$$

and

(20) $P \leq s_n \leq Q$.

Put

$$T' = (y^{k-1}/N, 1 - (y^{k-1}/N)) - T_1,$$

so that T' consists of the real numbers α in $(y^{k-1}/N, 1 - (y^{k-1}/N))$ which are not in T_1 . If $\alpha \in T'$ then for some integer n^* with $1 \leq n^* \leq y$ there exist no coprime positive integers r_{n^*} , s_{n^*} satisfying (19) and (20) with n^* in place of n. By Dirichlet's Theorem there exist integers u and v with

(21)
$$|n^*\alpha - (u/v)| < 1/(vQ),$$

 $0 \le u, 0 < v \le Q$ and $(u, v) = 1$. Note that
 $|n^*\alpha - (u/v)| < 1/v^2,$

and therefore that v < P. It follows directly from (21) that

 $|\alpha - (u/n^*v)| < 1/(n^*vQ),$

hence, on writing $u/(n^*v)$ in the form a/b with a and b coprime $a \ge 0$ and b > 0 we see that

(22)
$$|\alpha - (a/b)| < 1/(bQ),$$

with

(23)
$$b \leq n^* v \leq yP$$
.

To each α in T' we shall associate a pair of coprime integers a and b with $a \ge 0$ and b > 0 satisfying (22) and (23) and we shall put

$$\beta = \alpha - (a/b).$$

Let us define subsets T_2 and T_3 of T' by

$$T_2 = \{ \alpha \in T' | b \leq y \},$$

$$T_3 = \{ \alpha \in T' | y < b \}.$$

Put

$$S_0(\alpha) = \sum_{p^k \le N} \min(y, ||p^k \alpha||^{-1}).$$

Since

$$(y^{k-1}/N, 1 - (y^{k-1}/N)) = T_1 \cup T_2 \cup T_3$$

.

it suffices to show that for $N > N_1$,

(24) $\max_{\alpha \in T_i} S_0(\alpha) < C_2(N^{1/k}/\log N) \exp(c_5(\log k \log y)/\log \log y),$

for i = 1, 2, 3. We shall establish (24) for i = 1, the case of the "minor arcs" in Section 4 and for i = 2, 3, the "major arcs" in Section 5.

4. Minor arcs. Assume that $\alpha \in T_1$. For $\beta > 0$, put

$$Z(N, \alpha, \beta) = \sum_{\substack{p^k \leq N \\ ||p^k \alpha|| < \beta}} 1.$$

Then

$$\begin{split} S_{0}(\alpha) &= \sum_{p^{k} \leq N} \min(y, ||p^{k}\alpha||^{-1}) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{p^{k} \leq N \\ ||p^{k}\alpha|| < 1/y}} \min(y, ||p^{k}\alpha||^{-1}) \\ &+ \sum_{j=2}^{\lfloor y/2 \rfloor + 1} \sum_{\substack{p^{k} \leq N \\ (j-1)/y \leq ||p^{k}\alpha|| < j/y}} \min(y, ||p^{k}\alpha||^{-1}) \\ &\leq \sum_{\substack{p^{k} \leq N \\ ||p^{k}\alpha|| < 1/y}} y + \sum_{j=2}^{\lfloor y/2 \rfloor + 1} \sum_{\substack{p^{k} \leq N \\ (j-1)/y \leq ||p^{k}\alpha|| < j/y}} y/(j-1) \\ &= yZ(N, \alpha, 1/y) \\ &+ \sum_{j=2}^{\lfloor y/2 \rfloor + 1} (y/(j-1))(Z(N, \alpha, j/y) - Z(N, \alpha, (j-1)/y)) \\ &= y \sum_{j=2}^{\lfloor y/2 \rfloor} Z(N, \alpha, j/y)(1/(j-1) - 1/j) \\ &+ (y/\lfloor y/2 \rfloor)Z(N, \alpha, (\lfloor y/2 \rfloor + 1)/y) \\ &\leq y \sum_{j=2}^{\lfloor y/2 \rfloor} Z(N, \alpha, j/y)/(j(j-1)) + 3 \sum_{p^{k} \leq N} 1. \end{split}$$

Thus, by the prime number theorem,

800

(25)
$$S_0(\alpha) < y \sum_{j=2}^{\lfloor y/2 \rfloor} Z(N, \alpha, j/y) / (j(j-1)) + 4k N^{1/k} / \log N,$$

for $N > N_2$.

On applying Lemma 12 with $\delta = j/y$ and $1 \leq j \leq y/2$ we find that

$$Z(N, \alpha, j/y) = \sum_{\substack{p^k \leq N \\ ||p^k \alpha|| < j/y}} 1 \leq \sum_{p^k \leq N} \psi(p^k \alpha, j/y) \\ = \sum_{p^k \leq N} \left(a_0 + \sum_{0 < m \leq (y/2j) - 1} a_m \cos(2\pi m p^k \alpha) \right) \\ = a_0 \pi(N^{1/k}) + \sum_{0 < m \leq (y/2j) - 1} a_m R_e \left(\sum_{p^k \leq N} e(m p^k \alpha) \right) \\ \leq |a_0| \pi(N^{1/k}) + \sum_{0 < m \leq (y/2j) - 1} |a_m| \left| \sum_{p^k \leq N} e(m p^k \alpha) \right| \\ \leq (\pi^2 j/y) \pi(N^{1/k}) + \sum_{0 < m \leq (y/2j) - 1} (2\pi^2 j/y) \left| \sum_{p^k \leq N} e(m p^k \alpha) \right|.$$

