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#### Abstract

A recursive fixed-point-type method is presented to find the optimal control of a statevariable model of the megawatt-frequency control problem of multiarea electric energy systems. The results give the numerical decomposition so that only low-order systems are involved in algebraic computations. This approach is conceptually simple and produces considerable savings of computation.


## 1 Introduction

With the development of the electrical power industry and the interconnection of isolated power systems, very large power systems are formed. The most important contribution that modern optimal theory has made to the control engineers is the ability to handle a large multivariable control problem with ease. The engineer has only to represent the control system in state-variable form and to specify the desired performance mathematically in terms of a cost to be minimised. The application of the optimal control theory to study the stabilisation and optimisation of power systems has been shown in References 1-4. Owing to the high dynamic order of such systems large amounts of computer time and memory capacities are required for adequate solution of even the simplest cases. To decrease the computations and investigate the properties of large scale systems, the numerical power-series expansion method has been used in the past twenty years [4-6]. Because it is nonrecursive in nature, the power-series expansion method becomes very cumbersome and computationally very expensive when a high order of accuracy is required. Since the optimal control regulator is obtained by solving the Riccati equation of the full system, the present paper presents a recursive fixed point type method to obtain the solution of the Riccati equation in terms of reduced-order problems for weakly connected multiarea electric energy system. In addition, if not all of the state variables are available, the optimal control law requires the design of the state estimators. For this case, the estimator Riccati equation can be solved by the same algorithm. This method is conceptually simple and is very suitable for parallel programming, since it produces considerable savings of computation.
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## 2 Problem formulation

Consider a linear dynamical system composed of two subsystems in the form [5]

$$
\begin{align*}
& \dot{x}_{1}=A_{1} x_{1}+\varepsilon A_{2} x_{2}+B_{1} u_{1}+\varepsilon B_{2} u_{2} \\
& \dot{x}_{2}=\varepsilon A_{3} x_{1}+A_{4} x_{2}+\varepsilon B_{3} u_{1}+B_{4} u_{2} \tag{1}
\end{align*}
$$

where $x_{i} \in \mathscr{R}^{n i}$ are state vectors, $u_{i} \in \mathscr{R}^{m i}$ are control inputs, $i=1,2$, and $\varepsilon$ is a small coupling parameter. This dynamical system is represented in general by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \dot{x}=A x+B u  \tag{2}\\
& x=\left[\begin{array}{l}
x_{1} \\
x_{2}
\end{array}\right] \quad A=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
A_{1} & \varepsilon A_{2} \\
\varepsilon A_{3} & A_{4}
\end{array}\right] \quad B=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
B_{1} & \varepsilon B_{2} \\
\epsilon B_{3} & B_{4}
\end{array}\right] \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

The linear-quadratic optimal control problem requires to find the control $u$, which minimises the cost

$$
\begin{equation*}
C=\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{\infty}\left[x^{T} Q x+u^{T} R u\right] d t \quad Q \geqslant 0, R>0 \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the purpose of this paper we assume that the structure of the matrix $Q$ is consistent with the system matrix $A$, i.e.

$$
Q=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
Q_{1} & \varepsilon Q_{2}  \tag{5}\\
\varepsilon Q_{2}^{T} & Q_{3}
\end{array}\right]
$$

All problem matrixes defined in eqns. 1-5 are constant and of appropriate dimensions.

## 3 Recursive algorithm for optimal control

The optimal controller that minimises the cost $C$ along the trajectories of eqn. 1 weighted by a constant gain matrix $F$ is given by [6]

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{o p t}=-F_{o p t} x=-R^{-1} B^{T} P x \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $P$ is the positive semidefinite stabilising solution of the algebraic Riccati equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
P A+A^{T} P+Q-P S P=0 \quad S=B R^{-1} B^{T} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

It can be shown that the nature of the solution of eqn. 7 is [7-8]

$$
P=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
P_{1} & \varepsilon P_{2}  \tag{8}\\
\varepsilon P_{2}^{T} & P_{3}
\end{array}\right]
$$

