



University of Waterloo

Committee on Student Appeals (UCSA)
Summary of Discipline Cases – 2019-2020

ACADEMIC

NON-ACADEMIC

- Academic or admission fraud
- Altering or falsifying a relevant document
- Cheating
- Contravention of statute
- Impersonation
- Misrepresentation
- Other
- Obtaining confidential academic materials
- Plagiarism
- Theft of intellectual property
- Unauthorized aids or assistance
- Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration
- Unauthorized resubmission of work
- Violation of examination regulations

- Contravention of a statute
- Disruptive, dangerous, aggressive or threatening behaviour
- Infringing unreasonably on the work of others
- Mischief
- Misuse of University resources
- Other
- Theft
- Unauthorized use of equipment
- Unethical behaviour

Description: Cheating

Details: A student made a public posting online that gave away a partial solution for an assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: 5 mark deduction on the assignment.

Description: Cheating

Details: Student was found guilty of cheating on a tutorial as two student's quiz responses were very similar. Student admitted to accessing the other student's responses from online storage. The student was guilty on a previous academic offence however this second offence was treated as a first offence and the student was warned that this leniency will not be extended again.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment; further reduction of 5% deduction from the overall course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: Student was found guilty of cheating because they had several pages of handwritten and typed material, along with pre-written paragraphs for an in-class writing assignment. The instructor provided clear instructions that only point-form notes were allowed and that no pre-written notes were permitted. This is the student's third academic integrity offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment; 10 mark deduction from course grade; one term suspension; continued disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: Student was found guilty of cheating during a midterm exam (reading from a notebook on the student's lap). Student was remorseful and cooperative.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the exam.

Description: Cheating

Details: Student had a solution on a quiz that was verbatim to another student's answer. Student confessed and showed remorse.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 for the specific portion of the quiz; deduction of 3 marks from final course grade.

Description: Cheating

Details: A student was observed looking at their mobile phone during a final exam. The student admitted to looking up an answer. Second offence; student had been previously suspended.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the exam and failure of the course; suspension for term with 100% refund; continued disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: Student was caught with a cheat sheet during their final exam.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the final exam; suspension of one term; disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: Student was found guilty of using an unauthorized aid in the quiz.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the quiz; further reduction of 5% on the overall course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: Student found guilty of cheating during a quiz after they were observed craning their neck to copy off a classmate. The student's quiz answers were proved to be consistent with those of the other classmate sitting next to them. Second offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the quiz; additional 15% deduction on the overall course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: Student was found guilty of cheating after it was discovered that another student had emailed the first student the answers to the quiz. Student had already been penalized on two counts of unauthorized collaboration in the same course.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the quiz; reduction of 10% on the overall course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: Student found guilty of cheating from a project partner's work.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment.

Description: Cheating

Details: Student found guilty of cheating after being observed to be looking at the quiz paper of another student's. Second offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 for the quiz, 10-mark deduction from course grade.

Description: Cheating

Details: Student was observed by three TAs looking at another student's quiz during the last 5 minutes of the quiz. Student admitted fault.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 for the quiz; disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: Student was observed by three TAs to allow another student to look at their quiz during the last 5 minutes of the quiz. Student admitted fault.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 for the quiz; disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: Student copied verbatim an answer on an assignment from a previous student. Student admitted to deliberately copying the answer. Second offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Course failure.

Description: Cheating

Details: Student was found guilty of premeditated cheating on the midterm exam.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the test; failure in the course; one term suspension; disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: Student lied to instructor about an online assignment submission.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment; disciplinary probation; letter of apology; reflective exercise.

Description: Cheating

Details: Student allowed another student to use their iClicker. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on Iclicker component; one term suspension; disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: Student used and admitted to using the iClickers of four other students.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on Iclicker component; -5 from final grade, one term suspension, disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: Student allowed another student to use their iClicker. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on Iclicker component; one term suspension; disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: Student allowed another student to use their iClicker. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on Iclicker component; one term suspension; disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: The student was found to have created two postings on the website TeacherOn, requesting that someone write their exam on their behalf. Based on a balance of probabilities, the student's explanation for the TeacherOn posting in their name was considered improbable given the specifics of the wording in the post. The disciplinary penalty was significantly mitigated in recognition of the student's personal and academic difficulties and that the exam was not written; however, it was noted that the student's judgement in this situation was entirely inappropriate.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: The student was required to complete an essay of a minimum of 1500 words describing their Policy 71 offence and penalty, specify what they learned from their error and describe the potential key benefits of volunteering; disciplinary probation for the duration of the student's undergraduate career.

Description: Cheating

Details: The student copied material during online test. It was also found that the student submitted an essay which was also plagiarized.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 for both elements which will result in failure in the course; disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: Four students were found to have the same solutions to the same two problems in their final exam. All four students admitted to cheating in their response letters.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the final exam; a further deduction of 30% off the final course grade; the course grade not to exceed 35%; student is not permitted to change the course grade to NCR; disciplinary probation. The student was alternatively offered the option to accept the standard penalty, which would effectively result in a one year suspension.

Description: Cheating

Details: Four students were found to have the same solutions to the same two problems in their final exam. All four students admitted to cheating in their response letters.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the final exam; a further deduction of 30% off the final course grade; the course grade not to exceed 35%; student is not permitted to change the course grade to NCR; disciplinary probation. The student was alternatively offered the option to accept the standard penalty, which would effectively result in a one year suspension.

Description: Cheating

Details: Four students were found to have the same solutions to the same two problems in their final exam. All four students admitted to cheating in their response letters.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the final exam; a further deduction of 30% off the final course grade; the course grade not to exceed 35%; student is not permitted to change the course grade to NCR; disciplinary probation. The student was alternatively offered the option to accept the standard penalty, which would effectively result in a one year suspension.

Description: Cheating

Details: Four students were found to have the same solutions to the same two problems in their final exam. All four students admitted to cheating in their response letters.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the final exam; a further deduction of 30% off the final course grade; the course grade not to exceed 35%; student is not permitted to change the course grade to NCR; disciplinary probation. The student was alternatively offered the option to accept the standard penalty, which would effectively result in a one year suspension.

Description: Cheating

Details: Student sent an online message to a former student, offering to pay them to answer exam questions and sent them a copy of the exam.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the exam; failure in the course (with a maximum grade of 46); one-term suspension; disciplinary probation for the duration of the student's undergraduate career.

Description: Cheating

Details: Student's midterm test submission was nearly identical to the submission of another student in the class

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** Not specified

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the test (worth 25% of the final grade); 5% reduction in the final course grade; disciplinary probation for the duration of the student's undergraduate career.

Description: Cheating

Details: Student's midterm test submission was nearly identical to the submission of another student in the class

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 0

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the test (worth 25% of the final grade); 5% reduction in the final course grade; disciplinary probation for the duration of the student's undergraduate career.

Description: Cheating

Details: The student's solution to a question on the final assessment was a solution to a different problem on another student's exam. The student admitted to cheating.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the exam; further deduction of 5% off the overall final course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: Student's solutions to a question in the course's final assessment matched those of another student. Both students admitted to cheating.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assessment; 5% deduction on the overall course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: Student's solutions to a question in the course's final assessment matched those of another student. Both students admitted to cheating.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assessment; 5% deduction on the overall course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: Student's solutions to a question in the course's final assessment was identical to that of another student. Both students admitted to cheating.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assessment; 5% deduction on the overall course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: Student's solutions to a question in the course's final assessment was identical to that of another student. In addition, one of the student's solutions for another question were in the handwriting of a third student. All three students admitted to cheating.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assessment; 5% deduction on the overall course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: The student's handwriting matched the solution to a question on the final assessment that was submitted by another student. The student admitted to cheating.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assessment; 5% deduction on the overall course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: Student was found guilty of cheating on a quiz with another student. Their exams were identical and long answer questions were almost verbatim.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 0 on quiz; additional 5% off final grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: Student was found guilty of cheating on a quiz with another student. Their exams were identical and long answer questions were almost verbatim.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 0 on quiz; additional 5% off final grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: In an online final exam, a student collaborated with others and submitted identical answers. Students were clearly warned not to work together on the online exam.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the exam; failure in the course; one-term suspension; disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: In an online final exam, a student collaborated with others and submitted identical answers. Students were clearly warned not to work together on the online exam.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the exam, failure in the course, and one term suspension, to be served in Fall 2020; disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: In an online final exam, a student collaborated with others and submitted identical answers. Students were clearly warned not to work together on the online exam.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the exam, failure in the course; disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: In an online final exam, the student shared answers with another student. Students were clearly warned not to work together on the online exam.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the exam, failure in the course; disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: In an online final exam, the student submitted an answer provided by another student. Students were clearly warned not to work together on the online exam. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the exam, failure in the course; disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: In an online final exam, the student submitted an answer provided by another student. Students were clearly warned not to work together on the online exam. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the exam, failure in the course; disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: In an online final exam, the student submitted answers provided by another student. Students were clearly warned not to work together on the online exam. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the exam, failure in the course; disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: Student's final exam had solutions to some questions which were virtually identical to those of another student who had been given a different version of the exam. Both students admitted to cheating.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 10% off the overall course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: In an online final exam, the student submitted an answer provided by another student. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the exam, failure in the course; disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: In an online final exam, the student submitted an answer provided by another student. Students were clearly warned not to work together on the online exam. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the exam, failure in the course; one term suspension; disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: Student's final exam had solutions to some questions which were virtually identical to those of two other students, one of whom had a different version of the exam. All three students admitted to cheating.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the exam; deduction of 10% off the overall course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: In an online final exam, the student submitted an answer provided by another student. Students were clearly warned not to work together on the online exam. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the exam, failure in the course; disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: Student's final exam had solutions to some questions which were virtually identical to those of another student.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the exam; further deduction of 5% off the overall course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: In an online final exam, the student submitted an answer provided by another student. Students were clearly warned not to work together on the online exam. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the exam; failure in the course; disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: In an online final exam, the student submitted an answer provided by another student. Students were clearly warned not to work together on the online exam. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment; failure in the course; one term suspension; disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: In an online final exam, the student submitted an answer provided by another student. Students were clearly warned not to work together on the online exam. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the exam; failure in the course; disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: In an online final exam, the student submitted an answer provided by another student. Students were clearly warned not to work together on the online exam. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the exam; failure in the course; disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: In an online final exam, the student submitted an answer provided by another student. Students were clearly warned not to work together on the online exam. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the exam; failure in the course; disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: In an online final exam, the student submitted an answer provided by another student. Students were clearly warned not to work together on the online exam. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the exam; failure in the course; disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: In an online final exam, the student submitted an answer provided by another student. Students were clearly warned not to work together on the online exam. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the exam; failure in the course; disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: In an online final exam, the student submitted an answer provided by another student. Students were clearly warned not to work together on the online exam. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the exam; failure in the course; disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: In an online final exam, the student submitted an answer provided by another student. Students were clearly warned not to work together on the online exam. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the exam; failure in the course; disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: In an online final exam, the student submitted an answer provided by another student. Students were clearly warned not to work together on the online exam. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the exam; further 5 percent reduction in course grade; disciplinary probation

Description: Cheating

Details: In an online final exam, the student submitted an answer provided by another student. Students were clearly warned not to work together on the online exam. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the exam; failure in the course; disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: In an online final exam, the student submitted an answer provided by another student. Students were clearly warned not to work together on the online exam. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: Failure in the course; disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: In an online final exam, the student submitted an answer provided by another student. Students were clearly warned not to work together on the online exam. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the exam; an additional 5% off the course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: In an online final exam, the student submitted an answer provided by another student. Students were clearly warned not to work together on the online exam. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the exam; failure in the course; disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: In an online final exam, the student submitted an answer provided by another student. Students were clearly warned not to work together on the online exam. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the exam; failure in the course; disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: In an online final exam, the student submitted an answer provided by another student. Students were clearly warned not to work together on the online exam. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the exam; failure in the course; disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: In an online final exam, the student submitted an answer provided by another student. Students were clearly warned not to work together on the online exam. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the exam; failure in the course; one term suspension; disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: In an online final exam, the student submitted an answer provided by another student. Students were clearly warned not to work together on the online exam. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the exam; failure in the course; disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: In an online final exam, the student submitted an answer provided by another student. Students were clearly warned not to work together on the online exam. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the exam; failure in the course; disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: In an online final exam, the student submitted an answer provided by another student. Students were clearly warned not to work together on the online exam. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the exam; failure in the course; disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: Two students collaborated on an online final assessment. Second offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the exam; failure in the course; disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: In an online final exam, the student submitted an answer provided by another student. Students were clearly warned not to work together on the online exam. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the exam; failure in the course; disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: In an online final exam, the student submitted an answer provided by another student. Students were clearly warned not to work together on the online exam. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the exam; failure in the course; disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: In an online final exam, the student submitted an answer provided by another student. Students were clearly warned not to work together on the online exam. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the exam; failure in the course; disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: In an online final assessment, a student submitted an answer taken from a website. Second offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assessment; failure in the course; continued disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: Student's solution for a question on the final exam was identical to that of another student. The other student admitted to helping this student.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the final exam; - 5% on the overall course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: A student admitted to helping another student by providing a solution for a question on the final exam.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the final exam; - 5% on the overall course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: A number of solutions on the student's final exam were identical to those of another student. The student admitted to the offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the final exam; - 5% on the overall course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: A number of solutions on the student's final exam were identical to those of another student. The student admitted to the offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the final exam; - 5% on the overall course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: A number of solutions on the student's final exam were identical to those of another student. Second offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the final exam; - 5% on the overall course grade; one term suspension; continued disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: A number of solutions on the student's final exam were identical to those of another student. The student admitted to the offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the final exam; - 5% on the overall course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: A number of solutions on the student's final exam were identical to those of another student. The student admitted to the offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the final exam; - 5% on the overall course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: A number of solutions on the student's final exam were identical to those of another student. The student admitted to the offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the final exam; - 5% on the overall course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: Student paid someone to complete their assignment. First offence. Student admitted to offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the final exam; - 5% on the overall course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: Several answers in the student's final tutorial test submission were similar to the answers posted online or to answers submitted by other students in the course to be considered their own work. This was an online, open-book test for which students were allowed to use only their lecture and tutorial notes as resources. The test included an integrity pledge.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assessment (8% of final course grade); failure in the course (the final grade may be no higher than 46%); one-term suspension; disciplinary probation for the duration of the student's undergraduate career.

Description: Cheating

Details: Several answers in the student's final tutorial test submission were similar to the answers posted online or to answers submitted by other students in the course to be considered their own work. This was an online, open-book test for which students were allowed to use only their lecture and tutorial notes as resources. The test included an integrity pledge.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assessment (8% of final course grade); failure in the course (the final grade may be no higher than 46%); one-term suspension; disciplinary probation for the duration of the student's undergraduate career.

Description: Cheating

Details: Several answers in the student's final tutorial test submission were similar to the answers posted online or to answers submitted by other students in the course to be considered their own work. This was an online, open-book test for which students were allowed to use only their lecture and tutorial notes as resources. The test included an integrity pledge.

Degree: Undergraduate

Year: 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assessment (8% of final course grade); failure in the course (the final grade may be no higher than 46%); one-term suspension; disciplinary probation for the duration of the student's undergraduate career.

Description: Cheating

Details: Several answers in the student's final tutorial test submission were similar to the answers posted online or to answers submitted by other students in the course to be considered their own work. This was an online, open-book test for which students were allowed to use only their lecture and tutorial notes as resources. The test included an integrity pledge.

Degree: Undergraduate

Year: 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assessment (8% of final course grade); failure in the course (the final grade may be no higher than 46%); two-term suspension; disciplinary probation for the duration of the student's undergraduate career.

Description: Cheating

Details: Several answers in the student's final tutorial test submission were similar to the answers posted online or to answers submitted by other students in the course to be considered their own work. This was an online, open-book test for which students were allowed to use only their lecture and tutorial notes as resources. The test included an integrity pledge.

Degree: Undergraduate

Year: 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assessment (8% of final course grade); failure in the course (the final grade may be no higher than 46%); one-term suspension; disciplinary probation for the duration of the student's undergraduate career.

Description: Cheating

Details: Student was found guilty of cheating in an online test, after questions were flagged and found to be nearly identical to answers found online.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the test; course failure; suspension in the student's next academic term.

Description: Cheating

Details: Student was found guilty of cheating in an online test, after questions were flagged and found to be nearly identical to answers found online.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 0 on quiz; 0 on the course; disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: At least one answer in the student's test submission was too similar to the answers posted on the website Chegg.com or to answers submitted by other students in the course to be considered their own work. This was an online, open-book test for which students were allowed to use only their lecture and tutorial notes as resources. The quiz included a Honour pledge.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the test (8% of final grade); failure in the course (maximum grade of 46%); disciplinary probation for the remainder of their undergraduate career.

Description: Cheating

Details: At least one answer in the student's test submission was too similar to the answers posted on the website Chegg.com or to answers submitted by other students in the course to be considered their own work. This was an online, open-book test for which students were allowed to use only their lecture and tutorial notes as resources. The quiz included a Honour pledge.

Degree: Undergraduate

Year: Not specified

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the test (8% of final grade); failure in the course (maximum grade of 46%); disciplinary probation for the remainder of their undergraduate career.

Description: Cheating

Details: At least one answer in the student's test submission was too similar to the answers posted on the website Chegg.com or to answers submitted by other students in the course to be considered their own work. This was an online, open-book test for which students were allowed to use only their lecture and tutorial notes as resources. The quiz included a Honour pledge.

Degree: Undergraduate

Year: 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the test (8% of final grade); failure in the course (maximum grade of 46%); disciplinary probation for the remainder of their undergraduate career.

Description: Cheating

Details: At least one answer in the student's test submission was too similar to the answers posted on the website Chegg.com or to answers submitted by other students in the course to be considered their own work. This was an online, open-book test for which students were allowed to use only their lecture and tutorial notes as resources. The quiz included a Honour pledge.

Degree: Undergraduate

Year: 3

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the test (8% of final grade); failure in the course (maximum grade of 46%); disciplinary probation for the remainder of their undergraduate career.

Description: Cheating

Details: At least one answer in the student's test submission was too similar to the answers posted on the website Chegg.com or to answers submitted by other students in the course to be considered their own work. This was an online, open-book test for which students were allowed to use only their lecture and tutorial notes as resources. The quiz included a Honour pledge.

Degree: Undergraduate

Year: 3

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the test (8% of final grade); failure in the course (maximum grade of 46%); disciplinary probation for the remainder of their undergraduate career.

Description: Cheating

Details: At least one answer in the student's test submission was too similar to the answers posted on the website Chegg.com or to answers submitted by other students in the course to be considered their own work. This was an online, open-book test for which students were allowed to use only their lecture and tutorial notes as resources. The quiz included a Honour pledge.

Degree: Undergraduate

Year: 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the test (8% of final grade); failure in the course (maximum grade of 46%); disciplinary probation for the remainder of their undergraduate career.

Description: Cheating

Details: At least one answer in the student's test submission was too similar to the answers posted on the website Chegg.com or to answers submitted by other students in the course to be considered their own work. This was an online, open-book test for which students were allowed to use only their lecture and tutorial notes as resources. The quiz included a Honour pledge.

Degree: Undergraduate

Year: 3

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the test (8% of final grade); failure in the course (maximum grade of 46%); disciplinary probation for the remainder of their undergraduate career.

Description: Cheating

Details: At least one answer in the student's test submission was too similar to the answers posted on the website Chegg.com or to answers submitted by other students in the course to be considered their own work. This was an online, open-book test for which students were allowed to use only their lecture and tutorial notes as resources. The quiz included a Honour pledge.

Degree: Undergraduate

Year: 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the test (8% of final grade); failure in the course (maximum grade of 46%); disciplinary probation for the remainder of their undergraduate career.

Description: Cheating

Details: At least one answer in the student's test submission was too similar to the answers posted on the website Chegg.com or to answers submitted by other students in the course to be considered their own work. This was an online, open-book test for which students were allowed to use only their lecture and tutorial notes as resources. The quiz included a Honour pledge.

Degree: Undergraduate

Year: 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the test (8% of final grade); failure in the course (maximum grade of 46%); disciplinary probation for the remainder of their undergraduate career.

Description: Cheating

Details: At least one answer in the student's test submission was too similar to the answers posted on the website Chegg.com or to answers submitted by other students in the course to be considered their own work. This was an online, open-book test for which students were allowed to use only their lecture and tutorial notes as resources. The quiz included a Honour pledge.

Degree: Undergraduate

Year: 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the test (8% of final grade); failure in the course (maximum grade of 46%); disciplinary probation for the remainder of their undergraduate career.

Description: Cheating

Details: At least one answer in the student's test submission was too similar to the answers posted on the website Chegg.com or to answers submitted by other students in the course to be considered their own work. This was an online, open-book test for which students were allowed to use only their lecture and tutorial notes as resources. The quiz included a Honour pledge.

Degree: Undergraduate

Year: 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the test (8% of final grade); failure in the course (maximum grade of 46%); disciplinary probation for the remainder of their undergraduate career.

Description: Cheating

Details: At least one answer in the student's test submission was too similar to the answers posted on the website Chegg.com or to answers submitted by other students in the course to be considered their own work. This was an online, open-book test for which students were allowed to use only their lecture and tutorial notes as resources. The quiz included a Honour pledge.

Degree: Undergraduate

Year: 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the test (8% of final grade); failure in the course (maximum grade of 46%); disciplinary probation for the remainder of their undergraduate career.

Description: Cheating

Details: Student's final exam submission contained several of the same idiosyncratic and unusual errors as other students in the class. The similarities suggested that their submission was not their own work. To access the final exam, students were required to view a landing page that included an academic integrity declaration.

Degree: Undergraduate

Year: 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the exam; course failure; one term suspension; disciplinary probation for the duration of the student's undergraduate career.

Description: Cheating

Details: Student's final exam submission contained several of the same idiosyncratic and unusual errors as other students in the class. The similarities suggested that their submission was not their own work. To access the final exam, students were required to view a landing page that included an academic integrity declaration.

Degree: Undergraduate

Year: 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the exam; course failure; one term suspension; disciplinary probation for the duration of the student's undergraduate career.

Description: Cheating

Details: Student's final exam submission contained several of the same idiosyncratic and unusual errors as other students in the class. The similarities suggested that their submission was not their own work. To access the final exam, students were required to view a landing page that included an academic integrity declaration.

Degree: Undergraduate

Year: 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the exam; course failure; one term suspension; disciplinary probation for the duration of the student's undergraduate career.

Description: Cheating

Details: Student's final exam submission contained several of the same idiosyncratic and unusual errors as other students in the class. The similarities suggested that their submission was not their own work. To access the final exam, students were required to view a landing page that included an academic integrity declaration.

Degree: Undergraduate

Year: 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the exam; course failure; one term suspension; disciplinary probation for the duration of the student's undergraduate career.

Description: Cheating

Details: Several answers in the student's test submission were found to be too similar to the answers posted on the website Chegg.com or to answers submitted by other students in the course. This was an online, open-book test for which the student was allowed to use only their lecture and tutorial notes as resources. The test included an Honour pledge that students were required to sign.

Degree: Undergraduate

Year: 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the test (8% of final course grade); failure in the course (the final grade may be no higher than 46%); one-term suspension; disciplinary probation for the duration of the student's undergraduate career.

Description: Cheating

Details: Several answers in the student's test submission were found to be too similar to the answers posted on the website Chegg.com or to answers submitted by other students in the course. This was an online, open-book test for which the student was allowed to use only their lecture and tutorial notes as resources. The test included an Honour pledge that students were required to sign.

Degree: Undergraduate

Year: 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the test (8% of final course grade); failure in the course (the final grade may be no higher than 46%); one-term suspension; disciplinary probation for the duration of the student's undergraduate career.

Description: Cheating

Details: At least one answer in the student's test submission was found to be too similar to the answers posted on the website Chegg.com or to answers submitted by other students in the course to be considered their own work. This was an online, open-book test for which students were allowed to use only their lecture and tutorial notes as resources. The quiz included a Honour pledge.

Degree: Undergraduate

Year: 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the test (8% of final grade); failure in the course (maximum grade of 46%); disciplinary probation for the remainder of their undergraduate career.

Description: Cheating

Details: At least one answer in the student's test submission was found to be too similar to the answers posted on the website Chegg.com or to answers submitted by other students in the course to be considered their own work. This was an online, open-book test for which students were allowed to use only their lecture and tutorial notes as resources. The quiz included a Honour pledge.

Degree: Undergraduate

Year: 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the test (8% of final grade); failure in the course (maximum grade of 46%); disciplinary probation for the remainder of their undergraduate career.

Description: Cheating

Details: At least one answer in the student's test submission was found to be too similar to the answers posted on the website Chegg.com or to answers submitted by other students in the course to be considered their own work. This was an online, open-book test for which students were allowed to use only their lecture and tutorial notes as resources. The quiz included a Honour pledge.

Degree: Undergraduate

Year: 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the test (8% of final grade); failure in the course (maximum grade of 46%); disciplinary probation for the remainder of their undergraduate career.

Description: Cheating

Details: At least one answer in the student's test submission was found to be too similar to the answers posted on the website Chegg.com or to answers submitted by other students in the course to be considered their own work. This was an online, open-book test for which students were allowed to use only their lecture and tutorial notes as resources. The quiz included a Honour pledge.

Degree: Undergraduate

Year: 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the test (8% of final grade); failure in the course (maximum grade of 46%); disciplinary probation for the remainder of their undergraduate career.

Description: Cheating

Details: At least one answer in the student's test submission was found to be too similar to the answers posted on the website Chegg.com or to answers submitted by other students in the course to be considered their own work. This was an online, open-book test for which students were allowed to use only their lecture and tutorial notes as resources. The quiz included a Honour pledge.

Degree: Undergraduate

Year: 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the test (8% of final grade); failure in the course (maximum grade of 46%); disciplinary probation for the remainder of their undergraduate career.

Description: Cheating

Details: At least one answer in the student's test submission was found to be too similar to the answers posted on the website Chegg.com or to answers submitted by other students in the course to be considered their own work. This was an online, open-book test for which students were allowed to use only their lecture and tutorial notes as resources. The quiz included a Honour pledge.

Degree: Undergraduate

Year: 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the test (8% of final grade); failure in the course (maximum grade of 46%); disciplinary probation for the remainder of their undergraduate career.

Description: Cheating

Details: At least one answer in the student's test submission was found to be too similar to the answers posted on the website Chegg.com or to answers submitted by other students in the course to be considered their own work. This was an online, open-book test for which students were allowed to use only their lecture and tutorial notes as resources. The quiz included a Honour pledge.

Degree: Undergraduate

Year: 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the test (8% of final grade); failure in the course (maximum grade of 46%); disciplinary probation for the remainder of their undergraduate career.

Description: Cheating

Details: At least one answer in the student's test submission was found to be too similar to the answers posted on the website Chegg.com or to answers submitted by other students in the course to be considered their own work. This was an online, open-book test for which students were allowed to use only their lecture and tutorial notes as resources. The quiz included a Honour pledge.

Degree: Undergraduate

Year: 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the test (8% of final grade); failure in the course (maximum grade of 46%); disciplinary probation for the remainder of their undergraduate career.

Description: Cheating

Details: At least one answer in the student's test submission was found to be too similar to the answers posted on the website Chegg.com or to answers submitted by other students in the course to be considered their own work. This was an online, open-book test for which students were allowed to use only their lecture and tutorial notes as resources. The quiz included a Honour pledge.

Degree: Undergraduate

Year: 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the test (8% of final grade); failure in the course (maximum grade of 46%); disciplinary probation for the remainder of their undergraduate career.

Description: Cheating

Details: At least one answer in the student's test submission was found to be too similar to the answers posted on the website Chegg.com or to answers submitted by other students in the course to be considered their own work. This was an online, open-book test for which students were allowed to use only their lecture and tutorial notes as resources. The quiz included a Honour pledge.

Degree: Undergraduate

Year: 3

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the test (8% of final grade); failure in the course (maximum grade of 46%); disciplinary probation for the remainder of their undergraduate career.

Description: Cheating

Details: At least one answer in the student's test submission was found to be too similar to the answers posted on the website Chegg.com or to answers submitted by other students in the course to be considered their own work. This was an online, open-book test for which students were allowed to use only their lecture and tutorial notes as resources. The quiz included a Honour pledge.

Degree: Undergraduate

Year: 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the test (8% of final grade); failure in the course (maximum grade of 46%); disciplinary probation for the remainder of their undergraduate career.

Description: Cheating

Details: At least one answer in the student's test submission was found to be too similar to the answers posted on the website Chegg.com or to answers submitted by other students in the course to be considered their own work. This was an online, open-book test for which students were allowed to use only their lecture and tutorial notes as resources. The quiz included a Honour pledge.

Degree: Undergraduate

Year: 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the test (8% of final grade); failure in the course (maximum grade of 46%); disciplinary probation for the remainder of their undergraduate career.

Description: Cheating

Details: At least one answer in the student's test submission was found to be too similar to the answers posted on the website Chegg.com or to answers submitted by other students in the course to be considered their own work. This was an online, open-book test for which students were allowed to use only their lecture and tutorial notes as resources. The quiz included a Honour pledge.