Thus, by the prime number theorem, for $N > N_3$,

(26)
$$Z(N, \alpha, j/y)$$

$$\leq (20kj/y)N^{1/k}/\log N$$

$$+ \left(\max_{0 < m \leq (y/2j) - 1} \left| \sum_{p^k \leq N} e(mp^k \alpha) \right| \right) \sum_{0 < m \leq (y/2j) - 1} 20j/y$$

$$\leq (20kj/y)N^{1/k}/\log N + 10 \max_{0 < m \leq (y/2j) - 1} \left| \sum_{p^k \leq N} e(p^k m \alpha) \right|$$

If $0 < m \leq (y/2j) - 1$ then, since $(y/2j) - 1 \leq y$, for $\alpha \in T_1$ there exist, by (19), positive integers r_m and s_m with $(r_m, s_m) = 1$,

 $|m\alpha - (r_m/s_m)| < 1/s_m^2,$

and $P \leq s_m \leq N/P$. Thus, on applying Lemma 11 with $\theta = \epsilon/2$, we find that

$$\left|\sum_{p^{k} \leq N} e(p^{k}(m\alpha))\right| < C_{6} N^{(1+(\epsilon/2))/k} ((2/P) + N^{-(1/2k)})^{4^{1-k}}$$

which, for $N > N_4$, is, by (8),

$$< C_7 N^{1/k} / (y \log N).$$

Therefore, by (26), for $1 \leq j \leq y/2$ and $N > N_5$,

 $Z(N, \alpha, j/y) < C_8(j/y) N^{1/k} / \log N.$

Thus, from (25), for $\alpha \in T_1$,

(27)
$$S_0(\alpha) < C_8(N^{1/k}/\log N) \sum_{j=2}^{\lfloor y/2 \rfloor} 1/(j-1) + 4kN^{1/k}/\log N$$

 $< C_9(N^{1/k}/\log N) \log y,$

provided that $N > N_5$.

5. Major arcs. For any real number α in T' and associated positive integer $b \leq N$ we put

$$S_0(\alpha, b) = \sum_{\substack{p^k \leq N \\ (p,b) = 1}} \min(y, ||p^k \alpha||^{-1}).$$

Then, by Lemma 3,

$$S_0(\alpha) \leq \sum_{p|b} y + S_0(\alpha, b) \leq c_{17} y \log b + S_0(\alpha, b)$$
$$\leq c_{17} y \log N + S_0(\alpha, b).$$

Thus, by (8), for $N > N_6$,

(28)
$$S_0(\alpha) < N^{1/k} / \log N + S_0(\alpha, b).$$

In this section we shall establish (24) for $\alpha \in T_2$ and $\alpha \in T_3$. Assume first that $\alpha \in T_2$. Put

 $L = \min(N, 1/(2b|\beta|)),$

where $\min(N, 1/0) = N$ by definition. Then we have

(29)
$$N \ge L \ge Q/2 = N/(2P)$$

Put

$$S_{1}(\alpha, b) = \sum_{\substack{p^{k} \leq L \\ (p,b)=1}} \min(y, ||p^{k}\alpha||^{-1}) \text{ and}$$

$$S_{2}(\alpha, b) = \sum_{\substack{L < p^{k} \leq N \\ (p,b)=1}} \min(y, ||p^{k}\alpha||^{-1}),$$

so that

(30) $S_0(\alpha, b) = S_1(\alpha, b) + S_2(\alpha, b).$

Notice that the sum $S_2(\alpha, b)$ is empty for $N \leq 1/(2b|\beta|)$ hence for

(31) $|\beta| \leq 1/(2bN)$.

We shall now estimate $S_1(\alpha, b)$. Suppose that b = 1. Then $|\beta| = ||\alpha||$ and since $\alpha \in T'$, $|\beta| > y^{k-1}/N$. Thus $L < N/y^{k-1}$ and so $L|\beta| = 1/2$. We have, just as in our estimation (25) for $S(\alpha)$,

$$S_1(\alpha, 1) \leq y \sum_{j=2}^{\lfloor y/2 \rfloor} Z(L, \alpha, j/y) / (j(j-1)) + 4k N^{1/k} / \log N.$$

Now, since $L|\beta| = 1/2$, we have, by Lemma 14,

$$Z(L, \alpha, j/y) \leq \pi((2jL/y)^{1/k}) \leq 8k(jN)^{1/k}/(y \log N).$$

Therefore,

(32) $S_1(\alpha, 1) \leq C_{10}(N^{1/k}/\log N).$

Next suppose that b > 1. In this case we may assume, since a and b are coprime, that a > 0. If (p, b) = 1 and $p^k \leq L$ then