By partitioning eqn. 7 compatible to eqns. 3, 5 and 8 we obtain three algebraic equations

$$
\begin{align*}
& P_{1} A_{1}+A_{1}^{T} P_{1}+ Q_{1}-P_{1} S_{1} P_{1} \\
&+\varepsilon^{2}\left(P_{2} A_{3}+A_{3}^{T} P_{2}^{T}\right)-\varepsilon^{2}\left[\left(P_{1} S_{12}+P_{2} Z^{T}\right) P_{1}\right. \\
&\left.+\left(P_{1} Z+P_{2}\left(S_{2}+\varepsilon^{2} S_{21}\right)\right) P_{2}^{T}\right]=0 \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \qquad \begin{array}{l}
P_{3} A_{4}+A_{4}^{T} P_{3}+\varepsilon^{2}\left(P_{2}^{T} A_{2}+A_{2}^{T} P_{2}\right)+Q_{3} \\
\quad-P_{3}\left(S_{2}+\varepsilon^{2} S_{21}\right) P_{3}-\varepsilon^{2}\left\{\left[P_{2}^{T}\left(S_{1}+\varepsilon^{2} S_{12}\right)\right.\right. \\
\\
\left.\left.\quad+P_{3} Z^{T}\right] P_{2}+P_{2}^{T} Z P_{3}\right\}=0 \\
P_{1} A_{2}+P_{2} A_{4}+A_{1}^{T} P_{2}+A_{3}^{T} P_{3} \\
+Q_{2}-P_{1} S_{1} P_{2}-P_{1} Z P_{3}-P_{2} S_{2} P_{3} \\
\quad-\varepsilon^{2}\left[\left(P_{1} S_{12}+P_{2} Z^{T}\right) P_{2}+P_{2} S_{21} P_{3}\right]=0 \\
\text { where } \\
\qquad \begin{array}{l}
S_{1}= \\
S_{12}
\end{array} B_{1} R_{1}^{-1} B_{1}^{T}, \quad S_{2} R_{2}^{-1} B_{2}^{T}, \quad S_{4} R_{21}^{-1} B_{4}^{T} \\
Z=B_{1} R_{1}^{-1} B_{3}^{T}+B_{2} R_{2}^{-1} B_{4}^{T}
\end{array}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $\varepsilon$ is a small parameter, we can define the $O\left(\varepsilon^{2}\right)$ approximation of eqns. 9-11 as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \bar{P}_{1} A_{1}+A_{1}^{T} \bar{P}_{1}-\bar{P}_{1} S_{1} \tilde{P}_{1}+Q_{1}=0 \\
& \bar{P}_{3} A_{4}+A_{4}^{T} \bar{P}_{3}-\bar{P}_{3} S_{2} \bar{P}_{3}+Q_{3}=0 \tag{13}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{P}_{2} D_{2}+D_{1}^{T} \bar{P}_{2}=-\left(\bar{P}_{1} A_{2}+\bar{A}_{3}^{T} P_{3}+Q_{2}-\bar{P}_{1} Z \bar{P}_{3}\right) \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that the corresponding solution of eqn. 8 is

$$
\boldsymbol{P}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
P_{1} & \varepsilon P_{2}  \tag{15}\\
\varepsilon P_{2}^{T} & P_{3}
\end{array}\right]=\overline{\boldsymbol{P}}+O\left(\varepsilon^{2}\right)
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{1}=\left[A_{1}-S_{1} \bar{P}_{1}\right], \quad D_{2}=\left[A_{4}-S_{2} \bar{P}_{3}\right] \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

The unique positive semidefinite stabilising solutions of eqn. 13 exist under the assumption that the triples $\left(A_{1}, B_{1}, \sqrt{ }\left(Q_{1}\right)\right)$ and $\left(A_{4}, B_{4}, \sqrt{ }\left(Q_{3}\right)\right)$ are stabilisabledetectable. Under the assumption, matrixes $D_{1}$ and $D_{2}$ are stable [9] so that the unique solution of eqn. 14 also exists.

