# AMATH 840: Advanced Numerical Methods for Computational and Data Science

Giang Tran Department of Applied Mathematics, University of Waterloo Winter 2024

#### Part 2: Neural Networks

# 2.3: A Detailed Mathematical Explanation of Denoising Diffusion Probabilistic Models (DDPM)

Winter 2024

Forward Process

**Reverse Process** 

## Denoising Diffusion Probabilistic Models (DDPMs)



Figure 1: Example of Forward and Reverse Processes.

- All integer Equation numbers (Eq. 1,...) are the same numbers as in DDPM<sup>1</sup>.
- The content is based on the previous notes of my PhD student Esha Saha and on discussion with my collaborators Hai Ha Pham (Vietnam National University - Ho Chi Minh City, Vietnam) and Sang Ngoc Pham (EM Normandie Business School, France)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Reference: "Denoising Diffusion Probabilistic Models", by Ho et al, NeurIPS 2020, https://proceedings.neurips.cc/paper/2020/file/4c5bcfec8584af0d967f1ab10179ca4b-Paper.pdf

**Definition 1:** Let  $\mathbf{x}_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d$  from an unknown distribution with p.d.f.  $q(\mathbf{x}_0)$ . Given a variance schedule  $0 < \beta_1, ..., \beta_K < 1$ , the forward process is fixed to a Markov chain that gradually adds Gaussian noise to the data:

$$q_{k|k-1}(\mathbf{x}_k|\mathbf{x}_{k-1}) := \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}_k; \sqrt{1-\beta_k}\mathbf{x}_{k-1}, \beta_k \mathbf{I}).$$
 (Eq. 2)

That is,

 $\mathbf{x}_k := \sqrt{1 - \beta_k} \mathbf{x}_{k-1} + \sqrt{\beta_k} \mathbf{e}, \text{ where } \mathbf{e} \sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{I}) \text{ and } k = 1, \dots, K.$ (Eq. 2.1)

#### Forward Process (cont'd)

Lemma 1: With the assumptions in Definition 1, we have  $q_{k|0}(\mathbf{x}_k|\mathbf{x}_0) = \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}_k; \sqrt{\overline{\alpha}_k} \mathbf{x}_0, (1 - \overline{\alpha}_k)\mathbf{I}).$ (Eq. 4) where  $\alpha_k = 1 - \beta_k$  and  $\overline{\alpha}_k = \prod_{i=1}^k \alpha_i$  for  $k = 1, \dots, K$ . That is,  $\mathbf{x}_{k} = \sqrt{\overline{\alpha}_{k}} \mathbf{x}_{0} + \sqrt{1 - \overline{\alpha}_{k}} \widetilde{\mathbf{e}}_{k}$ (Eq. 4.1) where  $\tilde{\mathbf{e}}_k \sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{I})$ . Note that for any  $\tau \geq 1$ ,  $\tilde{\mathbf{e}}_k$  and  $\tilde{\mathbf{e}}_{k+\tau}$  are not independent. In particular, if  $0 < \beta_1 < ... < \beta_K < 1$  or  $0 < \gamma \leq \beta_1, \ldots, \beta_K < 1$ , we have  $\mathbf{x}_{\kappa} \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{I}).$ (Eq. 4.2)

#### Forward Process (cont'd)

**Proof of Lemma 1.** Using the reparameterization trick and the fact that the summation of two Gaussian random variables is Gaussian, we can obtain  $x_k$  from  $x_0$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{x}_{k} &= \sqrt{\alpha_{k}} \, \mathbf{x}_{k-1} + \sqrt{1 - \alpha_{k}} \, \mathbf{e}_{k-1} \\ &= \sqrt{\alpha_{k}} \left( \sqrt{\alpha_{k-1}} \mathbf{x}_{k-2} + \sqrt{1 - \alpha_{k-1}} \mathbf{e}_{k-2} \right) + \sqrt{1 - \alpha_{k}} \mathbf{e}_{k-1} \\ &= \sqrt{\alpha_{k}} \alpha_{k-1} \, \mathbf{x}_{k-2} + \sqrt{1 - \alpha_{k}} \alpha_{k-1} \, \mathbf{\widetilde{e}}_{2} \\ &\vdots \\ &= \sqrt{\overline{\alpha_{k}}} \, \mathbf{x}_{0} + \sqrt{1 - \overline{\alpha_{k}}} \, \mathbf{\widetilde{e}}_{k}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\tilde{\mathbf{e}}_i \sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{I})$  for i = 2, ..., k. Therefore, the conditional distribution  $q_{k|0}(\mathbf{x}_k|\mathbf{x}_0)$  is

$$q_{k|0}(\mathbf{x}_k|\mathbf{x}_0) = \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}_k; \sqrt{\overline{\alpha}_k} \mathbf{x}_0, (1 - \overline{\alpha}_k)\mathbf{I}),$$

Note that  $\{\mathbf{e}_k\}_k$  are i.i.d. standard normal and independent of  $\mathbf{x}_k$  while  $\{\widetilde{\mathbf{e}}_k\}$  depend on each other.