$$||p^{k}\alpha|| = ||p^{k}((a/b) + \beta)|| = ||ap^{k}/b|| - p^{k}|\beta|$$

$$\geq ||ap^{k}/b|| - 1/(2b) \geq (1/2)||ap^{k}/b||,$$

since b > 1 and $(ap^k, b) = 1$. Thus

$$S_{1}(\alpha, \beta) \leq \sum_{\substack{p^{k} \leq L \\ (p,b)=1}} \min(y, 2||ap^{k}/b||^{-1}) \leq \sum_{\substack{0 < h < b \\ (h,b)=1}} \sum_{\substack{0 < x < b \\ x^{k} \equiv h(\text{mod}b)}} \sum_{\substack{p \leq L^{1/k} \\ p \equiv x(\text{mod}b)}} 2||ah/b||^{-1} \leq 2 \sum_{\substack{0 < h < b \\ (h,b)=1}} \sum_{\substack{0 < x < b \\ x^{k} \equiv h(\text{mod}b)}} \pi(L^{1/k}, b, x)||ah/b||^{-1} \leq 2 \left(\max_{\substack{0 < x < b \\ (x,b)=1}} \pi(L^{1/k}, b, x)\right) \sum_{\substack{0 < h < b \\ (h,b)=1}} \sum_{\substack{0 < x < b \\ (x,b)=1}} ||ah/b||^{-1} \leq 2 \left(\max_{\substack{0 < x < b \\ (x,b)=1}} \pi(L^{1/k}, b, x)\right) \sum_{\substack{0 < h < b \\ (h,b)=1}} \sum_{\substack{0 < h < b \\ (h,b)=1}} ||ah/b||^{-1} \leq 2 \left(\max_{\substack{0 < x < b \\ (x,b)=1}} \pi(L^{1/k}, b, x)\right) \sum_{\substack{0 < h < b \\ (h,b)=1}} f(h, k, b)||ah/b||^{-1}$$

$$\leq 2 \left(\max_{\substack{0 < x < b \\ (x,b) = 1}} \pi(L^{1/k}, b, x) \right) \left(\max_{\substack{0 < h < b \\ (h,b) = 1}} f(h, k, b) \right) \sum_{\substack{0 < h < b \\ (h,b) = 1}} ||ah/b||^{-1}$$

$$\leq 4 \left(\max_{\substack{0 < x < b \\ (x,b) = 1}} \pi(L^{1/k}, b, x) \right) \left(\max_{\substack{0 < h < b \\ (h,b) = 1}} f(h, k, b) \right) \sum_{\substack{0 < l \leq [b/2] \\ (l,b) = 1}} b/l.$$

Employing Lemmas 6 and 7 and recalling that since $\alpha \in T_2$, $b \leq y$, we find

(33)
$$S_1(\alpha, b)$$

 $\leq C_{11}\left(\max_{\substack{0 < x < b \\ (x,b) = 1}} \pi(L^{1/k}, b, x)\right) \exp(c_{18}(\log k \log y) / \log \log y)\phi(b).$

Now, by (29),

$$L^{1/k}/b \ge N^{1/k}/(2yP^{1/k})$$

hence by (8),

$$L^{1/k}/b > N^{1/2k},$$

for $N > N_7$. Thus we may apply Lemma 14 to conclude that

(34)
$$\max_{\substack{0 < x < b \\ (x,b) = 1}} \pi(L^{1/k}, b, x) < C_{12} L^{1/k} / (\phi(b) \log N).$$

Thus, since $L \leq N$, it follows from (33) and (34) that

(35)
$$S_1(\alpha, b) < C_{13}(N^{1/k}/\log N) \exp(c_{18}(\log k \log y)/\log \log y),$$

for $N > N_8$.

We shall now estimate $S_2(\alpha, b)$. We may assume that

(36)
$$1/(2bN) < |\beta| < 1/(bQ),$$

since otherwise, recall (31), the sum is empty. Thus also

(37) $L = 1/(2b|\beta|).$

We have

$$S_{2}(\alpha, b) = \sum_{\substack{L < p^{k} \leq N \\ (p,b) = 1}} \min(y, ||p^{k}\alpha||^{-1})$$
$$\leq \sum_{\substack{j=1 \ j \leq p^{k} \leq (j+1)L \\ (p,b) = 1}} \min(y, ||p^{k}\alpha||^{-1})$$

https://doi.org/10.4153/CJM-1988-035-3 Published online by Cambridge University Press

$$= \sum_{j=1}^{[N/L]} \sum_{h=1}^{[2y]+1} \sum_{\substack{jL < p^k \leq (j+1)L \\ (p,b)=1 \\ (h-1)/([2y]+1) \leq \{p^k \alpha\} < h/([2y]+1)}} \min(y, ||p^k \alpha||^{-1}).$$

Note that

$$(h - 1)/([2y] + 1) \leq \{p^k \alpha\} < h/([2y] + 1)$$

implies that

$$||p^{k}\alpha||^{-1} \leq ||(h-1)/([2y]+1)||^{-1} + ||h/([2y]+1)||^{-1},$$

where we write $x \leq (1/0) + z$ and $(1/0) \leq (1/0) + z$ for all real numbers x and z. Thus

(38)
$$S_2(\alpha, b) \leq \sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor N/L \rfloor} \sum_{h=1}^{\lfloor 2y \rfloor + 1} (\min(y, ||(h-1)/(\lfloor 2y \rfloor + 1)||^{-1} + \min(y, ||h/(\lfloor 2y \rfloor + 1)||^{-1})) \sum_{\substack{jL < p^k \leq (j+1)L \\ (p,b) = 1 \\ (h-1)/(\lfloor 2y \rfloor + 1) \leq \lfloor p^k \alpha \rfloor < h/(\lfloor 2y \rfloor + 1)}} 1.$$

