

**Lecture 15 & 16 : Examples of Hilbert Spaces. Projection Theorem. Riesz Representation Theorem. Adjoint Operators.**

**Example 1.** 1. The space  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is a Hilbert space over  $\mathbb{R}$  with the standard inner product defined by

$$\langle x, y \rangle := \sum_{k=1}^n x_k y_k \quad \text{for } x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

2. The space  $\mathbb{C}^n$  is a Hilbert space over  $\mathbb{C}$  with inner product defined by

$$\langle x, y \rangle := \sum_{k=1}^n x_k \overline{y_k} \quad \text{for } x, y \in \mathbb{C}^n.$$

3. The space  $L_2[a, b] = \left\{ f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{K} \quad \text{s.t.} \quad \int_a^b |f(t)|^2 dt < \infty \right\}$  is a Hilbert space over  $\mathbb{K}$  with inner product defined by  $\langle f, g \rangle := \int_a^b f(t) \overline{g(t)} dt$ .

4. The space  $\ell_2 = \left\{ x = (x_1, x_2, \dots) \quad \text{s.t.} \quad \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |x_j|^2 < \infty \right\}$  is a Hilbert space with inner product defined by

$$\langle x, y \rangle := \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x_k \overline{y_k} \quad \text{for } x = (x_k) \in \ell_2, y = (y_k) \in \ell_2.$$

5. The space  $(\ell^p, \|\cdot\|_p)$  with  $p \neq 2$  is not an inner product space.

*Proof.* We will show that the norm does not satisfy the parallelogram equality.

Take  $x = (1, 1, 0, 0, \dots) \in \ell_p$  and  $y = (1, -1, 0, 0, \dots) \in \ell_p$ . Then

$$\|x\| = \|y\| = 2^{1/p}, \|x + y\| = \|x - y\| = 2$$

So the parallelogram equality is not satisfied. □

6. The space  $(C[a, b], \|\cdot\|_{\infty})$  is not an inner product space, hence not a Hilbert space.

*Proof.* Take  $f(t) = 1$  and  $g(t) = \frac{t-a}{b-a}$ . We have  $\|f\| = \|g\| = 1$  and  $\|f + g\| = 2, \|f - g\| = 1$ . So the parallelogram equality is not satisfied. □

**Proposition 22.** If in an inner product space,  $x_n \rightarrow x$  and  $y_n \rightarrow y$  then  $\langle x_n, y_n \rangle \rightarrow \langle x, y \rangle$ .

*Proof.* We have

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle x_n, y_n \rangle - \langle x, y \rangle| &= |\langle x_n, y_n \rangle - \langle x_n, y \rangle + \langle x_n, y \rangle - \langle x, y \rangle| = |\langle x_n, y_n - y \rangle + \langle x_n - x, y \rangle| \leq \\ &\leq |\langle x_n, y_n - y \rangle| + |\langle x_n - x, y \rangle| \leq \|x_n\| \|y_n - y\| + \|x_n - x\| \|y\| \end{aligned}$$

Let  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we get

$$0 \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\langle x_n, y_n \rangle - \langle x, y \rangle| \leq \|x\| 0 + 0 \|y\| = 0.$$

Hence,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\langle x_n, y_n \rangle - \langle x, y \rangle| = 0, \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle x_n, y_n \rangle = \langle x, y \rangle$ , which completes the proof. □

### 3.2 Orthogonal Projection

**Definition 1.** Let  $A$  be a subset of an inner product space  $X$ . The *orthogonal complement* of  $A$  is defined as

$$A^\perp := \{x \in X : \langle x, a \rangle = 0 \text{ for all } a \in A\}.$$

**Proposition 23.** Let  $A$  be a subset of an inner product space  $X$ . Then  $A^\perp$  is a closed linear subspace of  $X$  and  $A \cap A^\perp \subset \{0\}$ .