#### Proof of Lemma 1 (cont'd).

• At k = K, we have

$$\mathbf{x}_{K} = \sqrt{\overline{\alpha}_{K}} \, \mathbf{x}_{0} + \sqrt{1 - \overline{\alpha}_{K}} \, \mathbf{e},$$

where  $\mathbf{e} \sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{I})$ .

• If  $0 < \beta_1 < ... < \beta_K < 1$  or  $0 < \gamma \le \beta_1, \ldots, \beta_K < 1$ ,  $\lim_{K \to \infty} \overline{\alpha}_K = 0$ .

Therefore,  $q(\mathbf{x}_{\mathcal{K}}) \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{I})$  (converge in distribution), i.e., as the number of timesteps becomes very large, the distribution  $q(\mathbf{x}_{\mathcal{K}})$  will approach the Gaussian distribution with mean  $\mathbf{0}$  and covariance  $\mathbf{I}$ .

**Lemma 2:** Let  $x_1, \dots, x_K$  be the vectors obtained from  $x_0$  by applying the forward process given in Definition 1. Then,

$$q(\mathbf{x}_{1:\mathcal{K}} \mid \mathbf{x}_0) = \prod_{k=1}^{\mathcal{K}} q(\mathbf{x}_k \mid \mathbf{x}_{k-1}).$$
 (Eq. 2.2)

where  $q(\mathbf{x}_{1:K}|\mathbf{x}_0) := q(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_K | \mathbf{x}_0)$  is the conditional joint distribution of  $(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_K)$  given  $\mathbf{x}_0$ .

**Proof of Lemma 2.** Since the sequence  $\{\mathbf{x}_k\}_k$  is a Markov chain,  $\mathbf{x}_2$  is independent of  $\mathbf{x}_0$  when  $\mathbf{x}_1$  is given. Thus,  $q(\mathbf{x}_2|\mathbf{x}_1) = q(\mathbf{x}_2|\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_0)$ .

For K = 2, on the right-hand side, we have

$$\begin{aligned} q(\mathbf{x}_1|\mathbf{x}_0)q(\mathbf{x}_2|\mathbf{x}_1) &= q(\mathbf{x}_1|\mathbf{x}_0)q(\mathbf{x}_2|\mathbf{x}_1,\mathbf{x}_0) \\ &= \frac{q(\mathbf{x}_1,\mathbf{x}_0)}{q(\mathbf{x}_0)}q(\mathbf{x}_2|\mathbf{x}_1,\mathbf{x}_0) \\ &= \frac{q(\mathbf{x}_0,\mathbf{x}_1,\mathbf{x}_2)}{q(\mathbf{x}_0)} \\ &= q(\mathbf{x}_1,\mathbf{x}_2|\mathbf{x}_0). \end{aligned}$$

**Proof of Lemma 2 (cont'd).** For K = n + 1, we have

$$egin{aligned} &\prod_{t=1}^{n+1} q(\mathbf{x}_t | \mathbf{x}_{t-1}) = \prod_{t=1}^n q(\mathbf{x}_t | \mathbf{x}_{t-1}) q(\mathbf{x}_{n+1} | \mathbf{x}_n) \ &= q(\mathbf{x}_1, ..., \mathbf{x}_n | \mathbf{x}_0) \, q(\mathbf{x}_{n+1} | \mathbf{x}_n, ..., \mathbf{x}_0) \ &= rac{q(\mathbf{x}_0, ..., \mathbf{x}_n)}{q(\mathbf{x}_0)} \, q(\mathbf{x}_{n+1} | \mathbf{x}_n, ..., \mathbf{x}_0) \ &= rac{q(\mathbf{x}_0, ..., \mathbf{x}_n, \mathbf{x}_{n+1})}{q(\mathbf{x}_0)} \ &= rac{q(\mathbf{x}_1, ..., \mathbf{x}_{n+1} | \mathbf{x}_n)}{q(\mathbf{x}_0)}. \end{aligned}$$

where the second equality is obtained by using the induction hypothesis and the fact that  $\mathbf{x}_{n+1}$  is independent of  $\mathbf{x}_0, \mathbf{x}_1, \cdots, \mathbf{x}_{n-1}$  when  $\mathbf{x}_n$  is given. The remaining equalities are obtained by using Bayes' rule.

Forward Process

**Reverse Process** 

- The goal of the reverse process is to generate data from the input distribution by sampling from  $q(\mathbf{x}_{K}) = \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}_{K}; 0, \mathbf{I})$  and gradually denoising for which one needs to know the reverse distribution  $q(\mathbf{x}_{k-1}|\mathbf{x}_{k})$ .
- In general, computation of q(x<sub>k-1</sub>|x<sub>k</sub>) is intractable without the knowledge of x<sub>0</sub>.
- However, we can compute  $q(\mathbf{x}_{k-1} | \mathbf{x}_k, \mathbf{x}_0)$ .

