◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Free Functions and Operator Algebras

Eli Shamovich

University of Waterloo

CMS Winter Meeting December 10th, 2017

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへで

NC Sets and Functions

This talk is partially based on joint (past and ongoing) work with G. Salomon and O. Shalit.

NC Sets and Functions

This talk is partially based on joint (past and ongoing) work with G. Salomon and O. Shalit.

Let V be a vector space, then we set $\mathbb{M}(V) = \bigsqcup_{n=1}^{\infty} M_n(\mathbb{C}) \otimes V$. For simplicity we set $\mathbb{M}_d = \mathbb{M}(\mathbb{C}^d)$. Note that there is a natural action of GL_n on $M_n(\mathbb{C}) \otimes V$, by $S \cdot (A \otimes v) = (S^{-1}AS) \otimes v$.

NC Sets and Functions

This talk is partially based on joint (past and ongoing) work with G. Salomon and O. Shalit.

Let *V* be a vector space, then we set $\mathbb{M}(V) = \bigsqcup_{n=1}^{\infty} M_n(\mathbb{C}) \otimes V$. For simplicity we set $\mathbb{M}_d = \mathbb{M}(\mathbb{C}^d)$. Note that there is a natural action of GL_n on $M_n(\mathbb{C}) \otimes V$, by $S \cdot (A \otimes v) = (S^{-1}AS) \otimes v$. A set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{M}(V)$ is called an nc set, if for every $X, Y \in \Omega$, $X \oplus Y \in \Omega$. We will write $\Omega(n) = M_n(\mathbb{C}) \otimes V \cap \Omega$. A function $f \colon \Omega \to \mathbb{M}_1$ is called non-commutative (nc) or free if:

•
$$f(\Omega(n)) \subset M_n(\mathbb{C});$$

• If $X \in \Omega(n)$, $Y \in \Omega(m)$ and $T \in M_{m,n}$, such that TX = YT, then Tf(X) = f(Y)T.

The second condition can be replaced with the requirement that f respects direct sums and similarities.

We are in particular interested in the free ball $\mathfrak{B}_d \subset \mathbb{M}_d$, i.e., the set of all *d*-tuples $X = (X_1, \ldots, X_d)$, such that $||X_1X_1^* + \cdots + X_dX_d^*|| < 1$.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

We are in particular interested in the free ball $\mathfrak{B}_d \subset \mathbb{M}_d$, i.e., the set of all *d*-tuples $X = (X_1, \ldots, X_d)$, such that $||X_1X_1^* + \cdots + X_dX_d^*|| < 1$. A first example of an nc function is a free polynomial, i.e., an

element of the free algebra \mathbb{F}_d . More generally a free rational function.

(日) (同) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

NC Examples

We are in particular interested in the free ball $\mathfrak{B}_d \subset \mathbb{M}_d$, i.e., the set of all *d*-tuples $X = (X_1, \ldots, X_d)$, such that $||X_1X_1^* + \cdots + X_dX_d^*|| < 1$.

A first example of an nc function is a free polynomial, i.e., an element of the free algebra \mathbb{F}_d . More generally a free rational function.

Another example is a power series in free variables with that has radius of convergence at least r > 0 on each level. All the examples so far are analytic. However, a surprising fact proved by Kaliuzhnyi-Verbovetskyi and Vinnikov is that local boundedness is sufficient to imply analyticity for nc functions.

NC Kernels

Recently, Ball, Marx and Vinnikov have introduced a notion of nc kernels that generalize the classical notion of reproducing kernels. Given an nc set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{M}(V)$, a cp nc kernel K on Ω is a function satisfying:

- For $Z \in \Omega(n)$ and $W \in \Omega(m)$, $K(Z, W) \in \mathcal{L}(M_{n,m}, M_{n,m})$.
- If $Z, \tilde{Z}, W, \tilde{W} \in \Omega$ and α, β are scalar matrices, such that $\alpha Z = \tilde{Z} \alpha$ and $\beta Z = \tilde{Z} \beta$, then

$$\alpha K(Z,W)(A)\beta^* = K(\tilde{Z},\tilde{W})(\alpha A\beta^*).$$

• K(Z,Z): $M_n \to M_n$ is a positive map for every $Z \in \Omega$.