If p_0 and p_1 are primes with

$$jL < p_i^k \leq (j+1)L$$
 and
 $(h-1)/([2y]+1) \leq \{p_i^k \alpha\} < h/([2y]+1)$

for i = 0, 1 then, by (37),

$$\begin{aligned} 1/(2y) &> 1/([2y] + 1) \\ &\geq ||(p_1^k - p_0^k)\alpha|| = ||(p_1^k - p_0^k)((a/b) + \beta)|| \\ &\geq ||(p_1^k - p_0^k)a/b|| - |p_1^k - p_0^k||\beta| > ||(p_1^k - p_0^k)a/b|| - L|\beta| \\ &= ||(p_1^k - p_0^k)a/b|| - 1/(2b). \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$||(p_1^k - p_0^k)a/b|| < 1/(2y) + 1/(2b) \le 1/b,$$

whence

$$p_1^k \equiv p_0^k \pmod{b}.$$

Therefore

(39)
$$1/(2y) > ||p_1^k \alpha - p_0^k \alpha|| = ||(p_1^k - p_0^k)a/b + (p_1^k - p_0^k)\beta||$$

= $||(p_1^k - p_0^k)\beta||.$

Since

$$|(p_1^k - p_0^k)\beta| < L|\beta| = 1/(2b) \le 1/2$$

it follows from (39) that

$$1/(2y) > |p_1^k - p_0^k| |\beta|,$$

hence

$$|p_1 - p_0| < \left(2|\beta|y\left(\sum_{i=0}^{k-1} p_1^i p_0^{k-1-i}\right)\right)^{-1} \le (2|\beta|yp_0^{k-1})^{-1}$$

< $(2|\beta|y(jL)^{(k-1)/k})^{-1}.$

Thus, by (37),

$$|p_1 - p_0| < L^{1/k} b/(y j^{(k-1)/k}).$$

Therefore, either there are no primes p with

$$jL < p^k \leq (j + 1)L$$
, $(p, b) = 1$ and
 $(h - 1)/([2y] + 1) \leq \{p^k \alpha\} < h/([2y] + 1)$,

or for some p_0 with $(p_0, b) = 1$ we have

$$(40) \qquad \sum_{\substack{jL < p^k \leq (j+1)L \\ (p,b) = 1 \\ (h-1)/([2y]+1) \leq \{p^k \alpha\} < h/([2y]+1)}} 1$$

$$\leq \sum_{\substack{p^k \equiv p_0^h(\text{mod}b) \\ |p-p_0| < L^{1/k}b/(yj^{(k-1)/k})}} 1$$

$$= \sum_{\substack{0 \leq t < b \\ t^k \equiv p_0^h(\text{mod}b) \ |p-p_0| < L^{1/k}b/(yj^{(k-1)/k})}} 1$$

$$\leq \sum_{\substack{0 \leq t < b \\ t^k \equiv p_0^h(\text{mod}b)}} (\pi(p_0 + (L^{1/k}b/(yj^{(k-1)/k})), b, t))$$

$$- \pi(p_0 - (L^{1/k}b/(yj^{(k-1)/k})), b, t)).$$

Now, since $1 \leq j \leq N/L$ and L > Q/2,

$$(2L^{1/k}b/(yj^{(k-1)/k}))/b \ge 2L/(yN^{(k-1)/k})$$

> $Q/(yN^{(k-1)/k}) = N^{1/k}/(yP),$

and, by (8),

$$N^{1/k}/(yP) \ge N^{3/(8k)}.$$

https://doi.org/10.4153/CJM-1988-035-3 Published online by Cambridge University Press

Thus, the right hand side of (40) is, by Lemma 14,

$$< \sum_{\substack{0 \le i < b \\ t^k \equiv p_0^k(\text{mod}b)}} C_{14} L^{1/k} b / (yj^{(k-1)/k} \phi(b) \log N)$$

and, by Lemma 4,

<
$$C_{15}(L^{1/k} \log \log b/(yj^{(k-1)/k} \log N)) \sum_{\substack{0 \le t < b \\ t^k \equiv p_0^k(\mathrm{mod}b)}} 1$$

$$= C_{15}(L^{1/k} \log \log b/(yj^{(k-1)/k} \log N))f(p_0^k, k, b)$$

and, by Lemma 7 and the fact that $b \leq y$,

$$< C_{15}(L^{1/k}/(yj^{(k-1)/k}\log N)) \exp(c_{19}(\log k \log y)/\log \log y).$$

Therefore, by (38),

$$(41) \quad S_{2}(\alpha, b) \\ \leq \sum_{j=1}^{[N/L]} \sum_{h=1}^{[2y]+1} (\min(y, ||(h-1)/([2y]+1)||^{-1}) \\ + \min(y, ||h/([2y]+1)||^{-1})) \\ \times C_{15}(L^{1/k}/(yj^{(k-1)/k} \log N)) \exp(c_{19}(\log k \log y)/\log \log y) \\ \leq C_{16}(L^{1/k}/(y \log N)) \exp(c_{19}(\log k \log y)/\log \log y) \\ \times \sum_{j=1}^{[N/L]} j^{-(k-1)/k} \sum_{h=0}^{[2y]+1} \min(y, ||h/([2y]+1)||^{-1}) \\ \leq C_{17}(L^{1/k}/(y \log N)) \exp(c_{19}(\log k \log y)/\log \log y)(N/L)^{1/k} \\ \times \left(y + \sum_{h=1}^{[y]+1} (2y + 1)/h\right) \\ \leq C_{18}(N^{1/k}/\log N) \exp(c_{20}(\log k \log y)/\log \log y).$$