**Lemma 3:** With the assumptions of the forward process, the reverse Markov chain conditioned on  $\mathbf{x}_0$ ,  $q(\mathbf{x}_{k-1} | \mathbf{x}_k, \mathbf{x}_0)$  (for  $k \ge 2$ ), follows a Gaussian distribution:

$$q(\mathbf{x}_{k-1} \mid \mathbf{x}_k, \mathbf{x}_0) = \frac{q(\mathbf{x}_k \mid \mathbf{x}_{k-1})q(\mathbf{x}_{k-1} \mid \mathbf{x}_0)}{q(\mathbf{x}_k \mid \mathbf{x}_0)}$$
(Eq. 6.1)  
=  $\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}_{k-1}; \widetilde{\mu}_k(\mathbf{x}_k, \mathbf{x}_0), \widetilde{\beta}_k \mathbf{I}),$  (Eq. 6)

where

$$\widetilde{\mu}_{k}(\mathbf{x}_{k},\mathbf{x}_{0}) := \frac{\sqrt{\alpha_{k}}(1-\overline{\alpha}_{k-1})}{1-\overline{\alpha}_{k}}\mathbf{x}_{k} + \frac{\sqrt{\overline{\alpha}_{k-1}}\beta_{k}}{1-\overline{\alpha}_{k}}\mathbf{x}_{0} \quad \text{and} \quad \widetilde{\beta}_{k} = \frac{1-\overline{\alpha}_{k-1}}{1-\overline{\alpha}_{k}}\beta_{k}.$$
(Eq. 7)

The detailed proof is given in the next few slides.

**Question:** Can we explain intuitively why  $q(\mathbf{x}_{k-1} | \mathbf{x}_k, \mathbf{x}_0)$  is Gaussian?

**Proof of Lemma 3.** We can write the p.d.f. of the reverse Markov chain conditioned on  $x_0$  in terms of the p.d.fs of the forward process:

$$\begin{aligned} q(\mathbf{x}_{k-1}|\mathbf{x}_{k},\mathbf{x}_{0}) &= \frac{q_{\mathbf{x}_{k-1},\mathbf{x}_{k},\mathbf{x}_{0}}(\mathbf{x}_{k-1},\mathbf{x}_{k},\mathbf{x}_{0})}{q_{\mathbf{x}_{k},\mathbf{x}_{0}}(\mathbf{x}_{k},\mathbf{x}_{0})} \text{ (Conditional p.d.f)} \\ &= \frac{q(\mathbf{x}_{k}|\mathbf{x}_{k-1},\mathbf{x}_{0})q_{\mathbf{x}_{k-1},\mathbf{x}_{0}}(\mathbf{x}_{k-1},\mathbf{x}_{0})}{q(\mathbf{x}_{k}|\mathbf{x}_{0})q_{\mathbf{x}_{0}}(\mathbf{x}_{0})} \text{ (Conditional p.d.f)} \\ &= \frac{q(\mathbf{x}_{k}|\mathbf{x}_{k-1})q(\mathbf{x}_{k-1}|\mathbf{x}_{0})q_{\mathbf{x}_{0}}(\mathbf{x}_{0})}{q(\mathbf{x}_{k}|\mathbf{x}_{0})q_{\mathbf{x}_{0}}(\mathbf{x}_{0})} \text{ (Markov property and Conditional p.d.f.} \\ &= \frac{q(\mathbf{x}_{k}|\mathbf{x}_{k-1})q(\mathbf{x}_{k-1}|\mathbf{x}_{0})}{q(\mathbf{x}_{k}|\mathbf{x}_{0})} \end{aligned}$$

