

**PMATH 336: INTRODUCTION TO GROUP THEORY WITH
APPLICATIONS
NOTES FOR WEEK 7**

INSTRUCTOR: ARUNDHATHI KRISHNAN

8. DIRECT PRODUCTS

8.1. Definition of external direct products and examples.

Definition 8.1.1. The external direct product of groups G_1, \dots, G_n , written as $G_1 \oplus \dots \oplus G_n$, is the set of all n -tuples in which the i -th component is an element of G_i , and the operation is component-wise.

That is,

$$G_1 \oplus \dots \oplus G_n = \{(g_1, \dots, g_n) \mid g_i \in G_i\}$$

with $(g_1, \dots, g_n)(h_1, \dots, h_n) = (g_1h_1, \dots, g_nh_n)$.

It is implicit in the definition that the operation in each component i corresponds to the binary operation of G_i . It is an easy exercise to show that the external direct product of groups is itself a group.

Example 8.1.2.

(i)

$$U(5) \oplus U(3) = \{(1, 1), (1, 2), (2, 1), (2, 2), (3, 1), (3, 2), (4, 1), (4, 2)\}$$

with a sample product given by, say, $(2, 2)(3, 1) = (1, 2)$ as $2 \cdot 3 \pmod{5} = 1$ and $2 \cdot 1 \pmod{3} = 2$.

(ii)

$$\mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_3 = \{(0, 0), (0, 1), (0, 2), (1, 0), (1, 1), (1, 2)\}.$$

It turns out that this group, which is Abelian and of order 6 is isomorphic to \mathbb{Z}_6 . To see this, we show that $\mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_3$ is cyclic of order 6. Consider the element $(1, 1)$ in the direct product. Then

$$\begin{array}{lll} 1(1, 1) = (1, 1) & 2(1, 1) = (0, 2) & 3(1, 1) = (1, 0) \\ 4(1, 1) = (0, 1) & 5(1, 1) = (1, 2) & 6(1, 1) = (0, 0) \end{array}.$$

Hence $\mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_3$ is cyclic of order 6 and is isomorphic to \mathbb{Z}_6 .

- (iii) Any group of order 4 is isomorphic to \mathbb{Z}_4 or $\mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2$. Let $G = \{e, a, b, ab\}$. If G is cyclic, it is isomorphic to \mathbb{Z}_4 . If not, by Lagrange's theorem it holds that each non-identity element has order 2, that is, $|a| = |b| = |ab| = 2$. Define the mapping $\varphi : G \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2$ by $\varphi(e) = (0, 0), \varphi(a) = (1, 0), \varphi(b) = (0, 1)$ and $\varphi(ab) = (1, 1)$. Then it is easily verified that φ is an isomorphism.

Note that combining this example with Theorem 7.2.11 gives a complete classification of all groups of order $2p$ for p prime.

8.2. Properties of external direct products.

Theorem 8.2.1. *The order of an element in a direct product of a finite number of finite groups is the least common multiple of the orders of the components of the element. That is,*

$$|(g_1, g_2, \dots, g_n)| = \text{lcm}(|g_1|, |g_2|, \dots, |g_n|).$$

Proof. Let e_i denote the identity of G_i for each $i = 1, \dots, n$, and $s = \text{lcm}(|g_1|, \dots, |g_n|)$ and $t = |(g_1, \dots, g_n)|$. Then $(g_1, \dots, g_n)^s = (g_1^s, \dots, g_n^s) = (e_1, \dots, e_n)$, so that t divides s by Corollary 4.1.5.

On the other hand, as $(g_1^t, \dots, g_n^t) = (g_1, \dots, g_n)^t = (e_1, \dots, e_n)$, we have $g_i^t = e_i$ for each i . By another application of Corollary 4.1.5, this gives that $|g_i|$ divides t for each i , hence s – the least common multiple of all the $|g_i|$ – divides t .

Altogether we get that $s = t$ as required. \square

Example 8.2.2.

- (i) We determine the number of elements in $\mathbb{Z}_{25} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_5$ of order 5. By Theorem 8.2.1, we must count those elements $(a, b) \in \mathbb{Z}_{25} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_5$ such that $5 = \text{lcm}(|a|, |b|)$. Hence we must have either $|a| = 5$ and $|b| = 1$ or 5 , or $|a| = 1$ and $|b| = 5$.

