

We can deepen our understanding of what's going on by realizing there isn't just one symmetric group. There's a whole tower

$$S_0 \hookrightarrow S_1 \hookrightarrow S_2 \hookrightarrow S_3 \hookrightarrow S_4 \hookrightarrow \dots$$

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \text{1e3} & \text{1e3} & \text{C}_2 & \text{D}_6 & & & \\ \parallel & \parallel & \parallel & \parallel & & & \\ \text{1e3} & \text{1e3} & \text{C}_2 & \text{D}_6 & & & \end{array}$$

Thus, we have functors of induction and restriction

$$\text{Res}: \mathbb{C}S_n\text{-mod} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}S_{n-1}\text{-mod} \quad \text{Ind}: \mathbb{C}S_{n-1}\text{-mod} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}S_n\text{-mod}$$

These functors are biadjoint.

The J-M elements allow us to refine these functors: if  $V$  is an  $\mathbb{C}S_n$ -module, then the action of  $X_n$  commutes w/  $\mathbb{C}S_{n-1}$ . That is, it's an endomorphism of  $\text{Res}(V)$ . Thus, the eigenspaces of  $X_n$  are  $S_{n-1}$ -subreps.

Thm The  $c$ -eigenspace of  $X_n$  on  $\text{Res}(V_\lambda)$  is an irrep of  $S_{n-1}$  corresponding to  $\lambda$  w/ a box of content  $c$  removed, if that results in a Young diagram and is 0 otherwise.

Pf The  $c$ -eigenspace of  $X_n$  on  $\text{Res}(V_\lambda)$  is spanned by the vectors  $v_T$  w/ the box filled by  $n$  having content  $c$ . To understand the action of  $S_{n-1}$ , just need to consider simultaneous eigenvectors for  $X_1, \dots, X_{n-1}$ . These are given by the content vectors of the tableau that result when the box w/ content  $c$  and label  $n$  is removed. Note that if  $c$  is fixed, the label  $n$  can only be in the last box of content  $c$  ( $k, k+c$ ) for some  $k$ . A tableau w/ this box having the label  $n$  exists if and only if it is removable:  $(k+1, k+c)$  and  $(k, k+c+1)$  are not in the diagram.

 removable       not removable.

Removing the box of label  $n$  gives a bijection  
 $\{\text{tableaux w/ } (k, k+c) \text{ labelled } n\} \leftrightarrow \{\text{tableaux of shape } \lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{k-1}, \lambda_k + c, \dots, \lambda_l)\}$

The effect on content vectors is just removing the final  $c$ . Thus as an irrep of  $S_{n-1}$ , this eigenspace is  $V_\lambda$ .

Cor.  $\text{Res}_{S_{n-1}}^{S_n} V_\lambda = \bigoplus_{\substack{\mu \subset \lambda \\ |\mu| = |\lambda| - 1}} V_\mu$ .  $\text{Ind}_{S_{n-1}}^{S_n} V_\mu = \bigoplus_{\substack{\lambda \subset \mu \\ |\lambda| = |\mu| - 1}} V_\lambda$ .

Note:  $\text{Ind}_{S_{n-1}}^{S_n} V_\mu := \mathbb{C}S_n \otimes_{\mathbb{C}S_{n-1}} V_\mu$ . This has endomorphism  $a \otimes v \mapsto ax_n \otimes v$ , and if  $\lambda$  is gotten from  $\mu$  by adding a box of content  $c$ , then  $V_\lambda$  is the  $c$ -eigenspace of this endo. This gives another construction of  $V_\lambda$ .

This means that  $(\mathbb{C}S_{n-1}, \mathbb{C}S_n)$  form a Gelfand pair: any  $\mathbb{C}S_n$  irrep restricted to  $S_{n-1}$  is a sum of distinct irreps. All multiplicities 1.

There's also an abstract proof of this:

Theorem Let  $A$  be a semi-simple algebra over  $\mathbb{C}$  and  $B$  a semi-simple subalgebra, then  $(B, A)$  is a Gelfand pair if  $C = \{a \in A \mid [a, b] = 0 \text{ for } b \in B\}$  is a commutative algebra.

Pf Since  $A \cong \bigoplus_{V \text{ irrep}} \text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(V)$ , we have  $C \cong \bigoplus_{V \text{ irrep}} \text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(V) \cong \bigoplus_{i,j} \text{Mat}_{c_{ij}}(\mathbb{C})$  where  $c_{ij}$  is mult. of  $B$ -irrep  $W_j$  in  $\text{Res}_{S_n}^A V_i$  for  $A$ -irreps  $V_i$ . Thus, commutative iff  $c_{ij} \in \{0, 1\}$  for all  $i, j$ .

Thm If  $A = \mathbb{C}S_n$ ,  $B = \mathbb{C}S_m$ , then  $C$  is commutative.

Pf.  $C$  is algebra of sums  $\sum_{g \in S_n} a_g g$  such that  $a_g = a_{\pi(g)^{-1}}$  for  $\pi \in S_m$ . Note that  $\mathbb{C}S_n$  has an anti-automorphism  $(\sum a_g g)^* = \sum a_g g^{-1}$ , since  $(gh)^* = h^* g^*$ .

Note that not only is every permutation conjugate to its inverse via an elem of  $S_{n-1}$ . Suffices to prove this for an  $n$ -cycle in  $S_n$ . This cycle is of form

$(n, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1})$ . This is conjugate to its inverse via  $(a_1, a_{n-1}) \cdot (a_2, a_{n-2}) \cdot (a_3, a_{n-3}) \dots$ .

Thus if  $\sum a_g g \in C$ , then  $a_g = a_{g^{-1}}$ . Thus  $X^* = X$  for  $X \in C$ . This shows  $ab = (ab)^* = a^* b^* = ba$ .