(cont'd).Substituting (Eq. 2.1) and (Eq. 4.1) to (Eq. 7.1.) yields

$$\begin{split} q(\mathbf{x}_{k-1}|\mathbf{x}_{k},\mathbf{x}_{0}) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{(2\pi\beta_{k})^{d}}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \frac{(\mathbf{x}_{k}-\sqrt{\alpha_{k}}\mathbf{x}_{k-1})^{T}(\mathbf{x}_{k}-\sqrt{\alpha_{k}}\mathbf{x}_{k-1})}{\beta_{k}}\right) \cdot \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{(2\pi(1-\overline{\alpha}_{k-1}))^{d}}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \frac{(\mathbf{x}_{k-1}-\sqrt{\overline{\alpha}_{k-1}}\mathbf{x}_{0})^{T}(\mathbf{x}_{k-1}-\sqrt{\overline{\alpha}_{k-1}}\mathbf{x}_{0})}{1-\overline{\alpha}_{k-1}}\right) \cdot \\ \left(\sqrt{(2\pi(1-\overline{\alpha}_{k}))^{d}}\right) \exp\left(\frac{1}{2} \frac{(\mathbf{x}_{k}-\sqrt{\overline{\alpha}_{k}}\mathbf{x}_{0})^{T}(\mathbf{x}_{k}-\sqrt{\overline{\alpha}_{k}}\mathbf{x}_{0})}{1-\overline{\alpha}_{k}}\right) \\ &= \frac{\sqrt{(1-\overline{\alpha}_{k})^{d}}}{\sqrt{(2\pi\beta_{k}(1-\overline{\alpha}_{k-1}))^{d}}} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2} \frac{1-\overline{\alpha}_{k}}{\beta_{k}(1-\overline{\alpha}_{k-1})} \mathbf{x}_{k-1}^{T} \mathbf{x}_{k-1} + \\ &\left(\frac{\sqrt{\alpha_{k}}}{\beta_{k}}\mathbf{x}_{k}^{T}+\frac{\sqrt{\overline{\alpha}_{k-1}}}{1-\overline{\alpha}_{k-1}}\mathbf{x}_{0}^{T}\right) \mathbf{x}_{k-1} + \operatorname{terms}(\mathbf{x}_{k},\mathbf{x}_{0})\right\} \end{split}$$

Simplifying the calculations, we have

$$q(\mathbf{x}_{k-1}|\mathbf{x}_k, \mathbf{x}_0) = \frac{\sqrt{(1-\overline{\alpha}_k)^d}}{\sqrt{(2\pi\beta_k(1-\overline{\alpha}_{k-1}))^d}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \frac{(\mathbf{x}_{k-1}-\widetilde{\mu}_k)^T(\mathbf{x}_{k-1}-\widetilde{\mu}_k)}{\widetilde{\beta}_k}\right),$$
(Eq. 7.2)

where  $\widetilde{\mu}_k$  and  $\widetilde{\beta}_k$  are given in (Eq. 7).

- Our goal is to learn the reverse distribution from the obtained conditional reverse distribution.
- Let p<sub>θ</sub>(x<sub>k-1</sub>|x<sub>k</sub>) be the learned reverse distribution. From Markovian theory, we know that p<sub>θ</sub>(x<sub>k-1</sub>|x<sub>k</sub>) is also Gaussian (prove this!). The proof is based on two facts:
  - The reverse chain of a Markov chain is also a Markov chain.
  - Under the settings of the forward chain {X<sub>k</sub>}<sup>K</sup><sub>k=0</sub> in DDPM, the reverse chain {X
    <sub>k</sub> := X<sub>K-k</sub>}<sup>K</sup><sub>k=0</sub> is also a Markov chain. Moreover, the transition probability density of the reverse chain

$$\overline{q}_{k,k-1}(\mathbf{y}_k \mid \mathbf{y}_{k-1}) = \frac{\pi_G(\mathbf{y}_{k-1}; \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{I}) \pi_G(\mathbf{y}_{k-1}; \sqrt{1 - \beta_{K-k+1}} \mathbf{y}_k, \beta_{K-k+1} \mathbf{I})}{\pi_G(\mathbf{y}_k; \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{I})}$$

is also Gaussian. Here we denote  $\pi_G(\mathbf{y}_{k-1}; 0, \mathbf{I})$  the p.d.f of the Gaussian distribution  $\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{y}_{k-1}; 0, \mathbf{I})$ .

**Definition 2:** Under the settings of the forward process, the reverse process  $p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}_{0:K})$  is defined as a Markov chain with learned Gaussian transitions starting at

$$p(\mathbf{x}_{K}) = \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}_{K}; \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{I})$$

and

$$p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}_{0:K}) := p(\mathbf{x}_{K}) \prod_{k=1}^{K} p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}_{k-1} | \mathbf{x}_{k}), \qquad (\mathsf{Eq. 1})$$

where

$$p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}_{k-1}|\mathbf{x}_k) = \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}_{k-1}; \mu_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}_k, k), \Sigma_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}_k, k)).$$
(Eq. 1')

The probability the generative model assigns to the data is:

$$p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}_0) := \int p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}_{0:K}) d\mathbf{x}_{1:K}, \qquad (\mathsf{Eq. 1.1})$$

where we denote  $d\mathbf{x}_1 d\mathbf{x}_2 \dots d\mathbf{x}_K$  as  $d\mathbf{x}_{1:K}$ .