Degree: Undergraduate

Year: 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the test (8% of final grade); failure in the course (maximum grade of 46%); disciplinary probation for the remainder of their undergraduate career.

Description: Cheating

Details: At least one answer in the student's test submission was found to be too similar to the answers posted on the website Chegg.com or to answers submitted by other students in the course to be considered their own work. This was an online, open-book test for which students were allowed to use only their lecture and tutorial notes as resources. The quiz included a Honour pledge.

Degree: Undergraduate

Year: 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the test (8% of final grade); failure in the course (maximum grade of 46%); disciplinary probation for the remainder of their undergraduate career.

Description: Cheating

Details: At least one answer in the student's test submission was found to be too similar to the answers posted on the website Chegg.com or to answers submitted by other students in the course to be considered their own work. This was an online, open-book test for which students were allowed to use only their lecture and tutorial notes as resources. The quiz included a Honour pledge.

Degree: Undergraduate

Year: 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the test (8% of final grade); failure in the course (maximum grade of 46%); disciplinary probation for the remainder of their undergraduate career.

Description: Cheating

Details: At least one answer in the student's test submission was found to be too similar to the answers posted on the website Chegg.com or to answers submitted by other students in the course to be considered their own work. This was an online, open-book test for which students were allowed to use only their lecture and tutorial notes as resources. The quiz included a Honour pledge.

Degree: Undergraduate

Year: 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the test (8% of final grade); failure in the course (maximum grade of 46%); disciplinary probation for the remainder of their undergraduate career.

Description: Cheating

Details: At least one answer in the student's test submission was found to be too similar to the answers posted on the website Chegg.com or to answers submitted by other students in the course to be considered their own work. This was an online, open-book test for which students were allowed to use only their lecture and tutorial notes as resources. The quiz included a Honour pledge.

Degree: Undergraduate

Year: 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the test (8% of final grade); failure in the course (maximum grade of 46%); disciplinary probation for the remainder of their undergraduate career.

Description: Cheating

Details: At least one answer in the student's test submission was found to be too similar to the answers posted on the website Chegg.com or to answers submitted by other students in the course to be considered their own work. This was an online, open-book test for which students were allowed to use only their lecture and tutorial notes as resources. The quiz included a Honour pledge.

Degree: Undergraduate

Year: 4

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the test (8% of final grade); failure in the course (maximum grade of 46%); disciplinary probation for the remainder of their undergraduate career.

Description: Cheating

Details: At least one answer in the student's test submission was found to be too similar to the answers posted on the website Chegg.com or to answers submitted by other students in the course to be considered their own work. This was an online, open-book test for which students were allowed to use only their lecture and tutorial notes as resources. The quiz included a Honour pledge.

Degree: Undergraduate

Year: 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the test (8% of final grade); failure in the course (maximum grade of 46%); disciplinary probation for the remainder of their undergraduate career.

Description: Cheating

Details: At least one answer in the student's test submission was found to be too similar to the answers posted on the website Chegg.com or to answers submitted by other students in the course to be considered their own work. This was an online, open-book test for which students were allowed to use only their lecture and tutorial notes as resources. The quiz included a Honour pledge.

Degree: Undergraduate

Year: 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the test (8% of final grade); failure in the course (maximum grade of 46%); disciplinary probation for the remainder of their undergraduate career.

Description: Cheating

Details: At least one answer in the student's test submission was found to be too similar to the answers posted on the website Chegg.com or to answers submitted by other students in the course to be considered their own work. This was an online, open-book test for which students were allowed to use only their lecture and tutorial notes as resources. The quiz included a Honour pledge.

Degree: Undergraduate

Year: 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the test (8% of final grade); failure in the course (maximum grade of 46%); disciplinary probation for the remainder of their undergraduate career.

Description: Cheating

Details: At least one answer in the student's test submission was found to be too similar to the answers posted on the website Chegg.com or to answers submitted by other students in the course to be considered their own work. This was an online, open-book test for which students were allowed to use only their lecture and tutorial notes as resources. The quiz included a Honour pledge.

Degree: Undergraduate

Year: 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the test (8% of final grade); failure in the course (maximum grade of 46%); disciplinary probation for the remainder of their undergraduate career.

Description: Cheating

Details: At least one answer in the student's test submission was found to be too similar to the answers posted on the website Chegg.com or to answers submitted by other students in the course to be considered their own work. This was an online, open-book test for which students were allowed to use only their lecture and tutorial notes as resources. The quiz included a Honour pledge.

Degree: Undergraduate

Year: 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the test (8% of final grade); failure in the course (maximum grade of 46%); disciplinary probation for the remainder of their undergraduate career.

Description: Cheating

Details: At least one answer in the student's test submission was found to be too similar to the answers posted on the website Chegg.com or to answers submitted by other students in the course to be considered their own work. This was an online, open-book test for which students were allowed to use only their lecture and tutorial notes as resources. The quiz included a Honour pledge.

Degree: Undergraduate

Year: 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the test (8% of final grade); failure in the course (maximum grade of 46%); disciplinary probation for the remainder of their undergraduate career.

Description: Cheating

Details: At least one answer in the student's test submission was found to be too similar to the answers posted on the website Chegg.com or to answers submitted by other students in the course to be considered their own work. This was an online, open-book test for which students were allowed to use only their lecture and tutorial notes as resources. The quiz included a Honour pledge.

Degree: Undergraduate

Year: 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the test (8% of final grade); failure in the course (maximum grade of 46%); disciplinary probation for the remainder of their undergraduate career.

Description: Cheating

Details: At least one answer in the student's test submission was found to be too similar to the answers posted on the website Chegg.com or to answers submitted by other students in the course to be considered their own work. This was an online, open-book test for which students were allowed to use only their lecture and tutorial notes as resources. The quiz included a Honour pledge.

Degree: Undergraduate

Year: 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the test (8% of final grade); failure in the course (maximum grade of 46%); disciplinary probation for the remainder of their undergraduate career.

Description: Cheating

Details: At least one answer in the student's test submission was found to be too similar to the answers posted on the website Chegg.com or to answers submitted by other students in the course to be considered their own work. This was an online, open-book test for which students were allowed to use only their lecture and tutorial notes as resources. The quiz included a Honour pledge.

Degree: Undergraduate

Year: 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the test (8% of final grade); failure in the course (maximum grade of 46%); disciplinary probation for the remainder of their undergraduate career.

Description: Cheating

Details: At least one answer in the student's test submission was found to be too similar to the answers posted on the website Chegg.com or to answers submitted by other students in the course to be considered their own work. This was an online, open-book test for which students were allowed to use only their lecture and tutorial notes as resources. The quiz included a Honour pledge.

Degree: Undergraduate

Year: 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the test (8% of final grade); failure in the course (maximum grade of 46%); disciplinary probation for the remainder of their undergraduate career.

Description: Cheating

Details: At least one answer in the student's test submission was found to be too similar to the answers posted on the website Chegg.com or to answers submitted by other students in the course to be considered their own work. This was an online, open-book test for which students were allowed to use only their lecture and tutorial notes as resources. The quiz included a Honour pledge.

Degree: Undergraduate

Year: 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the test (8% of final grade); failure in the course (maximum grade of 46%); disciplinary probation for the remainder of their undergraduate career.

Description: Cheating

Details: At least one answer in the student's test submission was found to be too similar to the answers posted on the website Chegg.com or to answers submitted by other students in the course to be considered their own work. This was an online, open-book test for which students were allowed to use only their lecture and tutorial notes as resources. The quiz included a Honour pledge.

Degree: Undergraduate

Year: 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the test (8% of final grade); failure in the course (maximum grade of 46%); disciplinary probation for the remainder of their undergraduate career.

Description: Cheating

Details: At least one answer in the student's test submission was found to be too similar to the answers posted on the website Chegg.com or to answers submitted by other students in the course to be considered their own work. This was an online, open-book test for which students were allowed to use only their lecture and tutorial notes as resources. The quiz included a Honour pledge.

Degree: Undergraduate

Year: 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the test (8% of final grade); failure in the course (maximum grade of 46%); disciplinary probation for the remainder of their undergraduate career.

Description: Cheating

Details: At least one answer in the student's test submission was found to be too similar to the answers posted on the website Chegg.com or to answers submitted by other students in the course to be considered their own work. This was an online, open-book test for which students were allowed to use only their lecture and tutorial notes as resources. The quiz included a Honour pledge.

Degree: Undergraduate

Year: 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the test (8% of final grade); failure in the course (maximum grade of 46%); disciplinary probation for the remainder of their undergraduate career.

Description: Cheating

Details: At least one answer in the student's test submission was found to be too similar to the answers posted on the website Chegg.com or to answers submitted by other students in the course to be considered their own work. This was an online, open-book test for which students were allowed to use only their lecture and tutorial notes as resources. The quiz included a Honour pledge.

Degree: Undergraduate

Year: 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the test (8% of final grade); failure in the course (maximum grade of 46%); disciplinary probation for the remainder of their undergraduate career.

Description: Cheating

Details: At least one answer in the student's test submission was found to be too similar to the answers posted on the website Chegg.com or to answers submitted by other students in the course to be considered their own work. This was an online, open-book test for which students were allowed to use only their lecture and tutorial notes as resources. The quiz included a Honour pledge.

Degree: Undergraduate

Year: 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the test (8% of final grade); failure in the course (maximum grade of 46%); disciplinary probation for the remainder of their undergraduate career.

Description: Cheating

Details: At least one answer in the student's test submission was found to be too similar to the answers posted on the website Chegg.com or to answers submitted by other students in the course to be considered their own work. This was an online, open-book test for which students were allowed to use only their lecture and tutorial notes as resources. The quiz included a Honour pledge.

Degree: Undergraduate

Year: 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the test (8% of final grade); failure in the course (maximum grade of 46%); disciplinary probation for the remainder of their undergraduate career.

Description: Cheating

Details: At least one answer in the student's test submission was found to be too similar to the answers posted on the website Chegg.com or to answers submitted by other students in the course to be considered their own work. This was an online, open-book test for which students were allowed to use only their lecture and tutorial notes as resources. The quiz included a Honour pledge.

Degree: Undergraduate

Year: 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the test (8% of final grade); failure in the course (maximum grade of 46%); disciplinary probation for the remainder of their undergraduate career.

Description: Cheating

Details: At least one answer in the student's test submission was found to be too similar to the answers posted on the website Chegg.com or to answers submitted by other students in the course to be considered their own work. This was an online, open-book test for which students were allowed to use only their lecture and tutorial notes as resources. The quiz included a Honour pledge.

Degree: Undergraduate

Year: 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the test (8% of final grade); failure in the course (maximum grade of 46%); disciplinary probation for the remainder of their undergraduate career.

Description: Cheating

Details: At least one answer in the student's test submission was found to be too similar to the answers posted on the website Chegg.com or to answers submitted by other students in the course to be considered their own work. This was an online, open-book test for which students were allowed to use only their lecture and tutorial notes as resources. The quiz included a Honour pledge.

Degree: Undergraduate

Year: 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the test (8% of final grade); failure in the course (maximum grade of 46%); disciplinary probation for the remainder of their undergraduate career.

Description: Cheating

Details: At least one answer in the student's test submission was found to be too similar to the answers posted on the website Chegg.com or to answers submitted by other students in the course to be considered their own work. This was an online, open-book test for which students were allowed to use only their lecture and tutorial notes as resources. The quiz included a Honour pledge.

Degree: Undergraduate

Year: 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the test (8% of final grade); failure in the course (maximum grade of 46%); disciplinary probation for the remainder of their undergraduate career.

Description: Cheating

Details: At least one answer in the student's test submission was found to be too similar to the answers posted on the website Chegg.com or to answers submitted by other students in the course to be considered their own work. This was an online, open-book test for which students were allowed to use only their lecture and tutorial notes as resources. The quiz included a Honour pledge.

Degree: Undergraduate

Year: 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the test (8% of final grade); failure in the course (maximum grade of 46%); disciplinary probation for the remainder of their undergraduate career

Description: Cheating

Details: At least one answer in the student's test submission was found to be too similar to the answers posted on the website Chegg.com or to answers submitted by other students in the course to be considered their own work. This was an online, open-book test for which students were allowed to use only their lecture and tutorial notes as resources. The quiz included a Honour pledge.

Degree: Undergraduate

Year: 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the test (8% of final grade); failure in the course (maximum grade of 46%); disciplinary probation for the remainder of their undergraduate career

Description: Cheating

Details: At least one answer in the student's test submission was found to be too similar to the answers posted on the website Chegg.com or to answers submitted by other students in the course to be considered their own work. This was an online, open-book test for which students were allowed to use only their lecture and tutorial notes as resources. The quiz included a Honour pledge.

Degree: Undergraduate

Year: 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the test (8% of final grade); failure in the course (maximum grade of 46%); disciplinary probation for the remainder of their undergraduate career.

Description: Cheating

Details: At least one answer in the student's test submission was found to be too similar to the answers posted on the website Chegg.com or to answers submitted by other students in the course to be considered their own work. This was an online, open-book test for which students were allowed to use only their lecture and tutorial notes as resources. The quiz included a Honour pledge.

Degree: Undergraduate

Year: 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the test (8% of final grade); failure in the course (maximum grade of 46%); disciplinary probation for the remainder of their undergraduate career.

Description: Cheating

Details: At least one answer in the student's test submission was found to be too similar to the answers posted on the website Chegg.com or to answers submitted by other students in the course to be considered their own work. This was an online, open-book test for which students were allowed to use only their lecture and tutorial notes as resources. The quiz included a Honour pledge.

Degree: Undergraduate

Year: 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the test (8% of final grade); failure in the course (maximum grade of 46%); disciplinary probation for the remainder of their undergraduate career.

Description: Cheating

Details: At least one answer in the student's test submission was found to be too similar to the answers posted on the website Chegg.com or to answers submitted by other students in the course to be considered their own work. This was an online, open-book test for which students were allowed to use only their lecture and tutorial notes as resources. The quiz included a Honour pledge.

Degree: Undergraduate

Year: 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the test (8% of final grade); failure in the course (maximum grade of 46%); disciplinary probation for the remainder of their undergraduate career.

Description: Cheating

Details: At least one answer in the student's test submission was found to be too similar to the answers posted on the website Chegg.com or to answers submitted by other students in the course to be considered their own work. This was an online, open-book test for which students were allowed to use only their lecture and tutorial notes as resources. The quiz included a Honour pledge.

Degree: Undergraduate

Year: 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the test (8% of final grade); failure in the course (maximum grade of 46%); disciplinary probation for the remainder of their undergraduate career.

Description: Cheating

Details: At least one answer in the student's test submission was found to be too similar to the answers posted on the website Chegg.com or to answers submitted by other students in the course to be considered their own work. This was an online, open-book test for which students were allowed to use only their lecture and tutorial notes as resources. The quiz included a Honour pledge.

Degree: Undergraduate

Year: 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the test (8% of final grade); failure in the course (maximum grade of 46%); disciplinary probation for the remainder of their undergraduate career.

Description: Cheating

Details: At least one answer in the student's test submission was found to be too similar to the answers posted on the website Chegg.com or to answers submitted by other students in the course to be considered their own work. This was an online, open-book test for which students were allowed to use only their lecture and tutorial notes as resources. The quiz included a Honour pledge.

Degree: Undergraduate

Year: 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the test (8% of final grade); failure in the course (maximum grade of 46%); disciplinary probation for the remainder of their undergraduate career.

Description: Cheating

Details: At least one answer in the student's test submission was found to be too similar to the answers posted on the website Chegg.com or to answers submitted by other students in the course to be considered their own work. This was an online, open-book test for which students were allowed to use only their lecture and tutorial notes as resources. The quiz included a Honour pledge.

Degree: Undergraduate

Year: 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the test (8% of final grade); failure in the course (maximum grade of 46%); disciplinary probation for the remainder of their undergraduate career.

Description: Cheating

Details: At least one answer in the student's test submission was found to be too similar to the answers posted on the website Chegg.com or to answers submitted by other students in the course to be considered their own work. This was an online, open-book test for which students were allowed to use only their lecture and tutorial notes as resources. The quiz included a Honour pledge.

Degree: Undergraduate

Year: 3

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the test (8% of final grade); failure in the course (maximum grade of 46%); disciplinary probation for the remainder of their undergraduate career.

Description: Cheating

Details: At least one answer in the student's test submission was found to be too similar to the answers posted on the website Chegg.com or to answers submitted by other students in the course to be considered their own work. This was an online, open-book test for which students were allowed to use only their lecture and tutorial notes as resources. The quiz included a Honour pledge.

Degree: Undergraduate

Year: 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the test (8% of final grade); failure in the course (maximum grade of 46%); disciplinary probation for the remainder of their undergraduate career.

Description: Cheating

Details: At least one answer in the student's test submission was found to be too similar to the answers posted on the website Chegg.com or to answers submitted by other students in the course to be considered their own work. This was an online, open-book test for which students were allowed to use only their lecture and tutorial notes as resources. The quiz included a Honour pledge.

Degree: Undergraduate

Year: 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the test (8% of final grade); failure in the course (maximum grade of 46%); disciplinary probation for the remainder of their undergraduate career.

Description: Cheating

Details: At least one answer in the student's test submission was found to be too similar to the answers posted on the website Chegg.com or to answers submitted by other students in the course to be considered their own work. This was an online, open-book test for which students were allowed to use only their lecture and tutorial notes as resources. The quiz included a Honour pledge.

Degree: Undergraduate

Year: 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the test (8% of final grade); failure in the course (maximum grade of 46%); disciplinary probation for the remainder of their undergraduate career.

Description: Cheating

Details: At least one answer in the student's test submission was found to be too similar to the answers posted on the website Chegg.com or to answers submitted by other students in the course to be considered their own work. This was an online, open-book test for which students were allowed to use only their lecture and tutorial notes as resources. The quiz included a Honour pledge.

Degree: Undergraduate

Year: 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the test (8% of final grade); failure in the course (maximum grade of 46%); disciplinary probation for the remainder of their undergraduate career.

Description: Cheating

Details: At least one answer in the student's test submission was found to be too similar to the answers posted on the website Chegg.com or to answers submitted by other students in the course to be considered their own work. This was an online, open-book test for which students were allowed to use only their lecture and tutorial notes as resources. The quiz included a Honour pledge.

Degree: Undergraduate

Year: 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the test (8% of final grade); failure in the course (maximum grade of 46%); disciplinary probation for the remainder of their undergraduate career.

Description: Cheating

Details: At least one answer in the student's test submission was found to be too similar to the answers posted on the website Chegg.com or to answers submitted by other students in the course to be considered their own work. This was an online, open-book test for which students were allowed to use only their lecture and tutorial notes as resources. The quiz included a Honour pledge.

Degree: Undergraduate

Year: 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the test (8% of final grade); failure in the course (maximum grade of 46%); disciplinary probation for the remainder of their undergraduate career.

Description: Cheating

Details: At least one answer in the student's test submission was found to be too similar to the answers posted on the website Chegg.com or to answers submitted by other students in the course to be considered their own work. This was an online, open-book test for which students were allowed to use only their lecture and tutorial notes as resources. The quiz included a Honour pledge.

Degree: Undergraduate

Year: 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the test (8% of final grade); failure in the course (maximum grade of 46%); disciplinary probation for the remainder of their undergraduate career.

Description: Cheating

Details: At least one answer in the student's test submission was found to be too similar to the answers posted on the website Chegg.com or to answers submitted by other students in the course to be considered their own work. This was an online, open-book test for which students were allowed to use only their lecture and tutorial notes as resources. The quiz included a Honour pledge.

Degree: Undergraduate

Year: 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the test (8% of final grade); failure in the course (maximum grade of 46%); disciplinary probation for the remainder of their undergraduate career.

Description: Cheating

Details: At least one answer in the student's test submission was found to be too similar to the answers posted on the website Chegg.com or to answers submitted by other students in the course to be considered their own work. This was an online, open-book test for which students were allowed to use only their lecture and tutorial notes as resources. The quiz included a Honour pledge.

Degree: Undergraduate

Year: 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the test (8% of final grade); failure in the course (maximum grade of 46%); disciplinary probation for the remainder of their undergraduate career.

Description: Cheating

Details: At least one answer in the student's test submission was found to be too similar to the answers posted on the website Chegg.com or to answers submitted by other students in the course to be considered their own work. This was an online, open-book test for which students were allowed to use only their lecture and tutorial notes as resources. The quiz included a Honour pledge.

Degree: Undergraduate

Year: 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the test (8% of final grade); failure in the course (maximum grade of 46%); disciplinary probation for the remainder of their undergraduate career.

Description: Cheating

Details: At least one answer in the student's test submission was found to be too similar to the answers posted on the website Chegg.com or to answers submitted by other students in the course to be considered their own work. This was an online, open-book test for which students were allowed to use only their lecture and tutorial notes as resources. The quiz included a Honour pledge.

Degree: Undergraduate

Year: 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the test (8% of final grade); failure in the course (maximum grade of 46%); disciplinary probation for the remainder of their undergraduate career.

Description: Cheating

Details: At least one answer in the student's test submission was found to be too similar to the answers posted on the website Chegg.com or to answers submitted by other students in the course to be considered their own work. This was an online, open-book test for which students were allowed to use only their lecture and tutorial notes as resources. The quiz included a Honour pledge.

Degree: Undergraduate

Year: 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the test (8% of final grade); failure in the course (maximum grade of 46%); disciplinary probation for the remainder of their undergraduate career.

Description: Cheating

Details: At least one answer in the student's test submission was found to be too similar to the answers posted on the website Chegg.com or to answers submitted by other students in the course to be considered their own work. This was an online, open-book test for which students were allowed to use only their lecture and tutorial notes as resources. The quiz included a Honour pledge.

Degree: Undergraduate

Year: 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the test (8% of final grade); failure in the course (maximum grade of 46%); disciplinary probation for the remainder of their undergraduate career.

Description: Cheating

Details: At least one answer in the student's test submission was found to be too similar to the answers posted on the website Chegg.com or to answers submitted by other students in the course to be considered their own work. This was an online, open-book test for which students were allowed to use only their lecture and tutorial notes as resources. The quiz included a Honour pledge.

Degree: Undergraduate

Year: 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the test (8% of final grade); failure in the course (maximum grade of 46%); disciplinary probation for the remainder of their undergraduate career.

Description: Cheating

Details: At least one answer in the student's test submission was found to be too similar to the answers posted on the website Chegg.com or to answers submitted by other students in the course to be considered their own work. This was an online, open-book test for which students were allowed to use only their lecture and tutorial notes as resources. The quiz included a Honour pledge.

Degree: Undergraduate

Year: 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the test (8% of final grade); failure in the course (maximum grade of 46%); disciplinary probation for the remainder of their undergraduate career.

Description: Cheating

Details: At least one answer in the student's test submission was found to be too similar to the answers posted on the website Chegg.com or to answers submitted by other students in the course to be considered their own work. This was an online, open-book test for which students were allowed to use only their lecture and tutorial notes as resources. The quiz included a Honour pledge.

Degree: Undergraduate

Year: 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the test (8% of final grade); failure in the course (maximum grade of 46%); disciplinary probation for the remainder of their undergraduate career.

Description: Cheating

Details: At least one answer in the student's test submission was too similar to the answers posted on the website Chegg.com or to answers submitted by other students in the course to be considered their own work. This was an online, open-book test for which students were allowed to use only their lecture and tutorial notes as resources. The quiz included a Honour pledge.

Degree: Undergraduate

Year: 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the test (8% of final grade); failure in the course (maximum grade of 46%); disciplinary probation for the remainder of their undergraduate career

Description: Cheating

Details: At least one answer in the student's test submission was too similar to the answers posted on the website Chegg.com or to answers submitted by other students in the course to be considered their own work. This was an online, open-book test for which students were allowed to use only their lecture and tutorial notes as resources. The quiz included a Honour pledge.

Degree: Undergraduate

Year: 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the test (8% of final grade); failure in the course (maximum grade of 46%); disciplinary probation for the remainder of the student's undergraduate career.

Description: Cheating

Details: At least one answer in the student's test submission was too similar to the answers posted on the website Chegg.com or to answers submitted by other students in the course to be considered their own work. This was an online, open-book test for which students were allowed to use only their lecture and tutorial notes as resources. The quiz included a Honour pledge.

Degree: Undergraduate

Year: 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the test (8% of final grade); failure in the course (maximum grade of 46%); disciplinary probation for the remainder of the student's undergraduate career.

Description: Cheating

Details: At least one answer in the student's test submission was too similar to the answers posted on the website Chegg.com or to answers submitted by other students in the course to be considered their own work. This was an online, open-book test for which students were allowed to use only their lecture and tutorial notes as resources. The quiz included a Honour pledge.

Degree: Undergraduate

Year: 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the test (8% of final grade); failure in the course (maximum grade of 46%); disciplinary probation for the remainder of the student's undergraduate career.

Description: Cheating

Details: At least one answer in the student's test submission was too similar to the answers posted on the website Chegg.com or to answers submitted by other students in the course to be considered their own work. This was an online, open-book test for which students were allowed to use only their lecture and tutorial notes as resources. The quiz included a Honour pledge.

Degree: Undergraduate

Year: 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the test (8% of final grade); failure in the course (maximum grade of 46%); disciplinary probation for the remainder of the student's undergraduate career.

Description: Cheating

Details: At least one answer in the student's test submission was too similar to the answers posted on the website Chegg.com or to answers submitted by other students in the course to be considered their own work. This was an online, open-book test for which students were allowed to use only their lecture and tutorial notes as resources. The quiz included a Honour pledge.

Degree: Undergraduate

Year: 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the test (8% of final grade); failure in the course (maximum grade of 46%); disciplinary probation for the remainder of the student's undergraduate career.

Description: Cheating

Details: At least one answer in the student's test submission was too similar to the answers posted on the website Chegg.com or to answers submitted by other students in the course to be considered their own work. This was an online, open-book test for which students were allowed to use only their lecture and tutorial notes as resources. The quiz included a Honour pledge.

Degree: Undergraduate

Year: 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the test (8% of final grade); failure in the course (maximum grade of 46%); disciplinary probation for the remainder of the student's undergraduate career.

Description: Cheating

Details: At least one answer in the student's test submission was too similar to the answers posted on the website Chegg.com or to answers submitted by other students in the course to be considered their own work. This was an online, open-book test for which students were allowed to use only their lecture and tutorial notes as resources. The quiz included a Honour pledge.

Degree: Undergraduate

Year: 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the test (8% of final grade); failure in the course (maximum grade of 46%); disciplinary probation for the remainder of the student's undergraduate career.

Description: Cheating

Details: At least one answer in the student's test submission was too similar to the answers posted on the website Chegg.com or to answers submitted by other students in the course to be considered their own work. This was an online, open-book test for which students were allowed to use only their lecture and tutorial notes as resources. The quiz included a Honour pledge.

Degree: Undergraduate

Year: 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the test (8% of final grade); failure in the course (maximum grade of 46%); disciplinary probation for the remainder of the student's undergraduate career.

Description: Cheating

Details: At least one answer in the student's test submission was too similar to the answers posted on the website Chegg.com or to answers submitted by other students in the course to be considered their own work. This was an online, open-book test for which students were allowed to use only their lecture and tutorial notes as resources. The quiz included a Honour pledge.

Degree: Undergraduate

Year: 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the test (8% of final grade); failure in the course (maximum grade of 46%); disciplinary probation for the remainder of the student's undergraduate career.

Description: Cheating

Details: At least one answer in the student's test submission was too similar to the answers posted on the website Chegg.com or to answers submitted by other students in the course to be considered their own work. This was an online, open-book test for which students were allowed to use only their lecture and tutorial notes as resources. The quiz included a Honour pledge.

Degree: Undergraduate

Year: 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the test (8% of final grade); failure in the course (maximum grade of 46%); disciplinary probation for the remainder of the student's undergraduate career.

Description: Cheating

Details: At least one answer in the student's test submission was too similar to the answers posted on the website Chegg.com or to answers submitted by other students in the course to be considered their own work. This was an online, open-book test for which students were allowed to use only their lecture and tutorial notes as resources. The quiz included a Honour pledge.

Degree: Undergraduate

Year: 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the test (8% of final grade); failure in the course (maximum grade of 46%); disciplinary probation for the remainder of the student's undergraduate career.

Description: Cheating

Details: At least one answer in the student's test submission was too similar to the answers posted on the website Chegg.com or to answers submitted by other students in the course to be considered their own work. This was an online, open-book test for which students were allowed to use only their lecture and tutorial notes as resources. The quiz included a Honour pledge.

Degree: Undergraduate

Year: 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the test (8% of final grade); failure in the course (maximum grade of 46%); disciplinary probation for the remainder of the student's undergraduate career.

Description: Cheating

Details: At least one answer in the student's test submission was too similar to the answers posted on the website Chegg.com or to answers submitted by other students in the course to be considered their own work. This was an online, open-book test for which students were allowed to use only their lecture and tutorial notes as resources. The quiz included a Honour pledge.

Degree: Undergraduate

Year: 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the test (8% of final grade); failure in the course (maximum grade of 46%); disciplinary probation for the remainder of the student's undergraduate career.

Description: Cheating

Details: At least one answer in the student's test submission was too similar to the answers posted on the website Chegg.com or to answers submitted by other students in the course to be considered their own work. This was an online, open-book test for which students were allowed to use only their lecture and tutorial notes as resources. The quiz included a Honour pledge.