If the errors are defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{j}=\bar{P}_{j}+\varepsilon^{2} E_{j} \quad j=1,2,3 \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

then the exact solution will be of the form

$$
P=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\bar{P}_{1}+\varepsilon^{2} E_{1} & \varepsilon\left(\bar{P}_{2}+\varepsilon^{2} E_{2}\right)  \tag{18}\\
\varepsilon\left(\bar{P}_{2}+\varepsilon^{2} E_{2}\right)^{T} & \bar{P}_{3}+\varepsilon^{2} E_{3}
\end{array}\right]
$$

Subtracting eqns. 13 and 14 from the corresponding eqns. $9-11$ and using eqn. 17 produces the following equations for the errors:

$$
\begin{align*}
E_{1} D_{1} & +D_{1}^{T} E_{1} \\
= & P_{1} S_{12} P_{1}+P_{2} Z^{T} P_{1}+P_{1} Z P_{2}^{T} \\
& +P_{2} S_{2} P_{2}^{T}-P_{2} A_{3}-A_{3}^{T} P_{2}^{T} \\
& +\varepsilon^{2}\left(E_{1} S_{1} E_{1}+P_{2} S_{21} P_{2}^{T}\right)  \tag{19}\\
E_{3} D_{2} & +D_{2}^{T} E_{3} \\
= & P_{3} S_{21} P_{3}+P_{2}^{T} S_{1} P_{2}+P_{3} Z^{T} P_{2} \\
& +P_{2}^{T} Z P_{3}-P_{2}^{T} A_{2}-A_{2}^{T} P_{2} \\
& +\varepsilon^{2}\left(E_{3} S_{2} E_{3}+P_{2}^{T} S_{12} P_{2}\right)  \tag{20}\\
D_{1}^{T} E_{2} & +E_{2} D_{2} \\
= & P_{1} S_{12} P_{2}+P_{2} Z^{T} P_{2}+P_{2} S_{21} P_{3}-E_{1} D_{12} \\
& \quad-D_{21}^{T} E_{3}+\varepsilon^{2}\left(E_{1} S_{1} E_{2}+E_{1} Z E_{2}+E_{2} S_{2} E_{3}\right) \tag{21}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& D_{12}=A_{2}-S_{1} \bar{P}_{2}-Z \bar{P}_{3} \\
& D_{21}=A_{3}-S_{2} P_{2}^{T}-Z^{T} \bar{P}_{1} \tag{22}
\end{align*}
$$

It can be shown easily that the nonlinear eqns. 19-21 have the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& E_{1} D_{1}+D_{1}^{T} E_{1}=\text { const }+\varepsilon^{2} f_{1}\left(E_{1}, E_{2}, \varepsilon^{2}\right) \\
& E_{3} D_{2}+D_{2}^{T} E_{3}=\text { const }+\varepsilon^{2} f_{3}\left(E_{2}, E_{3}, \varepsilon^{2}\right) \\
& E_{2} D_{2}+D_{1}^{T} E_{2}=\text { const }+\varepsilon^{2} f_{2}\left(E_{1}, E_{2}, E_{3}, \varepsilon^{2}\right) \tag{23}
\end{align*}
$$

We can see that all cross-coupling terms and all nonlinear terms in eqns. 19-21 are multiplied by $\varepsilon^{2}$, so that we propose the following reduced-order parallel algorithm for solving eqns. 19-21