In the first case, a may be 5, 10, 15 or 20 (this follows from Corollary 4.1.10). The element b may be 0 (if its order is 1) or one of 1, 2, 3, 4 (if its order is 5). Hence there are 4 choices of a and 5 for b with a total of 20 choices.

In the second case, a must be 0, whereas b may be one of 1, 2, 3, 4. Hence this case gives 4 elements of order 5.

Altogether, there are 24 elements of order 5 in $\mathbb{Z}_{25} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_5$.

- (ii) We determine the number of cyclic subgroups in $\mathbb{Z}_{100} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{25}$ of order 10.

Let us, like in the previous example, enumerate the number of elements of order 10. Using Theorem 8.2.1, this means we enumerate elements (a, b) with $a \in \mathbb{Z}_{100}, b \in \mathbb{Z}_{25}$ and $\text{lcm}(|a|, |b|) = 10$. This means that either $|a| = 10$ and $|b| = 1$ or 5 ; or $|a| = 2$ and $|b| = 5$. In the first case we get 4 choices for a (10, 30, 70, 90) and 5 for b (0 if $|b| = 1$ and 5, 10, 15, 20 if $|b| = 5$). In the second case, we get one choice of a ($a = 1$) and four choices for b . Hence we get a total of 24 elements of order 10. However, as each cyclic subgroup of order 10 has 4 generators, this means that there is a total of 6 cyclic subgroups of order 10.

Theorem 8.2.3. *Let G and H be finite cyclic groups. Then $G \oplus H$ is cyclic if and only if $|G|$ and $|H|$ are relatively prime.*

Proof. Suppose $G \oplus H$ is cyclic and $m = |G|, n = |H|$. Let $d = \gcd(m, n)$. Then $|G \oplus H| = |G||H| = mn$. Suppose (a, b) is a generator of $G \oplus H$. Now, $(a, b)^{\frac{mn}{d}} = ((a^m)^{\frac{n}{d}}, (b^n)^{\frac{m}{d}}) = (e_G, e_H)$ as $a^m = e_G, b^n = e_H$, m and n being the orders of G and H respectively. Hence $mn = |(a, b)|$ divides $\frac{mn}{d}$ which forces that $d = 1$.

On the other hand, suppose $\gcd(m, n) = 1$ and a, b are generators of G and H respectively. Then $|(a, b)| = \text{lcm}(m, n) = mn = |G \oplus H|$ (as $\gcd(m, n) = 1$), so that (a, b) must be a generator of $G \oplus H$. \square

The following corollary follows by induction applied to Theorem 8.2.3.

Corollary 8.2.4. *An external direct product $G_1 \oplus \dots \oplus G_n$ of finite cyclic groups is cyclic if and only if $|G_i|$ and $|G_j|$ are relatively prime for all $i \neq j$.*

Corollary 8.2.5. *Let $m = n_1 \dots n_k$. Then \mathbb{Z}_m is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_{n_1} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{n_k}$ if and only if $\gcd(n_i, n_j) = 1$ for all $i \neq j$.*

The above results can be used to express the same group up to isomorphism in different forms. For example,

$$\mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{15} \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_3 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_5 \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_6 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_5 \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{30}.$$

We also have

$$\mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_3 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_5 \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_6 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_5 \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_3 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_5 \cong \mathbb{Z}_6 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{10}.$$

8.3. Internal Direct Products. Why do we use the term “external” for the direct products we considered so far? We start with a finite number of groups and use them to arrive at a larger group in such a way that properties of the larger group can be derived from them. For instance, if $G = H \oplus K$, then $|G| = |H| |K|$; every element of G has the form (h, k) with $h \in H, k \in K$; if $|H|$ and $|K|$ are finite, then $|(h, k)| = \text{lcm}(|h|, |k|)$; if H and K are Abelian, then so is $G = H \oplus K$; if H and K are cyclic and $\gcd(|H|, |K|) = 1$, then $G = H \oplus K$ is also cyclic.

We would now like to reverse this process, that is, to start with a group G and break it down into a product of subgroups so that properties of G can be obtained from properties of the subgroups. It is possible to do this if the subgroups are *normal*.

Definition 8.3.1. A subgroup H of a group G is called a normal subgroup of G if $aH = Ha$ for all $a \in G$. This is denoted by $H \trianglelefteq G$.