Degree: Undergraduate

Year: 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the test (8% of final grade); failure in the course (maximum grade of 46%); disciplinary probation for the remainder of the student's undergraduate career.

Description: Cheating

Details: At least one answer in the student's test submission was too similar to the answers posted on the website Chegg.com or to answers submitted by other students in the course to be considered their own work. This was an online, open-book test for which students were allowed to use only their lecture and tutorial notes as resources. The quiz included a Honour pledge.

Degree: Undergraduate

Year: 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the test (8% of final grade); failure in the course (maximum grade of 46%); disciplinary probation for the remainder of the student's undergraduate career.

Description: Cheating

Details: At least one answer in the student's test submission was too similar to the answers posted on the website Chegg.com or to answers submitted by other students in the course to be considered their own work. This was an online, open-book test for which students were allowed to use only their lecture and tutorial notes as resources. The quiz included a Honour pledge.

Degree: Undergraduate

Year: 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the test (8% of final grade); failure in the course (maximum grade of 46%); disciplinary probation for the remainder of the student's undergraduate career.

Description: Cheating

Details: At least one answer in the student's test submission was too similar to the answers posted on the website Chegg.com or to answers submitted by other students in the course to be considered their own work. This was an online, open-book test for which students were allowed to use only their lecture and tutorial notes as resources. The quiz included a Honour pledge.

Degree: Undergraduate

Year: 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the test (8% of final grade); failure in the course (maximum grade of 46%); disciplinary probation for the remainder of the student's undergraduate career.

Description: Cheating

Details: At least one answer in the student's test submission was too similar to the answers posted on the website Chegg.com or to answers submitted by other students in the course to be considered their own work. This was an online, open-book test for which students were allowed to use only their lecture and tutorial notes as resources. The quiz included a Honour pledge.

Degree: Undergraduate

Year: 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the test (8% of final grade); failure in the course (maximum grade of 46%); disciplinary probation for the remainder of the student's undergraduate career.

Description: Cheating

Details: At least one answer in the student's test submission was too similar to the answers posted on the website Chegg.com or to answers submitted by other students in the course to be considered their own work. This was an online, open-book test for which students were allowed to use only their lecture and tutorial notes as resources. The quiz included a Honour pledge.

Degree: Undergraduate

Year: 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the test (8% of final grade); failure in the course (maximum grade of 46%); disciplinary probation for the remainder of the student's undergraduate career.

Description: Cheating

Details: Several of the student's answers in their submissions for three assignments are nearly identical to solutions posted on Course Hero.

Degree: Undergraduate

Year: 3

Penalty/Decision: 0 on all three assignments (11.25% of final grade); 5% off the final grade; completion of PHIL 110B, I18J or 221 before graduation, with a grade of at least 60. The course credit will not count towards Degree requirements; disciplinary probation for the duration of the student's undergraduate career. If the student elects to drop the course, the alternate penalty will be completion of an essay of a minimum of 1500 words describing the student's Policy 71 offence and penalty, explaining specifically what they learned from that error; read the 10 page Editor's Desk article "It's Good to be Good" and describe the potential key benefits of volunteering and which of those benefits resonate most with them. The essay shall be submitted to the student's Associate Dean for approval a minimum of 2 months before the student's intended convocation date and Turnitin will be used to validate the originality of the student's submission.

Description: Cheating

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism as at least one answer in their assignment submission was found to be too similar to a solution posted on the website Chegg.com to be considered their own work. Second offence.

Degree: Undergraduate

Year: 4

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment (3.75% of the course grade); this assignment will be included in the calculation of your final course grade; 10% reduction in the final course grade; disciplinary probation for the duration of the student's UW undergraduate career. If the course is dropped, the alternate penalty will be 25 hours of unpaid community service in a challenging position for an off-campus organization endorsed by the University of Waterloo OR a 1,500 word essay describing the student's Policy 71 offence and penalty and explaining what they learned from their error, to be submitted to their Associate Dean for approval a minimum of 2 months before their intended convocation date.

Description: Cheating

Details: Student was found guilty of pre-meditated cheating in an online in quiz as their submission contained wording almost identical to solutions found online.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the quiz; failure in the course; disciplinary probation; one term suspension.

Description: Cheating

Details: At least one of the student's answers in their group submission for an assignment was too like an answer provided by Chegg.com to be considered their own work.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment (6.67% of the final course grade if the participation challenges are completed; 8.33% if the optional participation challenges are not completed); disciplinary probation for the duration of the student's undergraduate career. If the student drops the course, the alternate penalty will be 25 hours of unpaid off-campus community service, for a company endorsed by the University of Waterloo.

Description: Cheating

Details: At least one of the student's answers in their group submission for an assignment was too like an answer provided by Chegg.com to be considered their own work.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment; 2% reduction in the final course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: Student's assignment submission too similar to an answer posted online to be considered their own work. Student admitted to offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment; additional 3% final grade reduction.

Description: Cheating

Details: Three answers in the student's group submission for an assignment was too like an answer provided by Chegg.com to be considered their own work.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment (6.67% of the final course grade if the participation challenges are completed; 8.33% If the optional participation challenges are not completed); disciplinary probation for the duration of the student's undergraduate career. If the student drops the course, the alternate penalty will be 25 hours of unpaid off-campus community service, for a company endorsed by the University of Waterloo.

Description: Cheating

Details: At least one answer in the student's assignment submission was too like answers posted online to be considered their own work. Student took responsibility for the misconduct.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment (minimum of 6.67% of final grade); additional 2% final grade reduction.

Description: Cheating

Details: At least one answer in the student's assignment submission was too like answers posted online to be considered their own work. Student took responsibility for the misconduct.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment (minimum of 6.67% of final grade); additional 2% final grade reduction.

Description: Cheating

Details: At least one answer in the student's assignment submission was too like answers posted online to be considered their own work. Student took responsibility for the misconduct.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment (minimum of 6.67% of final grade); additional 2% final grade reduction.

Description: Cheating

Details: At least one answer in the student's assignment submission was too like answers posted online to be considered their own work. Student took responsibility for the misconduct.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment (minimum of 6.67% of final grade); additional 2% final grade reduction.

Description: Cheating

Details: At least one answer in the student's assignment submission was too like answers posted online to be considered their own work. Student took responsibility for the misconduct.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment (minimum of 6.67% of final grade); additional 2% final grade reduction.

Description: Cheating

Details: At least one answer in the student's assignment submission was too like answers posted online to be considered their own work. Student took responsibility for the misconduct.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment (minimum of 6.67% of final grade); additional 2% final grade reduction.

Description: Cheating

Details: At least one answer in the student's assignment submission was too like answers posted online to be considered their own work. Student took responsibility for the misconduct.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment (minimum of 6.67% of final grade); additional 2% final grade reduction.

Description: Cheating

Details: At least one answer in the student's assignment submission was too like answers posted online to be considered their own work. Student took responsibility for the misconduct.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** Not specified

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment (minimum of 6.67% of final grade); additional 2% final grade reduction.

Description: Cheating

Details: At least one answer in the student's assignment submission was too like answers posted online to be considered their own work. Student took responsibility for the misconduct.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment (minimum of 6.67% of final grade); additional 2% final grade reduction.

Description: Cheating

Details: At least one answer in the student's assignment submission was too like answers posted online to be considered their own work. Student took responsibility for the misconduct.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment (minimum of 6.67% of final grade); additional 2% final grade reduction.

Description: Cheating

Details: At least one answer in the student's assignment submission was too like answers posted online to be considered their own work. Student took responsibility for the misconduct.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment (minimum of 6.67% of final grade); additional 2% final grade reduction.

Description: Cheating

Details: At least one answer in the student's assignment submission was too like answers posted online to be considered their own work. Student took responsibility for the misconduct.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment (minimum of 6.67% of final grade); additional 2% final grade reduction.

Description: Cheating

Details: At least one answer in the student's assignment submission was too like answers posted online to be considered their own work. Student took responsibility for the misconduct.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment (minimum of 6.67% of final grade); additional 2% final grade reduction.

Description: Cheating

Details: At least one answer in the student's assignment submission was too like answers posted online to be considered their own work. Student took responsibility for the misconduct.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment (minimum of 6.67% of final grade); additional 2% final grade reduction.

Description: Cheating

Details: At least one answer in the student's assignment submission was too like answers posted online to be considered their own work. Student took responsibility for the misconduct.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment (minimum of 6.67% of final grade); additional 2% final grade reduction.

Description: Cheating

Details: At least one answer in the student's assignment submission was too like answers posted online to be considered their own work. Student took responsibility for the misconduct.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment (minimum of 6.67% of final grade); additional 2% final grade reduction.

Description: Cheating

Details: At least one answer in the student's assignment submission was too like answers posted online to be considered their own work. Student took responsibility for the misconduct.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment (minimum of 6.67% of final grade); additional 2% final grade reduction.

Description: Cheating

Details: At least one answer in the student's assignment submission was too like answers posted online to be considered their own work. Student took responsibility for the misconduct; recognizing the challenges of online learning during the COVID 19 pandemic.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment (minimum of 6.67% of final grade); additional 2% final grade reduction.

Description: Cheating

Details: Student posted questions from a test to Chegg.com and returned on several occasions while the test was open to review the answers. Student admitted offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the test; 10 mark deduction from the overall course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: The student posted test and assignment questions onto Chegg.com and copied responses into their own submissions. The student admitted guilt.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on each of the course elements; additional 15 marks off the final course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: Student was found guilty of cheating because they accessed a solution provided to them by a friend during an online midterm. The student was also found guilty of misrepresentation because they had clicked on the honesty statement confirming that they had not received any unauthorized assistance in writing the exam.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the midterm; additional 10 marks off the final course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: Student supplied a solution to a friend during an online midterm. The student admitted guilt.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the midterm; additional 10 marks off the final course grade, disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: Students had identical wrong answers on their midterm. Question was randomized out of four possibilities; both students got the same question. Two answers were found to have been plagiarized. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: 0 on specific answers; 0 on midterm, 5 mark deduction final grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: Students had identical wrong answers on their midterm. Question was randomized out of four possibilities; both students got the same question. Second offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: 0 on midterm; failure in course.

Description: Cheating

Details: Student's assignment was posted on VarsityTutors with a request for help. First offence; student admitted guilt.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 3 mark deduction from final grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: Students submitted similar solutions in a midterm exam.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on midterm; 5 mark deduction from final grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: Students submitted similar solutions in a midterm exam.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on midterm; 5 mark deduction from final grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: Student posted an assignment question on Chegg.com and lied in their response to the allegation. The assigned question had been tailored to each student and clearly identified the student as the online poster. When given a second chance to be honest, the student admitted guilt.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment; 5 mark deduction from course grade, disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: Student posted answers to a quiz on Chegg.com.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the quiz and; further 5 mark reduction on the overall course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: The student uploaded and downloaded selected solutions for two exams from Chegg.com.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on both exams; further reduction of 5 marks on the overall course grades; disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: Student admitted to cheating on online midterms for four different courses. Student uploaded midterm questions to Chegg.com and downloaded selected answers.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on all four of the midterms; 5 marks off the overall course grades on each of the four courses; maximum grade of 49 on all four courses; disciplinary probation; not permitted to withdraw from any of the four courses; grades cannot be converted to NCR; grades must be included in the GPA; if a petition or other process is invoked that results in the grades being converted to NCR or removed from the cumulative GPA, the waiver of a suspension will be void, and student will be suspended for one term.

Description: Cheating

Details: Student downloaded select solutions from Chegg.com in two different courses.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the midterm; further 5 marks off the overall course grade in both courses; disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: Student downloaded selected solutions from Chegg.com for an online midterm.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the midterm; further reduction of 5 marks on the overall course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: Student uploaded questions from multiple course elements in two different courses to Chegg.com and viewed the answers.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 1st course: 0 on each of 3 different course elements; further reduction of 20 marks off the overall course grade; maximum grade of 49 in the course. 2nd course: 0 on test #2; further reduction of 10 marks off the overall course grade; maximum grade of 49 in the course; disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: Student was found to have cheated in an assignment and on a test.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on both the assignment and the test; further reduction of 20 marks on the overall course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: Student told another student that their test was completed and wished to compare answers. It was subsequently found that the student lied to other student, as their test had not been completed and the student then copied the other student's answers. The student subsequently confessed to deceiving the other student and to plagiarizing the other student's answers. Third offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the test; 25 mark deduction off the final course grade; continued disciplinary probation. If this penalty causes the student to fail the term, they may contact the Associate Dean and request that the penalty be changed to the following: zero on the test; minus 5 marks off the overall course grade; and a one-term suspension.

Description: Cheating

Details: The closed book section of the student's midterm submission was found to contain text that was nearly verbatim to the course lecture notes. The test included an honour pledge and clear instructions.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the test (25% of final course grade); failure in the course (maximum final grade of 46%); disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: Student's answers on an assignment were similar to that which was posted on Chegg.com. Second offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment; further reduction of 15 marks from the final course grade; continued disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: Student's solution for an exam question was copied from stakexchange. Second exam-related offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 in course; one term suspension.

Description: Cheating

Details: A group of students shared answers to an online quiz. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the quiz, which is to be included as one of the best 10 scores; a further 5% off the final mark in the course; disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: Student used an online resource for answers to a question in their midterm. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: 0 on midterm, further 5 mark deduction from final course grade.

Description: Cheating

Details: Student used an online resource for answers to a question in their midterm. First offence. Student admitted offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on midterm; further 3 mark deduction from final course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: Student used an online resource for answers to a question in their midterm. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on midterm; further 5 mark deduction from final course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: Student used an online resource for answers to a question in their midterm. First offence. Student admitted offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on midterm; further 3 mark deduction from final course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: Student used an online resource for answers to a question in their midterm. Second offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 0 on midterm; further 5 mark deduction from final course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: Student used an online resource for answers to a question in their midterm. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on midterm; further 5 mark deduction from final course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: Student used an online resource for answers to a question in their midterm. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on midterm; further 5 mark deduction from final course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: Student used an online resource for answers to a question in their midterm. First offence. Student admitted offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on midterm; further 3 mark deduction from final course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: Student used an online resource for answers to a question in their midterm. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on midterm; further 5 mark deduction from final course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: Student used an online resource for answers to a question in their midterm. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 0 on midterm; further 5 mark deduction from final course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: Student used an online resource for answers to a question in their midterm. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 0 on midterm; further 5 mark deduction from final course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: Student used an online resource for answers to a question in their midterm. First offence. Student admitted offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on midterm; further 3 mark deduction from final course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: Student used an online resource for answers to a question in their midterm. Second offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 0 on midterm; further 5 mark deduction from final course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: Student used an online resource for answers to a question in their midterm. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on midterm; further 5 mark deduction from final course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: Student used an online resource for answers to a question in their midterm. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on midterm; further 5 mark deduction from final course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: Student used an online resource for answers to a question in their midterm. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: 0 on midterm; further 5 mark deduction from final course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: Student used a solution from an online source for an answer on their midterm.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on midterm; further 3 mark deduction from final course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: Students had identical answers for their Final Assessment. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment; further deduction of 3 marks from final course grade.

Description: Cheating

Details: Students had identical answers for their Final Assessment. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment; further deduction of 3 marks from final course grade.

Description: Cheating

Details: In an open book take-home final exam, a student submitted answers with material taken from the internet, with no citation. First offence. Student admitted to using the material and takes responsibility.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 50% reduction in the mark on the final exam; disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: A student used a website to cheat on an online final exam. Second offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the test; failure in the course; a two-term suspension, to be served concurrently; disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: Student was found to have posted a photo of another student's midterm test in an online group chat.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on midterm; failure in the course with a grade no higher than 46%; disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: Student was found to have sent a photo of their midterm to another student, seeking their input on the answers. The second student posted the photo to a group chat. Student admitted guilt and apologized.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the midterm; a further 5 marks off the final course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: The student was found to have had access to quiz questions before starting their unit quiz. The quiz instructions clearly stated that quizzes are to be completed on their own and collaborating with others is considered an academic offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the quiz (6% of the final course grade); disciplinary probation for the duration of the student's undergraduate career.

Description: Cheating

Details: The student was found to have had access to quiz questions before starting their unit quiz. The quiz instructions clearly stated that quizzes are to be completed on their own and collaborating with others is considered an academic offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the quiz (4% of the final course grade); disciplinary probation for the duration of the student's undergraduate career.

Description: Cheating

Details: Student posted an assignment question to Chegg.com. These materials were the intellectual property of the instructor.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** Not specified

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the quiz (9.7% of the final course grade); disciplinary probation for the duration of the student's undergraduate career.

Description: Cheating

Details: Student posted two quiz questions related to their quiz in a Facebook messenger chat group prior to having started the quiz. The quiz was available for a 40-hour window and had been open for at least 24 hours at the time of the student's posting.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the test (9.1% of the final grade; this test must be included in the calculated final grade); 5% reduction in the final course grade; disciplinary probation for the duration of the student's undergraduate career.

Description: Disruptive, dangerous, aggressive or threatening behaviour

Details: Insulting and threatening text messages sent to Co-op support staff.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Disciplinary probation.

Description: Disruptive, dangerous, aggressive or threatening behaviour

Details: Student acted aggressively to staff, threatened self harm and threatened violence with a weapon at the university.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 1-term suspension; continuation of the removal of privileges in the form of a ban from property owned or occupied by the University of Waterloo, with the following conditions: the ban will apply at least until the end of the student's suspension. In order to return to campus after the suspension, the University of Waterloo must be provided with a satisfactory professional opinion that the student is not a risk to anyone on campus. The risk assessment must be completed by an assessor experienced in conducting risk assessments and may be either a

psychiatrist or clinical psychologist, registered with their professional college. The student is responsible for paying the cost of the risk assessment report; disciplinary probation for the duration of the student's undergraduate career.

Description: Disruptive, dangerous, aggressive or threatening behaviour

Details: While in AccessAbility, student became agitated and upset about not being able to complete a final presentation for which they had an extension. Student aggressively threw a hand sanitizer bottle towards a staff member of AccessAbility. Student had a documented disability and recognized the need to work on a behaviour plan with AccessAbility and other services.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: Disciplinary probation.

Description: Disruptive, dangerous, aggressive or threatening behaviour

Details: Student admitted to setting fire to a paper napkin while on campus. Campus police were informed and spoke to the student. Student admitted to previously setting fire to paper napkins while on campus. Student was referred to counselling services.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Disciplinary probation.

Description: Impersonation

Details: Student misrepresented themselves by having someone else use their iClicker. Student lied when confronted and this was a second offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the iClicker grade (weighted 5% of the final course grade); 10% reduction in the final course grade; disciplinary probation for the duration of the student's undergraduate career; completion of one Ethics course (PHIL 110B, 118J, 215 or 221) before graduation.

Description: Impersonation

Details: Student misrepresented themselves by having someone else use their iClicker.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the iClicker grade (weighted as 5% of the course grade); 5% deduction from final course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Impersonation

Details: Student asked a friend to sign-in for them at a lab session and their friend completed and submitted a reflection document on their behalf.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the class participation component of the course (10% of the final course grade); 5% deduction from final course grade for unprofessional behaviour; disciplinary probation for the duration of the student's undergraduate career.

Description: Impersonation

Details: Student submitted a written reflection for a classmate. The disciplinary penalty was mitigated in order to avoid suspension.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the class participation component of the course (10% of the final course grade); 5% deduction from final course grade for unprofessional behaviour; disciplinary probation for the duration of the student's undergraduate career.

Description: Impersonation

Details: Student attached a flow chart to their report summary which contained the initials of their TA. The TA did not initial the document and it was proven that the TA's initials were forged. The student was remorseful.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the report (2.5% of the final course grade); 5% deduction in the final course grade; disciplinary probation for the duration of the student's undergraduate career.

Description: Impersonation

Details: Student's group submission assignment contained forged signatures from the group members. The group was running short on time, so they decided to let one team member sign for all.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Disciplinary probation.

Description: Impersonation

Details: Student's group submission assignment contained forged signatures from the group members. The group was running short on time, so they decided to let one team member sign for all.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Disciplinary probation.

Description: Impersonation

Details: Student's group submission assignment contained forged signatures from the group members. The group was running short on time, so they decided to let one team member sign for all.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Disciplinary probation.

Description: Impersonation

Details: The dates on a scanned copy of a Verification of Illness Form submitted to an instructor via email had been altered.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Failure in the course; completion of one Ethics course (PHIL 110B, 118J, 215 or 221) before graduation (with final grade of at least 60; course does not count towards degree requirements); disciplinary probation for the duration of the student's undergraduate career.

Description: Impersonation

Details: Student used another student's iClicker. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 or the iClicker portion of the course grade (5%); deduction of 5 marks from course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Impersonation

Details: Student allowed another student to use their iClicker. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 or the iClicker portion of the course grade (5%); deduction of 5 marks from course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Misrepresentation

Details: Student misrepresented themselves by having someone else use their iClicker.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the iClicker grade (weighted as 5% of the course grade); 5% deduction from final course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Misrepresentation

Details: Student accepted a Co-op position and did not advise anyone in Co-op. Student subsequently matched with another employer and the student sent the second employer an email requesting them to lie on their behalf to Co-op.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Disciplinary probation.

Description: Misrepresentation

Details: Student falsified a submission receipt from Learn in an attempt to claim that they had submitted an assignment on time. The student contacted the TA to say that they noticed they had received a 0 on an assignment. The TA could not find the assignment in Learn, and asked the student to submit the receipt. The TA investigated, and it was found that the receipt was attributed to another student's file for a submission in another course. Upon being confronted with this evidence, the student admitted that they did not submit anything on time to that drop box.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment; 0% on the participation mark for the course; an additional 5% off the overall course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Misrepresentation

Details: In a routine review of student submissions for the assignment, it was discovered that the submitted code by the student attempted to pass a public test on Marmoset just with a simple print statement. The instructor classified this as misrepresentation. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on specific question in the assignment; disciplinary probation.

Description: Misrepresentation

Details: In a routine review of student submissions for the assignment, it was discovered that the submitted code by the student attempted to pass a public test on Marmoset just with a simple print statement. The instructor classified this as misrepresentation. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on specific question in the assignment; disciplinary probation.

Description: Misrepresentation

Details: In a routine review of student submissions for the assignment, it was discovered that the submitted code by the student attempted to pass a public test on Marmoset just with a simple print statement. The instructor classified this as misrepresentation. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on specific question in the assignment; disciplinary probation.

Description: Misrepresentation

Details: Student wrote two tests while under severe incapacitation according to VIF submissions and misrepresented themselves in order to apply for accommodations for other tests. Student also lied to instructor about their circumstances and was found to have numerous other inconsistencies in claims made to instructors and Policy 71 investigators. Student did not take responsibility for their actions.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the exam; failure in the course with earned grade; one term suspension; disciplinary probation for the duration of the student's undergraduate career.

Description: Misuse of University resources

Details: Student gathered a list of UW student email addresses from Office 365 to use in an unsolicited mass email in order to advertise an app/start up for which they were a co-founder. The student was honest and forthright about gathering the email addresses and exonerated another student who was originally charged.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:**

Penalty/Decision: Academic probation for the remainder of the student's undergraduate career.

Description: Other

Details: Student reneged on a job match for the term because they didn't wish to continue in Co-op and wanted a study term instead.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: Brief essay regarding the impact of their decision on others to be submitted to the Associate Dean; disciplinary probation.

Description: Other

Details: Despite repeated requests from the instructor (including a posting on LEARN) for students not registered in a particular section to leave, the student attended the wrong section of a class (the room for which was filled beyond capacity). This is not usually regarded as an offence, but in this case it was clearly problematic.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Warning; disciplinary probation.

Description: Other

Details: Despite repeated requests from the instructor (including a posting on LEARN) for students not registered in a particular section to leave, the student attended the wrong section of a class (the room for which was filled beyond capacity). This is not usually regarded as an offence, but in this case it was clearly problematic.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Warning, disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism because more than one quarter of their submitted essay overlapped with sections of course modules and assigned readings. Student advised that they forgot to add the references.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: 50% deduction on assignment grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student shared their assignment with another classmate.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was the participant in the sharing of an assignment from another classmate.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism on an assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student's work term report contained passages copied word-for-word from their previous work term report. The copied text was used in error and a minor part of the report.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: Student had to rewrite the passage and resubmit the report; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism on an assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment (worth 18%); disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: 25% of earned grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: 50% deduction on earned grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism based on information from a Turnitin report and a summary meeting.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: 50% deduction on earned grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: The student's solution was copied almost word-for-word from a solution provided during a course from the last term.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment; 5% deduction to overall course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: 50% deduction on grade for the assignment; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism. Student revealed that their laptop had recently been stolen and they were rushing to complete the assignment on a co-worker's computer.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment (worth 25%); disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism. Student revealed that they had difficulty coping with full-time work and the deadlines of a full-time course load. They also had extenuating family circumstances which they were struggling with.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment (worth 25%); disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: 25% deduction on the earned grade for the assignment (worth 20%); disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the paper (worth 18%); disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment (worth 25%); disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Numerous answers in the student's assignment were nearly or completely identical to the instructor's solution set.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment, worth 3.57% of the final course grade.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 50% deduction on earned grade on the assignment (18%); disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student's work term report contained a table of data that originated from an uncited source and at least one statement lacked a citation. Student was required to rewrite and resubmit the report.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: Disciplinary probation for duration of the student's undergraduate career.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted an assignment wherein 75% of the content was identical word-for-word to another student's assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment; further 5% on the total course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted an assignment wherein 75% of the content was identical word-for-word to another student's assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment; further 5% on the total course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted an assignment with passages taken from an online source that contained verbatim and paraphrased language and unattributed ideas. This included the general organization of the assignment as well as specific examples. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: The code submitted by the student on two assignments shared a number of very similar features with the code submitted by another student from a previous term.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignments; additional 5% deduction from the final course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism in two assignments.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: 0 on specific assignment questions.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism in two assignments.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 0 on specific assignment questions.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism in two assignments.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: 0 on specific assignment questions.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism in two assignments.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: 0 on specific assignment questions.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: A student's assignment was copied, in part, from an online repository. The source was the assignment of another student which had been submitted in a previous term.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment (10%); disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted a report which contained unusual vocabulary, technical errors, and formatting that were also present in the report of another student in the same lab section. The penalty of the report was mitigated because the value of the report was substantial, the student was transparent in their explanation and took responsibility for their errors.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the report (15% of the course grade); disciplinary probation for the duration of the student's undergraduate career. If the student drops the course, the student will incur an alternate penalty of providing 15 hours of unpaid community service in a challenging position for an off-campus organization. The organization must be endorsed by the University of Waterloo and must provide written proof of the

student's community service directly to the UW contact, a minimum of one month before the date of the student's convocation. The student must verify that the organization can provide such a letter.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted a report which contained unusual vocabulary, technical errors and formatting that were also present in the report of another student in the same lab section. The penalty of the report was mitigated because the value of the report was substantial, the student was transparent in their explanation and took responsibility for their errors.

Degree: Undergraduate

Year: 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the report (15% of the course grade); disciplinary probation for the duration of the student's undergraduate career. If the student drops the course, the student will incur an alternate penalty of providing 15 hours of unpaid community service in a challenging position for an off-campus organization. The organization must be endorsed by the University of Waterloo and must provide written proof of the student's community service directly to the UW contact, a minimum of one month before the date of the student's convocation. The student must verify that the organization can provide such a letter.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: A student's assignment was found to include several passages copied verbatim from internet sources without citation. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate

Year: 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the course element (worth 15%); 5 marks deduction from the course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted an assignment in which parts were plagiarized verbatim from internet sources, without attribution. The instructor indicated that they told students they did not need to cite their sources, and so the student thought that it was acceptable to copy material for the assignment. Although the assignment instructions made it quite clear that this was a writing assignment, and not merely the collection of points from the internet, it is plausible that the student did not fully understand what was expected.

Degree: Undergraduate

Year: 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment as well as being required to attend the academic integrity seminar; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: The student submitted an essay (worth 15% of the final), in which they alleged to have taken material from two websites without attribution. The material was presented as coming instead from two journal articles. The language was taken very nearly verbatim from the websites and the journal articles are not directly related to the ideas presented.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment and 5 mark reduction in the course grade.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism in a lab report.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the lab report; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student plagiarized an online midterm exam. Student used 3 unattributed quotes from a course reading with a few minor word changes.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 50% deduction on the exam; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism because they incorrectly identified sources and did not use proper citations in their work.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 25% deduction on the assignment; 5% deduction from the final course grade; mandatory workshop (instead of a suspension).

Description: Plagiarism

Details: 3 major aspects of the student's assignment were taken directly from online websites without direct quotation and/or proper referencing. Student admitted they are still learning how to reference correctly.

Degree: Graduate

Year: Not specified

Penalty/Decision: 0 on assignment; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: On two assignments the student extensively copied text verbatim from sources that were appropriately cited, but did not indicate that many phrases and sentences were taken verbatim and should have been enclosed in quotations marks.