<sup>2</sup> 

 $<sup>^2 {\</sup>rm Deep}$  unsupervised learning using nonequilibrium thermodynamics. PMLR 2015, https://proceedings.mlr.press/v37/sohl-dickstein15.html

Note that the integral for  $p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}_0)$  is intractable. Nevertheless, we can evaluate  $p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}_0)$  via the relative probability of the forward and reverse trajectories as follows:

$$p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}_{0}) = \int d\mathbf{x}_{1:K} \ p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}_{0:K}) \frac{q(\mathbf{x}_{1:K} \mid \mathbf{x}_{0})}{q(\mathbf{x}_{1:K} \mid \mathbf{x}_{0})}$$

$$= \int d\mathbf{x}_{1:K} \ q(\mathbf{x}_{1:K} \mid \mathbf{x}_{0}) \frac{p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}_{0:K})}{q(\mathbf{x}_{1:K} \mid \mathbf{x}_{0})}$$

$$\stackrel{(\text{Eq. 1})\&(\text{Eq. 2.2})}{=} \int d\mathbf{x}_{1:K} \ q(\mathbf{x}_{1:K} \mid \mathbf{x}_{0}) p(\mathbf{x}_{K}) \frac{p(\mathbf{x}_{K}) \prod_{k=1}^{K} p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}_{k-1} \mid \mathbf{x}_{k})}{\prod_{k=1}^{K} q(\mathbf{x}_{k} \mid \mathbf{x}_{k-1})}$$

$$\stackrel{(\text{Eq. 6.1})}{=} \int d\mathbf{x}_{1:K} \ q(\mathbf{x}_{1:K} \mid \mathbf{x}_{0}) \ p(\mathbf{x}_{K}) \frac{p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}_{0} \mid \mathbf{x}_{1})}{q(\mathbf{x}_{1} \mid \mathbf{x}_{0})} \prod_{k=2}^{K} \frac{p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}_{k-1} \mid \mathbf{x}_{k})q(\mathbf{x}_{k-1} \mid \mathbf{x}_{0})}{q(\mathbf{x}_{k-1} \mid \mathbf{x}_{k}, \mathbf{x}_{0})q(\mathbf{x}_{k} \mid \mathbf{x}_{0})}$$

$$= \int d\mathbf{x}_{1:K} \ q(\mathbf{x}_{1:K} \mid \mathbf{x}_{0}) \ \frac{p(\mathbf{x}_{K})p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}_{0} \mid \mathbf{x}_{1})}{q(\mathbf{x}_{k} \mid \mathbf{x}_{0})} \prod_{k=2}^{K} \frac{p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}_{k-1} \mid \mathbf{x}_{k})}{q(\mathbf{x}_{k-1} \mid \mathbf{x}_{k}, \mathbf{x}_{0})q(\mathbf{x}_{k} \mid \mathbf{x}_{0})} \ (\text{Eq. 1.2})$$

#### **DDPM** - Recap

• Forward Process: Let  $\mathbf{x}_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d$  and a variance schedule  $\beta_i \in (0, 1)$ , for i = 1, ..., K. Construct:

$$\mathbf{x}_k = \sqrt{1 - \beta_k} \mathbf{x}_{k-1} + \sqrt{\beta_k} \mathbf{e}, \quad k = 1, \dots, K,$$

where  $\mathbf{e} \sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{I})$ .

Reverse Process: Generally intractable and learned using a parameterized model,

$$p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}_0) = \int d\mathbf{x}_{1:K} \ q(\mathbf{x}_{1:K} \mid \mathbf{x}_0) \ p(\mathbf{x}_K) \prod_{k=1}^K \frac{p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}_{k-1} \mid \mathbf{x}_k)}{q(\mathbf{x}_k \mid \mathbf{x}_{k-1})}.$$

Here

$$p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}_{k-1}|\mathbf{x}_k) = \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}_{k-1}; \mu_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}_k, k), \Sigma_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}_k, k)),$$

where  $\mu_{\theta}$  and  $\Sigma_{\theta}$  are the learnt mean vector and covariance matrix, respectively.

• Goal: Compare  $q(\mathbf{x}_0)$  and  $p(\mathbf{x}_0) = p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}_0)$ .

#### **Comparison of Two Distributions**

We recall some useful notions to compare two distributions.

#### Definitions:

3. The cross-entropy of a distribution *p* relative to another distribution *q* over a given set is

$$H(q,p) = \mathbb{E}_q[-\log p],$$

where  $\mathbb{E}_q[\cdot]$  denotes the expectation with respect to the distribution q.

 Let p and q be two probability distributions. Then the KL divergence denoted by D<sub>KL</sub>(q||p) is defined as

$$D_{\mathit{KL}}(q||p) = \mathbb{E}_q\left[\log\left(rac{q}{p}
ight)
ight].$$

Roughly speaking, KL divergence  $D_{KL}(q||p)$  is a measure of the information lost when q is approximated by p.

**Remark:** Note that for two probability distributions *p* and *q*, we have

$$H(q,p) = H(q,q) + D_{\mathsf{KL}}(q||p).$$

So if q is the true distribution and p is an approximated one, then H(q,q) is a constant (not learned) and the cross entropy H(q,p) differs from the KL divergence  $D_{KL}(q||p)$  by a constant.