*Proof.* Exercise. □

**Theorem 1.** Let  $Y$  be a closed linear subspace of the real or complex Hilbert space  $X$  and  $x \in X$  be given. Then the following holds

(i) There exists a unique  $y \in Y$  such that

$$\|x - y\| = \min_{z \in Y} \|x - z\|$$

(ii) The point  $y$  in part (i) is the unique vector in  $Y$  such that  $x - y \in Y^\perp$ .

The point  $y$  is called the *orthogonal projection* of  $x$  onto the subspace  $Y$ .

*Proof.* (i) *Existence.* Denote  $d = \inf_{z \in Y} \|x - z\|$ . By the definition of the infimum, there exists a sequence  $\{y_n\} \subset Y$  such that  $\|x - y_n\| \rightarrow d$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . We will prove that  $\{y_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence.

Using parallelogram law, we have

$$\|y_n - y_m\|^2 + 4 \left\| x - \frac{1}{2}(y_n + y_m) \right\|^2 = 2(\|x - y_n\|^2 + \|x - y_m\|^2) \quad \text{for } n, m \geq 1.$$

Since  $Y$  is a linear subspace of  $X$  and  $y_n, y_m \in Y$ , we have  $\frac{1}{2}(y_n + y_m) \in Y$ . Therefore,  $\left\| x - \frac{1}{2}(y_n + y_m) \right\| \geq d$ . Hence

$$\|y_n - y_m\|^2 = 2(\|x - y_n\|^2 + \|x - y_m\|^2) - 4 \left\| x - \frac{1}{2}(y_n + y_m) \right\|^2 \leq 2(\|x - y_n\|^2 + \|x - y_m\|^2) - 4d^2.$$

Let  $n, m \rightarrow \infty$ , we have  $\|x - y_n\| \rightarrow d$ ,  $\|x - y_m\| \rightarrow d$  and

$$0 \leq \lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} \|y_n - y_m\|^2 \leq 4d^2 - 4d^2 = 0.$$

Therefore,  $\lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} \|y_n - y_m\| = 0$  and  $\{y_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence. Since  $X$  is a Hilbert space, there exists  $y \in X$  such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_n = y$ . Since  $y_n \in Y$  and  $Y$  is closed,  $y \in Y$ . In conclusion, we have

$$\|x - y\| = \min_{z \in Y} \|x - z\|.$$

*Uniqueness.* Suppose there is also  $\hat{y} \in Y$  such that

$$\|x - \hat{y}\| = \min_{z \in Y} \|x - z\|.$$

Applying the parallelogram law and using  $\left\|x - \frac{1}{2}(y + \hat{y})\right\| \geq d$  (since  $\frac{1}{2}(y + \hat{y}) \in Y$ ), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|y - \hat{y}\|^2 + 4 \left\|x - \frac{1}{2}(y + \hat{y})\right\|^2 &= 2(\|x - y\|^2 + \|x - \hat{y}\|^2) = 4d^2, \\ 0 \leq \|y - \hat{y}\|^2 &= 4d^2 - 4 \left\|x - \frac{1}{2}(y + \hat{y})\right\|^2 \leq 0. \end{aligned}$$

So  $\|y - \hat{y}\|^2 = 0$ ,  $y = \hat{y}$ .

(ii). *Orthogonality.* Clearly,  $\langle x - y, 0 \rangle = 0$ . Take  $z \in Y, z \neq 0$ . We will prove that  $\langle x - y, z \rangle = 0$ . By the construction of  $y$  in part (i), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|x - y\|^2 &\leq \|x - (y + \lambda z)\|^2 = \|x - y\|^2 + |\lambda|^2 \|z\|^2 - \lambda \langle z, x - y \rangle - \bar{\lambda} \langle x - y, z \rangle, \\ 0 &\leq |\lambda|^2 \|z\|^2 - \overline{\lambda \langle x - y, z \rangle} - \bar{\lambda} \langle x - y, z \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Plugging  $\lambda = \frac{\langle x - y, z \rangle}{\|z\|^2}$  into the above inequality, we conclude

$$\frac{|\langle x - y, z \rangle|^2}{\|z\|^2} \leq 0,$$

which only happens when  $\langle x - y, z \rangle = 0$ .