NC Kernels

Recently, Ball, Marx and Vinnikov have introduced a notion of nc kernels that generalize the classical notion of reproducing kernels. Given an nc set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{M}(V)$, a cp nc kernel K on Ω is a function satisfying:

- For $Z \in \Omega(n)$ and $W \in \Omega(m)$, $K(Z, W) \in \mathcal{L}(M_{n,m}, M_{n,m})$.
- If $Z, \tilde{Z}, W, \tilde{W} \in \Omega$ and α, β are scalar matrices, such that $\alpha Z = \tilde{Z} \alpha$ and $\beta Z = \tilde{Z} \beta$, then

$$\alpha K(Z,W)(A)\beta^* = K(\tilde{Z},\tilde{W})(\alpha A\beta^*).$$

• K(Z,Z): $M_n \to M_n$ is a positive map for every $Z \in \Omega$.

As in the classical case, for each cp nc kernel K one associates an nc-RKHS, consisting of nc functions on Ω . One can also consider the multiplier algebra of a nc-RKHS.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

More NC Examples

Let $Z \in \mathbb{M}_n(\mathbb{C}) \otimes V$ and set

$$\Omega(k) = \begin{cases} \{Z^{\oplus \frac{k}{n}}\} & n \mid k \\ \emptyset, & n \nmid k \end{cases}.$$

More NC Examples

Let $Z \in \mathbb{M}_n(\mathbb{C}) \otimes V$ and set

$$\Omega(k) = \begin{cases} \{Z^{\bigoplus \frac{k}{n}}\} & n \mid k \\ \emptyset, & n \nmid k \end{cases}$$

If K is a kernel on Ω , then for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$ by the properties of the kernel $K(Z^{\oplus m}, Z^{\oplus m}) = K(Z, Z) \otimes I_{M_m}$. Therefore, K(Z, Z) is simply a completely positive map.

NC Szego Kernel

We will denote by \mathcal{H}_d the full Fock space on \mathbb{C}^d . This space is an nc-RKHS, with the nc reproducing Szego kernel given by:

$$K(Z, W)(T) = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{W}_d} Z^{\alpha} T W^{*\alpha}$$

Here \mathbb{W}_d stands for the free monoid on d generators. This is a complete Pick space. The multiplier algebra of \mathcal{H}_d is $H^{\infty}(\mathfrak{B}_d)$, the algebra of all uniformly bounded nc functions on \mathfrak{B}_d . In fact this operator algebra is unitarily equivalent to the WOT-closed subalgebra of $B(\mathcal{H}_d)$ generated by the non-commutative shifts, considered by Arias, Popescu, Davidson and Pitts.

Multiplier Ideals and Varieties

• For an ideal $\mathcal{I} \subset H^{\infty}(\mathfrak{B}_d)$ we define the nc variety $Z(\mathcal{I}) \subset \mathfrak{B}_d$, to be all the *d*-tuples $X \in \mathfrak{B}_d$, such that f(X) = 0, for every $f \in \mathcal{I}$.

Multiplier Ideals and Varieties

- For an ideal $\mathcal{I} \subset H^{\infty}(\mathfrak{B}_d)$ we define the nc variety $Z(\mathcal{I}) \subset \mathfrak{B}_d$, to be all the *d*-tuples $X \in \mathfrak{B}_d$, such that f(X) = 0, for every $f \in \mathcal{I}$.
- Similarly for a subset $V \subset \mathfrak{B}_d$, we set $I(V) \subset \mathfrak{B}_d$, to be the collection of all multipliers that vanish on V.

Multiplier Ideals and Varieties

- For an ideal $\mathcal{I} \subset H^{\infty}(\mathfrak{B}_d)$ we define the nc variety $Z(\mathcal{I}) \subset \mathfrak{B}_d$, to be all the *d*-tuples $X \in \mathfrak{B}_d$, such that f(X) = 0, for every $f \in \mathcal{I}$.
- Similarly for a subset V ⊂ 𝔅_d, we set I(V) ⊂ 𝔅_d, to be the collection of all multipliers that vanish on V.
- One notes that every variety is in particular an nc set, that is additionally closed under "relevant" similarities, restriction to invariant subspaces and compression to coinvariant subspaces. An nc isomorphisms of subvarieties V ⊂ 𝔅_d and W ⊂ 𝔅_e is an nc function f: V → W, that has an nc inverse.

Davidson, Ramsey and Shalit studied the multiplier algebras on subvarieties of the unit ball of \mathbb{C}^d .

Theorem (Davidson,Ramsey,Shalit)

Let $V, W \subset \mathbb{B}_d$ be two varieties, then TFAE:

- There exists an automorphism F of \mathbb{B}_d , such that F(W) = V.
- The multiplier algebras of V and of W are completely isometrically isomorphic.
- The multiplier algebras of V and of W are unitarily equivalent.

Davidson, Ramsey and Shalit studied the multiplier algebras on subvarieties of the unit ball of \mathbb{C}^d .