Degree: Graduate

Year: Not specified

Penalty/Decision: 0 on assignment; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted a written assignment with approximately 90% of the material taken verbatim from an online source, without quotation marks or adequate in-text citations. This is the student's third academic integrity infraction involving plagiarism. The student previously received a one-term suspension. There was no evidence that the student had accessed resources to help prevent further infractions.

Degree: Undergraduate

Year: 3

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment; 10 mark deduction off the final course mark, three-term suspension; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Incomplete paraphrasing; verbatim text was not enclosed in quotation marks and citation was not appropriate, e.g., with page numbers;

Degree: Graduate

Year: Not specified

Penalty/Decision: The student would have received 0 on the assignment but no grade was given as the student dropped the course. The student will be placed on disciplinary probation for the remainder of the student's graduate career; a Campus Incident Report will be filed.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted an assignment which was largely copied from that of a student who took the course in the previous term.

Degree: Undergraduate

Year: 4

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment (15% weight); disciplinary probation; successful completion of the Academic Integrity Workshop.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism on an assignment. Student resubmitted their own work from a previous term. Not the student's first offence.

Degree: Undergraduate

Year: 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism on an assignment. Student copied solutions from a previous term. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate

Year: 4

Penalty/Decision: 0 on specific part of the assignment, 5 mark deduction from course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism. There was significant overlap with a published paper.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism as they submitted an assignment with content taken directly from the internet without attribution.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 5 mark deduction from the course grade; disciplinary probation; completion of the Academic Integrity Workshop.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism because their code overlapped with code submitted by another student.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment; further reduction of 3% on the overall course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism because their code overlapped with code submitted by another student.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 50% deduction on the assignment; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism as there was significant overlap with their submission on an assignment and that of another student. The student admitted to discussing their approach to coding with another student.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism as there was significant overlap with their submission on an assignment and that of another student. The student admitted to discussing their approach to coding with another student.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of unauthorized collaboration. There was significant overlap with the work of 4 other students.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment; an additional deduction of 1.67% from the course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student's assignment included several sentences that were taken verbatim from the internet, with no attribution. Student included URLs for the sources at the end of the paper, but did not indicate that they had been copied verbatim. Student appeared not to have been exposed to proper citation practice.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Disciplinary probation; completion of the Academic Integrity Workshop.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism because their report contained statements that described the work of others but lacked an in-text citation.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the specific questions in the assignment (2% reduction in the final course grade); disciplinary probation for the duration of the student's undergraduate career; if the student drops the course, the student will incur an alternate penalty of providin

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism because their report contained statements that described the work of others but lacked an in-text citation.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the specific questions in the assignment (2% reduction in the final course grade); disciplinary probation for the duration of the student's undergraduate career; if the student drops the course, the student will incur an alternate penalty of providing 15 hours of unpaid community service in a challenging position for an off-campus organization. The organization must be endorsed by the University of Waterloo and must provide written proof of the student's community service directly to the UW contact, a minimum of one month before the date of the student's convocation. The student must verify that the organization can provide such a letter.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism because their report contained statements that described the work of others but lacked an in-text citation.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the specific questions in the assignment (2% reduction in the final course grade); disciplinary probation for the duration of the student's undergraduate career; if the student drops the course, the student will incur an alternate penalty of providing 15 hours of unpaid community service in a challenging position for an off-campus organization. The organization must be endorsed by the University of Waterloo and must provide written proof of the student's community service directly to the UW contact, a minimum of one month before the date of the student's convocation. The student must verify that the organization can provide such a letter.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism because their report contained statements that were nearly identical to another student's report submitted in 2017.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the Discussion (1% of final course grade); 2% reduction in final course grade; disciplinary probation for the duration of the student's undergraduate career; if the student drops the course, the student will incur an alternate penalty of providing 15 hours of unpaid community service in a challenging position for an off-campus organization. The organization must be endorsed by the University of Waterloo and must provide written proof of the student's community service directly to the UW contact, a minimum of one month before the date of the student's convocation. The student must verify that the organization can provide such a letter.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism because their report contained statements that described the work of others but lacked an in-text citation.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 50% of earned grade deduction (earned grade is 49.5/100); disciplinary probation for the duration of the student's undergraduate career; if the student drops the course, the student will incur an alternate penalty of providing 15 hours of unpaid community service in a challenging position for an off-campus organization. The organization must be endorsed by the University of Waterloo and must provide written proof of the student's community service directly to the UW contact, a minimum of one month before the date of the student's convocation. The student must verify that the organization can provide such a letter.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism because their report contained in-text citations to incorrect resources.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the specific portions of the assignment (1% of the final course grade); disciplinary probation for the duration of the student's undergraduate career; if the student drops the course, the student will incur an alternate penalty of providing 15 hours of unpaid

community service in a challenging position for an off-campus organization. The organization must be endorsed by the University of Waterloo and must provide written proof of the student's community service directly to the UW contact, a minimum of one month before the date of the student's convocation. The student must verify that the organization can provide such a letter.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism because their report contained statements that described the work of others but lacked in-text citations. The descriptions of certain words indicated the use of paraphrasing software.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the specific portions of the assignment (2% of the final course grade); disciplinary probation for the duration of the student's undergraduate career; if the student drops the course, the student will incur an alternate penalty of providing 15 hours of unpaid community service in a challenging position for an off-campus organization. The organization must be endorsed by the University of Waterloo and must provide written proof of the student's community service directly to the UW contact, a minimum of one month before the date of the student's convocation. The student must verify that the organization can provide such a letter.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted a code which matched and directly copied 23% of a source the student used. The Instructor emailed student on three occasions and did not hear back. The student later admitted to using some chunks of code from online sources that were not acknowledged.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 5 mark deduction from the course grade (with the assignment grade still counting).

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism because they copied code from an online source but did not cite the source in their work. Student admitted guilt.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 5% deduction from final course grade.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism because they copied code and did not cite sources. Student admitted to copying code.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 5% deduction from final course grade.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism as they did not include in-text citation in the discussion portion of their report.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on discussion portion of assignment; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism because they copied the entirety of their submission (with the exception of one final sentence) from the opening paragraphs of an online guide. The student dropped the course.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 21 hours of community service (the penalty was changed to be non-academic to accommodate).

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism in a slide presentation as no sources were provided or acknowledged directly quoted material.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on presentation; 5 mark deduction from course grade.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism in a slide presentation as no sources were provided or acknowledged directly quoted material.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on presentation; 5 mark deduction from course grade.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism in a slide presentation as no sources were provided or acknowledged directly quoted material.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on presentation; 5 mark deduction from course grade.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism in a slide presentation as no sources were provided or acknowledged directly quoted material.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on presentation; 5 mark deduction from course grade.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism because they submitted code that matched a previous student's code.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism because they submitted code that matched a previous student's code. Student admitted guilt.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism because they quoted sections without citations or sources listed.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 50% deduction on the assignment grade.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism because two students had answers in an assignment that are nearly identical. The student confessed to copying another student's work, without their knowledge. The student expressed a willingness to accept a more significant penalty as a consequence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 for the assignment; 8 mark deduction from the course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism because they did not include proper citations in their assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on Introduction and Discussion portion of the assignment; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism because they did not use proper citations in an assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on Introduction and Discussion portion of the assignment; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism because they did not use proper in-text citations in their assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on Introduction and Discussion portion of the assignment; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism because they did not use any citations in the discussion portion of their assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the Discussion portion of the assignment; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism because they used online forums for help with solving assignment questions. Student admitted offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the specific assignment questions; 5 mark deduction from final course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism because they did not include enough in text citations in their assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the specific assignment questions; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism because they assisted another student in solving a problem, which resulted in both students having similar answers. The student admitted the offence and accepted the penalty.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the specific assignment question; 5 mark deduction from final course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism because they received assistance from a another student in solving a problem, which resulted in both students having similar answers. The student admitted the offence and accepted the penalty.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the specific assignment question; 5 mark deduction from final course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism. Student did not respond to instructor's email.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the specific assignment question; 5 mark deduction from final course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism. Student acknowledged the offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the specific assignment question; 5 mark deduction from final course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism because they did not include enough in-text citation in their assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 15 hours community service (the student dropped the course because they were failing).

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism because their paper matched another student's paper by 56%, according to Turnitin results.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism because it was found that they looked at and copied another student's code without that student's consent.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the specific assignment question, 5 mark deduction from final course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism because their paper matched another student's paper by 56%, according to Turnitin results.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism because they submitted an assignment with several passages copied verbatim from internet sources, with no attribution. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Disciplinary probation; completion of the Academic Integrity Workshop; student must resubmit the paper by the end of term, with proper citations and referencing. Upon successful completion of the workshop, disciplinary probation will be removed.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism because their report lacked in-text citations.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the Introduction section of the report (0.6% reduction in the final course grade); disciplinary probation for the duration of the student's UW undergraduate career; if the student drops the course, the student will incur an alternate penalty of providing 15 hours of unpaid community service in a challenging position for an off-campus organization. The organization must be endorsed by the University of Waterloo and must provide written proof of the student's community service directly to the UW contact, a minimum of one month before the date of the student's convocation. The student must verify that the organization can provide such a letter.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism because their report contained numerous statements that were not their work and did not include in-text citations.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the Introduction section of the report (2% reduction in the final course grade); disciplinary probation for the duration of the student's UW undergraduate career; if the student drops the course, the student will incur an alternate penalty of providing 15 hours of

unpaid community service in a challenging position for an off-campus organization. The organization must be endorsed by the University of Waterloo and must provide written proof of the student's community service directly to the UW contact, a minimum of one month before the date of the student's convocation. The student must verify that the organization can provide such a letter.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism because their report lacked in-text citations and a reference list.

Degree: Undergraduate

Year: 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the report (5% reduction in the final course grade); disciplinary probation for the duration of the student's UW undergraduate career; if the student drops the course, the student will incur an alternate penalty of providing 15 hours of unpaid community service in a challenging position for an off-campus organization. The organization must be endorsed by the University of Waterloo and must provide written proof of the student's community service directly to the UW contact, a minimum of one month before the date of the student's convocation. The student must verify that the organization can provide such a letter.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism because their report contained numerous statements that were not their work and did not include in-text citations.

Degree: Undergraduate

Year: 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the Introduction section of the report (0.6% reduction in final course grade); disciplinary probation for the duration of the student's UW undergraduate career; if the student drops the course, the student will incur an alternate penalty of providing 15 hours of unpaid community service in a challenging position for an off-campus organization. The organization must be endorsed by the University of Waterloo and must provide written proof of the student's community service directly to the UW contact, a minimum of one month before the date of the student's convocation. The student must verify that the organization can provide such a letter.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism because their report contained statements that were copied almost word-for-word from sources that differ from what was cited. The student was encouraged to take advantage of the Writing Centre's drop-in sessions and workshops to improve their management of source articles.

Degree: Undergraduate

Year: 4

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the specific section of the report (equivalent to 1.5% reduction in final course grade); disciplinary probation for the duration of the student's UW undergraduate career; if the student drops the course, the student will incur an alternate penalty of providing 15 hours of unpaid community service in a challenging position for an off-campus organization. The organization must be endorsed by the University of Waterloo and must provide written proof of the student's community service directly to the UW contact, a minimum of one month before the date of the student's convocation. The student must verify that the organization can provide such a letter.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism because their report contained statements that were not their work and was not associated with an in-text citation to the original source.

Degree: Undergraduate

Year: 4

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the Introduction and Question section of the assignment (2% reduction in final course grade); disciplinary probation for the duration of the student's undergraduate career; if the student drops the course, the student will incur an alternate penalty of providing 15 hours of unpaid community service in a challenging position for an off-campus organization. The organization must be endorsed by the University of Waterloo and must provide written proof of the student's community service directly to the UW contact, a minimum of one month before the date of the student's convocation. The student must verify that the organization can provide such a letter.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism because their report contained numerous statements that were not their work and were not associated with an in-text citation to the original source.

Degree: Undergraduate

Year: 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the Discussion section of the report (1% reduction in final course grade); disciplinary probation for the duration of the student's undergraduate career; if the student drops the course, the student will incur an alternate penalty of providing 15 hours of unpaid

community service in a challenging position for an off-campus organization. The organization must be endorsed by the University of Waterloo and must provide written proof of the student's community service directly to the UW contact, a minimum of one month before the date of the student's convocation. The student must verify that the organization can provide such a letter.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism because their report contained passages that were copied word-for-word from other published sources, based on a Turnitin analysis.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment (5% of the final course grade); 5% deduction from the final course grade; disciplinary probation for the duration of the student's undergraduate career; if the student drops the course, the student will incur an alternate penalty of providing 15 hours of unpaid community service in a challenging position for an off-campus organization. The organization must be endorsed by the University of Waterloo and must provide written proof of the student's community service directly to the UW contact, a minimum of one month before the date of the student's convocation. The student must verify that the organization can provide such a letter.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism because their report contained no in-text citations in the Study Questions for statements which were not their work.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the Study Questions (1.8 % of the final course grade); if the student drops the course, the student will incur an alternate penalty of providing 15 hours of unpaid community service in a challenging position for an off-campus organization. The organization must be endorsed by the University of Waterloo and must provide written proof of the student's community service directly to the UW contact, a minimum of one month before the date of the student's convocation. The student must verify that the organization can provide such a letter

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student found guilty of plagiarism because a portion of their assignment contained no in-text citations.

Degree: Undergraduate

Year: 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the Discussion section of the report (1% reduction in the final course grade); disciplinary probation for the duration of the student's undergraduate career; if the student drops the course, the student will incur an alternate penalty of providing 15 hours of unpaid community service in a challenging position for an off-campus organization. The organization must be endorsed by the University of Waterloo and must provide written proof of the student's community service directly to the UW contact, a minimum of one month before the date of the student's convocation. The student must verify that the organization can provide such a letter.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism because their report lacked in-text citations.

Degree: Undergraduate

Year: 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the lab report (5% of the final course grade); disciplinary probation for the duration of the student's undergraduate career; if the student drops the course, the student will incur an alternate penalty of providing 15 hours of unpaid community service in a challenging position for an off-campus organization. The organization must be endorsed by the University of Waterloo and must provide written proof of the student's community service directly to the UW contact, a minimum of one month before the date of the student's convocation. The student must verify that the organization can provide such a letter.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism because their submitted assignment contained numerous statements that were not their work and not associated with an in-text citation to the original source.

Degree: Undergraduate

Year: 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the Discussion and Questions section of the assignment (2.8% final course grade deduction); disciplinary probation for the duration of the student's undergraduate career; if the student drops the course, the student will incur an alternate penalty of providing 15 hours of unpaid community service in a challenging position for an off-campus organization. The organization must be endorsed by the University of Waterloo and must provide written proof of the student's community service directly to the UW contact, a minimum of one month before the date of the student's convocation. The student must verify that the organization can provide such a letter.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism because their submitted assignment contained numerous phrases that were copied verbatim from online sources.

Degree: Undergraduate

Year: 2

Penalty/Decision: 50% reduction in earned grade which was 30/50 (i.e., 1.5% reduction in final course grade); disciplinary probation for the duration of the student's undergraduate career; if the student drops the course, the student will incur an alternate penalty of providing 15 hours of unpaid community service in a challenging position for an off-campus organization. The organization must be endorsed by the University of Waterloo and must provide written proof of the student's community service directly to the UW contact, a minimum of one month before the date of the student's convocation. The student must verify that the organization can provide such a letter.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism because their submitted assignment contained numerous statements that were not their work and not associated with an in-text citation to the original source.

Degree: Undergraduate

Year: 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the Discussion section of the report (1% reduction in the final course grade); disciplinary probation for the duration of the student's undergraduate career; if the student drops the course, the student will incur an alternate penalty of providing 15 hours of unpaid community service in a challenging position for an off-campus organization. The organization must be endorsed by the University of Waterloo and must provide written proof of the student's community service directly to the UW contact, a minimum of one month before the date of the student's convocation. The student must verify that the organization can provide such a letter.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism because much of the text in the Introduction and Discussion sections of their report was copied verbatim from uncited sources.

Degree: Undergraduate

Year: 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the lab report (5% of the final course grade); disciplinary probation for the duration of the student's undergraduate career; if the student drops the course, the student will incur an alternate penalty of providing 15 hours of unpaid community service in a challenging position for an off-campus organization. The organization must be endorsed by the University of Waterloo and must provide written proof of the student's community service directly to the UW contact, a minimum of one month before the date of the student's convocation. The student must verify that the organization can provide such a letter.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism because their assignment matched a report submitted previously by another student.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment (10% of the final course grade); if this penalty trips a course failure, then a final course grade of 50 will be assigned; disciplinary probation for the duration of the student's undergraduate career.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism because their assignment submission contained numerous statements that were copied verbatim from cited sources.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment (5% of the final course grade); disciplinary probation for the duration of the student's undergraduate career.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism because their assignment contained numerous statements copied verbatim from cited sources.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment (5% of the final course grade); disciplinary probation for the duration of the student's undergraduate career.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted an assignment which contained code that was very similar to that of previous students. Student volunteered that this was inadvertent. Student dropped course.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: 0 for specific sections of the assignment; 5 mark deduction from final course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism. Student admitted to taking material from the source, but said that they did not have time to edit the essay properly and neglected to cite the source. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism because they submitted a book review composed almost entirely of plagiarized material from various sources. First offence and student was very apologetic.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism in two sections of an assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the specific questions in the assignment; 5 mark deduction from final course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism in two sections of an assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the specific questions in the assignment; 5 mark deduction from final course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism in two sections of an assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the specific questions in the assignment; 5 mark deduction from final course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism in two sections of an assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the specific questions in the assignment; 5 mark deduction from final course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism in two sections of an assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the specific questions in the assignment; 5 mark deduction from final course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism in two sections of an assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the specific questions in the assignment; 5 mark deduction from final course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism in two sections of an assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the specific questions in the assignment; 5 mark deduction from final course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism as the code between two students was quite similar.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on assignment; 5 marks off final course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism as the code between two students was quite similar.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on assignment; 5 marks off final course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism as the code submitted by two students shared too many features. Student admitted offence and accepted penalty.

Degree: Undergraduate

Year: 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on assignment; 5 marks off final course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism as the code submitted by two students shared too many features. Student admitted offence and accepted penalty.

Degree: Undergraduate

Year: 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on assignment; 5 marks off final course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism because they submitted an essay in which there were sections taken verbatim from various sources, without attribution. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate

Year: 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment; disciplinary probation, to be ended on successful completion of the Academic Integrity Workshop.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism because they conducted an unauthorized search for the original abstract, and copied the abstract as their submission without reference to the original author.

Degree: Undergraduate

Year: 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on specific questions in the assignment; 5 mark deduction from final course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on specific questions in the assignment; 5 mark deduction from final course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on specific questions in the assignment; 5 mark deduction from final course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism because they were found to be part of a group of 8 students who had identical answers for three out of seven questions and identical errors that were unique to these students.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 for three specific questions in the assignment; 5 mark deduction from the final course grade.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism because they were found to be part of a group of 8 students who had identical answers for three out of seven questions and identical errors that were unique to these students.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 for three specific questions in the assignment; 5 mark deduction from the final course grade.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism because they were found to be part of a group of 8 students who had identical answers for three out of seven questions and identical errors that were unique to these students.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** Not specified

Penalty/Decision: 0 for three specific questions in the assignment; 5 mark deduction from the final course grade.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism because their answers on two questions matched another student's, and it was determined to be more than a coincidence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 for the specific question in the assignment; 5 mark deduction from the final course grade.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism because their answers on two questions matched another student's, and it was determined to be more than a coincidence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 for the specific question in the assignment; 5 mark deduction from the final course grade.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism because submitted an essay in which there were many passages taken verbatim, without appropriate citation.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: For a group assignment, students submitted material that was taken directly from a previous student submission.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment; 5% deduction from final course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism because they submitted three assignments that were plagiarized from previous submissions.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 0 on all three of the assignments; 5 mark deduction from final course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism because they submitted an essay which contained a large amount of material taken verbatim from internet sources, without proper attribution.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted an assignment which contained wording identical to that of another student.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment; 5 mark deduction from final course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted an assignment which contained wording identical to that of another student.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment; 5 mark deduction from final course grade, disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism because they submitted two assignments which contained code with similar features as another student's code in a previous term. Second plagiarism offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 0 on both assignments; 10-mark deduction from course grade.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student found guilty of plagiarism because they used the in-lab results from another group as their group's pre-lab submission. Second academic offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment; 13% deduction on the final course grade which results in a course grade of 50%; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism and admitted to using a classmate's in-lab submission as their pre-lab.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the lab; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism because they submitted an assignment which contained code that matched the work of a student from a previous term. Student admitted offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 0 on assignment; 5 mark deduction from final course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism because they submitted two assignments which contained code that matched the work of a student from a previous term.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 0 on both assignments, 5 mark deduction from final course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism because they submitted an assignment which contained code with similar features as another student's code in a previous term.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on both assignments, 5 mark deduction from final course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism because they submitted an assignment which had been plagiarized from a submission from a previous term. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 0 on assignment; 5 mark deduction from final course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism because they inadvertently included part of another code in their assignment submission. The student inadvertently included their background work from a previous assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: 25% deduction on assignment; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student found guilty of plagiarism because their assignment codes identically matched those submitted by another student in a previous term.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: 0 on assignment (11% of course grade).

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Students collaborated on an assignment when independent work was required.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: 0 for three specific questions in the assignment; 5 mark deduction from final course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Students collaborated on an assignment when independent work was required.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: 0 for two specific questions in the assignment; 5 mark deduction from final course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Students collaborated on an assignment when independent work was required.

Degree: Graduate **Year:** Not specified

Penalty/Decision: 0 for three specific questions in the assignment; 5 mark deduction from final course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism because they submitted an assignment which contained work copied from websites. Student did not respond to allegation letter.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism. The student had taken the course previously but dropped it. They were using it as a guide while writing the paper during the current term, but accidentally submitted the wrong document.

Degree: Graduate **Year:** Not specified

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment paper, 5% deduction from final course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism because 75% of the text included in the submitted assignment was directly copied and pasted from other sources without proper citation. The student admitted to unintentionally plagiarizing content from a news article and website. The student submitted the working document they were using to create the post rather than the final document.

Degree: Graduate

Year: Not specified

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism because large sections of their paper was similar and contained verbatim text to that of another student's in the course.

Degree: Undergraduate

Year: 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism because they submitted an assignment which had no citation and contained verbatim text.

Degree: Undergraduate

Year: 1

Penalty/Decision: 25% grade deduction on the paper; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism because they improperly cited their work in one particular question in their assignment. The student admitted to having some troubles with paraphrasing, which was why there is verbatim text.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the specific question in the assignment; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism because they took another student's lab from a year earlier and submitted it as their own. The student felt they had no other option since they were falling behind.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the paper; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism because they submitted a report which made reference to values that were not in theirs or their partner's observation table, causing suspicion that they took the information from a different lab report found online. In addition, both of the students cited a particular article several times but the statements associated with the citation in no way reflected the content of the article.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the lab; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism on an assignment because of the high level of content directly extracted from a website.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism because the discussion section of report contained no in-text citations. Student had limited university experience.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 25% deduction in earned grade on the report (5% of final grade).

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism because the discussion section of report contained no in-text citations. Student had limited university experience.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 25% deduction in earned grade on the report (5% of final grade).

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism because the discussion section of report contained no in-text citations. Student had limited university experience.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 25% deduction in earned grade on the report (5% of final grade).

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism because the discussion section of report contained no in-text citations. Student had limited university experience.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 25% deduction in earned grade on the report (5% of final grade).

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism because the discussion section of report contained no in-text citations. Student had limited university experience.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 25% deduction in earned grade on the report (5% of final grade).

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism due to similar submissions with other students in a bonus assignment. The submitted work contained surprising features, suggesting that it might have come from an external source. Student failed to acknowledge other students or their sources.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: 0 for bonus assignment; 5 mark deduction from course grade.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism due to similar submissions with other students in a bonus assignment. The submitted work contained surprising features, suggesting that it might have come from an external source. Student failed to acknowledge other students or their sources. Second offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: 0 for bonus assignment; 10 mark deduction from course grade due to prior offence.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism due to similar submissions with other students in a bonus assignment. The submitted work contained surprising features, suggesting that it might have come from an external source. Student failed to acknowledge other students or their sources.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: 0 for bonus assignment; 5 mark deduction from course grade.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism because their video presentation assignment submission lacked citations for the materials used in the video, including the background images and videos.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 50% reduction in the earned grade for the visual presentation; disciplinary probation for the duration of the student's undergraduate career.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism because their lab report contained three uncited passages copied from a lab report presented at www.writeonline.ca.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 25% reduction in earned grade on the lab report (maximum 5% reduction in course grade); disciplinary probation for the duration of the student's undergraduate career.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism because their report submission contained numerous uncited passages copied from a lab report presented at www.writeonline.ca. The report also contained statements in the Introduction that should have been associated with a citation to a course textbook.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 5% reduction in final course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism because their report submission contained numerous statements that matched verbatim to different sources than had been cited.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the report (8% of the final course grade); disciplinary probation for the duration of the student's undergraduate career.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism because several of the answers in their report submission matched verbatim to various online sources and was also similar to the submission of two other students in the course.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the Theory section of the report (1.9 percent of the final course grade); disciplinary probation for the duration of the student's UW undergraduate career.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism because their video presentation assignment submission lacked citations for the materials used in the video, including the background images and videos.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 50% reduction in the earned grade for the visual presentation; disciplinary probation for the duration of the student's UW undergraduate career.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism because the answers provided in the student's lab report submission did not correspond to their data sheet.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the lab report (5.8% of the final grade); disciplinary probation for the duration of the student's UW undergraduate career.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism because several of the answers in their report submission matched verbatim to various online sources and was also similar to the submission of two other students in the course.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the Theory section of the report (1.9 percent of the final course grade); disciplinary probation for the duration of the student's undergraduate career.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism because several of the answers in their report submission matched verbatim to various online sources and was also similar to the submission of two other students in the course.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the Theory section of the report (1.9 percent of the final course grade); disciplinary probation for the duration of the student's undergraduate career.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism because the majority of the answers in the student's report submission matched verbatim to various online sources.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the Theory section of the report (1.9 percent of the final course grade); disciplinary probation for the duration of the student's undergraduate career.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism because their report contained numerous uncited passages copied from online sources.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 50% reduction in earned grade on the lab report (maximum 10% reduction in course grade); disciplinary probation for the duration of the student's undergraduate career.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism because their report submission had a 36% similarity to a report submitted by another student in the course; student was not transparent during first meeting.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment (10% of the final grade); a further 5% off the final grade (if this penalty would trip a course failure, then a final course grade of 50 will be assigned); disciplinary probation for the duration of the student's undergraduate career.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism because their lab report submission had a 49% similarity to a report submitted by a previous student based on a Turnitin similarity analysis.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment (10% of the final grade); disciplinary probation for the duration of the student's undergraduate career.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism because their assignment submission contained numerous statements copied verbatim from the detailed assignment instructions; second plagiarism offence; student warned that a third offence will incur suspension.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the report (20% of the final course grade); 5% reduction in the final course grade (if this penalty trips a course failure, then a final course grade of 50 will be assigned); disciplinary probation for the duration of the student's undergraduate care

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism because several of the answers in the Theory section of the student's report submission matched verbatim to various online sources. In addition, the report was very similar to the submission of two other students in the course.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the Theory section of the report (1.9 percent of the final course grade); disciplinary probation for the duration of the student's undergraduate career.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism because they took numerous passages verbatim, without proper citation, from various sources. Student admitted to experiencing time constraints due to full time work and a heavy course load. Student had every intention to paraphrase and cite their sources however, they mistakenly submitted the unedited version of their assignment.

Degree: Graduate **Year:** Not specified

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the assignment; disciplinary probation for the remainder of their academic career.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 25% deduction on the assignment.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 50% deduction on the assignment.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of submitting an assignment that contained plagiarized text from an assignment submitted by a student who previously took the course.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment (15%); disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism on their op-ed assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 50% deduction of earned grade on the assignment; Academic Integrity Workshop.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment (20%); Academic Integrity Workshop.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism on their op-ed assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment (20%); Academic Integrity Workshop.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism on their assignment. Student stated that two of their family members had Cancer.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 for the assignment (30%); disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism on their op-ed assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 50% deduction of earned grade on the assignment; Academic Integrity Workshop.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 25% deduction of earned grade on the assignment; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student found guilty of plagiarism. Second offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 for the assignment (20%); disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism on their op-ed assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 25% deduction of earned grade on the assignment; Academic Integrity Workshop.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student found guilty of plagiarism on their op-ed assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 25% deduction of earned grade on the assignment; academic integrity workshop.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism because they submitted an essay (worth 40% of the mark) with several passages that were taken from internet sources, without attribution. First offence and student admitted responsibility and shared that they were being treated for some mental health issues.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 50% reduction on the assignment earned grade; disciplinary probation for the duration of the student's academic program.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism because they submitted an essay in which there were many phrases or sentences taken verbatim from sources, without quotation marks.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: 25% reduction of the earned grade on the assignment; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism because their writing assignment was found to be substantively and structurally identical to one that had been submitted to the same course the previous year. The student admitted to having taken it from the internet and changed it slightly.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism on an assignment because numerous passages were taken verbatim from an internet source without attribution.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism on an assignment because numerous passages were taken verbatim from an internet source without attribution. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism because their assignment had several direct quotations and no bibliography.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment; disciplinary probation. The student will complete ENGL 129/EMLS 129R: Written Academic English (not to count towards Degree requirements).