**Lemma 4:** Let  $p \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu_p, \Sigma_p)$  and  $q \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu_q, \Sigma_q)$  be two Gaussian distributions on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . Then  $D_{\mathcal{KL}}(q \| p) = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \log \frac{|\Sigma_p|}{|\Sigma_q|} - d + (\mu_q - \mu_p)^T \Sigma_p^{-1}(\mu_q - \mu_p) + \operatorname{tr}(\Sigma_p^{-1} \Sigma_q) \right].$ 

#### KL Divergence of Two Gaussians (cont'd)

Proof of Lemma 4. Re call that

$$p(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{|\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_p|^{1/2} (2\pi)^{d/2}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{x}-\mu_p)^T \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_p^{-1}(\mathbf{x}-\mu_p)\right)$$

We have

$$2D_{KL}(q||p) = 2\mathbb{E}_q \left[ \log \left(\frac{q}{p}\right) \right]$$
$$= \log \frac{|\Sigma_p|}{|\Sigma_q|} + \mathbb{E}_q \left( -(\mathbf{x} - \mu_q)^T \Sigma_q^{-1} (\mathbf{x} - \mu_q) \right) + \mathbb{E}_q \left( (\mathbf{x} - \mu_p)^T \Sigma_p^{-1} (\mathbf{x} - \mu_p) \right)$$

To simplify the second and the third terms, we use the following equality:

**Lemma 5:** Let X be a random vector in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  with mean  $\mu$  and covariance matrix  $\Sigma$ . Let  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$  be a symmetric matrix. Then

$$\mathbb{E}(X^{T}AX) = \operatorname{tr}(A\Sigma) + \mu^{T}A\mu.$$

Proof. We have

$$\mathbb{E}(X^{T}AX) = \mathbb{E}\operatorname{tr}\left(X^{T}AX\right) = \mathbb{E}\operatorname{tr}\left(AXX^{T}\right) = \operatorname{tr}\left(A\mathbb{E}(XX^{T})\right)$$
$$= \operatorname{tr}\left(A\left(\operatorname{Cov}(X,X) + \mathbb{E}X\mathbb{E}X^{T}\right)\right) = \operatorname{tr}(A\Sigma) + \operatorname{tr}(A\mathbb{E}X\mathbb{E}X^{T})$$
$$= \operatorname{tr}(A\Sigma) + \operatorname{tr}(A\mu\mu^{T}) = \operatorname{tr}(A\Sigma) + \operatorname{tr}(\mu^{T}A\mu) = \operatorname{tr}(A\Sigma) + \mu^{T}A\mu.$$

Proof of Lemma 4 (cont'd). The second term can be simplified as

$$\mathbb{E}_q(\mathbf{x} - \mu_q)^T \Sigma_q^{-1}(\mathbf{x} - \mu_q) = \operatorname{tr}\left(\Sigma_q^{-1} \Sigma_q\right) + \mathbf{0}^T \Sigma_q^{-1} \mathbf{0} = \operatorname{tr} I_d = d$$

Similarly, the third term can be simplified as

$$\mathbb{E}_q\left((\mathbf{x}-\mu_p)^T \Sigma_p^{-1} (\mathbf{x}-\mu_p)\right) = \operatorname{tr}(\Sigma_p^{-1} \Sigma_q) + (\mu_q - \mu_p)^T \Sigma_p^{-1} (\mu_q - \mu_p)$$

**Theorem.** Let  $\mathbf{x}_0$  be data drawn from an unknown distribution  $q(\mathbf{x}_0)$ . Suppose  $\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_K$  be the degraded data obtained by applying the forward process given in Definition 1 and p denotes the (reverse) distribution such that  $p(\mathbf{x}_0)$  approximates  $q(\mathbf{x}_0)$ . Then the cross entropy loss H(q, p) satisfies the following inequality:

$$\begin{aligned} H(q(\mathbf{x}_{0}), p(\mathbf{x}_{0})) \leq & \mathbb{E}_{q(\mathbf{x}_{0};K)} \left[ \log \frac{q(\mathbf{x}_{K} | \mathbf{x}_{0})}{p(\mathbf{x}_{K})} + \sum_{k=2}^{K} \log \frac{q(\mathbf{x}_{k-1} | \mathbf{x}_{k}, \mathbf{x}_{0})}{p(\mathbf{x}_{k-1} | \mathbf{x}_{k})} - \log p(\mathbf{x}_{0} | \mathbf{x}_{1}) \right] \\ \leq & D_{KL}(q(\mathbf{x}_{K} | \mathbf{x}_{0}) \| p(\mathbf{x}_{K})) + \sum_{k=2}^{K} D_{KL}(q(\mathbf{x}_{k-1} | \mathbf{x}_{k}, \mathbf{x}_{0}) \| p(\mathbf{x}_{k-1} | \mathbf{x}_{k})) \\ & + \mathbb{E}_{q(\mathbf{x}_{0};K)}(-\log p(\mathbf{x}_{0} | \mathbf{x}_{1})). \end{aligned}$$
(Eq. 5)

