Lecture 1: Representations of the symmetric group I

This class is on combinatorics and representation theory. That's a slippery concept, since "combinatorics" can mean just about any thing. So, you'll be getting a very prejudiced view of how to think about the connections between these fields.

Combinatorial representation theory is more defined by what it's not than what it is. It reaves we're not going to use techniques from algebraic georetry or from analysis, and that points us toward certain kinds of problems. But it also reaves usine going to evaluate problems w/ an eye toward how interesting they are for combinatorialists. For example the symmetric group is of great interest in combinatorial problems will tend to have symmetric groups as symmetries, so nector spaces vlose directions are interesting will tend to have for actione, so use can ask not just ubout dimensions, but also about the underlying Sn-nep. for example, let  $C(X_{1,m}, X_{n})$ by polys u/ obvious Sn-action. Let C be the qualited where we nod out by every positive degree Sn invariat polynomed  $(X_{1}+\cdots+X_{n}, X_{1}X_{2}+X_{1}X_{3}+\cdots-, X_{n}X_{n}-X_{n})$ is enough).

Then This quotient is isonorphic to the regular representation as an Sn-rep. Q How does each individual degree decoupose over Sn?

In order to answer a question like this we need to First understand Sn-reps. That will be our first topic in this course: the connection between the representation theory of symmetric groups and young diagrams.

The (Frobenius-Young) The irreducible representations of ISn one in bijection w/ partitions of n (young diagrams w/ n-boxes.

Of course, this theorem can be upgraded in many different ways to answer questions about the nepresentations: What are their dimensions? Characters. How are they related under induction and restriction? What if we replace ( by another field? So, our goed for the first part of this course is to prove the theorem; we'll do this in a way that facilitates answering all the other questions I rentioned. Then we'll consider how the same techniques carry over to other similar algebras, like Hecke algebras, and KLR.

Ot course, these answers are interesting for representation theorists, but they're also interesting for combinatorialists. Often times representation theory points the way to interacting things to count, or shows a not-obviously-positive (or integer) quantity is the dimension of a vector space, or gives us two ways of writing quantities that are hard to match combinatorially. So, as often happens, when two aveas of match talk to each other, it's not just a one way street.

I'm going to attack the symmetric group using a netlatively modern perspective, developed by Vershik and Okounkov. The key to making it all run is the observation that ZSn has a surprisingly large commutathe subalgebra:

Def Let  $X_{k} = \sum_{i \in k} (ik) \in \mathbb{Z}S_{n}$  for  $k \leq n$ . Note that this def is compadible w/  $S_{n} \leq S_{n+1}$ , so we can leave your of the notation.

Lemma  $X_k$  commutes w/CS<sub>K-1</sub>, permitations Fixing  $\{k, \dots, n\}$ . Proof Assume TTES<sub>K-1</sub>. Then  $TTX_k TT^{-1} = \sum_{i < k} (TT(i), k) = X_k$ . Lemma The subalgebra generated by XK K=1,...,n in RSn is commutative PE By previous Lemma, if K<L, Hen Xx and Xe commute.

Whenever you have a commutative subalgebra in a bigger noncommutative algebra, you gain a new perspective on the representation theory of the algebra On any CSn representation, we have that X can be simultaneously be made upper-friangular (actually, diagonalized). So, we can try to understand CSn neps by what eigenvalues of X,, \_, Xn appear fogether and w/ what multiplicities

For example, on the trivial representations X & acts by K-1. On the sign, it acts by -k+1. On the permutation rep  $\{(X_1, \dots, Y_n) \mid \Sigma X_i = 0\}$ , we have an eigenbass given by  $V_{\ell} = (\widehat{1}, \dots, \widehat{1}, -k)$  for  $k=1, \dots, n-1$ .  $X_K \cdot V_{\ell} = \{(K-1) \cdot V_{\ell} \in \mathbb{R}^{k+1} \times \mathbb{R}^{k}$ . Thus, you can till  $K-2 = K > \ell+1$ 

a part these 3 reps.

In the general case, we say that  $(a_1, \_, a_n)$  lies in the J-M spectrum of a representation if the is a vector V such that  $X_K \cdot V = a_K V$  for all K.

Recall that a partition of n is a sequence 2,272732----27e20 s.t. Z Z;=h, and that the young diagram is a diagram w/ Z1 boxes in one row, Z2 in rext, etr. Whether "next" should read from top to dottom a vice versa is "English" v.s." Fread." notation. I'll use English. Thus (S, 4,2) has Y.D. A Young tableau (often called standard tableau) is a filling of toxes w/ {1, --, n} which increases as we get further from top left corner.

We give boxes coordinates as w entries of matrices: (i)) is the jth box from left in ith row, counting from top. The "diagram" is often taken to mean pairs (i,j) w/ i,j EZ20, and j \$7;

A tableau S is thus a bijection between this diagram and {1,,--.,n} such that S(i+1,j) > S(i,j) and S(i,j+) > S(i,j). 

The content of a box is just j-i.

The content vector of a tableau is the contents of the boxes, listed in order given by the entries of the tableau. In the example abone, this is (0, 1, -1, 2, 3, 0, 4, -2, -1, 1, 2).

Ihm (VD) The bjection of representations and young diagrams is uniquely characterized by the fact that Vz for 2, 2---> > has spectrum which is precisely the content vectors of young tableaux of shape A. Each of the corresponding simultaneous (generalised) eigenspaces is dimension I

Whe that given a content rector, you can reconstruct the tableau one box at a time. We often think of a tableau as a sories of youry diagrams, given by the shape given by boxes w/ entry 5 k. The tableau conditions are exactly that this is always a Young diagram. Given the shape of ontries SK, and contat SP K+1 st box, there's only one place it can go. Thus, given a nep, you can reconstruct the YD from these eigenvalues. For permy we have (0, --- , K-1, -1, K, .-., N-2), which gives fableaux

12 --- K K+2/----n