Theorem (Davidson,Ramsey,Shalit)

Let $V, W \subset \mathbb{B}_d$ be two varieties, then TFAE:

- There exists an automorphism F of \mathbb{B}_d , such that F(W) = V.
- The multiplier algebras of V and of W are completely isometrically isomorphic.
- The multiplier algebras of V and of W are unitarily equivalent.

Question: Does this work in the free case?

Automorphisms of the nc-Ball

It was proved by Popescu and Davidson and Pitts that every analytic automorphism of the operator *d*-ball is in fact induced by an automorphism of \mathbb{B}_d . In the case of the matrix ball $\mathfrak{B}_d(n)$ we can show using elementary methods that:

Lemma

If an analytic automorphism of $\mathfrak{B}_d(n)$ respects unitary similarities, then this automorphism is in fact an amplification of an automorphism of \mathbb{B}_d .

Automorphisms of the nc-Ball

It was proved by Popescu and Davidson and Pitts that every analytic automorphism of the operator *d*-ball is in fact induced by an automorphism of \mathbb{B}_d . In the case of the matrix ball $\mathfrak{B}_d(n)$ we can show using elementary methods that:

Lemma

If an analytic automorphism of $\mathfrak{B}_d(n)$ respects unitary similarities, then this automorphism is in fact an amplification of an automorphism of \mathbb{B}_d .

To prove it note that the analytic automorphisms of $\mathfrak{B}_d(n)$ are SU(n, dn)/Z, where Z is the center. So if $T = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix}$ corresponds to such an automorphism and then for every $V \in U_n$ we have $V^{\oplus (d+1)}TV^{*\oplus (d+1)} = T$ and now we use the special structure of T.

Let now $V \subset \mathfrak{B}_d$ be an nc variety. Let $\mathcal{I} \subset H^{\infty}(\mathfrak{B}_d)$ be the ideal of functions vanishing on V. We let \mathcal{H}_V be the orthogonal complement of the closure of \mathcal{I} in \mathcal{H}_d . Let us denote by \mathcal{M}_V the algebra of multipliers on V.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

More on Varieties

Let now $V \subset \mathfrak{B}_d$ be an nc variety. Let $\mathcal{I} \subset H^{\infty}(\mathfrak{B}_d)$ be the ideal of functions vanishing on V. We let \mathcal{H}_V be the orthogonal complement of the closure of \mathcal{I} in \mathcal{H}_d . Let us denote by \mathcal{M}_V the algebra of multipliers on V.

Theorem (Salomon, Shalit, S.)

The map $f \to f|_V$ is a completely contractive and surjective homomorphism $\mathcal{M}_d \to \mathcal{M}_V$ and it induces a completely isometric isomorphism $\mathcal{M}_d/\mathcal{I} \cong \mathcal{M}_V$.

More on Varieties

Let now $V \subset \mathfrak{B}_d$ be an nc variety. Let $\mathcal{I} \subset H^{\infty}(\mathfrak{B}_d)$ be the ideal of functions vanishing on V. We let \mathcal{H}_V be the orthogonal complement of the closure of \mathcal{I} in \mathcal{H}_d . Let us denote by \mathcal{M}_V the algebra of multipliers on V.

Theorem (Salomon, Shalit, S.)

The map $f \to f|_V$ is a completely contractive and surjective homomorphism $\mathcal{M}_d \to \mathcal{M}_V$ and it induces a completely isometric isomorphism $\mathcal{M}_d/\mathcal{I} \cong \mathcal{M}_V$.

There are two possible proofs of this result. One uses nc kernel theory, the other uses Davidson-Pitts distance formula.

Weak-* Continuous f.d. Representations

Let us write $\text{Hom}(H^{\infty}(\mathfrak{B}_d), M_n(\mathbb{C}))$ for the space of all unital completely contractive representations of $H^{\infty}(\mathfrak{B}_d)$ on \mathbb{C}^n .

Theorem (Davidson,Pitts)

For all $d \in \mathbb{N}$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have there is a natural continuous projection $\pi_{d,k}$: Hom $(\mathcal{M}_d, \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})) \to \overline{\mathfrak{B}(n)}$, given by $\pi_{d,k}(\varphi) = (\varphi(z_1), \dots, \varphi(z_d))$. Furthermore, for every $T \in \mathfrak{B}(n)$, there is a unique WOT continuous representation φ_T of \mathcal{M}_d corresponding to T, given by the Popescu functional calculus.

Weak-* Continuous f.d. Representations

Let us write $\operatorname{Hom}(H^{\infty}(\mathfrak{B}_d), M_n(\mathbb{C}))$ for the space of all unital completely contractive representations of $H^{\infty}(\mathfrak{B}_d)$ on \mathbb{C}^n .