$$
\begin{align*}
& E_{1}^{(i+1)} D_{1}+D_{1}^{T} E_{1}^{(i+1)} \\
& =P_{1}^{(i)} S_{12} P_{1}^{(i)}+P_{2}^{(i)} Z P_{2}^{(i) T} \\
& \quad+P_{2}^{(i)} S_{2} P_{2}^{(i)}-P_{2}^{(i)} A_{3}-A_{3}^{T} P_{2}^{(i) T} \\
& \quad+\varepsilon^{2}\left(E_{1}^{(i)} S_{1} E_{1}^{(i)}+P_{2}^{(i)} S_{21} P_{2}^{(i)^{T}}\right)  \tag{24}\\
& E_{3}^{(i+1)} D_{2}+D_{2}^{T} E_{3}^{(i+1)} \\
& = \\
& =P_{3}^{(i)} S_{21} P_{3}^{(i)}+P_{2}^{(i)^{T}} S_{1} P_{2}^{(i)}+P_{3}^{(i)} Z^{T} P_{2}^{(i)} \\
& \quad+P_{2}^{(i) T} Z P_{3}^{(i)}-P_{2}^{(i)} A_{2}-A_{2}^{T} P_{2}^{(i)}  \tag{25}\\
& \quad+\varepsilon^{2}\left(E_{3}^{(i)} S_{2} E_{3}^{(i)}+P_{2}^{(i)} S_{12} P_{2}^{(i)}\right) \\
& D_{1}^{T} E_{2}^{(i+1)}+E_{2}^{(i+1)} D_{2} \\
& = \\
& =P_{1}^{(i+1)} S_{12} P_{2}^{(i)}+P_{2}^{(i)} Z^{T} P_{2}^{(i)}  \tag{26}\\
& \quad+P_{2}^{(i)} S_{21} P_{3}^{(i)}-E_{1}^{(i+1)} D_{12}-D_{21}^{T} E_{3}^{(i+1)} \\
& \quad+\varepsilon^{2}\left(E_{1}^{(i+1)} S_{1} E_{2}^{(i)}+E_{1}^{(i+1)} Z E_{2}^{(i)}+E_{2}^{(i)} S_{2} E_{3}^{(i+1)}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

with $E_{1}^{(0)}=0, E_{2}^{(0)}=0, E_{3}^{(0)}=0$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{j}^{(i)}=\tilde{P}_{j}+\varepsilon^{2} E_{j}^{(i)} \quad j=1,2,3 ; i=0,1,2,3, \ldots \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

The following theorem indicates the features of the algorithm of eqns. 24-27.

Theorem: Under the assumption, the algorithm of eqns. 24-27 converges to the exact solution of $E$ with the rate of convergence of $O\left(\varepsilon^{2}\right)$, i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|E-E_{j}^{(i+1)}\right\|=O\left(\varepsilon^{2}\right)\left\|E-E_{j}^{(i)}\right\| \quad i=0,1,2, \ldots \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

or equivalently

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|E-E_{j}^{(i)}\right\|=O\left(\varepsilon^{2 i}\right) \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof: The Jacobian of eqns. 9-11, at some $\varepsilon=0$, is given by

$$
J=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
J_{11} & 0 & 0  \tag{30}\\
J_{21} & J_{22} & J_{23} \\
0 & 0 & J_{33}
\end{array}\right]
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& J_{11}=I_{n_{1}} \oplus D_{1}^{T}+D_{1}^{T} \oplus I_{n_{1}} \\
& J_{22}=J_{n_{2}} \oplus D_{2}^{T}+D_{1}^{T} \oplus I_{n_{1}} \\
& J_{33}=I_{n_{2}} \oplus D_{2}^{T}+D_{2}^{T} \oplus I_{n_{2}} \tag{31}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $D_{1}$ and $D_{2}$ are stable matrixes (by the assumption), $J_{i i}, i=1,2,3$ are nonsingular and hence the Jacobian will be nonsingular at $\varepsilon=0$. By the implicit function theorem, the existence of the unique bounded solution of eqns. $9-11$ is guaranteed for sufficiently small values of $\varepsilon$.
In the next step we have to prove convergence of the algorithm of eqns. 24-27 and to give an estimate of the rate of convergence. For $i=0$, eqns. 19 and 24 imply
$\left(E_{1}-E_{1}^{(1)}\right) D_{1}+D_{1}^{T}\left(E_{1}-E_{1}^{(1)}\right)=\varepsilon^{2} f_{1}\left(E_{1}, E_{2}, \varepsilon^{2}\right)$ IEE PROCEEDINGS-D, Vol. 138, No. 6, NOVEMBER 1991

Since $D_{1}$ is stable and $E_{1}$ and $E_{2}$ are bounded it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|E_{1}-E_{1}^{(1)}\right\|=O\left(\varepsilon^{2}\right) \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
A=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 0.545 & 0  \tag{39}\\
0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & -3.27 & -0.05 \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -5.208 \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 3.27 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right.
$$

Similarly from eqns. 20 and 25 we have

$$
\left(E_{3}-E_{3}^{(1)}\right) D_{2}+D_{2}^{T}\left(E_{3}-E_{3}^{(1)}\right)=\varepsilon^{2} f_{3}\left(E_{2}, E_{3}, \varepsilon^{2}\right)
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|E_{3}-E_{3}^{(1)}\right\|=O\left(\varepsilon^{2}\right) \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the same arguments in eqns. 21 and 26 produces