**Proof.** The proof is original from [Sohl-Dickstein et al., 15] and recalled in [Ho et al., 20]. We have

$$\begin{split} & \mathcal{H}(q(\mathbf{x}_{0}), p(\mathbf{x}_{0})) \stackrel{\text{by def.}}{=} -\mathbb{E}_{q(\mathbf{x}_{0})}[\log p(\mathbf{x}_{0})] \\ \stackrel{(\text{Eq. 1.2})}{=} - \int d\mathbf{x}_{0} q(\mathbf{x}_{0}) \log \left( \int d\mathbf{x}_{1:K} q(\mathbf{x}_{1:K} \mid \mathbf{x}_{0}) \frac{p(\mathbf{x}_{K})p(\mathbf{x}_{0} \mid \mathbf{x}_{1})}{q(\mathbf{x}_{K} \mid \mathbf{x}_{0})} \prod_{k=2}^{K} \frac{p(\mathbf{x}_{k-1} \mid \mathbf{x}_{k})}{q(\mathbf{x}_{k-1} \mid \mathbf{x}_{k}, \mathbf{x}_{0})} \right) \\ \stackrel{\text{Jensen's ineq.}}{\leq} - \int d\mathbf{x}_{0:K} q(\mathbf{x}_{0:K}) \log \left( \frac{p(\mathbf{x}_{K})p(\mathbf{x}_{0} \mid \mathbf{x}_{1})}{q(\mathbf{x}_{K} \mid \mathbf{x}_{0})} \prod_{k=2}^{K} \frac{p(\mathbf{x}_{k-1} \mid \mathbf{x}_{k})}{q(\mathbf{x}_{k-1} \mid \mathbf{x}_{k}, \mathbf{x}_{0})} \right) \\ \leq \int d\mathbf{x}_{0:K} q(\mathbf{x}_{0:K}) \left[ \log \frac{q(\mathbf{x}_{K} \mid \mathbf{x}_{0})}{p(\mathbf{x}_{K})} + \sum_{k=2}^{K} \log \frac{q(\mathbf{x}_{k-1} \mid \mathbf{x}_{k}, \mathbf{x}_{0})}{p(\mathbf{x}_{k-1} \mid \mathbf{x}_{k})} - \log p(\mathbf{x}_{0} \mid \mathbf{x}_{1}) \right] \\ \leq D_{KL}(q(\mathbf{x}_{K} \mid \mathbf{x}_{0}) || p(\mathbf{x}_{K})) + \sum_{k=2}^{K} D_{KL}(q(\mathbf{x}_{k-1} \mid \mathbf{x}_{k}, \mathbf{x}_{0}) || p(\mathbf{x}_{k-1} \mid \mathbf{x}_{k})) + \\ + \mathbb{E}_{q(\mathbf{x}_{D:K})}(-\log p(\mathbf{x}_{0} \mid \mathbf{x}_{1})). \end{split}$$

• From the settings of the diffusion model, the first term on the upper bound

 $D_{KL}(q(\mathbf{x}_K|\mathbf{x}_0)||p(\mathbf{x}_K))$ 

is constant and hence often ignored when training a diffusion model.

• For the third term on the upper bound,

 $\mathbb{E}_{q(\mathbf{x}_{0:\mathcal{K}})}\left[-\log p(\mathbf{x}_0|\mathbf{x}_1)\right],$ 

there are numerous ways to handle this term in practice. For example, the authors in [Ho et al, 20] choose to model this term using a separate discrete decoder.

• For the second term, we first simplify to difference in means, then rewrite in terms of the difference between noises, where the noises are defined based on  $\mathbf{x}_k$ .

For each k = 2, ..., K, since  $q(\mathbf{x}_{k-1}|\mathbf{x}_k, \mathbf{x}_0)$  and  $p(\mathbf{x}_{k-1}|\mathbf{x}_k)$  are Gaussian with the same variance (see the assumptions), using Lemma 4, we have:

$$D_{\mathcal{K}\mathcal{L}}(q(\mathbf{x}_{k-1}|\mathbf{x}_k,\mathbf{x}_0)\|p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}_{k-1}|\mathbf{x}_k)) \stackrel{\text{Lem. 4}}{=} \mathbb{E}_{q(\mathbf{x}_0,\mathbf{x}_k)} \frac{1}{2\sigma_k^2} \|\tilde{\mu}_k(\mathbf{x}_k,\mathbf{x}_0) - \mu_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}_k,k)\|_2^2 + C$$
(Eq. 8)