Theorem (Davidson,Pitts)

For all $d \in \mathbb{N}$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have there is a natural continuous projection $\pi_{d,k}$: Hom $(\mathcal{M}_d, \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})) \to \overline{\mathfrak{B}(n)}$, given by $\pi_{d,k}(\varphi) = (\varphi(z_1), \dots, \varphi(z_d))$. Furthermore, for every $T \in \mathfrak{B}(n)$, there is a unique WOT continuous representation φ_T of \mathcal{M}_d corresponding to T, given by the Popescu functional calculus.

The behavior of $\pi_{d,k}$ on the boundary is more complex. If $T \in \partial \mathfrak{B}_d(n)$, then we say that T is pure if $\sum_{|\alpha|=n} T^{\alpha} T^{*\alpha} \xrightarrow[n \to \infty]{} 0$. Using Popescu's functional calculus one can extend the map $T \mapsto \varphi_T$ from $\mathfrak{B}_d(n)$ to the set of all pure T in the boundary, that we will denote by $\mathfrak{B}_d(n)^p$.

Weak-* Continuous f.d. Representations

One can also look at the following much simpler setting. Let $T \in \partial \mathfrak{B}_d(n)$, be such that there exists $S \in GL_n$, such that $S^{-1}TS \in \mathfrak{B}_d(n)$. In this case there is a unique extension of every *nc*-function in \mathcal{M}_d to T.

$$f(T) = Sf(S^{-1}TS)S^{-1}.$$

Weak-* Continuous f.d. Representations

One can also look at the following much simpler setting. Let $T \in \partial \mathfrak{B}_d(n)$, be such that there exists $S \in GL_n$, such that $S^{-1}TS \in \mathfrak{B}_d(n)$. In this case there is a unique extension of every *nc*-function in \mathcal{M}_d to T.

$$f(T) = Sf(S^{-1}TS)S^{-1}.$$

Lemma (Salomon, Shalit, S.)

The set of pure points is precisely the set of points similar to a strict contraction.

CCU Homomorphisms

Let $V \subset \mathfrak{B}_d$ and $W \subset \mathfrak{B}_e$ be nc varieties and let $\alpha \colon \mathcal{M}_V \to \mathcal{M}_W$ be a completely contractive unital homomorphism. Then obviously for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we obtain a map:

$$\alpha_n^*$$
: Hom $(\mathcal{M}_W, \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})) \to$ Hom $(\mathcal{M}_V, \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})).$

The map α^* clearly respects direct sums and similarities.

CCU Homomorphisms

Let $V \subset \mathfrak{B}_d$ and $W \subset \mathfrak{B}_e$ be nc varieties and let $\alpha \colon \mathcal{M}_V \to \mathcal{M}_W$ be a completely contractive unital homomorphism. Then obviously for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we obtain a map:

$$\alpha_n^*$$
: Hom $(\mathcal{M}_W, \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})) \to$ Hom $(\mathcal{M}_V, \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C}))$.

The map α^* clearly respects direct sums and similarities. Hence we get a map $G: W \to \overline{\mathfrak{B}_d}$ and we can extend G to a map on \mathfrak{B}_d using the complete Pick property.

CCU Homomorphisms

Let $V \subset \mathfrak{B}_d$ and $W \subset \mathfrak{B}_e$ be nc varieties and let $\alpha \colon \mathcal{M}_V \to \mathcal{M}_W$ be a completely contractive unital homomorphism. Then obviously for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we obtain a map:

$$\alpha_n^*$$
: Hom $(\mathcal{M}_W, \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})) \to$ Hom $(\mathcal{M}_V, \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C}))$.

The map α^* clearly respects direct sums and similarities. Hence we get a map $G \colon W \to \overline{\mathfrak{B}_d}$ and we can extend G to a map on \mathfrak{B}_d using the complete Pick property. If α is weak-* continuous, then $G(W) \subset \overline{V}^p$.

CI Isomorphisms

Theorem (Salomon, Shalit, S.)

Let $V \subset \mathfrak{B}_d$ and $W \subset \mathfrak{B}_e$ be nc varieties and assume that $\alpha \colon \mathcal{M}_V \to \mathcal{M}_W$ is a completely isometric isomorphism. Then α gives rise to maps $F, G \colon \mathfrak{B}_d \to \mathfrak{B}_d$, such that $F \circ G|_V = \mathrm{id}_V$ and $G \circ F|_W = \mathrm{id}_W$. In other words V and W are biholomorphic.