Appealing to (28), (30), (32), (35) and (41) we find that for $\alpha \in T_2$, (42) $S_0(\alpha) < C_{19}(N^{1/k}/\log N) \exp(c_{21}(\log k \log y)/\log \log y)$, provided that $N > N_9$.

Finally, we assume that α is in T_3 . Put

$$M = \min(N, (|\beta|y)^{-1}).$$

Then

(43)
$$S_0(\alpha, b) \leq \sum_{j=0}^{[N/M]} \sum_{\substack{jM < p^k \leq (j+1)M \\ (p,b)=1}} \min(y, ||p^k \alpha||^{-1}).$$

Now if $||p^k \alpha||^{-1} < y$ with $jM < p^k \leq (j + 1)M$, and *n* is defined by $p^k \equiv n \pmod{b}$ with $(j + 1)M - b < n \leq (j + 1)M$, then

$$||p^{k}\alpha|| = ||p^{k}((a/b) + \beta)|| = ||(an/b) + n\beta + (p^{k} - n)\beta||$$

$$\geq ||(an + nb\beta)/b|| - |p^{k} - n||\beta|.$$

Note that N > b and

 $\left(\left|\beta\right|y\right)^{-1} > bQ/y \ge Q > b$

by (8) and (23). Thus $|p^k - n| < M$ and so

$$|p^k - n| |\beta| < M|\beta| \leq 1/y < ||p^k \alpha||.$$

Therefore

$$2||p^{k}\alpha|| \geq ||(an + nb\beta)/b||,$$

whence

$$\min(y, ||p^k \alpha||^{-1}) \leq 2 \min(y, ||(an + nb\beta)/b||^{-1}).$$

Consequently, by (43),

(44)
$$S_0(\alpha, b) \leq \sum_{j=0}^{[N/M]} \sum_{\substack{(j+1)M-b < n \leq (j+1)M \\ (n,b)=1}} \times 2 \min(y, || (an + nb\beta)/b ||^{-1}) \sum_{\substack{jM < p^k \leq (j+1)M \\ p^k \equiv n \pmod{b}}} 1.$$

By (22), $(|\beta|y)^{-1} > Qb/y$ and by (23), $N \ge Qb/y$ hence $M \ge Qb/y$. Thus, since b > y,

$$(((j + 1)M)^{1/k} - (jM)^{1/k})/b$$

$$\geq M^{1/k}/(k(j + 1)b) \geq M^{1/k}/(2bk(N/M))$$

$$= M^{1+(1/k)}/(2bkN) \geq Q^{1+(1/k)}b^{1/k}/(2kNy^{1+(1/k)})$$

$$\geq N^{1/k}/(2kyP^{1+(1/k)})$$

which is, by (8),

$$(45) \geq N^{1/(8k)},$$

for $N > N_{10}$. Therefore, by (45) and Lemma 14,

$$(46) \sum_{\substack{jM < p^k \leq (j+1)M \\ p^k \equiv n(\text{mod}b)}} 1$$

$$= \sum_{\substack{0 \leq i < b \\ i^k \equiv n(\text{mod}b)}} \sum_{\substack{(jM)^{1/k} < p \leq ((j+1)M)^{1/k} \\ p \equiv t(\text{mod}b)}} 1$$

$$< \sum_{\substack{0 \leq i < b \\ i^k \equiv n(\text{mod}b)}} (16k(((j+1)M)^{1/k} - (jM)^{1/k})/(\phi(b)\log N))$$

$$< (C_{20}M^{1/k}((j+1)^{1/k} - j^{1/k})/(\phi(b)\log N)) \sum_{\substack{i^k \equiv n(\text{mod}b) \\ i^k \equiv n(\text{mod}b)}} 1.$$

But the sum in the expression on the right hand side of inequality (46) is f(n, k, b) and so on combining (44) and (46) we obtain

$$S_{0}(\alpha, b)$$

$$\leq \sum_{j=0}^{[N/M]} (C_{20}M^{1/k}((j+1)^{1/k} - j^{1/k})/(\phi(b) \log N))$$

$$\times \sum_{\substack{(j+1)M-b < n \leq (j+1)M \\ (n,b)=1}} f(n, k, b) \min(y, || (an + nb\beta)/b||^{-1}).$$

We may estimate the inner sum above by means of Lemma 10 with h = (j + 1)M - b + 1, q = b and $\rho(n) = nb\beta$. Then, by (8), (22) and (23),

$$\lambda = \max_{\substack{(j+1)M-b < n \leq (j+1)M \\ (n,b)=1}} nb\beta - \min_{\substack{(j+1)M-b < n \leq (j+1)M \\ (n,b)=1}} nb\beta$$
$$\leq b^2|\beta| < b/Q < 1.$$