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism after a group assignment was submitted with a number of passages taken directly from internet sources not properly cited. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: No penalty on the assignment or course mark; Academic Integrity Workshop; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism in a group assignment as several passages were taken directly from an internet source, without proper attribution.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 25% reduction of the earned mark on the assignment; disciplinary probation to be removed on successful completion of the Academic Integrity Workshop.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism in a group assignment as several passages were taken directly from an internet source, without proper attribution.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Disciplinary probation, which will be removed on successful completion of the Academic Integrity Workshop.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism after submitting an assignment that contained passages taken from an essay they had previously submitted in another course.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 50% reduction in the earned grade on the assignment; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism after submitting an essay that was nearly identical to one that had been submitted by them in another class.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment; disciplinary probation, to be ended on the successful completion of the Academic Integrity Workshop.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism because their assignment was similar to another student's paper with whom they admittedly collaborated with.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment; reduction of 5% on the overall course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism because their assignment was similar to another student's paper with whom they admittedly collaborated with.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment; reduction of 5% on the overall course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism because their work term report submission was similar to a previously published document.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: Resubmit the report; disciplinary probation for the duration of the student's undergraduate career.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student found guilty of plagiarism as four of their assignments were found to be taken word-for-word from previous assignments.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 5

Penalty/Decision: Grades of 0 for all assignments; 10-mark deduction from course grade.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 0 on assignment (worth 20%); disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the work report; One of PHIL 11B, 121 or 221 W20 (will be a 6th course); disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 50% deduction on assignment (worth 10%); 5% deduction from final course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 25% deduction of earned grade on the assignment (worth 20%); disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarizing their classmate's paper.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment (15%); disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of unauthorized collaboration after sharing their assignment with a classmate.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 50% deduction of earned grade (worth 15%).

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment (worth 15%).

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 25% deduction from earned grade (assignment 20%); disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on Questions 1 and 2 of the assignment, 5 mark deduction from course grade.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on Questions 1 and 2 of the assignment, 5 mark deduction from course grade.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism and admitted to copying verbatim over half an assignment handed in by another student in the same course during a previous term.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment; additional 5% deduction from the final course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism after it was found that their assignment contained many similarities to an assignment previously submitted by another student.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 for Questions 4 and 5 of the assignment, 5 mark deduction from course grade.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism after submitting an assignment with content taken from a previous student's submission.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment; 5% off the course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism after submitting an assignment containing statements copied word-for-word from uncited sources.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment (3% of the final course grade); disciplinary probation for the duration of the student's undergraduate career; if the student drops the course, the student will incur an additional penalty of 15 hours of unpaid community service in a challenging position for an off-campus organization. The organization must be endorsed by the University of Waterloo and must provide written proof of the student's community service directly to the UW contact, a minimum of one month before the date of the student's convocation. The student must verify that the organization can provide such a letter.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism because one of the answers in student's assignment was copied word-for-word from uncited sources.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment (3% of the final course grade); disciplinary probation for the duration of the student's undergraduate career; if the student drops the course, the student will incur an additional penalty of 15 hours of unpaid community service in a challenging position for an off-campus organization. The organization must be endorsed by the University of Waterloo and must provide written proof of the student's community service directly to the UW contact, a minimum of one month before the date of the student's convocation. The student must verify that the organization can provide such a letter.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism after it was found that they copied off another student by submitting word-for-word solutions.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 for the specific question in the assignment; 5 mark deduction from course grade.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism after it was found that they copied off another student by submitting word-for-word solutions. Second offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 for the specific question in the assignment; 10 mark deduction from course grade.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism because they submitted an assignment which contained copied text from various websites, including Wikipedia.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment (3% of the final course grade); 10% deduction from final course grade; disciplinary probation for the duration of the student's undergraduate career; if the student drops the course, the student will incur an additional penalty of 25 h

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism as large sections of term paper contained verbatim text.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment; 5 marks off the final grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism after submitting an assignment with content taken from a previous student's submission.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment; 5% deduction from final course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism after submitting an assignment with content taken from a previous student's submission.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment; 5% deduction from final course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism after submitting an assignment with content taken from a previous student's submission.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment; 5% deduction from final course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism after submitting a solution to a question that was an answer for a similar question from a different term.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: 0 on specific question in the assignment; 5 mark deduction from final grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism after submitting an essay which referenced online material, without attribution.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism after submitting a report which contained large blocks of text copied word-for-word from uncited online sources and few in-text citations. Student subsequently dropped course.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Penalty of 25 hours of unpaid community service in a challenging position for an off-campus organization. The organization must be endorsed by the University of Waterloo and must provide written proof of the student's community service directly to the UW contact, a minimum of one month before the date of the student's convocation. The student must verify that the organization can provide such a letter.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism as it was found that their submitted assignment contained a high Degree of similarity with two other students. Student admitted to working closely together with other students.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on specific questions in the assignment; 5 mark deduction from final course grade.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism as it was found that their submitted assignment contained a high Degree of similarity with two other students. Student admitted to working closely together with other students.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on specific questions in the assignment; 5 mark deduction from final course grade.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism as it was found that their submitted assignment contained a high Degree of similarity with two other students. Student admitted to working closely together with other students.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on specific questions in the assignment; 5 mark deduction from final course grade.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism because their report submission contained numerous sentences that were copied from the cited source documents with only minor word substitutions.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment (3% of the final course grade); 5% deduction from final course grade; disciplinary probation for the duration of the student's undergraduate career; if the student drops the course, the student will incur an additional penalty of 15 hours of unpaid community service in a challenging position for an off-campus organization. The organization must be endorsed by the University of Waterloo and must provide written proof of the student's community service directly to the UW contact, a minimum of one month before the date of the student's convocation. The student must verify that the organization can provide such a letter.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism as their assignment submissions were identical to that of a another student's submission during a previous term. Student submitted the assignment in error and attempted to notify the instructor of the mistake.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 0 for the specific question in the assignment; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism as their assignment submissions were identical to that of a another student's submission during a previous term.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 0 for the specific question in the assignment; 5% deduction in final course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism on an assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment (worth 8%); 5% from final course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism on an assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment; 5% from final course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism after it was found that they provided an electronic version of their paper from a previous term to another student.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: Disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism after submitting an assignment that another student had submitted during a previous term.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment; 5% from final course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism on an assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 50% grade penalty (no failure if report deemed passable prior to the application of the grade - maximum grade 65%); disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism on an assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 25% deduction of earned grade (assignment worth 20%); disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism on an assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment (worth 35%); disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism after submitting a final paper for course without adequately offering citations for sources. Student failed to cite ideas discussed in the lecture regarding a course text and used sentences that they had previously used in an essay for another course. Student admitted to error.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 25% deduction on the assignment; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism because their submitted final essay contained numerous sentences taken verbatim from sources without use of quotations. Further, citations often offered inaccurate page references. Student admitted to error.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism on their lab assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism on an assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism on an assignment by copying and pasting sections of text into their assignment, without using in text citations. The work also had inconsistent font sizes.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on assignment; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism after submitting an essay without in text citation.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 50% deduction to assignment grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism after submitting an essay without in text citation.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 50% deduction to assignment grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism after submitting an essay without in text citation.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 50% deduction to assignment grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: Disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism because they copied content directly on a quiz from course material and internet sources without citing correctly and paraphrasing. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 0 for the quiz; required to take Academic Integrity Workshop; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism after admitting that they used code form another student. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the specific questions in the assignment.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism after submitting an assignment which did not cite any references at all. Student advised they forgot to add in the references.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism.

Degree: Undergraduate

Year: 4

Penalty/Decision: Revised discipline penalty in light of COVID-19 - Complete an essay of a minimum of 1,500 words which describes the Policy 71 offence and explain error; read the 10-page Editor's Desk article and describe the potential key benefits of volunteering and which of those benefits resonate most and submit for approval a minimum of 2 months before convocation date; disciplinary probation for the duration of the student's UW undergraduate career.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism because their paper submission contained numerous phrases and sentences copied word-for-word from published sources. In some cases, the sources were not cited.

Degree: Undergraduate

Year: 4

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment (worth 25%); 5 additional marks deducted from final grade; disciplinary probation for the duration of the student's UW undergraduate career; if the student drops the course, the student will incur an additional penalty of 15 hours of unpaid community service in a challenging position for an off-campus organization. The organization must be endorsed by the University of Waterloo and must provide written proof of the student's community service directly to the UW contact, a minimum of one month before the date of the student's convocation. The student must verify that the organization can provide such a letter.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism and admitted to copying a previous assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate

Year: 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 for specific question in the assignment; deduction of 5 marks from course grade, disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism in two separate

assignments. **Degree:** Undergraduate

Year: Not specified

Penalty/Decision: 0 for both assignments; 5 mark deduction from course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism because they supplied a friend with answers to 3 assignments, and admitted doing so in their letter of response.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 0 for 3 assignments; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism because they asked a friend to supply them with answers to 3 assignments, stating that they were very busy with their co-op employment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 0 for 3 assignments; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism after admitting to using an assignment found online.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** Not specified

Penalty/Decision: 0 for the assignment; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism after submitting an assignment which shared a number of similar features to that of another student.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 for the assignment; 5 mark deduction from final grade.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism after submitting an assignment which shared a number of similar features to that of another student.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 for the specific question in the assignment; 5 mark deduction from final grade.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism upon admitting to copying an answer from a student in a previous term.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment; 5 mark deduction on the overall course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism in an annotated bibliography assignment because they included several passages without adequate paraphrasing or quotation marks. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment; 5 marks off the final grade in the course that shall not, in itself, result in a failure in the course; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism after it was found that several sentences in their report submission were copied verbatim from cited sources; others were only moderately paraphrased.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 50% of earned grade in assignment; disciplinary probation for the duration of the student's UW undergraduate career; if the student drops the course, the student will incur an additional penalty of 15 hours of unpaid community service in a challenging position for an off-campus organization. The organization must be endorsed by the University of Waterloo and must provide written proof of the student's community service directly to the UW contact, a minimum of one month before the date of the student's convocation. The student must verify that the organization can provide such a letter.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism because their assignment submission had several similarities to another student's submission from a previous term.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment (1.8% of the final course grade); disciplinary probation for the duration of the student's UW undergraduate career; if the student drops the course, the student will incur an additional penalty of 15 hours of unpaid community service in a challenging position for an off-campus organization. The organization must be endorsed by the University of Waterloo and must provide written proof of the student's community service directly to the UW contact, a minimum of one month before the date of the student's convocation. The student must verify that the organization can provide such a letter.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism because their peer review assignment submission was exactly the same as a peer review submitted earlier by another student.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the Peer Review assignment (10% of the course grade); 10% off the final grade; disciplinary probation for the duration of the student's UW undergraduate career; if the student drops the course, the student will incur an additional penalty of 15 hours of unpaid community service in a challenging position for an off-campus organization. The organization must be endorsed by the University of Waterloo

and must provide written proof of the student's community service directly to the UW contact, a minimum of one month before the date of the student's convocation. The student must verify that the organization can provide such a letter.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism because some of the answers in their submitted assignment contained verbatim text from uncited sources.

Degree: Undergraduate

Year: 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the specific questions; disciplinary probation for the duration of the student's UW undergraduate career; if the student drops the course, the student will incur an additional penalty of 15 hours of unpaid community service in a challenging position for an off-campus organization. The organization must be endorsed by the University of Waterloo and must provide written proof of the student's community service directly to the UW contact, a minimum of one month before the date of the student's convocation. The student must verify that the organization can provide such a letter.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism because some of the answers in their submitted assignment contained verbatim text from uncited sources.

Degree: Undergraduate

Year: 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the specific questions (6.7% of final grade); if penalty triggers a course failure, then a final course grade of 50 will be assigned; disciplinary probation for the duration of the student's UW undergraduate career; if the student drops the course, the student will incur an additional penalty of 15 hours of unpaid community service in a challenging position for an off-campus organization. The organization must be endorsed by the University of Waterloo and must provide written proof of the student's community service directly to the UW contact, a minimum of one month before the date of the student's convocation. The student must verify that the organization can provide such a letter.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism because some of the answers in their submitted assignment contained verbatim text from uncited sources.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the specific questions; if penalty triggers a course failure, then a final course grade of 50 will be assigned; disciplinary probation for the duration of the student's UW undergraduate career; if the student drops the course, the student will incur an additional penalty of 15 hours of unpaid community service in a challenging position for an off-campus organization. The organization must be endorsed by the University of Waterloo and must provide written proof of the student's community service directly to the UW contact, a minimum of one month before the date of the student's convocation. The student must verify that the organization can provide such a letter.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism because some of the answers in their submitted assignment contained verbatim text from uncited sources.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the specific questions; if penalty triggers a course failure, then a final course grade of 50 will be assigned; disciplinary probation for the duration of the student's UW undergraduate career; if the student drops the course, the student will incur an additional penalty of 15 hours of unpaid community service in a challenging position for an off-campus organization. The organization must be endorsed by the University of Waterloo and must provide written proof of the student's community service directly to the UW contact, a minimum of one month before the date of the student's convocation. The student must verify that the organization can provide such a letter.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism because some of the answers in their assignment submission contained verbatim text from cited sources; two answers contained text copied from uncited sources.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the specific questions; if penalty triggers a course failure, then a final course grade of 50 will be assigned; disciplinary probation for the duration of the student's UW undergraduate career; if the student drops the course, the student will incur an additional penalty of 15 hours of unpaid community service in a challenging position for an off-campus organization. The organization must be endorsed by

the University of Waterloo and must provide written proof of the student's community service directly to the UW contact, a minimum of one month before the date of the student's convocation. The student must verify that the organization can provide such a letter.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism because some of the answers in their submitted assignment contained verbatim text from uncited sources.

Degree: Undergraduate

Year: 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the specific questions; if penalty triggers a course failure, then a final course grade of 50 will be assigned; disciplinary probation for the duration of the student's UW undergraduate career; if the student drops the course, the student will incur an additional penalty of 15 hours of unpaid community service in a challenging position for an off-campus organization. The organization must be endorsed by the University of Waterloo and must provide written proof of the student's community service directly to the UW contact, a minimum of one month before the date of the student's convocation. The student must verify that the organization can provide such a letter.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: A student submitted another student's work to an online quiz. Second offence.

Degree: Undergraduate

Year: 2

Penalty/Decision: A mark of NCR on the test; continued disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism because their assignment submission had several similarities to another student's submission from Winter 2019. The reports had the same flow of ideas, but with unusual differences in wording consistent with automatic paraphrasing.

Degree: Undergraduate

Year: 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment (20%); if penalty triggers a course failure, then a final course grade of 50 will be assigned; disciplinary probation for the duration of the student's UW undergraduate career; if the student drops the course, the student will incur an additional

penalty of 15 hours of unpaid community service in a challenging position for an off-campus organization. The organization must be endorsed by the University of Waterloo and must provide written proof of the student's community service directly to the UW contact, a minimum of one month before the date of the student's convocation. The student must verify that the organization can provide such a letter.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found to have plagiarized in a submitted assignment. Student admitted guilt.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the specific question in the assignment; an additional 5% to be deducted from the overall course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism for 2 modules.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 0 on both modules (1.81% each); 5% deduction from final grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of unauthorized collaboration. Student took the course in Spring 2019 and was the original author of the work submitted by another student.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: Disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student plagiarized another student's assignment and submitted it as their own. The student agreed to an informal resolution and accepted the penalty.

Degree: Undergraduate

Year: 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student plagiarized the submission of another student. The student admitted to plagiarism.

Degree: Undergraduate

Year: 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism because their assignment had code in common with another student's assignment submitted in Spring 2019. Student admitted guilt; first offence.

Degree: Undergraduate

Year: 4

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism because their assignment had code in common with another student's assignment submitted in Spring 2019. Student admitted guilt; first offence.

Degree: Undergraduate

Year: 3

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism because their essay submission contained ideas taken from an uncited article posted online.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 50% deduction of the grade earned on the essay; disciplinary probation for the duration of the student's undergraduate career.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism because their assignment had code in common with another student's assignment submitted in Fall 2018. Student admitted guilt; first offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** Not specified

Penalty/Decision: 50% deduction from assignment.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism because their assignment had content included from the course which was not cited. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 50% deduction from assignment.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student copied information from Wikipedia during an online test. Student advised that they were under the impression the assessment was open book. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 0 for the specific question; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student found guilty of plagiarism because they submitted an assignment which contained similar features to that of another student's submission. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on specific question in assignment; 5 mark deduction from final grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student found guilty of plagiarism because they submitted an assignment which contained similar features to that of another student's submission. Student also copied two other answers from another student. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on three specific questions in the assignment; 5 mark deduction from final grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Students submitted an assignment which contained a variety of similar features. Student posted the code briefly online. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 5 mark deduction from final grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Students submitted an assignment which had a variety of similar features. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the specific question in the assignment; 5 mark deduction from final grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Students submitted an assignment which had a variety of similar features. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the specific question in the assignment; 5 mark deduction from final grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism because they submitted a written assignment that contained work from a previous student in the same course. First offence; student accepted responsibility.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism because their assignment submission shared similar features to an online assignment submitted by a previous student. Student admitted guilt. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism because their assignment submission shared code in common with that of another student. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism because their assignment submission shared code in common with that of another student. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism because their assignment submission contained code which was very similar to that of another student who had taken the same course in Fall 2019. Student admitted offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the specific questions in the assignment.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: A student submitted a paper with plagiarized sections taken from various sources, without attribution. In a phone call with the Associate Dean, the student claimed it was inadvertent. Further investigation revealed additional examples of deliberate plagiarism. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment; a further 5 marks off the final grade in the course; successful completion of a course on ethics, to be selected from PHIL 110B, 218J, 219J, 221, RS 150, 283, with the grade earned to be included in all averages, but the course credit will not count towards any degree requirement (coded CLC); disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism on 2 assignments.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 1st offense: 50% of earned grade; -5% from final course grade. 2nd offense: 25% of earned grade; both assignments are to be used in the calculation of the 5 best; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student found guilty of plagiarism.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 0% on assignment (worth 30%); disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student admitted to submitting another student's work for an assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment; further deduction of 5% off the overall final course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: The student was found guilty of plagiarism because their assignment submissions matched that of another student who had taken the course in the previous year. Student admitted guilty.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment; further deduction of 5% off the overall course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student found guilty of plagiarism on two assignments which contained material copied from another student. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 50% reduction in grade for assignments.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student found guilty of plagiarism because their assignment contained code which shared similar features with a copy of the assignment from another student of a previous term, found online. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 0 on specific question in assignment; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student found guilty of plagiarism because their assignment contained code which shared similar features with a copy of the assignment from another student of a previous term, found online. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on specific question in assignment; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student found guilty of plagiarism because their assignment contained code which shared similar features with a copy of the assignment from another student of a previous term, found online. Second offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the specific question in the assignment; further 10 mark deduction from final course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student plagiarized sections of their assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 20% deduction from the assignment; LinkedIn Learning workshop requirement.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student found guilty of plagiarism in their assignment because significant sections of text were taken from Course Hero without citation or summary.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: 50% deduction from the assignment, disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism because they took extensive verbatim text from multiple sources in their assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment; additional 5% deduction on overall course grade.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on assignment (worth 20%); Academic Integrity Workshop.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on assignment (worth 20%); disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** Not specified

Penalty/Decision: 0 on assignment (worth 20%); Academic Integrity Workshop.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism because their final assessment shared similar features to that of another student who took the course in a previous term, and was found to use other online sources without citing correctly. Second offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the final assessment; -5 from final grade.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student found guilty of plagiarism.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: 25% of earned grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: In an online exam, a student used material plagiarized from an internet source. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** Not specified

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment; a further 5 marks off the final grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism as their submissions were very similar to those found online. Student's email address was used to upload solutions online. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on assessment; one term suspension.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student copied solutions for their online assessment from an online source. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Failure in final assessment; one term suspension.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student copied solutions for their online assessment from an online source. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Failure in final assessment; one term suspension.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student copied solutions for their online assessment from an online source. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Failure in final assessment; one term suspension.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student copied solutions for their online assessment from an online source. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Failure in final assessment; one term suspension.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student copied solutions for their online assessment from an online source. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Failure in final assessment; one term suspension.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student copied solutions for their online assessment from an online source and wording was almost identical in some instances.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 for assessment; one term suspension.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student copied solutions for their online assessment from an online source.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Failure in final assessment; one term suspension.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student copied solutions for their online assessment from an online source. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Failure in course; one term suspension.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: The student's submitted solutions in a final Assessment resemble those found online.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assessment; one term suspension.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student found guilty of plagiarism because their assignment submission had a high Degree of similarity to those of another student's. The assignment lacked citing of sources. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: 50% deduction on assignment.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student found guilty of plagiarism because their assignment submission had a high Degree of similarity to those of another student's. The assignment lacked citing of sources. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 50% deduction on assignment.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: For a final project, students were expected to come up with four original exam questions, and provide solutions. Students borrowed questions from course materials.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 for the specific question; -5% on the overall course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: For a final project, students were expected to come up with four original exam questions, and provide solutions. Students borrowed questions from course materials.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 for the specific question; -5% on the overall course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism because their end of term assignment contained sources taken from various web sources and papers submitted online by other students.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: 0 on assignment; -5% from final grade.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism because their end of term assignment contained sources taken from various web sources and papers submitted online by other students. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on assignment.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism because their assignment contained solutions that matched with submissions from previous students. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: 0 on assignment; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism.

Degree: Graduate **Year:** 0

Penalty/Decision: 50% penalty for that component of the course.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Excerpts in the student's final report were from an MSc Thesis submitted in 2019.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: 5% deduction on the report; 5% deduction from the final course grade for a total 10% final grade penalty.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student took information from the internet without citing it in their Major Reflective report.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment and the completion of an online course (through LinkedIn Learning) on proper citation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism after submitting a paper that matched with another assignment and two different websites found online.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment; additional 5% deducted from the overall course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism after submitted an assignment which had solutions copied verbatim from old solutions. Student admitted to referring to old assignments when they had previously taken the same course. Second offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: 0 on Assignment 1; -5 from final grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student did not use any in-text citations their assignment submission. Unintentional plagiarism.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 50% deduction in earned grade on the assignment.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student found guilty of plagiarism after admitting to copying from another student's paper.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: 50% of earned grade on the assignment (worth 30%); disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism on their final assessment as their submission contained items that were identical to another student's submission. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: 0 on final exam and one term suspension.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism on their final assessment as their submission contained items that were identical to another student's submission. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: 0 on final exam and one term suspension.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism as their final assessment had identical responses to those of another student. Second allegation.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: 0 on final exam and one term suspension.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism as four of their answers for the written questions in their assignment submission contained nearly verbatim text to two different online sources.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 0 on part of the assignment (0.8% of the course grade); •2% off the final course grade; disciplinary probation for the duration of the student's undergraduate career.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism and admitted to receiving help from another student. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: 0 on specific question; -5% from final grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism as two of their answers for the written questions in their assignment submission were found to contain nearly verbatim text to various online sources.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: 0 on specific section in assignment; 2% deduction from final grade; disciplinary probation for the duration of your UW undergraduate career.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism as two of their answers for the written questions in their assignment submission were found to contain nearly verbatim text to various online sources.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: 0 on specific section in assignment; 2% deduction from final grade; disciplinary probation for the duration of your UW undergraduate career.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism on an assignment which contained solutions that were found to be copied from online sources. Student admitted guilt. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on specific question on assignment; -5% deduction from final grade, disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Students submitted identical solutions on an assignment and admitted to plagiarism. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on specific questions on assignment; -5% deduction from final grade, disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Students submitted identical solutions on an assignment and admitted to plagiarism. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on specific questions on assignment; -5% deduction from final grade, disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism as their submitted assignment contained solutions copied from official solutions. Student admitted to retaking the course and reusing their old notes.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: 0 on specific questions; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student used Chegg.com to find solutions to assignment questions. First offence. Student admitted struggling in the course and didn't intend to use the Chegg solution in their assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 0 on specific question in assignment; -3% from final course grade.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted an assignment which contained similar content to that of two other students in the course. Student accepted penalty. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 0 on assignment; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism in an assignment and there were several instances of identical or near-identical wording in three students' assignments.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 0 on assignment; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student used Chegg.com to access and copy solutions in two assignments. Student admitted to offence. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on both assignments, -3% final grade deduction; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student used Chegg.com to access and copy solutions in an assignments. Student admitted to offence. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: 0 on assignment; additional 3% final grade reduction; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student used Chegg.com to access and copy solutions in two assignments. Student admitted to offence. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on both assignments, -3% final grade deduction; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student used Chegg.com to access and copy solutions in two assignments. Student admitted to offence. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on both assignments, -3% final grade deduction; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student used Chegg.com to access and copy solutions in two assignments. Student admitted to offence. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 0 on both assignments, -3% final grade deduction; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student used Chegg.com to access and copy solutions in two assignments. Student admitted to offence. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on both assignments, -3% final grade deduction; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student used Chegg.com to access and copy solutions in two assignments. Student admitted to offence. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on both assignments, -3% final grade deduction; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism as at least one answer in their assignment submission was found to be too similar to a solution posted on the website Chegg.com to be considered their own work.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the specific questions (0.5% of the final grade); 2% deduction from final grade; disciplinary probation for the duration of the student's undergraduate career. If the student drops the course, the alternate penalty will be 15 hours of unpaid community service or a 1,500 word essay in which the student describes their Policy 71 offence and penalty.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism as at least one answer in their assignment submission was found to be too similar to a solution posted on the website Chegg.com to be considered their own work.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the specific questions (0.5% of the final grade); 2% deduction from final grade; disciplinary probation for the duration of the student's undergraduate career.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism as at least one answer in their assignment submission was found to be too similar to a solution posted on the website Chegg.com to be considered their own work.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the specific questions (0.24% of the final grade); 2% deduction from final grade; disciplinary probation for the duration of the student's undergraduate career. If the student drops the course, the alternate penalty will be 15 hours of unpaid community service or a 1,500 word essay in which the student describes their Policy 71 offence and penalty.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism as at least one answer in their assignment submission was found to be too similar to a solution posted on the website Chegg.com to be considered their own work.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the specific questions (3.75% of the final grade); 2% deduction from final grade; disciplinary probation for the duration of the student's undergraduate career. If the student drops the course, the alternate penalty will be 15 hours of unpaid community service or a 1,500 word essay in which the student describes their Policy 71 offence and penalty.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism as at least one answer in their assignment submission was found to be too similar to a solution posted on the website Chegg.com to be considered their own work.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the specific questions (3.75% of the final grade); 2% deduction from final grade; disciplinary probation for the duration of the student's undergraduate career. If the student drops the course, the alternate penalty will be 15 hours of unpaid community service or a 1,500 word essay in which the student describes their Policy 71 offence and penalty.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism as at least four answers in their assignment submission was found to be too similar to a solution posted on the website Chegg.com to be considered their own work.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment (3.75% of final grade); this mark will be included in the final grade calculation; 10% off the final grade; disciplinary probation for the duration of the student's undergraduate career. If the course is dropped, the alternate penalty will be 25 hours of unpaid community service in a challenging position for an off-campus organization endorsed by the University of Waterloo OR a 1,500 word essay describing the student's Policy 71 offence and penalty and explaining what they learned from their error, to be submitted to their Associate Dean for approval a minimum of 2 months before their intended convocation date.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism as their submission shared features with another student's submission. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on specific question in assignment; -5% from final course grade.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism as their submission shared features with another student's submission. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on specific question in assignment; -5% from final course grade.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism as their submission shared features with another student's submission. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on specific question in assignment; -5% from final course grade.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism as their submission shared features with another student's submission. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on specific question in assignment; -5% from final course grade.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism as their submission shared features with another student's submission. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on specific question in assignment; -3% from final course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism as their submission shared features with another student's submission. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on specific question in assignment; -3% from final course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism as their submission shared features with another student's submission. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on specific question in assignment; -3% from final course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism as their submission shared features with another student's submission. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on specific question in assignment; -3% from final course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism as their submission shared features with another student's submission. First offence. Student admitted offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on specific question in assignment; -3% from final course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism and admitted to accessing Chegg.com and using the answers as their own on two assignments.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on both assignments; minus 5 marks on the overall course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism as their assignment was flagged for using Chegg.com. First offence and student admitted guilt.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on specific question in assignment; -3% deduction from final grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism as their assignment was flagged for using Chegg.com. First offence and student admitted guilt.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on specific question in assignment; -3% deduction from final grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism as their assignment was flagged for using Chegg.com. First offence and student admitted guilt.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on specific question in assignment; -3% deduction from final grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism as their assignment was flagged for using Chegg.com. First offence and student admitted guilt.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 0 on specific question in assignment; -3% deduction from final grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism as their assignment was flagged for using Chegg.com.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on specific question in assignment; -3% deduction from final grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism as their assignment was flagged for using Chegg.com. First offence and student admitted guilt.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on specific question in assignment; -3% deduction from final grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism as their assignments were flagged for using Chegg.com. First offence and student admitted guilt.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 for three assignments; -3% deduction from final grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism as their assignment was flagged for using Chegg.com. Second offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on specific questions in assignment; -5% deduction from final grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism as their assignment was flagged for using Chegg.com.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on specific question in assignment; -3% deduction from final grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism as their assignment was flagged for using Chegg.com. Second offence and student admitted guilt.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on specific question in assignment; -3% deduction from final grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism as their assignment was flagged for using Chegg.com. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on specific question in assignment; -5% deduction from final grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism as their assignments were flagged for using Chegg.com. First offence; student admitted guilt and provided the email that Chegg sends students.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on specific questions in assignment; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism after it was found that their submissions on an assignment were copied from old solutions. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: 0 on specific questions; 5 mark deduction from final course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism after it was found that they made the same errors as other students in an assignment, including using the same incorrect examples.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on specific answer; 10 mark deduction from final grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism after it was found that they made the same errors as other students in an assignment, including using the same incorrect examples.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on specific answer; 10 mark deduction from final grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism after it was found that they made the same errors as other students in an assignment, including using the same incorrect examples.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on specific answer; 10 mark deduction from final grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism after it was found that they made the same errors as other students in an assignment, including using the same incorrect examples.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on specific answer; 10 mark deduction from final grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism after it was found that they made the same errors as other students in an assignment, including using the same incorrect examples.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on specific answer; 10 mark deduction from final grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism after it was found that their solution was copied directly from a solution posted online. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 0 on specific answer; 10 mark deduction from final grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism as their essay included plagiarized material. In particular, it included references to books that the instructor identified as not available electronically, as well as paragraphs that appeared to have come from online sources not cited. The student utilized a PDF version of the a textbook, which was different from the one assigned to the class, which explained some of the possible errors in citation. The student also explained that they had a very difficult household situation, which had impacted their ability to do this work. Examination of the essay confirmed that a majority of the essay appeared to be mis-attributed or unattributed. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 50% reduction in the mark on the essay assignment; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism after it was found that they used Chegg for their assignment submission. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on specific answers; 3 mark deduction from final grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism after it was found that they used Chegg for their assignment submission. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on specific answers; 3 mark deduction from final grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism after it was found that they used Chegg for their assignment submission. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 0 on specific answers; 3 mark deduction from final grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism after it was found that they used Chegg for their assignment submission. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on specific answers; 3 mark deduction from final grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism after it was found that they used Chegg for their assignment submission. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on specific answers; 3 mark deduction from final grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism after it was found that they used Chegg for their assignment submission. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on specific answers; 3 mark deduction from final grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism after it was found that they used Chegg for their assignment submission. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on specific answers; 3 mark deduction from final grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism after it was found that they used Chegg for their assignment submission. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on specific answers; 3 mark deduction from final grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism after it was found that they used Chegg for their assignment submission. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on specific answers; 3 mark deduction from final grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism after it was found that they used Chegg for their assignment submission. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on specific answers; 3 mark deduction from final grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism after it was found that they used Chegg for their assignment submission. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on specific answers; 3 mark deduction from final grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism after it was found that they used Chegg for their assignment submission. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 0 on specific answers; 3 mark deduction from final grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism after it was found that they used Chegg for their assignment submission. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on specific answers; 3 mark deduction from final grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism after it was found that they used Chegg for their assignment submission. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on specific answers; 3 mark deduction from final grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism after it was found that they used Chegg for their assignment submission. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on specific answers; 3 mark deduction from final grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism after it was found that they used Chegg for their assignment submission. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on specific answers; 3 mark deduction from final grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism after it was found that they used Chegg for their assignment submission. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on specific answers; 3 mark deduction from final grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism after it was found that they used Chegg for their assignment submission. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on specific answers; 3 mark deduction from final grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism after it was found that they used Chegg for their assignment submission. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on specific answers; 3 mark deduction from final grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism after it was found that they used Chegg for their assignment submission. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on specific answers; 3 mark deduction from final grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism after it was found that they used Chegg for their assignment submission. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on specific answers; 3 mark deduction from final grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism after it was found that they used Chegg for their assignment submission. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on specific answers; 3 mark deduction from final grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism on an assignment. Student admitted offence and was remorseful.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 0 for assignment; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism as they submitted answers that were copied from official solutions. Second offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: 0 on specific questions; 10 mark deduction from final grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism as their answer shared similar features to that of another student. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on specific answer; 5 mark deduction from final grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism as their answer shared similar features to that of another student. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on specific answer; 5 mark deduction from final grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism as their answer shared similar features to that of another student. First offence. Student admitted guilt.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on specific answer; 3 mark deduction from final grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism as their answer shared similar features to that of another student. First offence. Student admitted guilt.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on specific answer; 3 mark deduction from final grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student found guilty of plagiarism by copying old solutions for specific answers. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 5