Homogeneous nc-Varieties in the Ball

As in the commutative case we can consider the nice case of homogeneous varieties in \mathfrak{B}_d . An ideal $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{M}_d$ is said to be homogeneous if for every $f \in \mathcal{I}$, every homogeneous component f_n of f is in \mathcal{I} . Therefore we can think of it as an ideal generated by homogeneous polynomials in \mathbb{F}_d .

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Homogeneous nc-Varieties in the Ball

As in the commutative case we can consider the nice case of homogeneous varieties in \mathfrak{B}_d . An ideal $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{M}_d$ is said to be homogeneous if for every $f \in \mathcal{I}$, every homogeneous component f_n of f is in \mathcal{I} . Therefore we can think of it as an ideal generated by homogeneous polynomials in \mathbb{F}_d .

A subvariety $V \subset \mathfrak{B}_d$ is said to be homogeneous if it is invariant under multiplication by $t \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}$, i.e., $X \in V$ implies $tX \in V$, for every $t \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}$. As in the commutative case, a subvariety of \mathfrak{B}_d is homogeneous if and only if the ideal $\mathcal{I} = I(V)$ of functions that vanish on V is homogeneous.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

The Homogeneous Case

Given a subset $\mathcal{X} \subset \mathbb{M}_d$, we define the matrix span of \mathcal{X} to be:

 $\mathsf{mat-span}(\mathcal{X})(n) = \mathsf{Span}\left\{(I_d \otimes L)(A) \mid A \in \mathcal{X}, \ L \in \mathcal{L}(M_n(\mathbb{C}))\right\}.$

The Homogeneous Case

Given a subset $\mathcal{X} \subset \mathbb{M}_d$, we define the matrix span of \mathcal{X} to be:

 $\mathsf{mat-span}(\mathcal{X})(n) = \mathsf{Span}\left\{(I_d \otimes L)(A) \mid A \in \mathcal{X}, \ L \in \mathcal{L}(M_n(\mathbb{C}))\right\}.$

Theorem (Salomon, Shalit, S.)

Let $V, W \subset \mathfrak{B}_d$ be homogeneous varieties, such that mat-span $(V) = mat-span(W) = \mathbb{M}_d$. Then TFAE

- \mathcal{M}_V and \mathcal{M}_W are completely isometrically isomorphic;
- There exists an automorphism F of \mathfrak{B}_d , such that f(V) = W;
- There a unitary $U \in U_d$, such that UV = W.

▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三日 - の々ぐ

The (More) General Case

Lemma (S.)

If $0 \neq X \in \mathfrak{B}_d(n)$ is an irreducible point, then there exists $S \in GL_n(\mathbb{C})$, such that if $Y = S^{-1}XS$, then $YY^* = rI$, for 0 < r < 1.

The key ingredient is the Perron-Frobenius theory of completely positive maps on finite-dimensional C^* -algebras.

The (More) General Case

Lemma (S.)

If $0 \neq X \in \mathfrak{B}_d(n)$ is an irreducible point, then there exists $S \in GL_n(\mathbb{C})$, such that if $Y = S^{-1}XS$, then $YY^* = rI$, for 0 < r < 1.

The key ingredient is the Perron-Frobenius theory of completely positive maps on finite-dimensional C^* -algebras.

Theorem (S.)

If $V, W \subset \mathfrak{B}_d$ are nc varieties, such that $V(1), W(1) \neq \emptyset$, then TFAE:

- \mathcal{M}_V and \mathcal{M}_W are completely isometrically isomorphic;
- There exists an automorphism F of \mathfrak{B}_d , such that f(V) = W.

The proof relies on combining the above lemma with classical results on hyperbolic geometry of balls in Banach spaces.

Bibliography

Ball, J. A., Marx, G., Vinnikov V., *Noncommutative reproducing kernel Hilbert space* preprint.

Davidson K. R., Pitts D. R.,

Invariant subspaces and hyper-reflexivity for free semigroup algebras Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. 78:401–430.

Davidson K. R., Pitts D. R.,

The algebraic structure of non-commutative analytic Toeplitz algebras Math. Ann. 311:275–303. Erratum: Math. Ann.

361:1123-1124.

Bibliography

Davidson, K. R., Pitts D. R.,

Nevanlinna-Pick interpolation for non-commutative analytic Toeplitz algebras Integral Equations Operator Theory 31:321–337.



Popescu, G.,

Free holomorphic functions on the unit ball of $B(H)^n$ J. Funct. Anal. 241:268-333.



Popescu, G.,

Free holomorphic automorphisms of the unit ball of $B(H)^n$

J. Reine Angew. Math. 638:119-168.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

Thank You!