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|E_{2}-E_{2}^{(1)}\right\|=O\left(\varepsilon^{2}\right) \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

By continuing the same procedure and by induction we conclude that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|E_{1}-E_{1}^{(i)}\right\| & =O\left(\varepsilon^{2 i}\right) \\
\left\|E_{2}-E_{2}^{(i)}\right\| & =O\left(\varepsilon^{2 i}\right)  \tag{37}\\
\left\|E_{3}-E_{3}^{(i)}\right\| & =O\left(e^{2 i}\right) \tag{40}
\end{align*}
$$

with $i=1,2,3, \ldots$, which completes the proof of the theorem. To get a solution of the Riccati equation $P$, which has dimensions $n \times n=\left(n_{1}+n_{2}\right) \times\left(n_{1}+n_{2}\right)$, we have only to solve two reduced-order algebraic Riccati equations of dimensions ( $n_{1} \times n_{1}$ ) and ( $n_{2} \times n_{2}$ ), respectively. After obtaining the solution of the Riccati equation $P^{(i)}$, the optimal control in eqn. 6 is

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{o p t}^{(i)}=-R^{-1} B^{T} P^{(i)} x \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

When a multiarea system has more than two areas, the algorithm can be used repeatedly. The relationship among each subarea Riccati equation can be found in Reference 6.

## 4 Multiarea electric energy systems model and

 numerical solutionThe state variable model of the megawatt-frequency control problem of multiarea electric energy systems was developed in References 1 and 2. The model is the multistage decomposition of a two-area, interconnected non-
reheat power system $\left(\operatorname{dim} A_{11}=5 \times 5, \operatorname{dim} A_{22}=4 \times 4\right)$ The system description is given in Appendix 8 with the nominal system parameter. The numerical values of the system and input matrixes have been computed as
$\left.\begin{array}{cccccc}0 & 0 & -0.545 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 6 & 0 & 3.27 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -3.33 & 3.33 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -13 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -3.27 & -0.05 & 6 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -3.33 & 3.33 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -5.208 & 0 & -12.5\end{array}\right]$

$$
\begin{aligned}
B^{T} & =\left[\begin{array}{llllcllcc}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 12.5 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 12.5
\end{array}\right] \\
R & =\left[\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
Q=\left[\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1.3 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -0.3 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -0.3 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1.3 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right]
$$

The small coupling parameter $\varepsilon$ is built into the problem. The value for $\varepsilon$ should be estimated from the strongest coupled matrix; in this case Matrix $A$. It seems from our experience that the formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon=\frac{\max \left(\left\|A_{12}\right\|,\left\|A_{21}\right\|\right)}{\max \left(\left\|A_{11}\right\|,\left\|A_{22}\right\|\right)}=\frac{3.27}{9.32}=0.351 \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

produces a good estimate for $\varepsilon$, where $\|\|$ is any suitable norm. In this example we have used the infinity norm. Simulation results are obtained by using the package L-A-S [10] for the computer aided control system design. After 6 iterations we obtain the solution for the Riccati equation with accuracy of $10^{-6}\left(0.351^{12}=3.496 \times 10^{-6}\right)$ which verifies our theory. The solution of the Riccati equation $P$ is

$$
P=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
3.067 & 0.924 & 0.299 & 0.274  \tag{42}\\
0.924 & 1.9035 & 0.350 & 0.177 \\
0.299 & 0.350 & 0.480 & 0.394 \\
0.274 & 0.177 & 0.394 & 0.464 \\
0.0566 & 0.024 & 0.0746 & 0.1021 \\
-0.924 & -0.469 & 0.0854 & 0.2141 \\
-0.299 & 0.0854 & -0.009 & 0.0106 \\
-0.274 & 0.2151 & 0.0106 & 0.0074 \\
-0.0566 & 0.056 & 0.0051 & 0.0024
\end{array}\right.
$$