Penalty/Decision: 0 on specific answers; 5 mark deduction from final grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student found guilty of plagiarism.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 0% for the assignment (25%); disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism after it was found that they used Chegg for their assignment submission. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on specific answer; 5 mark deduction from final grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism after copying solutions from an online source. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: 0 on specific answers; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student used Chegg for solutions on an assignment. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment; further reduction of 3 marks on the overall course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: A group of students used Chegg.com for solutions on an assignment. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment; further reduction of 3 marks on the overall course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: A group of students used Chegg.com for solutions on an assignment. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment; further reduction of 5 marks on the overall course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student used Chegg for solutions on an assignment. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment; further reduction of 5 marks on the overall course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student used Chegg for solutions on an assignment. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment; further reduction of 3 marks on the overall course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism after submitting answers which were copied from official solutions. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment; further reduction of 3 marks on the overall course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student posted questions from assignments to Chegg.com. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignments; further reduction of 5 marks on both of the overall course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student agreed to an informal resolution for a plagiarism offence in PD10. The penalty consisted of 0 on the assignment and disciplinary probation.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the midterm; further reduction of 5 marks on both of the overall course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism after submitting a draft assignment which contained substantial material from online sources that were not cited. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 0 on draft assignment.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism after submitting a draft assignment which contained substantial material from online sources that were not cited. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on draft assignment.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student's assignment contained sections that were not cited and/or not cited correctly.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: 0 on assignment; further 5 mark deduction from final course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student found guilty of plagiarism upon copying another student's work.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: 0 on specific question in assignment; further 5 mark deduction from final course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student found guilty of plagiarism upon copying another student's work.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: 0 on specific question in assignment; further 5 mark deduction from final course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student used Chegg for solutions in an assignment. Second offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment; further deduction of 10 marks from final course grade; one term suspension; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student used Chegg for solutions in an assignment. Second offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment; further deduction of 10 marks from final course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student used Chegg for solutions in an assignment. First offence and student admitted guilt.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on specific questions in the assignment; further deduction of 3 marks from final course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student used Chegg for solutions in an assignment. First offence and student admitted guilt.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on specific questions in the assignment; further deduction of 3 marks from final course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student used Chegg for solutions in an assignment. First offence and student admitted guilt.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on specific questions in the assignment; further deduction of 8 marks from final course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student used Chegg for solutions in an assignment. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on specific questions in the assignment; further deduction of 3 marks from final course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student used Chegg for solutions in an assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on specific questions in the assignment; further deduction of 3 marks from final course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student used Chegg for solutions in an assignment. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on specific questions in the assignment; further deduction of 3 marks from final course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student used Chegg for solutions in an online quiz. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 0 on quiz, further deduction of 3 marks from final course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student used Chegg for midterm, admitted guilt and accepted outcome.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the exam; further deduction of 3 marks from course grade; one term suspension.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student used Chegg for midterm by posting questions for an assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on midterm, 0 on specific questions; disciplinary probation; one term suspension.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student posted questions on Chegg. First offence and admitted to offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the test; further deduction of 3 marks from course grade; one term suspension.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student did not indicate that they worked with another student on a specific question in an assignment. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the specific question, further deduction of 3 marks from final course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student found guilty of plagiarism after submitting an assignment with unattributed material from the internet. Second offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment; additional 15% off the final course mark; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism after it was found that their submission for their analysis assignment contained text copied word-for-word from a published source. The student attributed much of this information to a source other than the one cited and other statements had no in-text citation.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment (6% of the final grade); 5% reduction in the course grade; Disciplinary probation for the duration of the student's UW undergraduate career.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student used Course Hero to answer a question in an assignment and admitted to offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the specific question; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: The student copied answers from their tutor.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment; further 5 mark reduction on the overall course grade providing it does not change the student's standing in either the course or the term, at the time the grade is entered; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student admitted to viewing solutions on a specific question in an assignment on Chegg. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on specific questions in assignment; further 3 mark deduction from final course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Students worked together on an assignment but did not declare this on their assignment. Second offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on specific answers in the assignment; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Students worked together on an assignment but did not declare this on their assignment. Second offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on specific answers in the assignment; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student used solutions on Chegg for their assignments. Student admitted offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 0 on all the assignments; three mark deduction from final grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism after it was determined that two students had the same wrong solution.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: 0 on specific question in assignment; 3 mark deduction from final course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism after it was determined that two students had the same wrong solution.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: 0 on specific question in assignment; 3 mark deduction from final course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student admitted to using Chegg for an assignment. Second offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on specific question in assignment; 3 mark deduction from final course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted an assignment which was found to have 70% of the content acquired from outside sources and not properly cited. It was subsequently deemed the student's poor writing practice was the underlying issue.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: 50% mark reduction from the grade on the assignment.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student used a previous student's assignments for their assignment. Student accepted penalties.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: 50% grade deduction in first assignment, 0 on second and third assignments; 5 mark deduction from final course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student posted questions from a test and looked up questions for another test on Chegg. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on tests; 3 mark deduction from final course grade; one term suspension; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student copied link directly from online source and submitted it on a quiz. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 5

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the quiz.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student copied link directly from online source and submitted it on a quiz. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the quiz.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Students used Chegg solutions for their assignments. Second offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on specific questions in 2 assignments; further 3 mark deduction from final course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Students used Chegg solutions for their assignments. Second offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on specific questions in 2 assignments; further 3 mark deduction from final course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Students used Chegg solutions for their assignments. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on specific questions in 2 assignments; further 3 mark deduction from final course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Students used Chegg solutions for their assignments. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on specific questions in 2 assignments; further 3 mark deduction from final course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism after copying a code from a student who previously took the course.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the specific questions in the assignment.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted a solution which they found online. Student stated that it was accidentally submitted.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the specific question in the assignment; 5 mark deduction from final course grade.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student's assignment submission was similar to that of another student who took the course previously. Second offence. Student admitted offence and accepted responsibility.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on specific questions in assignment; further 3 mark deduction from final course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student copied solutions from an online source on two submitted assignments. First offence and penalties accepted.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on specific questions in assignment; further 3 mark deduction from final course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student used solutions found online in a submitted assignment. First offence and penalties accepted.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on specific question in assignment; further 4 mark deduction from final course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student found guilty of plagiarism as their assignment contained similar features to another student's assignment who took the course previously. Second offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 0 on specific questions in assignment; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted an assignment which shared similar answers to that of an assignment found online and from a student who previously took the course. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on specific questions in assignment; further 3 mark deduction from final course grade.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted an assignment which shared similar answers to that of an assignment found online and from a student who previously took the course. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 0 on specific questions in assignment; further 3 mark deduction from final course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted an assignment which shared similar answers to that of an assignment found online and from a student who previously took the course. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on specific questions in assignment; further 3 mark deduction from final course grade.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted an assignment which shared similar answers to that of an assignment found online. Second offence.

Degree: Undergraduate

Year: 3

Penalty/Decision: 0 on specific questions in assignment; further 4 mark deduction from final course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted an assignment which shared similar answers to that of an assignment found online. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate

Year: 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on specific questions in assignment; further 4 mark deduction from final course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted an assignment which shared similar answers to that of an assignment found online. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate

Year: 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on specific questions in assignment; further 4 mark deduction from final course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Answers in an assignment submitted by students all contained similar features. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate

Year: 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on specific questions in assignment; further 1 mark deduction from final course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student used an online resource for answers to a question in their midterm. First offence. Student admitted offence.

Degree: Undergraduate

Year: 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on midterm; further 3 mark deduction from final course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student posted a question online and made use of the solution in their midterm. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on midterm; further 8 mark deduction from final course grade; one term suspension.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism after using Chegg for an answer in an assignment. Second offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment; further deduction of 3 marks from final course grade.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism in a submitted assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 0 on specific question in the assignment; further deduction of 5 marks from final course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student used an online source to copy an answer that was submitted by a previous student. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 0 on specific question in the assignment; further deduction of 4 marks from final course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student accessed an existing post online to answer one of their midterm problems. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 0 on midterm; further deduction of 5 marks from final course grade.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student accessed an existing post online to answer one of their midterm problems. Second offence and student then admitted to another offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on midterm, 0 on specific answers in a separate assignment; further 3 mark deduction from final course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student accessed an existing post online to answer one of their midterm problems. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 0 on midterm; further deduction of 5 marks from final course grade.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student accessed an existing post online to answer one of their midterm problems. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on midterm; further deduction of 5 marks from final course grade.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student accessed an existing post online to answer one of their midterm problems. First offence. Student admitted offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on midterm, 0 on specific answers in a separate assignment; further 3 mark deduction from final course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student accessed an existing post online to answer one of their midterm problems. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on midterm; further deduction of 5 marks from final course grade.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student's submitted assignment shared similar features with that of another student. First offence and student admitted offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment; further reduction of 5 marks from the final course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student's submitted assignment shared similar features with that of another student. First offence and student admitted offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment; further reduction of 5 marks from the final course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student admitted to posting assignment questions to Chegg and further admitted to similar offences in other courses for their final assessment. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 in the final exam; further deduction of 3 marks from the final course grade in 3 separate courses; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Students had similar solutions for several questions in separate assignments. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on specific questions in 6 separate assignments; further deduction of 5 marks from final grade.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Students had similar solutions for several questions in separate assignments. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 0 on specific questions in 6 separate assignments; further deduction of 5 marks from final grade.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Students had similar solutions for several questions in separate assignments. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 0 on specific questions in 6 separate assignments; further deduction of 5 marks from final grade.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Students had similar solutions for the Final Assessment. Second offence in the same course.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 0 on midterm; further reduction of 5 marks from final course grade.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Students had similar solutions for the Final Assessment. Second offence in the same course.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 0 on midterm; further reduction of 5 marks from final course grade.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student used Chegg for a question on a test.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on midterm; further reduction of 5 marks from final course grade.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student admitted to using online sources for answers in their final exam. Second offence and student was remorseful and admitted their guilt.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on final exam.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student used an online copy of an assignment from a previous student as their submission; their submission shared similar features with the online assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 0 on specific questions; further 5 mark deduction from final course grade.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student used Chegg for solutions in an assignment. First offence and student admitted guilt.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on specific questions; 5 mark deduction from final course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student used Chegg for solutions in an assignment. First offence and student admitted guilt.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on specific questions; 5 mark deduction from final course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of extensively plagiarizing their assignments by using another student's work.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on entire peer review grade; additional 3% penalty to overall grade; disciplinary probation; apology letter to the student who had their work taken.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: The student viewed the response posted on Chegg.com for questions on an assignment and used these responses to prepare their submission. Third offence.

Degree: Undergraduate

Year: 3

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment (worth 7.5%); 5% reduction in the final course grade; one term suspension; disciplinary probation for the duration of the student's undergraduate career.

Description: Theft of intellectual property

Details: Student was observed taking pictures on their phone of their midterm during a review session. The instructor made it clear in class that students were not allowed to leave with their midterm and that the information was to remain confidential. The student was not present when the announcement about no photography was made and had evidence that other students in the room were copying the questions, and the student was remorseful.

Degree: Undergraduate

Year: 2

Penalty/Decision: Disciplinary probation for the duration of the student's undergraduate career; completion of one Ethics course (PHIL 110B, 118J, 215 or 221) before graduation. This course will not count towards the student's degree requirements (in terms of credit count or averages); however, the student will have to obtain a grade of at least 60, to meet this penalty requirement.

Description: Theft of intellectual property

Details: Student submitted work in which several sections were found to be identical or nearly identical to the submission of another student. The student's lab partner shared their work with the student, with the intention of ensuring the student had the data they required to write the report. The student admitted to copying their lab partner's work but was indifferent about the impact their actions had on their lab partner.

Degree: Undergraduate

Year: 2

Penalty/Decision: Disciplinary probation for the duration of the student's undergraduate career; completion of one Ethics course (PHIL 110B, 118J, 215 or 221) before graduation. This course will not count towards the student's degree requirements (in terms of credit count or averages); however, the student will have to obtain a grade of at least 60, to meet this penalty requirement.

Description: Theft of intellectual property

Details: Student handed in the same assignment as another student. It was later found that the student had stolen the work from the other student without their knowledge.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment; 5% off overall grade; letter of apology and required ethics course before graduating; disciplinary probation.

Description: Theft of intellectual property

Details: Student retrieved another student's exam answers from their shared Google Drive and used it to prepare their own exam submission

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the course element (40% of the course grade); 5% reduction in the final course grade; two term suspension; disciplinary probation for the duration of the student's undergraduate career.

Description: Theft of intellectual property

Details: Student uploaded their midterm exam to Course Hero which is a copyright violation and theft of intellectual property. First offence. Student removed the document when asked.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 0 on midterm; 5 mark deduction from final grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Theft of intellectual property

Details: Student uploaded their midterm exam to Course Hero. Second offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 0 on midterm; 5 mark deduction from final grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Theft of intellectual property

Details: Student posted questions from an assignment and midterm to Chegg.com. These materials are the intellectual property of the instructor. The Chegg answers were viewed by students who were enrolled in the course. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** Not specified

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment; further reduction of 5 marks on the overall course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Theft of intellectual property

Details: Student posted questions on Chegg for two quizzes and an assignment. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 0 on both quizzes, 0 on specific question in the assignment; further deduction of 3 marks from final course grade for both courses; disciplinary probation.

Description: Theft of intellectual property

Details: Student posted questions on Chegg for their midterm.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on midterm 2; further deduction of 3 marks from final course grade for both courses; disciplinary probation.

Description: Theft of intellectual property

Details: Student posted course material (include tests, assignments and clicker question bank) on Course Hero and Sudoku without the instructor's consent. Student also posted course notes authored by other students.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 1,500-word (minimum) essay addressing the student's three Policy 71 offences and associated penalties (plagiarism, altered VIF and theft of intellectual property), the actions and decisions that led to the offences and the lessons learned, which is to be submitted for approval a minimum of two months before the student's intended convocation date; completion of two courses of (PHIL 110B, PHIL 118J, PHIL 215, PHIL 219J or PACS 202/LS 271) before graduation (the courses will not count towards degree requirements (in terms of credit count or averages); however, the student must achieve a grade of at least 60 in each course to meet this penalty requirement; disciplinary probation.

Description: Theft of intellectual property

Details: Student posted their final project on Course Hero. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 for the final project; further deduction of 3 marks the final course grade.

Description: Theft of intellectual property

Details: Student was found guilty of theft of intellectual property and plagiarism as their test had highly similar answers to that of another student's. Student admitted to taking a photo of the other student's work.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the test; further reduction of 15 marks from the final course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Theft of intellectual property

Details: Student posted questions from an assignment and midterm to Chegg.com. These materials are the intellectual property of the instructor. The Chegg answers were viewed by students who are enrolled in the course (the student was not enrolled in the course).

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Completion of one extra course before graduation. The course credit will not count towards the student's degree requirements (in terms of credit count or averages); however, the student will have to obtain a grade of at least 60 to meet this penalty

requirement; disciplinary probation for the duration of the student's undergraduate career; disciplinary probation for the duration of the student's undergraduate career.

Description: Unauthorized aids or assistance

Details: During the midterm test, it was discovered that the student had their phone and three pieces of folded loose-leaf paper, one with hand-written information relevant to the midterm, in their pocket. The student provided the items to the course instructor, when asked. There was no evidence that the student used the note and the student was remorseful for their error.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the test (15% of the course grade); disciplinary probation for the duration of the student's undergraduate career; if the student drops the course, the student will incur an alternate penalty of providing 15 hours of unpaid community service in a challenging position for an off-campus organization. The organization must be endorsed by the University of Waterloo and must provide written proof of the student's community service directly to the UW contact, a minimum of one month before the date of the student's convocation. The student must verify that the organization can provide such a letter.

Description: Unauthorized aids or assistance

Details: Student collaborated with three other students on an assignment which was to be completed individually. Student agreed to an informal resolution.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment; 5% deduction to the final course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized aids or assistance

Details: Student exchanged key measurements with another student in an assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized aids or assistance

Details: Student acknowledged working with a classmate on an assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on three specific questions in the assignment; 5 mark deduction from final course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized aids or assistance

Details: Student acknowledged working with a classmate on an assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on three specific questions in the assignment; 5 mark deduction from final course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized aids or assistance

Details: Student acknowledged using online sources and discussing details excessively with another student on an assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on three specific questions in the assignment; 5 mark deduction from final course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized aids or assistance

Details: Student acknowledged working with other classmates on an assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on three specific questions in the assignment; 5 mark deduction from final course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized aids or assistance

Details: Student used an online video which explained how to implement the assignment even though the assignment requirements stated that students could only use source code from other sources if instructor consent was given. In this case it was not. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: 0 for the assignment (worth 15%).

Description: Unauthorized aids or assistance

Details: Student used an online video which explained how to implement the assignment even though the assignment requirements stated that students could only use source code from other sources if instructor consent was given. In this case it was not. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 0 for the assignment (worth 15%).

Description: Unauthorized aids or assistance

Details: Student's online test submission contained two passages of verbatim text from online sources. Information provided to the student prior to the test indicated that they could only use course material as a resource and that they were to write their answers independently.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the exam; failure in the course (i.e., the final grade may not be lower than 48); disciplinary probation for the duration of the student's undergraduate career.

Description: Unauthorized aids or assistance

Details: Student copied solutions for their online assessment from an online source.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Failure in final assessment; one term suspension.

Description: Unauthorized aids or assistance

Details: Student's submission for their test contained an answer that was very similar to one posted on Chegg.com. Several aspects of the answer were identical and distinctive: the symbols used in the equation, the number of significant digits carried in the solution, the selection of work shown, and an error in the value for one factor.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: Community service OR essay (25 hours of unpaid community service for an off-campus organization which must be approved OR 1500 word essay describing the student's Policy 71 offence and penalty); disciplinary probation for the duration of the student's undergraduate career.

Description: Unauthorized aids or assistance

Details: The student downloaded a solution for an on-line midterm.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the midterm; further reduction of 5 marks on the overall course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized aids or assistance

Details: Student was found to have cheated on a test.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the test; further reduction of 5 marks on the overall course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized aids or assistance

Details: Student was found to have uploaded questions and viewed solutions on Chegg.com, and admitted their guilt.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the test; further reduction of 5 marks on the overall course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized aids or assistance

Details: Student posted to and copied questions from Chegg.com.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on three assignments; further reduction of 15 marks from the final course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized aids or assistance

Details: Student was found guilty of using unauthorized aids after posting to and copying questions from Chegg.com.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on two tests; further reduction of 10 marks from the final course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: The student posted questions from a class they were not enrolled in for two assignments to Chegg.com and viewed the answers. The Chegg answers were viewed by students who were enrolled in the course and it was found that the student's actions supported other students to cheat on these assignments. The student was unaware that posting assignment questions to online social learning sites was against the University's guidelines. First offence. Student was found guilty of unauthorized collaboration.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Because student was not enrolled in the course, an alternate penalty involving community service hours was applied which included 15 hours of unpaid community service in a challenging position for an off-campus organization to be endorsed by the Associate Dean; the approved organization must provide written proof (in the form of a letter) of the student's community service, to be received by the

Associate Dean one month before the date of the student's intended convocation; disciplinary probation for the duration of the student's undergraduate career.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student posted online the partial solution of an assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 5 mark deduction on the assignment.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students submitted the same assignment (uploaded exactly the same images) for two assignments. First offence for both students.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 for both assignments; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student excessively collaborated with other students on two assignments. The student was honest and remorseful.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on both assignments; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student sent a photo of their lab report chart to another student and the second student copied it. The first student reached out to the TA and Professor in order to flag the issue.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Letter of reprimand; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student 1 asked Student 2 for help and Student 2 provided Student 1 with a photo of their lab report chart. Student 1 copied the photo verbatim and then attempted to change and resubmit the chart.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the chart in the lab report (worth 10 marks); disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student's code overlapped with the work of another group.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the lab; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Significant overlap in the student's code with the work of other groups.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the lab; further reduction of 5% off the overall course grade; disciplinary probation..

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student was found guilty of unauthorized collaboration and for theft of intellectual property. Student's code for three labs overlapped with code submitted by other students. One of the labs contained copied code. Another lab contained copied code that was found on GitHub which had been clearly marked with the names of other students and the course number.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on three course elements; further reduction of 5% off the overall course grade; disciplinary probation for the remainder of the student's undergraduate career. Student was also required to take an ethics course from the Department of Philosophy and earn a grade of at least 60 in the course, before graduating. The ethics course was required over and above the student's elective courses.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student submitted a lab which overlapped with the work of other students.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the lab; further reduction of 5% on the overall course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student excessively collaborated on a course assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the course element.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student's code for one lab overlapped with the code of another group.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the lab; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student's code for one lab overlapped with the code of another group. Student took a photo of another group's code and copied it.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on three course elements; further reduction of 5% on the overall course grade; disciplinary probation for the remainder of undergraduate career.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student's code on two labs overlapped with the code of another group.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on two labs; further reduction of 5% on the overall grade for the course; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Case reported by instructor regarding unauthorized collaboration as the student's code overlapped with another group.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the course element; further 5% reduction off overall course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Case reported by instructor regarding unauthorized collaboration as the student's code overlapped with another group.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the lab; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Case reported by instructor regarding unauthorized collaboration as the student submitted code for four different labs which overlapped with the work of other groups.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on all four labs; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Case reported by instructor regarding unauthorized collaboration as the student submitted code for four different labs which overlapped with the work of other groups.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on four labs; further reduction of 5% off the overall course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Case reported by instructor regarding unauthorized collaboration as the student submitted code for four different labs which overlapped with the work of other groups

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on four labs; further reduction of 5% off the overall course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student's answer to a question on a homework assignment was exactly the same (except for comments and variable names) as the code submitted by a classmate.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** Not specified

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the question in the assignment; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student's report contained numerous identical errors to a report submitted by a student in another section. Student was forthright about their error.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the report (15% of the course grade); 6% reduction in the final course grade (the final course grade will be 50 if the reduction triggers a failing grade); disciplinary probation for the remainder of the student's undergraduate career. If the student drops the course, the student will incur an alternate penalty of providing 25 hours of unpaid community service in a challenging position for an off-campus organization. The organization must be endorsed by the University of Waterloo and must provide written proof of the student's community service directly to the UW contact, a minimum of one month before the date of the student's convocation. The student must verify that the organization can provide such a letter.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student's report contained numerous identical errors to a report submitted by a student in another section.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the report (15% of the course grade); 6% reduction in the final course grade (the final course grade will be 50 if the reduction triggers a failing grade); disciplinary probation for the remainder of the student's undergraduate career. If the student drops the course, the student will incur an alternate penalty of providing 25 hours of unpaid community service in a challenging position for an off-campus organization. The organization must be endorsed by the University of Waterloo and must provide written proof of the student's community service directly to the UW contact, a minimum of one month before the date of the student's convocation. The student must verify that the organization can provide such a letter.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student's report contained numerous identical errors to a report submitted by a student in another section. Student's explanation lacked consistency.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the report (15% of the course grade); 6% reduction in the final course grade (the final course grade will be 50 if the reduction triggers a failing grade); disciplinary probation for the remainder of the student's undergraduate career. If the student drops the course, the student will incur an alternate penalty of providing 25 hours of unpaid community service in a challenging position for an off-campus organization. The organization must be endorsed by the University of Waterloo and must provide written proof of the student's community service directly to the UW contact, a minimum of one month before the date of the student's convocation. The student must verify that the organization can provide such a letter.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Prior to writing a make-up exam, student made Facebook posts asking other students about some of the content of the exam. The student admitted to looking for information on the Facebook page but stated they were not looking for specific answers. This was deemed to be not credible.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 5% reduction in final mark; disciplinary probation. As the exam was worth 29% of the final mark, the standard penalty of 0 on the assignment and 5% reduction in the final mark would be too severe. The student was also directed to complete the Academic Integrity Workshop. On successful completion of the workshop, disciplinary probation will be removed.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student was found guilty of unauthorized collaboration when independent work was required.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the course element; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student was found guilty of unauthorized collaboration when independent work was required.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 the course element; further reduction of 5% off the overall course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student's answers to two questions in an assignment appear identical. Student did not reply to instructor's attempts to reach an informal resolution. Student also declined to reply to a message from the Assistant Dean / Students.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on two questions in the assignment; 5-mark deduction from the course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student's answers to two questions in an assignment appear identical. Students did not reply to instructor's attempts to reach an informal resolution. Students also declined to reply to a message from the Assistant Dean / Students.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on two questions in the assignment; 5-mark deduction from the course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students collaborated too closely on an assignment. Students accepted responsibility.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment; 5 mark deduction from course grade.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students collaborated too closely on an assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment; 5 mark deduction from course grade.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student's report was very similar to a report submitted by a student in another lab section based on a Turnitin similarity analysis. This was notably mitigated because the student was a first year student and had been challenged by the expectation of using LEARN for course information and took full responsibility for their errors.