*Uniqueness.* Assume there is also  $y^* \in Y$  such that  $x - y^* \in Y^\perp$ . Then  $y - y^* = (x - y^*) - (x - y) \in Y^\perp$ . On the other hand,  $y - y^* \in Y$  since  $y, y^* \in Y$ . So  $y - y^* \in Y \cap Y^\perp \subset \{0\}$ . Therefore,  $y - y^* = 0$  and  $y = y^*$ .  $\square$

Note in part (i), we only need the condition that if  $y_n, y_m \in Y$  then its average is also in  $Y$ . Therefore, we have a more general result for part (i).

**Theorem 2 (Hilbert's Projection Theorem).** *Given a closed convex set  $Y$  in a Hilbert space  $X$  and  $x \in X$ . There exists a unique  $y \in Y$  such that*

$$\|x - y\| = \min_{z \in Y} \|x - z\|.$$

**Corollary 5 (Orthogonal Decomposition).** *Let  $Y$  be a closed linear subspace of the real or complex Hilbert space  $X$ . Then every vector  $x \in X$  can be uniquely represented as*

$$x = y + w, \quad y \in Y, \quad w \in Y^\perp.$$

*The orthogonal decomposition is usually written as  $X = Y \oplus Y^\perp$ .*

Note: We can prove that  $X/Y \cong Y^\perp$  (linear isomorphism).

**Definition 2 (Orthogonal Projection).** *Let  $Y$  be a closed linear subspace of the real or complex Hilbert space  $X$ . The map  $P_Y : X \rightarrow X, P_Y(x) = y$ , where  $x = y + w$  and  $(y, w) \in Y \times Y^\perp$ , is called the orthogonal projection in  $X$  onto  $Y$ .*

### 3.3 Riesz Representation Theorem

**Lemma 7.** Let  $(X, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$  be an inner product space. Then

1.  $\langle x, 0 \rangle = \langle 0, x \rangle = 0, \quad \forall x \in X$
2. If there are  $y_1, y_2 \in X$  such that  $\langle x, y_1 \rangle = \langle x, y_2 \rangle$  for all  $x \in X$ , then  $y_1 = y_2$ .

*Proof.* Exercise. □

**Theorem 1 (Riesz Representation Theorem).** Let  $X$  be a Hilbert space over  $\mathbb{K}$ , where  $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$  or  $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$ .

1. For every  $y \in X$ , the functional  $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ ,  $f(x) = \langle x, y \rangle$  is an element in  $X^*$  and  $\|f\| = \|y\|$ .
2. Conversely, for every  $f \in X^*$ , there exists a unique  $y \in X$  such that  $f(x) = \langle x, y \rangle$  for every  $x \in X$ .  
Moreover,  $\|f\| = \|y\|$ .

*Proof.* (1). If  $y = 0$ , then the function  $f(x) = \langle x, 0 \rangle = 0$ , for every  $x \in X$ , is an element in  $X^*$  and  $\|f\| = 0 = \|y\|$ .

If  $y \neq 0$ , we first verify that  $f(x) = \langle x, y \rangle$  is linear. (prove this).

Using Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we have

$$|f(x)| = |\langle x, y \rangle| \leq \|x\| \|y\|.$$

So  $f$  is bounded and  $\|f\| \leq \|y\|$ .

On the other hand,  $\|f\| = \sup_{x \neq 0} \frac{|f(x)|}{\|x\|} \geq \frac{|f(y)|}{\|y\|} = \|y\|$ . Therefore,  $\|f\| = \|y\|$ .

(2). *Existence.* Consider  $f \in X^*$ . If  $f = 0$ , we can take  $y = 0$  and  $f(x) = \langle x, 0 \rangle$  for every  $x \in X$ .