Thus

(47)
$$S_0(\alpha, b)$$

$$\leq \sum_{j=0}^{[N/M]} C_{21}(M^{1/k}((j+1)^{1/k} - j^{1/k})/\log N)$$

$$\times \exp(c_{13}(\log k \log y)/\log \log y)$$

$$= C_{21}(M^{1/k}/\log N) \exp(c_{13}(\log k \log y)/\log \log y)$$

$$\times \sum_{j=0}^{[N/M]} ((j+1)^{1/k} - j^{1/k})$$

 $= C_{21}(M^{1/k}/\log N) \exp(c_{13}(\log k \log y)/\log \log y) \times ([N/M] + 1)^{1/k}$

$$< C_{22}(N^{1/k}/\log N) \exp(c_{13}(\log k \log y)/\log \log y).$$

By (28) and (47), for α in T_3 ,

(48)
$$S_0(\alpha) < C_{23}(N^{1/k}/\log N) \exp(c_{13}(\log k \log y)/\log \log y),$$

provided that $N > N_{10}$.

Thus (24) follows from (27), (42) and (48) and this completes the proof of Theorem 2.

6. Further preliminaries to the proof of theorem 1. Let ϵ be a positive real number less than θ_k and let C_0, C_1, \ldots denote positive real numbers which are effectively computable in terms of ϵ and k and c_0, c_1, \ldots denote effectively computable positive absolute constants. Let C and c be real numbers, with $C \ge 20$ and $c \ge 1$, to be specified later and let N_{11}, N_{12}, \ldots denote numbers which are effectively computable in terms of C, c, ϵ and k. We shall choose C and c later so that C is effectively computable in terms of ϵ and k and so that c is an effectively computable positive absolute constant. Put

$$y = CR \exp(c(\log k \log R)/\log \log R).$$

Since $R \ge 3$ we have $y \ge 3$ and if (4) holds and $N > N_{11}$ then

$$(49) \quad y < N^{\theta_k - (\epsilon/2)}$$

We shall first establish Theorem 1 for the case of sums a + b; the case a - b is treated in a similar way. To do so it suffices to show that there exist at least

$$C_{24}|A||B|(N/y)^{(1/k)-1}/\log N$$

pairs (a, b) with a in A and b in B for which there exists a prime p with $p^{k}|(a + b)$ and

$$(50) \quad 4N/y \ge p^k > 2N/y.$$

We now introduce the following notation. Put

$$\lambda = y^k / N$$
 and $U = [N/y^{k+1}]$

and, for each positive integer n,

$$d_n = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n = mp^k \text{ with } 1 \leq m \leq y, p \text{ a prime and} \\ 2N/y < p^k \leq 4N/y \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Next put

$$S(\alpha) = \sum_{n=1}^{4N} d_n e(n\alpha),$$

$$S = S(0) = \sum_{n=1}^{4N} d_n,$$

$$U(\alpha) = \sum_{n=0}^{U-1} e(n\alpha),$$

...

and, since $d_n = 0$ if n < 1 or n > 4N, write

$$S(\alpha)U(\alpha) = \sum_{n=1}^{4N+U-1} v_n e(n\alpha) \quad \text{where } v_n = \sum_{j=n-U+1}^n d_j.$$

Further, put

$$F(\alpha) = \sum_{a \in A} e(a\alpha), \quad G(\alpha) = \sum_{b \in B} e(b\alpha)$$

and

$$H(\alpha) = F(\alpha)G(\alpha) = \sum_{a \in A, b \in B} e((a + b)\alpha) = \sum_{n=1}^{2N} h_n e(n\alpha)$$

where

$$h_n = \sum_{\substack{a+b=n\\a\in A, b\in B}} 1.$$

Finally, define J by

$$J = \int_0^1 F(\alpha)G(\alpha)S(-\alpha)d\alpha.$$

Observe that

$$J = \int_{0}^{1} H(\alpha)S(-\alpha)d\alpha = \int_{0}^{1} \sum_{n=1}^{2N} \sum_{m=1}^{4N} h_{n}d_{m}e((n-m)\alpha)d\alpha$$
$$= \sum_{n=1}^{2N} h_{n}d_{n}.$$

Note that $d_n > 0$ implies that $p^k | n$ with $2N/y < p^k \le 4N/y$, while $h_n > 0$ implies that n = a + b, for $a \in A$, $b \in B$. Thus to establish our result it suffices to show that

(51) $J > C_{24}|A| |B|(N/y)^{(1/k)-1}/\log N.$

In order to prove (51) we first require some estimates for S, $S(\alpha)$ and v_n . We remark that by (49), $y < (2N/y)^{1/k}$, provided that $N > N_{11}$ and therefore that

(52)
$$S(\alpha) = \sum_{m \leq y} \sum_{2N/y < p^k \leq 4N/y} e(mp^k \alpha).$$

LEMMA 15. For $N > N_{11}$,

(53) $S < C_{25}y(N/y)^{1/k}/\log N.$

Proof. By (52),

$$S = \sum_{n=1}^{4N} d_n = \left(\sum_{1 \le m \le y} 1\right) \left(\sum_{2N/y < p^k \le 4N/y} 1\right) \le y\pi ((4N/y)^{1/k}),$$

which, by (49) and Lemma 14, is

 $< C_{25}y(N/y)^{1/k}/\log N.$

LEMMA 16. If $N > N_{12}$, then for $\lambda \leq \alpha \leq 1 - \lambda$,

(54) $|S(\alpha)| < C_{26}((N/y)^{1/k}/\log N) \exp(c_5(\log k \log y)/\log \log y).$