Degree: Undergraduate

Year: 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the Introduction of the lab report (1.6% of the final course grade); 2% reduction in final course grade; disciplinary probation for the duration of the student's undergraduate career. If the student drops the course, the student will incur an alternate penalty of providing 15 hours of unpaid community service in a challenging position for an off-campus organization. The organization must be endorsed by the University of Waterloo and must provide written proof of the student's community service directly to the UW contact, a minimum of one month before the date of the student's convocation. The student must verify that the organization can provide such a letter.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student's report was very similar to a report submitted by a student in another lab section based on a Turnitin similarity analysis. This was notably mitigated because the student was a first year student and had been challenged by the expectation of using LEARN for course information and took full responsibility for their errors.

Degree: Undergraduate

Year: 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the Introduction of the lab report (1.6% of the final course grade); 2% reduction in final course grade; disciplinary probation for the duration of the student's undergraduate career. If the student drops the course, the student will incur an alternate penalty of providing 15 hours of unpaid community service in a challenging position for an off-campus organization. The organization must be endorsed by the University of Waterloo and must provide written proof of the student's community service directly to the UW contact, a minimum of one month before the date of the student's convocation. The student must verify that the organization can provide such a letter.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student was found guilty of unauthorized collaboration.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on specific assignment questions; 5 mark deduction from course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student was found guilty of unauthorized collaboration on an assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on specific assignment questions; 5 mark deduction from course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: The majority of the answers in the student's assignment were identical or nearly identical to that of another student in the class.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** Not specified

Penalty/Decision: 0 on lab report; disciplinary probation for the duration of the student's undergraduate career. If the student drops the course, the student will incur an alternate penalty of providing 15 hours of unpaid community service in a challenging position for an off-campus organization. The organization must be endorsed by the University of Waterloo and must provide written proof of the student's community service directly to the UW contact, a minimum of one month before the date of the student's convocation. The student must verify that the organization can provide such a letter.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: The majority of the answers in the student's assignment were identical or nearly identical to that of another student in the class.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** Not specified

Penalty/Decision: 0 on Lab report #1 (5.8% of the final course grade); additional 2% deduction from final course grade; disciplinary probation for the duration of the student's undergraduate career. If the student drops the course, the student will incur an alternate penalty of providing 15

hours of unpaid community service in a challenging position for an off-campus organization. The organization must be endorsed by the University of Waterloo and must provide written proof of the student's community service directly to the UW contact, a minimum of one month before the date of the student's convocation. The student must verify that the organization can provide such a letter.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student submitted an assignment which contained the same unusual errors and similar wording as the submission of another student in the class.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on assignment (3 or 6% of final course grade, depending on the final exam weighting); disciplinary probation for the duration of the student's undergraduate career. If the student drops the course, the student will incur an alternate penalty of providing 15 hours of unpaid community service in a challenging position for an off-campus organization. The organization must be endorsed by the University of Waterloo and must provide written proof of the student's community service directly to the UW contact, a minimum of one month before the date of the student's convocation. The student must verify that the organization can provide such a letter.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student collaborated with another student on an assignment. The answer for every summary statement was exactly the same (including a mistake) with another student's work.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on assignment; additional 5% deduction in the course; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Two students submitted short reflection assignments that were identical or nearly so, and admitted having collaborated.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 10 mark deduction from the course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Two students submitted short reflection assignments that were identical or nearly so, and admitted having collaborated.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 10 mark deduction from the course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student was found guilty of unauthorized collaboration. There was significant overlap with the work of 4 other students.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment; an additional deduction of 1.67% from the course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student was found guilty of unauthorized collaboration. There was significant overlap with the work of 4 other students.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment; an additional deduction of 1.67% from the course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: In a weekly tutorial in which students complete and submit handwritten work, two students impersonated one another, with one completing and submitting work on behalf of the other, on three occasions. This is a first offence, but the students were not forthcoming when confronted by the instructor.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the course element; additional 5 mark deduction off the course grade disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: In a weekly tutorial in which students complete and submit handwritten work, two students impersonated one another, with one completing and submitting work on behalf of the other, on three occasions. This is a first offence, but the students were not forthcoming when confronted by the instructor.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the course element; additional 5 mark deduction off the course grade disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student submitted an assignment which contained the same unusual errors and similar wording as the submission of another student in the class.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on assignment (3 or 6% of final course grade, depending on the final exam weighting); disciplinary probation for the duration of the student's undergraduate career. If the student drops the course, the student will incur an alternate penalty of providing 15 hours of unpaid community service in a challenging position for an off-campus organization. The organization must be endorsed by the University of Waterloo and must provide written proof of the student's community service directly to the UW contact, a minimum of one month before the date of the student's convocation. The student must verify that the organization can provide such a letter.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student was observed copying answers from another student during an in-class assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment (2% of final course grade); disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student was observed to allow another student to copy their answers during an in-class assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment (2% of final course grade); disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student was observed to allow another student to copy their answers during an in-class assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment (2% of final course grade); disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student was observed to allow another student to copy their answers during an in-class assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment (2% of final course grade); disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student was observed copying answers from another student during an in-class assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment (2% of final course grade); disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student found guilty of unauthorized collaboration. Student accepted plea bargain.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student found guilty of unauthorized collaboration. Student accepted plea bargain.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student found guilty of unauthorized collaboration. Student accepted plea bargain.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student found guilty of unauthorized collaboration. Student accepted plea bargain.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student found guilty of unauthorized collaboration. Student refused plea bargain.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on assignment; 5 mark deduction from final course grade.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student found guilty of unauthorized collaboration. Student refused plea bargain.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on assignment; 5 mark deduction from final course grade.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student found guilty of unauthorized collaboration. Student refused plea bargain.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on assignment; 5 mark deduction from final course grade.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student found guilty of unauthorized collaboration. Student accepted plea bargain.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student found guilty of unauthorized collaboration. Student accepted plea bargain.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student found guilty of unauthorized collaboration. Student accepted plea bargain.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student found guilty of unauthorized collaboration. Student accepted plea bargain.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student found guilty of unauthorized collaboration. Student refused plea bargain.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment; 5 mark deduction from final course grade.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student found guilty of unauthorized collaboration. Student accepted plea bargain.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student found guilty of unauthorized collaboration. Student accepted plea bargain.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on assignment.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student found guilty of unauthorized collaboration. Student accepted plea bargain.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on assignment.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student found guilty of unauthorized collaboration. Student refused plea bargain.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment; 5 mark deduction from final course grade.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student found guilty of unauthorized collaboration. Student refused plea bargain.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 for assignment; 5 mark deduction from final course grade.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student found guilty of unauthorized collaboration. Student refused plea bargain.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on assignment; 5 mark deduction from final course grade.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student found guilty of unauthorized collaboration. Student accepted plea bargain.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on assignment.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student found guilty of unauthorized collaboration. Student accepted plea bargain.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on assignment.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student found guilty of unauthorized collaboration. Student refused plea bargain.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on assignment; 5 mark deduction from final course grade.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student found guilty of unauthorized collaboration. Student accepted plea bargain.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on assignment.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student found guilty of unauthorized collaboration. Student refused plea bargain.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on assignment; 5 mark deduction from final course grade.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student collaborated with three other students on an assignment which was to be completed individually. Student agreed to an informal resolution.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment; 5% deduction to the final course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student excessively collaborated on a project. Student accepted penalty and apologized.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on specific questions in the assignment; 5 mark deduction from final course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student excessively collaborated on a project. Student accepted penalty and apologized.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on specific questions in the assignment; 5 mark deduction from final course grade (5 mark deduction will not cause the student to fail the course); disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students worked excessively together on some questions in two assignments.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on specific questions in the assignment; 5 mark deduction from final course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students worked excessively together on some questions in two assignments.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on specific questions in the assignment; 5 mark deduction from final course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students excessively collaborated on a code.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 for the specific answer on the assignment; 5 mark deduction from final course grade.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students excessively collaborated on a code.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 for the specific answer on the assignment; 5 mark deduction from final course grade.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students excessively collaborated on a code.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 for the specific answer on the assignment; 5 mark deduction from final course grade.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students excessively collaborated in certain parts of an assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 for specific question in assignment; 5 mark deduction from final course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students excessively collaborated in certain parts of an assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 for specific question in assignment; 5 mark deduction from final course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students excessively collaborated in certain parts of an assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 for specific question in assignment; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students excessively collaborated on a code.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 for specific question in assignment; 5 mark deduction from final course grade.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: The majority of the answers in a student's submission were identical or nearly identical to that of another student in the class.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the lab report (5.8% of the final course grade); disciplinary probation for the duration of the student's undergraduate career.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: The majority of the answers in a student's submission were identical or nearly identical to that of another student in the class.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on lab report (5.8% of the final course grade); additional 3% deduction from the final course grade; disciplinary probation for the duration of the student's undergraduate career.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student was found guilty of unauthorized collaboration with their lab partner.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the lab assignment; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student was found guilty of unauthorized collaboration with their lab partner.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the lab assignment; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student was found guilty of unauthorized collaboration with their lab partner in two labs.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on both labs; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student was found guilty of unauthorized collaboration with their lab partner in two labs.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on both labs; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student was found guilty of unauthorized collaboration with their lab partner in two labs.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on both labs; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student was found guilty of unauthorized collaboration with their lab partner in two labs.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on both labs; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student was found guilty of unauthorized collaboration with their lab partner. Second offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on both labs; disciplinary probation; stern warning if found guilty of third offence.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student was found guilty of unauthorized collaboration with their lab partner.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Reduction of 50% on earned grade for the lab; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student was found guilty of unauthorized collaboration with their lab partner.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the lab assignment; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student was found guilty of unauthorized collaboration with their lab partner.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the lab assignment; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student was found guilty of unauthorized collaboration with their lab partner and two other students in two labs.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on both labs; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student was found guilty of unauthorized collaboration with their lab partner in two labs.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 50% of earned grade on two labs; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student was found guilty of unauthorized collaboration with their lab partner in two labs.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 50% of earned grade on two labs; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student's assignment was identified by Moss software as sharing very similar characteristics with a number of other submissions. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: The majority of the answers in the student's report submission were identical or nearly identical to that of another student in the class.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the report (5.8% of the final course grade); 2% deduction from the final course grade; disciplinary probation for the duration of the student's undergraduate career.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: The majority of the answers in the student's report submission were identical or nearly identical to that of another student in the class.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the report (5.8% of the final course grade); 2% deduction from the final course grade; disciplinary probation for the duration of the student's undergraduate career.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: The student submitted an assignment containing code which was very similar to another student's. The student allowed the other student to take a picture of the code and use it in their work.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: The student admitted to submitting an assignment containing code sent to them by another student.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment; 5% deduction from the overall course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student was found to have excessively collaborated with another student in a lab submission.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 50% deduction on earned grade for the lab; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student was found to have excessively collaborated with three other students on an assignment which was to be completed individually. Student agreed to an informal resolution.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Student agreed to an informal resolution. The penalty consisted of a grade of 0 on the assignment with a further reduction of 5% to be applied to the overall course grade and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student's submission for an assignment contained identical content as a classmate's. There was no intent to deceive.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: Disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student's submission for an assignment contained identical content as a classmate's. There was no intent to deceive.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: Disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student was found to have excessively collaborated with other students. There was clear evidence of copying and pasting code however, student refuted offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 25% deduction on the assignment; 2 mark deduction from final course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student was found to have excessively collaborated with other students. There was clear evidence of copying and pasting code however, student refuted offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 25% deduction on the assignment; 2 mark deduction from final course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student was found to have excessively collaborated with other students. There was clear evidence of copying and pasting code however, student refuted offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** Not specified

Penalty/Decision: 25% deduction on the assignment; 2 mark deduction from final course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students excessively collaborated on a code. Second offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on specific parts of the assignment; 5 mark deduction from final course grade.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students excessively collaborated on a code. Second offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on specific parts of the assignment; 5 mark deduction from final course grade.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students were found to have excessively collaborated on an assignment. The student admitted asking for help from another student.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on specific parts of the assignment, 5 mark deduction from final course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students were found to have excessively collaborated on an assignment. Student did not respond to instructor's email.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on specific parts of the assignment, 5 mark deduction from final course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students were found to have excessively collaborated on an assignment and acknowledged that they worked together. Second offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on specific parts of the assignment; 5 mark deduction from final course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students were found to have excessively collaborated on an assignment and acknowledged that they worked together.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on specific parts of the assignment; 5 mark deduction from final course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students were found to have excessively collaborated on an assignment and acknowledged that they worked together. Second offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on specific parts of the assignment; 5 mark deduction from final course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student was found to have excessively collaborated on an assignment and admitted that they looked at another student's code.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on specific parts of the assignment; 10 mark deduction from the final course grade.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student shared their completed assignment with another student and it was found that their assignment submissions were very similar.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 50% grade reduction on the assignment (equal to 7.5% of the final course grade); Disciplinary probation for the duration of the student's undergraduate career.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student exchanged key measurements with another student in an assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student admitted to sharing electronic files and collaborating with another student.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment; 5 mark deduction from the final course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student shared electronic files and collaborated with another student.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment; 5 mark deduction from the final course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student admitted to looking at a classmate's quiz and copying it.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the quiz; 5 mark deduction from the final course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student collaborated with three other students on an assignment which was to be completed individually.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment; 5% deduction from final course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students were found to have excessively collaborated in an assignment. The student admitted that their intent was only to help the other student, but they went too far in doing so.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 for the specific question in the assignment; 5 mark deduction from the final course grade.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students were found to have excessively collaborated in an assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 for two specific questions in the assignment; 5 mark deduction from the final course grade.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students excessively collaborated on an assignment. Second offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the specific question in the assignment; 5 mark deduction from final course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students excessively collaborated on an assignment. Second offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the specific question in the assignment; 5 mark deduction from final course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students excessively collaborated on an assignment. Second offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the specific question in the assignment; 5 mark deduction from final course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students excessively collaborated on an assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the specific question in the assignment; 5 mark deduction from final course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student allowed their friends to copy their answers in a quiz.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the quiz; 1 mark deduction from the final course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student submitted another group's in-lab work as their pre-lab submission.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the lab and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student sent classmates their in-lab submission, who then submitted it as their pre-lab.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the lab; 5% deduction from the final course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student collaborated with another student on an assignment as it was found that the answer for every summary statement, including a mistake, were exactly the same.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0% on assignment; additional 5% deduction from final course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students collaborated on an assignment when independent work was required.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the specific question in the assignment; 5 mark deduction from final course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students collaborated on an assignment when independent work was required.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the specific question in the assignment; 5 mark deduction from final course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students collaborated on an assignment when independent work was required.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on specific questions in the assignment; 5 mark deduction from final course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students collaborated on an assignment when independent work was required. Second offence in the same term.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Failure in the course (grade set to 46).

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism because they submitted a report which made reference to values that were not in theirs or their partner's observation table, causing suspicion that they took the information from a different lab report found online. In addition, both of the students cited a particular article several times but the statements associated with the citation in no way reflected the content of the article.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the lab; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: The student's assignment was very similar to another student's assignment in the course.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student's Results and Questions section of their report submission was nearly identical to another student's in the course.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: 50% of the earned grade (maximum 2.5% of final course grade); disciplinary probation for the duration of the student's undergraduate career.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student's Results and Questions section of their report submission was nearly identical to another student's in the course.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: 0 on report (5% of the final course grade); additional 5% reduction in the final course grade; completion of one Ethics course (PHIL 110B, 118J, 215 or 221) before graduation, obtaining a final grade of at least 60 (not counted towards degree requirements); disciplinary probation for the duration of the student's undergraduate career.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student's report submission was nearly identical to the report of another student in the class. Second academic offence; student had a history of misrepresenting themselves and was untruthful.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment (15% of the final course grade); one-term suspension; disciplinary probation for the duration of the student's undergraduate career.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student's report submission had a 36% similarity to a report submitted by another student in the course. The student gave substantial access of their work to another student and was not transparent in their explanation.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment (10% of the course grade); additional 5% deduction in the final course grade (if this penalty would trip a course failure, then a final course grade of 50 would be assigned; disciplinary probation for the duration of the student's undergraduate career.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student admitted to using source code given to them by another student in an assignment. Agreed to an informal resolution.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment; additional 5% deduction from the final course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student's submission for a project contained identical code to that of two other students.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment; further reduction of 5% on the overall course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student admitted to providing source code to another student for an assignment. Agreed to an informal resolution.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment; additional 5% deduction from the final course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student collaborated with two other students on a project to the point where their code was essentially identical. Student admitted that that they collaborated, but did not accept the proposed penalty as part of an informal resolution.

Degree: Undergraduate

Year: 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the project; further reduction of 5% on the overall course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student collaborated with two other students on a project to the point where their code was essentially identical. Student admitted that that they collaborated, but did not accept the proposed penalty as part of an informal resolution.

Degree: Undergraduate

Year: 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the project; further reduction of 5% on the overall course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student admitted to using source code given to them by another student in an assignment. Agreed to an informal resolution.

Degree: Undergraduate

Year: 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the project; further reduction of 5% on the overall course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student admitted to providing source code to another student in an assignment. Agreed to an informal resolution.

Degree: Undergraduate

Year: 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the project; further reduction of 5% on the overall course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student collaborated with two other students on a project to the point where their code was essentially identical. Student admitted that they collaborated, but did not accept the proposed penalty as part of an informal resolution.

Degree: Undergraduate

Year: 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the project; further reduction of 5% on the overall course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student submitted source code that, apart from variable names, was essentially the same, line by line, as another student's submission.

Degree: Undergraduate

Year: 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment; additional reduction of 5% on the overall course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student's submission for a project contained identical code to that of another student.

Degree: Undergraduate

Year: 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment; additional reduction of 5% on the overall course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student left a quiz before it finished and emailed the code to their friend who was still working on the solution.

Degree: Undergraduate

Year: 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the quiz; further reduction of 5% on the overall course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student admitted to using source code provided by another student.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment; additional reduction of 5% on the overall course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student admitted to collaborating with another student and it was found that their code was essentially identical in all respects except for variable names.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment; 5% deduction on the overall course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student's code for project contained identical information with exception of variable names as that of another student.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment; 5% deduction on the overall course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student admitted to sharing source code with another student.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment; 5% deduction on the overall course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student admitted to using source code provided by another student.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment; 5% deduction on the overall course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student admitted to collaborating with another student in the completion of a programming project which was to be completed individually. Student's code was essentially identical to another student's.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment; 5% deduction on the overall course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student admitted to using source code provided by another student.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment; 5% deduction on the overall course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student admitted to providing source code to another student.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment; 5% deduction on the overall course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student admitted to downloading a solution from the web and submitting it as their own code, but did not agree to the penalty proposed.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment; 5% deduction on the overall course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student admitted to sharing source code with another student.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment; 5% deduction on the overall course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student admitted to using source code given to them by another student in an assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment; 5% deduction on the overall course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student admitted to using source code given to them by another student in an assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment; 5% deduction on the overall course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student's code was same, line by line, with another student's code. Student also admitted to helping a friend and denied sharing their source file. The second student admitted that they went through the code line by line.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment; 5% deduction on the overall course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student admitted to using source code provided by another student.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:**

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment; 5% deduction on the overall course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student admitted to using source code provided by another student in an assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment; 5% deduction on the overall course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student collaborated with a classmate to the point where the code was essentially identical. Student admitted the offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment; 5% deduction on the overall course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student admitted to sharing source code with another student.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment; 5% deduction on the overall course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student admitted to sharing their source code with another student.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment; 5% deduction on the overall course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student's code was same, line by line, as another student's code. Student admitted to going through the code line by line with a classmate. The student was also found guilty of unauthorized collaboration in another project in the same course.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on both assignments; 5% deduction on the overall course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student admitted to collaborating with another student on a project where individual work was required.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment; 5% deduction on the overall course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student collaborated with a classmate to the point where their code was essentially identical.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment; 5% deduction on the overall course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student's code for a project contained identical information as that of three other students who admitted to unauthorized collaboration.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment; 5% deduction on the overall course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student admitted to collaborating with another student in the completion of a programming project which students were to complete individually.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment; 5% deduction on the overall course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student admitted to using source code provided by another student.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment; 5% deduction on the overall course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student submitted source code that, apart from variable names, was essentially the same, line by line, as another student's.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: The penalty would cause the student to fail the term, so a final course grade of 54% was assigned; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student admitted to using source code given to them by another student in an assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment; 5% deduction on the overall course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student admitted to sharing source code with another student.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment; 5% deduction on the overall course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student admitted to sharing source code with two other students.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment; 5% deduction on the overall course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student admitted to sharing source code with two other students.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment; 5% deduction on the overall course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student admitted to sharing source code with two other students.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment; 5% deduction on the overall course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student admitted to collaborating with another student to the point of significant overlap in their submitted code.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment; 5% deduction on the overall course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student submitted an assignment which contained answers that were nearly identical to their submissions from an earlier term.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 15 hours of unpaid community service in a challenging position for an off-campus organization. The organization must be endorsed by the University of Waterloo and must provide written proof of the student's community service directly to the UW contact, a minimum of one month before the date of the student's convocation. The student must verify that the organization can provide such a letter.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism because their assignment submissions were nearly identical to another student's submission in a previous offering of the course.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment (1.8% of the final course grade); disciplinary probation for the duration of the student's undergraduate career; if the student drops the course, the student will incur an additional penalty of 15 hours of unpaid community service in a challenging position for an off-campus organization. The organization must be endorsed by the University of Waterloo and must provide written proof of the student's community service directly to the UW contact, a minimum of one month before the date of the student's convocation. The student must verify that the organization can provide such a letter.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student was found guilty of unauthorized collaboration.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment (worth 2%); 5% deduction from final grade.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student was found guilty of unauthorized collaboration.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment (worth 2%); 5% deduction from final grade.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student's assignment submission was nearly identical to those of another student. Student admitted to collaborating with another student yet did not acknowledge assistance in their submission.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment (5% of the final course grade); disciplinary probation for the duration of the student's undergraduate career; if the student drops the course, the student will incur an additional penalty of 25 hours of unpaid community service in a challenging position for an off-campus organization. The organization must be endorsed by the University of Waterloo and must provide written proof of the student's community service directly to the UW contact, a minimum of one month before the date of the student's convocation. The student must verify that the organization can provide such a letter.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student's assignment submission was almost identical to those of another student. Student admitted to collaborating with another student yet did not acknowledge assistance in their submission.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment (5% of the final course grade); disciplinary probation for the duration of the student's undergraduate career; if the student drops the course, the student will incur an additional penalty of 25 hours of unpaid community service in a challenging position for an off-campus organization. The organization must be endorsed by the University of Waterloo and must provide written proof of the student's community service directly to the UW contact, a minimum of one month before the date of the student's convocation. The student must verify that the organization can provide such a letter.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student was found guilty of unauthorized collaboration on an assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment (worth 15%); disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student was found guilty of unauthorized collaboration on an assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment (worth 15%); disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Two of the questions in the student's report submission contained the same content errors and style quirks as that of another student in the class.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the calculations section of the assignment (2% of the final grade); disciplinary probation for the duration of the student's undergraduate career; if the student drops the course, the student will incur an additional penalty of 15 hours of unpaid community service in a challenging position for an off-campus organization. The organization must be endorsed by the University of Waterloo and must provide written proof of the student's community service directly to the UW contact, a minimum of one month before the date of the student's convocation. The student must verify that the organization can provide such a letter.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: A section in a student's report submission was found to be too similar to that of another student in the class to be considered their own work. In addition, their graphs were identical.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the graphs and calculations sections of the assignment (4% of the final course grade); disciplinary probation for the duration of the student's undergraduate career; if the student drops the course, the student will incur an additional penalty of 15 hours of unpaid community service in a challenging position for an off-campus organization. The organization must be endorsed by the University of Waterloo and must provide written proof of the student's community service directly to the UW contact, a minimum of one month before the date of the student's convocation. The student must verify that the organization can provide such a letter.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: A section in a student's report submission was found to be too similar to that of another student in the class to be considered their own work. In addition, their graphs were identical.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** Not specified

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the graphs section of the assignment (2% of the final course grade); disciplinary probation for the duration of the student's undergraduate career; if the student drops the course, the student will incur an additional penalty of 15 hours of unpaid community service in a challenging position for an off-campus organization. The organization must be endorsed by the University of Waterloo and must provide written proof of the student's community service directly to the UW contact, a minimum of one month before the date of the student's convocation. The student must verify that the organization can provide such a letter.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Two of the questions in the student's report submission contained the same content errors and style quirks as that of another student in the class.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the calculations (2% of final course grade); additional 3% deduction from final grade; disciplinary probation for the duration of the student's undergraduate career; if the student drops the course, the student will incur an additional penalty of 15 hours of unpaid community service in a challenging position for an off-campus organization. The organization must be endorsed by the University of Waterloo and must provide written proof of the student's community service directly to the UW contact, a minimum of one month before the date of the student's convocation. The student must verify that the organization can provide such a letter.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: A section in a student's report submission was found to be too similar to that of another student in the class to be considered their own work. In addition, their graphs were identical.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the report (6% of the final course grade); disciplinary probation for the duration of the student's undergraduate career; if the student drops the course, the student will incur an additional penalty of 15 hours of unpaid community service in a challenging position for an off-campus organization. The organization must be endorsed by the University of Waterloo and must provide written proof of the student's community service directly to the UW contact, a minimum of one month before the date of the student's convocation. The student must verify that the organization can provide such a letter.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: A section in a student's report submission was found to be too similar to that of another student in the class to be considered their own work. In addition, their graphs were identical.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the graphs and calculations section of the assignment (4% of the final grade); disciplinary probation for the duration of the student's undergraduate career; if the student drops the course, the student will incur an additional penalty of 15 hours of unpaid community service in a challenging position for an off-campus organization. The organization must be endorsed by the University of Waterloo and must provide written proof of the student's community service directly to the UW contact, a minimum of one month before the date of the student's convocation. The student must verify that the organization can provide such a letter.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Several sections in the student's report submission were too similar to that of another student in the class to be considered their own work. In addition, their graphs were identical.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the report (6% of the final course grade); disciplinary probation for the duration of the student's undergraduate career; if the student drops the course, the student will incur an additional penalty of 15 hours of unpaid community service in a challenging position for an off-campus organization. The organization must be endorsed by the University of Waterloo and must provide written proof of the student's community service directly to the UW contact, a minimum of one month before the date of the student's convocation. The student must verify that the organization can provide such a letter.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Several sections in the student's report submission were too similar to that of another student in the class to be considered their own work.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** Not specified

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the report (2% of the final course grade); disciplinary probation for the duration of the student's undergraduate career; if the student drops the course, the student will incur an additional penalty of 15 hours of unpaid community service in a challenging position for an off-campus organization. The organization must be endorsed by the University of Waterloo and must provide written proof of the student's community service directly to the UW contact, a minimum of one month before the date of the student's convocation. The student must verify that the organization can provide such a letter.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student submitted solutions that were too similar to another student's submission. Student claimed they accidentally submitted the other student's assignment instead of their own.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 for the assignment; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student submitted solutions that were too similar to another student's submission.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 for the assignment; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student submitted solutions that matched another student's solutions. Student stated that they collaborated closely with the other student.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 for specific questions in the assignment; deduction of 5 marks from course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student submitted solutions that matched another student's solutions.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 5 mark deduction from final grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student submitted solutions which shared similar features to other student's submissions. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the specific question; 5 mark deduction from final grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student submitted solutions which shared similar features to other student's submissions. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the specific question; 5 mark deduction from final grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student submitted solutions which shared similar features to other student's submissions. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the specific question; 5 mark deduction from final grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student submitted answers in an assignment which shared similar features to another student's submission.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 for affected questions; deduction of 3 marks from course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student submitted answers in an assignment which shared similar features to another student's submission.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 for affected questions; deduction of 3 marks from course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student submitted answers in an assignment which shared similar features to another student's submission.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 for affected questions; deduction of 3 marks from course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student submitted answers in an assignment which shared similar features to another student's submission.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the specific questions; deduction of 5 marks from course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student submitted answers in an assignment which shared similar features to another student's submission.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the specific questions; deduction of 5 marks from course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student submitted answers in an assignment which shared similar features to another student's submission.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the specific questions; deduction of 5 marks from course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student submitted answers in an assignment which shared similar features to another student's submission.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the specific questions; deduction of 5 marks from course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student submitted answers in an assignment which shared similar features to another student's submission.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the specific questions; deduction of 5 marks from course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student submitted answers in an assignment which shared similar features to another student's submission.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the specific questions; deduction of 5 marks from course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student submitted answers in an assignment which shared similar features to another student's submission.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the specific questions; deduction of 5 marks from course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student submitted answers in an assignment which shared similar features to another student's submission.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the specific questions; deduction of 5 marks from course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student submitted answers in an assignment which shared similar features to another student's submission.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the specific questions; deduction of 5 marks from course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student submitted answers in an assignment which shared similar features to another student's submission.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the specific questions; deduction of 5 marks from course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student submitted answers in an assignment which shared similar features to another student's submission.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the specific questions; deduction of 5 marks from course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student submitted answers in an assignment which shared similar features to another student's submission.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the specific questions; deduction of 5 marks from course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student submitted answers in an assignment which shared similar features to another student's submission.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the specific questions; deduction of 5 marks from course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students collaborated too closely on an assignment. Second offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** Not specified