Consider  $f \in X^*, f \neq 0$ .

- Step 1: Prove that  $\dim(X/\ker f) = 1$ .

Since  $f \neq 0$ ,  $\text{Im} f \neq \{0\}$ . Moreover, since  $\text{Im} f$  is a subspace of  $\mathbb{K}$  and  $\dim_{\mathbb{K}} \mathbb{K} = 1$ , we have  $\dim \text{Im} f \leq 1$ . So  $\dim \text{Im} f = 1$ . From the linear isomorphism  $X/\ker f \cong \text{Im} f$ , we have  $\dim(X/\ker f) = 1$ .

- Step 2: Verify  $\ker f$  is a closed subspace of  $X$ . Indeed, we know that  $\ker f$  is a linear subspace of  $X$ . Also, since  $f$  is continuous and  $\{0\} \subset \mathbb{K}$  is a closed set of  $\mathbb{K}$ ,  $\ker f = f^{-1}\{0\}$  is a closed set of  $X$ .

- Step 3: By the orthogonal decomposition theorem, we have  $X = \ker f \oplus (\ker f)^\perp$  and  $\dim(\ker f)^\perp = \dim(X/\ker f) = 1$ . Without loss of generality (by scaling), we can assume that  $(\ker f)^\perp = \text{Span}(y_0)$  for some  $y_0 \in X$  and  $f(y_0) = 1$  (note that  $y_0 \notin \ker f$  so  $f(y_0) \neq 0$ , therefore we do the scaling).

- Step 4: Find  $y$ .

Take  $x \in X$ . Then

$$f(x - f(x)y_0) = f(x) - f(x)f(y_0) = f(x) - f(x) = 0.$$

Therefore,  $w = x - f(x)y_0 \in \ker f$ . So  $\langle w, y_0 \rangle = 0$ . Now compute

$$\langle x, y_0 \rangle = \langle w + f(x)y_0, y_0 \rangle = \langle w, y_0 \rangle + f(x)\langle y_0, y_0 \rangle = f(x)\langle y_0, y_0 \rangle,$$

$$f(x) = \langle x, \frac{y_0}{\|y_0\|^2} \rangle.$$

Set  $y = \frac{y_0}{\|y_0\|^2}$ .

*Uniqueness* If there is also  $\hat{y}$  such that  $f(x) = \langle x, \hat{y} \rangle$  for all  $x \in X$ , then

$$\langle x, y \rangle = \langle x, \hat{y} \rangle \quad \text{for every } x \in X.$$

By above lemma,  $y = \hat{y}$ .

□

### 3.4 Hilbert Adjoint Operator

**Definition 1.** Let  $T : H \rightarrow H$  be a bounded linear operator, where  $H$  is a Hilbert space. Then the Hilbert adjoint operator  $T^*$  of  $T$  is an operator  $T^* : H \rightarrow H$  such that

$$\langle Tx, y \rangle = \langle x, T^*y \rangle \quad \text{for all } x, y \in H.$$

**Theorem 1.** Let  $T : H \rightarrow H$  be a bounded linear operator, where  $H$  is a Hilbert space. Then the Hilbert adjoint operator  $T^*$  of  $T$  exists and moreover,  $T^*$  is also a bounded linear operator and  $\|T^*\| = \|T\|$ .

*Proof.* • Step 1: Construct  $T^*$ . Let  $y \in H$ . Define  $l : H \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ ,  $l(x) := \langle Tx, y \rangle$ . We can verify that  $l \in H^*$  (check this). Moreover, using Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and the boundedness of  $T$ , we have

$$|l(x)| \leq \|Tx\| \|y\| \leq \|T\| \|x\| \|y\| = \|T\| \|y\| \|x\|.$$

Therefore  $l$  is bounded. By the Riesz representation theorem, there exists a unique  $y^* \in H$  such that

$$l(x) = \langle x, y^* \rangle \quad \text{for every } x \in X.$$

and  $\|l\| = \|y^*\|$ . We define  $T^* : H \rightarrow H$ ,  $T^*(y) = y^*$ . Then clearly,

$$\langle Tx, y \rangle = l(x) = \langle x, y^* \rangle = \langle x, T^*y \rangle.$$