Proof. By (52), for $N > N_{11}$,

$$|S(\alpha)| < \sum_{2N/y < p^k \leq 4N/y} \left| \sum_{m \leq y} e(mp^k \alpha) \right|.$$

which, by Lemma 1, is

$$\leq \sum_{2N/y < p^k \leq 4N/y} \min(y, 2||p^k \alpha||^{-1})$$
$$\leq 2 \sum_{p^k \leq 4N/y} \min(y, ||p^k \alpha||^{-1}).$$

The lemma now follows from Theorem 2.

LEMMA 17. If $N > N_{13}$ and n is an integer satisfying $30N/y < n \le 2N$ then

(55) $v_n > C_{27}(N/y)^{(1/k)-1}U/\log N.$

Proof. If *n* satisfies $30N/y < n \leq 2N$ then, for $N > N_{11}$,

$$v_{n} = \sum_{j=n-U+1}^{n} d_{j} = \sum_{\substack{n-U < mp^{k} \leq n \\ m \leq y \\ 2N/y < p^{k} \leq 4N/y}} 1$$

$$= \sum_{m \leq y} \sum_{\max((n-U)/m, 2N/y) < p^k \leq \min(n/m, 4N/y)} 1.$$

Notice that if $m \leq 11 ny/(30N)$ then

$$(n - U)/m \ge 30N/(11y) - U/m \ge 30N/(11y) - N/y^{k+1}$$

and, since $y \ge 3$,

$$(n - U)/m > 2N/y.$$

Further, if 9ny/(30N) < m then n/m < 30N/(9y) < 4N/y. Since

$$11ny/(30N) \le 22y/30 < y$$

we conclude that

(56)
$$v_n > \sum_{9ny/(30N) < m \le 11ny/(30N)} \sum_{(n-U)/m < p^k \le n/m} 1$$

= $\sum_{9ny/(30N) < m \le 11ny/(30N)} \pi((n/m)^{1/k}) - \pi(((n-U)/m)^{1/k})).$

We may now apply Lemma 13 with

$$X = ((n - U)/m)^{1/k}$$
 and $Y = (n/m)^{1/k} - ((n - U)/m)^{1/k}$

for

$$9ny/(30N) < m \leq 11ny/(30N).$$

For we have

(57)
$$X = ((n - U)/m)^{1/k} < (n/m)^{1/k} < (30N/(9y))^{1/k}$$

while

(58)
$$Y = (n/m)^{1/k} (1 - (1 - (U/n))^{1/k}) > C_{28} (N/y)^{(1/k)} U/n,$$

since $U/n < y^{-k} < 1/2$ for $N > N_{14}$. By (57) and (58)
 $(X^{3/5}/Y)^{5k/2} < C_{29} y^{1 + (5k(k+1)/2)}/N,$

which, by (49), is

$$< C_{29}/N^{1/17}$$
.

Thus for $N > N_{15}$, $X^{3/5} < Y$ whence, by Lemma 13,

$$v_n > \sum_{9ny/(30N) < m \le 11ny/(30N)} C_{30}(N/y)^{(1/k)} U/(n \log N)$$

> ((2ny/(30N)) - 1)C_{30}(N/y)^{(1/k)} U/(n \log N).

Since n > 30N/y the result follows.

7. The proof of theorem 1. We shall establish (51) now. We have, for $N > N_{16}$,

$$|J - U^{-1} \int_0^1 F(\alpha) G(\alpha) S(-\alpha) U(-\alpha) d\alpha|$$

$$\leq \int_{-\lambda}^{\lambda} |F(\alpha)| |G(\alpha)| |S(-\alpha)| (U - U(-\alpha)) / U| d\alpha$$

$$+ \int_{\lambda}^{1-\lambda} |F(\alpha)| |G(\alpha)| |S(-\alpha)| (1 + |U(-\alpha) / U|) d\alpha$$

which, by Lemma 2, is

$$\leq \int_{-\lambda}^{\lambda} |F(\alpha)| |G(\alpha)| S4U|\alpha| d\alpha$$

+
$$\int_{-\lambda}^{\lambda} |F(\alpha)| |G(\alpha)| (\max_{\lambda \leq \beta \leq 1-\lambda} |S(\beta)|) 2d\alpha$$

by Lemmas 15 and 16, is

$$< \int_{\lambda}^{\lambda} |F(\alpha)| |G(\alpha)| C_{31}(y(N/y)^{1/k}/\log N) U\lambda d\alpha$$

+
$$\int_{\lambda}^{1-\lambda} |F(\alpha)| |G(\alpha)| 2C_{26}((N/y)^{1/k}/\log N)$$