We will say a lot more about normal subgroups next week, but for the time being, we consider how they play a part in internal direct products.

Proposition 8.3.2. Let H be a normal subgroup of a group G and K be any subgroup of G . Then $HK = \{hk \mid h \in H, k \in K\}$ is a subgroup of G .

Proof. The identity $e = ee \in HK$, so HK is non-empty. let $a = h_1k_1, b = h_2k_2 \in HK$. Then $ab^{-1} = (h_1k_1)(k_2^{-1}h_2^{-1}) = h_1(k_1k_2^{-1})h_2^{-1} = h_1h'(k_1k_2^{-1})$ for some $h' \in H$ as H is normal. Hence $ab^{-1} = (h_1h')(k_1k_2^{-1}) \in HK$ so that HK is a subgroup. \square

Definition 8.3.3. A group G is said to be the internal direct product of H and K and we write $G = H \times K$ if H and K are normal subgroups of G and

$$G = HK, \quad H \cap K = \{e\}.$$

Exercise 8.3.4. Let $G = D_6 = \{r_0, \dots, r_5, s_0, \dots, s_5\}$ the dihedral group of order 12. Let $H = \{r_0, r_2, r_4, s_0, r_2s_0, r_4s_0\}$ and $K = \{r_0, r_3\}$. Then verify that H and K are normal subgroups of G , $H \cap K = \{r_0\}$ and $HK = G$.

Definition 8.3.5. Let H_1, H_2, \dots, H_n be a finite collection of normal subgroups of G . We say that G is the internal direct product of H_1, H_2, \dots, H_n and write $G = H_1 \times H_2 \times \dots \times H_n$, if

- (i) $G = H_1H_2 \cdots H_n = \{h_1h_2 \cdots h_n \mid h_i \in H_i\}$,
- (ii) $(H_1H_2 \cdots H_i) \cap H_{i+1} = \{e\}$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$.

Theorem 8.3.6. If a group G is the internal direct product of a finite number of subgroups H_1, H_2, \dots, H_n , then G is isomorphic to the external direct product of H_1, H_2, \dots, H_n .

Proof. We first show that $h_i \in H_i$ and $h_j \in H_j$ commute for $i \neq j$ as

$$(h_ih_jh_i^{-1})h_j^{-1} \in H_jh_j^{-1} = H_j,$$

as H_j is normal and

$$h_i(h_j h_i^{-1} h_j^{-1}) \in h_i H_i = H_i,$$

as H_i is normal.

Hence $h_i h_j h_i^{-1} h_j^{-1} \in H_i \cap H_j = \{e\}$, so that $h_i h_j = h_j h_i$.

Next, we show that each element of G has a unique representation in the form $h_1 h_2 \cdots h_n$ with $h_i \in H_i$. Indeed, suppose $h_1 h_2 \cdots h_n = h'_1 h'_2 \cdots h'_n$ with $h_i, h'_i \in H_i$ for each i . Then $h'_n h_n^{-1} = (h'_{n-1})^{-1} \cdots (h'_1)^{-1} h_1 \cdots h_{n-1}$. By the fact that h_i and h_j commute for $i \neq j$, we get $h'_n h_n^{-1} = (h'_1)^{-1} h_1 (h'_2)^{-1} h_2 \cdots (h'_{n-1})^{-1} h_{n-1}$, so that $h'_n h_n^{-1} \in H_n \cap H_1 H_2 \cdots H_{n-1} = \{e\}$ and $h'_n = h_n$. We can now cancel h_n and h'_n from the two sides of $h_1 \cdots h_n = h'_1 \cdots h'_n$ and repeat the same process until we arrive at $h_i = h'_i$ for all i .

Now that we have established the uniqueness of the representation of an element g in G as a product of elements of H_i we can define the following map $\varphi : G \rightarrow H_1 \oplus H_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus H_n$ without ambiguity:

$$\varphi(h_1 h_2 \cdots h_n) = (h_1, h_2, \cdots, h_n).$$

Then φ is an isomorphism (verify this!). □

We will return to some consequences of this theorem next week.

REFERENCES

- [1] Chapters 8, 9. Gallian, Joseph. Contemporary abstract algebra. Nelson Education, 2012.