• Step 2: Verify  $T^*$  is linear. Indeed, let  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{K}$  and  $y, z \in H$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle x, T^*(\alpha y + \beta z) \rangle &= \langle Tx, \alpha y + \beta z \rangle = \langle Tx, \alpha y \rangle + \langle Tx, \beta z \rangle = \bar{\alpha} \langle Tx, y \rangle + \bar{\beta} \langle Tx, z \rangle \\ &= \bar{\alpha} \langle x, T^*y \rangle + \bar{\beta} \langle x, T^*z \rangle = \langle x, \alpha T^*y + \beta T^*z \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Since this equality holds for every  $x \in H$ , from Lemma 7, we have

$$T^*(\alpha y + \beta z) = \alpha T^*y + \beta T^*z \quad \text{for all } y, z \in H, \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{K}.$$

- Verify  $T^*$  is bounded. Indeed, we have

$$\|T^*y\|^2 = \langle T^*y, T^*y \rangle = \langle TT^*y, y \rangle \leq \|TT^*y\| \|y\| \leq \|T\| \|T^*y\| \|y\|.$$

So  $\|T^*y\| \leq \|T\| \|y\|$  for every  $y \in Y$ . Therefore  $T^*$  is bounded and  $\|T^*\| \leq \|T\|$ .

- Show that  $\|T^*\| = \|T\|$ .

Since  $T^* \in B(H)$ , we can apply steps 1,2,3 and have  $T^{**} \in B(H)$  and  $\|T^{**}\| \leq \|T^*\|$ .

On the other hand, for every  $x, y \in H$ , we get

$$\langle Tx, y \rangle = \langle x, T^*y \rangle = \overline{\langle T^*y, x \rangle} = \overline{\langle y, T^{**}x \rangle} = \langle T^{**}x, y \rangle,$$

where the first and the third equalities come from the definition of  $T^*$  and  $T^{**}$ . Since  $\langle Tx, y \rangle = \langle T^{**}x, y \rangle$  for all  $y \in H$ , we have  $Tx = T^{**}x$  for all  $x \in H$ . Therefore,  $T = T^{**}$ . In conclusion, we have

$$\|T\| \geq \|T^*\| \geq \|T^{**}\| = \|T\|,$$

which implies  $\|T\| = \|T^*\|$ . □

**Lemma 8.** *Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be inner product spaces and  $T \in B(X, Y)$ . Then*

1.  $T = 0$  if and only if  $\langle Tx, y \rangle = 0$  for all  $x \in X, y \in Y$ .
2. If  $T : X \rightarrow X$  and  $X$  is a complex inner product space and  $\langle Tx, x \rangle = 0$  for all  $x \in X$ , then  $T = 0$ .

*Proof.* Exercise. □

**Definition 2.** *A bounded linear operator  $T : H \rightarrow H$  on a Hilbert space  $H$  is said to be*

- *self-adjoint (Hermitian)* if  $T^* = T$ , i.e.,  $\langle Tx, y \rangle = \langle x, Ty \rangle$  for every  $x, y \in H$ .
- *unitary* if  $T$  is bijective and  $T^* = T^{-1}$ .
- *normal* if  $TT^* = T^*T$ .

*Clearly, if  $T$  is self-adjoint or unitary,  $T$  is normal.*

**Proposition 24.** *Let  $T : H \rightarrow H$  be a bounded linear operator on a Hilbert space  $H$  and  $T$  be onto. Then  $T$  is unitary if and only if  $\langle Tx, Ty \rangle = \langle x, y \rangle$  for all  $x, y \in H$ .*

*Proof.* In class. □