×
$$\exp(c_{5}(\log k \log y)/\log \log y) d\alpha,$$

$$\leq (C_{31}(N/y)^{1/k}/\log N + C_{32}((N/y)^{1/k}/\log N))$$

×
$$\exp(c_{5}(\log k \log y)/\log \log y))$$

×
$$\int_{0}^{1} |F(\alpha)| |G(\alpha)| d\alpha,$$

and, by Cauchy's inequality, is

$$\leq C_{33}((N/y)^{1/k}/\log N) \exp(c_5(\log k \log y)/\log \log y)$$
$$\times \left(\left(\int_0^1 |F(\alpha)|^2 d\alpha \right) \left(\int_0^1 |G(\alpha)|^2 d\alpha \right) \right)^{1/2}.$$

Thus, by Parseval's formula,

(59)
$$|J - U^{-1} \int_0^1 F(\alpha)G(\alpha)U(-\alpha)S(-\alpha)d\alpha$$
$$\leq C_{33}((N/y)^{1/k}(|A||B|)^{1/2}/\log N)$$

 $\times \exp(c_5(\log k \log y)/\log \log y).$

Furthermore,

$$I = \int_0^1 F(\alpha)G(\alpha)U(-\alpha)S(-\alpha)d\alpha$$

$$= \int_0^1 \left(\sum_{n=1}^{2N} h_n e(n\alpha)\right) \left(\sum_{m=1}^{4N+U-1} v_m e(-m\alpha)\right) d\alpha$$
$$= \sum_{n=1}^{2N} h_n v_n.$$

Since h_n and v_n are non-negative for n = 1, ..., 2N,

$$I \ge \sum_{30N/y < n \le 2N} h_n v_n,$$

and, by Lemma 17,

$$I \ge C_{27}(N/y)^{(1/k)-1}(U/\log N) \sum_{30N/y < n \le 2N} h_n$$

= $C_{27}(N/y)^{(1/k)-1}(U/\log N) \sum_{\substack{a \in A, b \in B\\ 30N/y < a+b \le 2N}} 1.$

Observe that since $C \ge 20$,

$$30N/y \le (|A||B|)^{1/2}/2 \le (1/2) \max(|A|,|B|)$$

and thus

$$\sum_{\substack{a \in A, b \in B\\ 30N/y < a+b \le 2N}} 1 \ge |A| |B|/2.$$

Therefore

(60)
$$I \ge C_{34}|A| |B|(N/y)^{(1/k)-1}U/\log N.$$

It follows, from (59) and (60), that
(61) $|J| \ge |I|/U - C_{33}((N/y)^{1/k}(|A||B|)^{1/2}/\log N)$
 $\times \exp(c_{5}(\log k \log y)/\log \log y)$
 $\ge C_{34}|A| |B|((N/y)^{(1/k)-1}/\log N)(1 - (C_{35}N/(y(|A||B|)^{1/2})))$
 $\times \exp(c_{5}(\log k \log y)/\log \log y)).$

Recall that

 $y = CR \exp(c(\log k \log R)/\log \log R).$

We now choose $c = 2c_5$. Put

(62)
$$W = C_{35}(N/(y(|A||B|)^{1/2})) \exp(c_5(\log k \log y)/\log \log y).$$

Provided that $C > C_{36}$ we have $y < (CR)^2$ and

$$\log y / \log \log y < 2(\log CR) / \log \log CR$$

 $< 2((\log C/\log \log C) + (\log R/\log \log R))$

hence

$$W < C_{35} \exp(2c_5(\log k \log C)/\log \log C)/C$$

and so we may choose $C = C_{37}$ sufficiently large so that W < 1/2. Then, by (61) and (62),

$$|J| \ge (C_{34}/2)(|A||B|/\log N)(N/y)^{(1/k)-1}.$$

Since J is non-negative (51) holds and this completes the proof of Theorem 1 for the case of sums a + b. The proof of Theorem 1 for terms of the form a - b is essentially the same as that given above. We estimate

$$J' = \int_0^1 F(\alpha)G(-\alpha)S(-\alpha)d\alpha$$

in place of J; see pp. 190-191 of [9] for details.

References

- 1. A. Balog and A. Sárközy, On sums of sequences of integers, I, Acta Arith. 44 (1984), 73-86.
- 2. On sums of sequences of integers, II, Acta Math. Hung. 44 (1984), 169-179.
- 3. On sums of sequences of integers, III, Acta Math. Hung. 44 (1984), 339-349.
- 4. G. Harman, Trigonometric sums over primes, I, Mathematika 29 (1981), 249-254.
- 5. H. Iwaniec and J. Pintz, Primes in short intervals, Monatshefte Math. 98 (1984), 115-143.
- 6. H. L. Montgomery and R. C. Vaughan, *The large sieve*, Mathematika 20 (1973), 119-134.
- 7. G. Pólya, Über die Verteilung der quadratischen Reste und Nichtreste, Göttinger Nachrichten (1918), 21-29.
- 8. K. Prachar, Primzahlverteilung (Springer-Verlag, 1957).
- 9. A. Sárközy and C. L. Stewart, On divisors of sums of integers, II, J. reine angew Math. 365 (1986), 171-191.
- 10. On exponential sums over prime numbers, J. Austral. Math. Soc. Series A, to appear.
- 11. I. M. Vinogradov, An asymptotic equality in the theory of quadratic forms, Zh. fiz.-matem. Obshch. Permsk universitet 1 (1918), 18-28.

Hungarian Academy of Science, Budapest, Hungary; University of Waterloo, Waterloo, Ontario