Penalty/Decision: 0 for specific questions in the assignment; 5-mark deduction from the course grade; one term suspension; continued disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students collaborated too closely on an assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 for specific questions in the assignment; 5-mark deduction from the course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student's assignment shared a number of similar features with that of another student.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 for specific questions in the assignment; 3 mark deduction from the course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student's assignment shared a number of similar features with that of another student.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 for specific questions in the assignment; 3 mark deduction from the course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student's assignment shared a number of similar features with that of another student. Student admitted to sharing their code with another student.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Deduction of 5 marks from course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student's assignment shared a number of similar features with that of another student. Student admitted to accidentally submitting the code of another student they were working with.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 for specific question in the assignment; deduction of 5 marks from course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student was found guilty of unauthorized collaboration because their code was similar to other test cases. Student subsequently admitted to collaborating with another student.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 for the specific question in the assignment; 5 mark deduction from final grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students were found guilty of excessive collaboration upon submitting similar code, specifically for test cases. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 for the specific question in the assignment; 5 mark deduction from final grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students were found guilty of excessive collaboration upon submitting similar code, specifically for test cases. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 for the specific question in the assignment; 5 mark deduction from final grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students were found guilty of excessive collaboration upon submitting similar code, specifically for test cases. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 for the specific question in the assignment; 5 mark deduction from final grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students were found guilty of excessive collaboration upon submitting similar code, specifically for test cases. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 for the specific question in the assignment; 5 mark deduction from final grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students submitted an assignment which was found to share similar code and identical test cases. Both students admitted to collaborating. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 for the specific question in the assignment; 5 mark deduction from final grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students submitted an assignment which was found to share similar code and identical test cases. Both students admitted to collaborating. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 for the specific questions in the assignment; 5 mark deduction from final grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students submitted an assignment which was found to share similar code and identical test cases. Both students admitted to collaborating. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 for the specific questions in the assignment; 5 mark deduction from final grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students submitted an assignment which was found to share similar code and identical test cases. Both students admitted to collaborating. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 for the specific questions in the assignment; 5 mark deduction from final grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students submitted code which shared similar features including identical test cases and admitted to sharing test cases. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 for the specific question in the assignment; 5 mark deduction from final grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students submitted code which shared similar features including identical test cases and admitted to sharing test cases. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 for the specific questions in the assignment; 5 mark deduction from final grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students admitted to sharing code in an assignment. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 for the specific question in the assignment; 5 mark deduction from final grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students admitted to sharing code in an assignment. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 for the specific questions in the assignment; 5 mark deduction from final grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students were found to have excessively collaborated in three separate assignments. Student was repeating course a second time and had no prior offences.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 for all questions reported in the assignment; 10 mark deduction from course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students were found to have excessively collaborated in three separate assignments. Student was repeating course a second time and had no prior offences.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 for all questions reported in the assignment; 10 mark deduction from course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student was found guilty of excessive collaboration as their report submission was identical to that of another student in the course.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the specific question in the assignment (2% of final course grade); disciplinary probation for the duration of the student's UW undergraduate career; if the student drops the course, the student will incur an additional penalty of 15 hours of unpaid community service in a challenging position for an off-campus organization. The organization must be endorsed by the University of Waterloo and must provide written proof of the student's community service directly to the UW contact, a minimum of one month before the date of the student's convocation. The student must verify that the organization can provide such a letter.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students submitted code which shared similar features including identical test cases and admitted to sharing test cases. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment; 5 mark deduction on the overall course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students submitted code which shared similar features including identical test cases and admitted to sharing test cases. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment; 5 mark deduction on the overall course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Several sections in a student's report submission were too similar to that of another student in the class to be considered their own. In addition, the graphs were essentially identical.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the graphs and calculations (4% of the final grade); disciplinary probation for the duration of the student's UW undergraduate career; if the student drops the course, the student will incur an additional penalty of 15 hours of unpaid community service in a challenging position for an off-campus organization. The organization must be endorsed by the University of Waterloo and must provide written proof of the student's community service directly to the UW contact, a minimum of one month before the date of the student's convocation. The student must verify that the organization can provide such a letter.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students submitted code which shared similar features.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on specific question in assignment; 5 mark deduction from final grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students submitted code which shared similar features.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on specific question in assignment; 5 mark deduction from final grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: The graphs in the student's report submission were identical to that of another student in the course.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the graphs (2% of the final grade); disciplinary probation for the duration of the student's UW undergraduate career; if the student drops the course, the student will incur an additional penalty of 15 hours of unpaid community service in a challenging position for an off-campus organization. The organization must be endorsed by the University of Waterloo and must provide written proof of the student's community service directly to the UW contact, a minimum of one month before the date of the student's convocation. The student must verify that the organization can provide such a letter.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students submitted an assignment which was found to share similar code and identical test cases. Both students admitted to collaborating. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on specific question in assignment; 5 mark deduction from final grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students submitted an assignment which was found to share similar code and identical test cases. Both students admitted to collaborating. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on specific question in assignment; 5 mark deduction from final grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students submitted code which shared many similar features. Students admitted to collaboration. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on specific question in assignment; 5 mark deduction from final grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students submitted code which shared many similar features. Students admitted to collaboration. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on specific question in assignment; 5 mark deduction from final grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Several sections of a student's report submission were too similar to that of another student in the class to be considered their own work.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the common answers (2% of the final course grade); disciplinary probation for the duration of the student's UW undergraduate career; if the student drops the course, the student will incur an additional penalty of 15 hours of unpaid community service in a challenging position for an off-campus organization. The organization must be endorsed by the University of Waterloo and must provide written

proof of the student's community service directly to the UW contact, a minimum of one month before the date of the student's convocation. The student must verify that the organization can provide such a letter.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students submitted code which shared many similar features. Students admitted to collaboration. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on specific question in assignment; 5 mark deduction from final grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students submitted code which shared many similar features. Students admitted to collaboration. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on specific question in assignment; 5 mark deduction from final grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students submitted code which shared many similar features. Student admitted to collaboration. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on specific question in assignment; 5 mark deduction from final grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student sent their code to another student in the course and the second student submitted the same code.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on specific question in assignment; 5 mark deduction from final grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students submitted code which shared many similar features. Students admitted to collaboration. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on specific question in assignment; 5 mark deduction from final grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students submitted code which shared many similar features. Students admitted to collaboration. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on specific question in assignment; 5 mark deduction from final grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students submitted code which shared many similar features. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on specific question in assignment; 5 mark deduction from final grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: The Results and Calculations, Questions and Conclusion sections in the student's report submission were too similar to that of another student in the class to be considered their own work.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the common answers (2% of the final course grade); disciplinary probation for the duration of the student's UW undergraduate career; if the student drops the course, the student will incur an additional penalty of 15 hours of unpaid community service in a

challenging position for an off-campus organization. The organization must be endorsed by the University of Waterloo and must provide written proof of the student's community service directly to the UW contact, a minimum of one month before the date of the student's convocation. The student must verify that the organization can provide such a letter.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Several sections in the student's report submission were too similar to that of another student in the class to be considered their own. In addition, the graphs were essentially identical.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the graphs and calculations (4% of the final grade); disciplinary probation for the duration of the student's UW undergraduate career; if the student drops the course, the student will incur an additional penalty of 15 hours of unpaid community service in a challenging position for an off-campus organization. The organization must be endorsed by the University of Waterloo and must provide written proof of the student's community service directly to the UW contact, a minimum of one month before the date of the student's convocation. The student must verify that the organization can provide such a letter.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students submitted an assignment which shared many similar features. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the specific question in the assignment; 5 mark deduction from final grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students submitted an assignment which shared many similar features. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the specific question in the assignment; 5 mark deduction from final grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students submitted an assignment which shared a high Degree of similarity. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the specific question in the assignment; 5 mark deduction from final grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students submitted an assignment which shared a high Degree of similarity. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the specific question in the assignment; 5 mark deduction from final grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students submitted an assignment which shared similar features. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the specific question in the assignment; 5 mark deduction from final grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students submitted an assignment which shared similar features. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the specific question in the assignment; 5 mark deduction from final grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Two students collaborated extensively on an assignment. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the specific questions in the assignment; 5 mark deduction from final grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Two students collaborated extensively on an assignment. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the specific questions in the assignment; 5 mark deduction from final grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Two students were found to have collaborated on an assignment. Third offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 0 on assignment; two philosophy courses which must be completed by the end of 2020.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Two students were found to have collaborated on an assignment. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 0 on assignment.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student supplied their submission for an assignment to another student, who plagiarized it. The student admitted to unauthorized collaboration.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student found guilty of unauthorized collaboration. Student was the confirmed original author of the module submitted by another student.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student was found guilty of excessive collaboration because their report submission used the wrong data and was identical to that of their lab partner, suggesting that their submission was not their own work.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the specific question (2.6% of final grade); disciplinary probation for the duration of the student's undergraduate career.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student was found guilty of excessive collaboration because their report submission used the wrong data and was identical to that of their lab partner, suggesting that their submission was not their own work. The student shared an electronic version of their answer with their partner.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the specific question (2.6% of the final course grade); disciplinary probation for the duration of the student's undergraduate career.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student was found guilty of excessive collaboration because their report submission contained the same flow of ideas, as well as the same technical and spelling errors as that of their lab partner.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the specific questions (4/33 re reduction in the earned grade on the report; 1.5% reduction in final course grade); disciplinary probation for the duration of the student's undergraduate career.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student was found guilty of excessive collaboration because their report submission contained the same flow of ideas, as well as the same technical and spelling errors as that of their lab partner.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the specific questions (4/33 re reduction in the earned grade on the report; 1.5% reduction in final course grade); disciplinary probation for the duration of the student's undergraduate career.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students submitted an assignment which had a variety of similar features.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Failure in the course.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students submitted an assignment which had a variety of similar features.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the specific question in the assignment; 5 mark deduction from final grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students submitted an assignment which had a variety of similar features. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the specific question in the assignment; 5 mark deduction from final grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students submitted an assignment which had a variety of similar features. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the specific question in the assignment; 3 mark deduction from final grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students submitted an assignment which had a variety of similar features. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the specific question in the assignment; 5 mark deduction from final grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students submitted an assignment which had a variety of similar features. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the specific question in the assignment; 5 mark deduction from final grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student submitted an assignment which contained code copied from various external sources. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the specific questions in the assignment; 5 mark deduction from final grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students submitted an assignment which displayed evidence of excessive collaboration. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the specific questions in the assignment; 5 mark deduction from final grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students submitted an assignment which displayed evidence of excessive collaboration. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the specific questions in the assignment; 5 mark deduction from final grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student's assignment submissions shared a variety of similar features. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the specific questions; -5 from final grade.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student's assignment submissions shared a variety of similar features. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the specific questions; -5 from final grade.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student's assignment submissions shared a variety of similar features. First offence. Admitted guilt.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on questions; -5 from final grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student's assignment submissions shared a variety of similar features. First offence and student admitted guilt.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on questions; -5 from final grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student's final assessment that was completed online contained solutions which matched those of another student. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Failure in final assessment; one term suspension.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student's final assessment that was completed online contained many solutions which matched those of other students. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Failure in course.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student's final assessment that was completed online contained many solutions which matched those of other students. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** Not specified

Penalty/Decision: Failure in final assessment; one term suspension.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student's final assessment that was completed online contained many solutions which matched those of other students. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Failure in final assessment; one term suspension.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students submitted assignments which had identical solutions and the same errors for some questions. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Failure in final assessment; one term suspension.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students submitted assignments which had identical solutions and the same errors for some questions. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Failure in final assessment; one term suspension.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students submitted assignments which had identical solutions and the same errors for some questions. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Failure in final assessment; one term suspension.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: The student's submitted solutions in a take home Final Assessment were found to be similar to those of another student. Student admitted to offence. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: Failure in course.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: The student's submitted solutions in a take home Final Assessment were found to be similar to those of another student. Student admitted to offence. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: Failure in course.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: A student's submissions shared similar features with another student's work. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on specific question; - 5 from final mark.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: A student's submissions shared similar features with another student's work. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on specific question; - 5 from final mark.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: The student's submitted solutions in a take home Final Assessment were found to be similar to those of another student. Student admitted to offence. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assessment; one term suspension.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: The student's submitted solutions in a take home Final Assessment were found to be similar to those of another student. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assessment; one term suspension.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: A student's assignment shared similar features with that of another student. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on specific question in assignment; - 5 from final mark; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: A student's assignment shared similar features with that of another student. Second offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on specific question in assignment; - 10 from final mark.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: A student's assignment shared similar features with that of another student.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on specific questions in assignment; - 5 from final mark; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: A student's assignment shared similar features with that of another student.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on specific questions in assignment; - 5 from final mark; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: A student's assignment shared similar features with that of another student. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on specific question in assignment; - 5 from final mark; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: A student's assignment shared similar features with that of another student. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on specific question in assignment; - 5 from final mark; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: A student's assignment shared similar features with that of another student. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on specific question in assignment; - 5 from final mark; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: A student's assignment shared similar features with that of another student. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on specific question in assignment; - 5 from final mark; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: A student's assignment shared similar features with that of another student. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on specific question in assignment; - 5 from final mark; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: A student's assignment shared similar features with that of another student. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on specific question in assignment; - 5 from final mark; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students were found to have cheated on the Final Assessment. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 0 on assessment; one-term suspension.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students were found to have cheated on the Final Assessment. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 0 on assessment; one-term suspension.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student submitted another student's paper from a previous term. The student advised that they had submitted the wrong paper and admitted to asking their friend for their assignment to assist them in one question.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 25% deduction on assignment; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student was found to have collaborated too closely on an assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the specific questions; 5% off course; and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student allowed another student to copy their paper and was subsequently found guilty of unauthorized collaboration.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: Disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student found guilty of unauthorized collaboration. Student accepted penalty.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 50% of earned grade (worth 25%); -5% from final grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student found guilty of unauthorized collaboration.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 50% of earned grade (worth 25%); -5% from final grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student found of unauthorized collaboration and was found to be the original author from when they took the course in a previous term.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student was found guilty of unauthorized collaboration as their final submission had been corrected and all previously flagged text removed.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Academic Integrity Workshop.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student found guilty of unauthorized collaboration.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0% on assignment (worth 40%); Academic Integrity Workshop.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student found guilty of unauthorized collaboration.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0% on assignment (worth 40%); Academic Integrity Workshop.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student collaborated with three others on an online quiz. The student agreed to the details of the offence and to the penalty.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the quiz; 5% deduction on the overall course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student collaborated with three others on an online quiz. The student agreed to the details of the offence and to the penalty.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the quiz; 5% deduction on the overall course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student collaborated with three others on an online quiz. The student agreed to the details of the offence and to the penalty.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the quiz; 5% deduction on the overall course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student used Chegg for solutions in an assignment. Upon investigation, it was found that the student also posted solutions to Chegg. It was also found that the student collaborated with another student on an assignment. Student admitted to offences.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 for all specific questions in the assignments; -5% deduction from final course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student's collaborated too closely on an assignment. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on specific question in assignment; -5% from final course grade.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students worked together to disguise copying of old solutions in an assignment. Second offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: 0 on specific question in assignment; -5% from final course grade; disciplinary probation; one term suspension.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students worked together to disguise copying of old solutions in an assignment. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 0 on specific question in assignment; -5% from final course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students worked together to disguise copying of old solutions in an assignment. Second offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 0 on specific question in assignment; -5% from final course grade; disciplinary probation; one term suspension.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students collaborated on an assignment and submitted answers which were substantially similar.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the course element; 5 mark deduction on the overall course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student collaborated with another student by sending their work to the other student. Student also found guilty of misrepresentation in their response to the first allegation.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the project; 10 mark deduction on the overall course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Two students collaborated on an assignment. First offence and student accepted responsibility.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment question; a further 3 marks off the course mark; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student was found guilty of unauthorized collaboration.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment (worth 25%); disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Two students collaborated on individual assignments in a course.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on 3 assignments; a further 5 marks off the final grade in the course; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Two students collaborated on individual assignments in a course.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on 3 assignments; a further 5 marks off the final grade in the course; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student found guilty of unauthorized collaboration and opportunistic cheating on a midterm exam.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the midterm; further reduction of 5 marks on both of the overall course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student found guilty of unauthorized collaboration and opportunistic cheating on a midterm exam.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the midterm; further reduction of 5 marks on both of the overall course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student found guilty of unauthorized collaboration and opportunistic cheating on a midterm exam.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the midterm; further reduction of 5 marks on both of the overall course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student found guilty of unauthorized collaboration and opportunistic cheating on a midterm exam.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the midterm; further reduction of 5 marks on both of the overall course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student found guilty of unauthorized collaboration and opportunistic cheating on a midterm exam.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the midterm; further reduction of 5 marks on both of the overall course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students were given a 48 hour window to complete the midterm, but once they accessed the file on Learn with the midterm questions, they had to submit their answers within 4 hours. At the beginning of the second day, the student shared their midterm in the class group chat – as a result, the entire class saw the shared midterm.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the midterm; further reduction of 15 marks off the overall course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students were found to have excessively collaborated in an assignment. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on specific questions; further 5 mark reduction on final grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student was found guilty of unauthorized collaboration and misrepresentation after they submitted several assignments which contained answers virtually identical to that of another student.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on 5 separate assignment and the final project; further reduction of 40 marks from the final course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student was found guilty of unauthorized collaboration and misrepresentation after they submitted several assignments which contained answers virtually identical to that of another student.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** Not specified

Penalty/Decision: 0 on 5 separate assignments and the final project; further reduction of 30 marks from the final course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student's midterm was highly similar to that of another student and contained identical errors.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 for the midterm; further reduction of 5 marks on the overall course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student's midterm was highly similar to that of another student and contained identical errors.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 for the midterm; further reduction of 5 marks on the overall course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student's midterm was highly similar to that of two other students and contained identical errors.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 for the midterm; further reduction of 5 marks on the overall course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student's midterm was highly similar to that of other students and contained identical errors.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 for the midterm; further reduction of 5 marks on the overall course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student's midterm was highly similar to that of other students and contained identical errors.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 for the midterm; further reduction of 5 marks on the overall course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student's midterm was highly similar to that of other students and contained identical errors.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 for the midterm; further reduction of 5 marks on the overall course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student's midterm was highly similar to that of other students and contained identical errors.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 for the midterm; further reduction of 5 marks on the overall course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student's assignment was found to be highly similar to that of another student.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment; additional 5% off the final course mark; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student's assignment was found to be highly similar to that of another student. Student was experiencing extreme extenuating circumstances.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment; further grade reduction of up to 20 marks, capped such that the final course grade does not go below 50 as a result of the reduction; continued disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student's assignment was found to be highly similar to that of another student.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment; further reduction of 5 marks from the final course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student's assignment was found to be highly similar to that of another student. Second offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment; further reduction of 25 marks from the final course grade; continued disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Two students collaborated on an individual assignment. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment; a further 5 marks off the final grade in each course; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Two students collaborated on an individual assignment. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment; a further 5 marks off the final grade in each course; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Two students collaborated by sharing answers on an online assignment. First offence and student accepted responsibility.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: A reduction of the score on the assignment by 50%; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Two students collaborated by sharing answers on an online assignment. First offence and student accepted responsibility.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: A reduction of the score on the assignment by 50%; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student was found guilty of unauthorized collaboration after their answers on an assignment were determined to be highly similar to those of another student's.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 0 for the assignment; further reduction of 5 marks from the final course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student was found guilty of unauthorized collaboration after their answers on an assignment were determined to be highly similar to those of another student's.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 0 for the assignment; further reduction of 5 marks from the final course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: A student submitted answers to an assignment that were provided by another student. Third offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: Failure in the course (a numeric grade no higher than 49%); continued disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: A student shared an assignment with another student, who used it for their work. First offence. Student took responsibility but did not expect their work to be copied.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment (the assignment to be counted among those included in the course mark); disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student was found guilty of unauthorized collaboration as their homework assignment answers were similar to that of another student's.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on both assignments; further reduction of 10 marks from the final course grade disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student was found guilty of unauthorized collaboration as their homework assignment answers were similar to that of another student's.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on both assignments; further reduction of 10 marks from the final course grade disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Answers in an assignment submitted by students all contained similar features. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on specific questions in assignment; further 5 mark deduction from final course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Answers in an assignment submitted by students all contained similar features. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on specific questions in assignment; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Answers in an assignment submitted by students all contained similar features. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on specific questions in assignment; further 5 mark deduction from final course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Answers in an assignment submitted by students all contained similar features. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on specific questions in assignment; further 4 mark deduction from final course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student's assignment was found to have highly similar answers to that of another student.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment; further reduction of 5 marks from the final course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student's assignment was found to have highly similar answers to that of another student.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment; further reduction of 5 marks from the final course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students collaborated on specific questions in 2 assignments and their final assessment. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 0 on specific questions in 2 separate assignments; further 5 mark deduction from final course grade.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students collaborated on specific questions in 2 assignments and their final assessment. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 0 on specific questions in 2 separate assignments; further 5 mark deduction from final course grade.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student's final assessment was found to be highly similar to that of another student.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assessment and a further reduction of 5 marks from the final course grade.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student's final assessment was found to be highly similar to that of another student.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assessment and a further reduction of 5 marks from the final course grade.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students submitted assignments which shared similar features. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on specific questions; further 5 mark deduction from final course grade.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students submitted assignments which shared similar features. Second offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on specific questions; further 5 mark deduction from final course grade.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students submitted assignments which shared similar features. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on specific questions; further 5 mark deduction from final course grade.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students submitted assignments which shared similar features. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on specific questions; further 5 mark deduction from final course grade.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students submitted assignments which shared similar features. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: 0 on specific questions; further 5 mark deduction from final course grade.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students submitted assignments which shared similar features. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on specific questions; further 5 mark deduction from final course grade.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student was found to have excessively collaborated with another student on a test.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Student was found to have excessively collaborated with another student on a test.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student was found to have excessively collaborated with another student on a test.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Student was found to have excessively collaborated with another student on a test.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student's submission was found to have highly similar answers to that of 2 other students in an exam that had uniquely distributed papers to the students.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the exam; further reduction of 5 marks from the final course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student's submission was found to have highly similar answers to that of 2 other students in an exam that had uniquely distributed papers to the students.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the exam; further reduction of 5 marks from the final course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student's submission was found to have highly similar answers to that of 2 other students in an exam that had uniquely distributed papers to the students.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the exam; further reduction of 5 marks from the final course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student's exam was found to have answers that were highly similar to that of another student.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the exam; further reduction of 5 marks from the final course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student's exam was found to have answers that were highly similar to that of another student.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the exam; further reduction of 5 marks from the final course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student was found to have an identical submission to that of another student which lived at the same home address. Second offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the test; a further reduction of 14 marks from the final course grade; one term suspension; continued disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student was found to have an identical submission to that of another student which lived at the same home address. Second offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the test; a further reduction of 14 marks from the final course grade; one term suspension; continued disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student's assignment was found to be nearly identical to that of another student. Student admitted to collaborating with another student.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment; a further 5 marks deduction from the final course grade.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student's assignment was found to be nearly identical to that of another student. Student admitted to collaborating with another student.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Student in to receive 0 on the technical drawing and a further 5 marks to be subtracted for the final course grade.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student copied work from a site online for their individual activity assignment. The copied work still had the name and ID of the original author, who had taken the course previously.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on assignment; a further 5 mark deduction from the final course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student copied work from a site online. The copied work still had the name and ID of the original author, who had taken the course previously. The student admitted guilt and apologized.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 0 on assignment; a further 5% deduction from the final course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student was found guilty of unauthorized collaboration after posting their assignment submission online without the instructor's consent.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 15 hours of unpaid community service in a challenging position for an off-campus organization (endorsed by the Associate Dean) of the student's choice. The approved organization must provide written proof (in the form of a letter) of the student's community service

and the Associate Dean must receive the letter documenting the community service a minimum of 1 month before the date of the student's intended convocation; disciplinary probation for the duration of the student's undergraduate career.

Description: Unauthorized resubmission of work

Details: Student's report (worth 5% of final course grade) was very similar to a report submitted in a previous Term, based on a Turnitin analysis. This was notably mitigated because the student was relatively inexperienced in preparing lab reports and it was evident that the student did not intend to cheat or deceive their instructor.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the Introduction and Discussion portion of the report (2% of final course grade); disciplinary probation for the duration of the student's undergraduate career.

Description: Unauthorized resubmission of work

Details: Student submitted an assignment which nearly identical to an assignment submitted when enrolled in the course during a previous term.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized resubmission of work

Details: Student was found guilty of unauthorized resubmission of work.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the work report; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized resubmission of work

Details: Student resubmitted work taken from a previous course. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment.

Description: Violation of examination regulations

Details: Student wrote a formula on the cover page of their midterm exam. There was an explicit instruction on the cover page of the exam telling students not to write anything on it beyond their name and ID number.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Deduction of 5 marks from the exam (10% of the available marks).

Description: Violation of examination regulations

Details: While writing a quiz, the student looked at their neighbour's quiz multiple times.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 4 mark deduction (out of 15) on the quiz.

Description: Violation of examination regulations

Details: Student arrived late to an exam location, removed their cell phone from their pocket and checked it during the exam and was argumentative with the proctor.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: Disciplinary probation.

Description: Violation of examination regulations

Details: Student was observed to be repeatedly looking at their phone in between their legs while writing a midterm.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the midterm; 5% deduction on the final course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Violation of examination regulations

Details: Student violated examination rules by proceeding to complete the test without notifying the instructor.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the exam.

Description: Violation of examination regulations

Details: TA witnessed students sharing their answers with one another during a quiz. Student admitted to talking with other students.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 10% deduction on quiz grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Violation of examination regulations

Details: TA witnessed students sharing their answers with one another during a quiz. Student admitted to talking with other students.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 10% deduction on quiz grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Violation of examination regulations

Details: TA witnessed students sharing their answers with one another during a quiz. Student admitted to talking with other students.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 10% deduction on quiz grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Violation of examination regulations

Details: Student continued to write after time was called at the end of a midterm. Second offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the midterm with an additional 5 marks off the overall course grade; continued disciplinary probation.

Description: Violation of examination regulations

Details: Despite the instructor posting clear instructions prior to the midterm instructing students to upload their required honour statement within 5 minutes of the online test-writing period, the student did not upload their honour statement within the required 5 minutes.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: 2% deduction from midterm mark.

Description: Violation of examination regulations

Details: Student communicated with another student during an exam and accessed an exam paper they were not provided with.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the midterm; 5% off the overall course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Violation of examination regulations

Details: Student was found guilty of premeditated cheating during a final closed book assessment/exam.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 0% on assessment (failure in the course); disciplinary probation; one-term suspension.

Description: Violation of examination regulations

Details: Student was found guilty of premeditated cheating during a final closed book assessment/exam.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 0% on assessment (failure in the course); disciplinary probation; one-term suspension.

Description: Violation of examination regulations

Details: Student was found guilty of premeditated cheating during a final closed book assessment/exam.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 0% on assessment (failure in the course); disciplinary probation; one-term suspension.

Description: Violation of examination regulations

Details: Student was found guilty of premeditated cheating during a final closed book assessment/exam.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 0% on assessment (failure in the course); disciplinary probation; one-term suspension.

Description: Violation of examination regulations

Details: Student posted midterm questions to Chegg. There was also evidence that the Student split the cost of a Chegg membership with another student. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 in the course; one term suspension; disciplinary probation.

Description: Violation of examination regulations

Details: Student posted questions from their final exam on Chegg.com. Student confessed unprompted.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 for the final exam; 3 mark deduction from course grade; one term suspension.

Description: Violation of examination regulations

Details: Student used of Chegg.com during a test. Second offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 for the test; an additional deduction of 5 marks from the course grade; one-term suspension; disciplinary probation.