$\left.\begin{array}{lcccc}0.0566 & -0.924 & -0.299 & -0.274 & -0.0566 \\ 0.240 & -0.469 & 0.0854 & 0.2141 & 0.056 \\ 0.0746 & 0.0854 & -0.009 & 0.0106 & 0.00501 \\ 0.1021 & 0.2141 & 0.0106 & 0.0074 & 0.0024 \\ 0.0237 & 0.056 & 0.0051 & 0.0024 & 0.0005 \\ 0.056 & 1.9035 & 0.3495 & 0.1767 & 0.024 \\ 0.0051 & 0.3495 & 0.480 & 0.3934 & 0.0746 \\ 0.0024 & 0.1767 & 0.3934 & 0.4644 & 0.1021 \\ 0.0005 & 0.024 & 0.0746 & 0.1021 & 0.0237\end{array}\right]$

Using eqn. 6 we can write out the controller

$$
\begin{align*}
u_{1}= & {[-0.707-0.3-0.932-1.28-0.296] x_{1} } \\
& +[-0.701-0.064-0.03-0.006] x_{2}  \tag{43}\\
u_{2}= & {[0.707-0.701-0.064-0.03-0.006] x_{1} } \\
& +[-0.3-0.932-1.28-0.296] x_{2} \tag{44}
\end{align*}
$$

which is exactly the same as in Reference 2 . The physical meanings of the controller were discussed in Reference 2. The $Q$ and $R$ matrixes were varied in Reference 2 to show their effect on the system response (the improvement in damping given by the optimal controller to the system).

## 5 Conclusion

A recursive reduced-order parallel algorithm has been developed for solving the algebraic Riccati equations of dynamic weakly-coupled multiarea electric energy systems. The algorithm is based on the fixed point approach to a small coupling parameter problem, where the small parameter plays the role of the radius of the convergence. It was shown that the algorithm is computationally very efficient in the study of steady-state linear control problems, especially when a high order of accuracy is required.
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## 8 Appendix

8.1 Megawatt-frequency control program of multiarea electric energy systems
The state variable model of the megawatt-frequency control problem of multiarea electric energy systems was developed in References 1 and 2.

The system differential equation is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta P_{t i e i v}=\sum_{v} T_{v}^{*}\left(\int \Delta f_{i} d t-\int \Delta f_{v} d t\right) \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& T_{i v}^{*}=\frac{2 \pi\left|V_{i}\right| V_{v} \mid}{X_{i v} P_{r i}} \cos \left(\delta_{i}^{*}-\delta_{v}^{*}\right)  \tag{46}\\
& \begin{aligned}
\frac{2 H_{i}}{f^{*}} \frac{d}{d t} \Delta f_{i}+D_{i} \Delta f_{i}+\sum_{v} T_{t v}^{*}\left(\int \Delta f_{i} d t-\int \Delta f_{v} d t\right) \\
=\Delta P_{g i}-\Delta P_{d i}
\end{aligned} \\
& \frac{d}{d t} \Delta P_{g i}=-\frac{1}{T_{t i}} \Delta P_{g i}+\frac{1}{T_{t i}} \Delta X_{g v i}  \tag{47}\\
& \frac{d}{d t} \Delta X_{g v i}=-\frac{1}{T_{g v i}} \Delta X_{g v i}-\frac{1}{T_{g v i}} \Delta f_{i}+\frac{1}{T_{g v i}} \Delta P_{c i} \tag{48}
\end{align*}
$$

For a two-area interconnected system the following state and control variables can be defined


System parameters are taken to be
$P_{r 1}=P_{r 2}=2000 \mathrm{MW}$
$H_{1}=H_{2}=5 \mathrm{~s}$
$D_{1}=D_{2}=8.33 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{puMW} / \mathrm{Hz}$
$T_{t 1}=T_{t 2}=0.3 \mathrm{~s}$
$T_{g v 1}=T_{g v 2}=0.08 \mathrm{~s}$
$R_{1}=R_{2}=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz} /$ puMW
$P_{\text {tie } \max }=200 \mathrm{MW}$
$\sigma_{1}^{*}-\sigma_{2}^{*}=30^{\circ}$
$T_{12}^{*}=0.545$ puMW
$\Delta P_{d l}=0.01 \mathrm{puMW}$