$$\stackrel{(\mathsf{Eq. 7})}{=} \mathbb{E}_{q(\mathsf{x}_{0},\mathsf{x}_{k})} \frac{1}{2\sigma_{k}^{2}} \left\| \frac{\sqrt{\alpha_{k}}(1-\overline{\alpha}_{k-1})}{1-\overline{\alpha}_{k}} \mathsf{x}_{k} + \frac{\sqrt{\overline{\alpha}_{k-1}}\beta_{k}}{1-\overline{\alpha}_{k}} \mathsf{x}_{0} - \mu_{\theta}(\mathsf{x}_{k},k) \right\|_{2}^{2} + C$$

$$(Eq. 8.1)$$

$$\stackrel{(\mathsf{Eq. 4.1})}{=} \mathbb{E}_{q(\mathsf{x}_0,\mathsf{x}_k)} \frac{1}{2\sigma_k^2} \left\| \frac{\sqrt{\alpha_k}(1-\overline{\alpha}_{k-1})}{1-\overline{\alpha}_k} \mathsf{x}_k + \frac{\sqrt{\overline{\alpha}_{k-1}}\beta_k}{1-\overline{\alpha}_k} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\overline{\alpha}_k}} (\mathsf{x}_k - \sqrt{1-\overline{\alpha}_k}\widetilde{\varepsilon}_k) - \mu_{\theta}(\mathsf{x}_k,k) \right\|_2^2$$

$$+ C \qquad (Eq. 8.2)$$

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x}_{0},\widetilde{\varepsilon}_{k}} \frac{1}{2\sigma_{k}^{2}} \left\| \frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha_{k}}} \mathbf{x}_{k}(\mathbf{x}_{0},\widetilde{\varepsilon}_{k}) - \frac{\beta_{k}}{\sqrt{\alpha_{k}}\sqrt{1-\overline{\alpha}_{k}}} \widetilde{\varepsilon}_{k} - \mu_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}_{k}(\mathbf{x}_{0},\widetilde{\varepsilon}_{k}),k) \right\|_{2}^{2} + C \qquad (Eq. 10)$$

The term C is constant and does not depend on  $\theta$ .

=

• Since **x**<sub>k</sub> is available as input to the model, we may choose the parametrization

$$\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}_{k},k) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha_{k}}} \left( \mathbf{x}_{k} - \frac{\beta_{k}}{\sqrt{1 - \overline{\alpha}_{k}}} \mathbf{e}_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}_{k},k) \right).$$
(Eq. 11)

• We can simplify (Eq. 10) as:

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x}_{0},\widetilde{\mathbf{e}}_{k}}\left[\frac{\beta_{k}^{2}}{2\sigma_{k}^{2}\alpha_{k}(1-\overline{\alpha}_{k})}\|\widetilde{\varepsilon}_{k}-\mathbf{e}_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}_{k},k)\|^{2}\right]$$

$$=\frac{\beta_{k}^{2}}{2\sigma_{k}^{2}\alpha_{k}(1-\overline{\alpha}_{k})}\iint\|\mathbf{e}-\epsilon_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}_{k}(\mathbf{x}_{0},\mathbf{e}),k)\|^{2}q_{\mathbf{X}_{0}}(\mathbf{x}_{0})q_{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{e})\,d\mathbf{e}\,d\mathbf{x}_{0}$$

$$=\mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x}_{0},\varepsilon}\left[\frac{\beta_{k}^{2}}{2\sigma_{k}^{2}\alpha_{k}(1-\overline{\alpha}_{k})}\|\varepsilon-\mathbf{e}_{\theta}(\sqrt{\overline{\alpha}_{k}}\,\mathbf{x}_{0}+\sqrt{1-\overline{\alpha}_{k}}\,\varepsilon,k)\|^{2}\right].$$
(Eq. 12)

where  $\mathbf{e}_{\theta}$  now denotes a function approximator intended to predict the noise from  $\mathbf{x}_k$ .

# Algorithm 1 Training

- 1: repeat
- 2:  $\mathbf{x}_0 \sim q(\mathbf{x}_0)$
- 3:  $t \sim \text{Uniform}(\{1, \ldots, T\})$
- 4:  $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{I})$
- 5: Take gradient descent step on

$$abla_{ heta} \left\| oldsymbol{\epsilon} - oldsymbol{\epsilon}_{ heta} (\sqrt{ar{lpha}_t} \mathbf{x}_0 + \sqrt{1 - ar{lpha}_t} oldsymbol{\epsilon}, t) 
ight\|^2$$

6: until converged

## Algorithm 2 Sampling

1: 
$$\mathbf{x}_T \sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{I})$$
  
2: for  $t = T, \dots, 1$  do  
3:  $\mathbf{z} \sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{I})$  if  $t > 1$ , else  $\mathbf{z} = \mathbf{0}$   
4:  $\mathbf{x}_{t-1} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha_t}} \left( \mathbf{x}_t - \frac{1-\alpha_t}{\sqrt{1-\bar{\alpha}_t}} \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}_t, t) \right) + \sigma_t \mathbf{z}$   
5: end for

6: return  $\mathbf{x}_0$ 

To be continued...