

UNIVERSITY OF WATERLOO

University Committee on Student Appeals (USCA) Summary of Student Appeal Cases – 2024-2025

In accordance with Policy 72 – Student Discipline, section 5. Reporting, a summary of appeal cases is posted to the Secretariat website annually. The Faculty Committees on Student Appeals (FCSAs) and the UCSA have jurisdiction to hear appeals concerning the following:

FCSAs	UCSA
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • a grievance decision in an academic setting made by an associate dean under Policy 70 - Student Petitions and Grievances • a decision on a reassessment challenge under Policy 70 – Student Petitions and Grievances • a decision of an Associate Dean under Policy 71 - Student Discipline where the penalty imposed does not include suspension for more than three consecutive terms or expulsion (in those cases the University Committee on Student Appeals has jurisdiction) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • a discipline penalty imposed under Policy 33 - Ethical Behaviour • a grievance decision in an academic support setting made under Policy 70 - Student Petitions and Grievances • a decision of an Associate Dean under Policy 71 - Student Discipline where the penalty imposed includes suspension for more than three consecutive terms or expulsion • a decision of the Vice-President, Academic & Provost under Policy 71 - Student Discipline • a decision of a Faculty Committee on Student Appeals • any other matter concerning a student referred to it by the Senate or Board of Governors

This report is for the period of September 1, 2024 - August 31, 2025.

Level	Degree	Appeal Concerned	Details	Decision Outcome
Arts FCSA	Undergraduate	A decision of an Associate Dean under Policy 71 where the penalty imposed does not include suspension for more than three consecutive terms or expulsion	The student appealed a disciplinary decision regarding the offence of cheating, that resulted in penalties imposed. The appeal was made on the grounds that there was a fundamental procedural error seriously prejudicial to the student, there was clear evidence of bias in a hearing or decision, and the severity of the penalty imposed exceeds the nature of the offence for reasons identified by the student.	Denied The Tribunal determined that the grounds for appeal were not met. The Tribunal confirmed the findings and penalties, and the original decision was upheld.
Arts FCSA	Undergraduate	A decision of an Associate Dean under Policy 71 where the penalty imposed does not include suspension for more than three consecutive terms or expulsion	The student appealed a disciplinary decision regarding the offence of cheating, that resulted in penalties imposed. The appeal was made on the grounds that there was clear evidence of bias in a hearing or decision, and the severity of the penalty imposed exceeds the nature of the offence for reasons identified by the student.	Denied The Tribunal determined that the grounds for appeal were not met. The Tribunal confirmed the findings and penalties, and the original decision was upheld.
Arts FCSA	Undergraduate	A decision of an Associate Dean under Policy 71 where the penalty imposed does not include suspension for more than three consecutive terms or expulsion	The student appealed a disciplinary decision regarding the offence of unauthorized aids or assistance, that resulted in penalties imposed. The appeal was made on the ground that the severity of the penalty imposed exceeds the nature of the offence for reasons identified by the student.	Denied The Tribunal determined that the ground for appeal was not met. The Tribunal confirmed the findings and penalties, and the original decision was upheld.
Arts FCSA	Undergraduate	A decision of an Associate Dean under Policy 71 where the penalty imposed does not include suspension for more than three consecutive terms or expulsion	The student appealed a disciplinary decision regarding the offence of unauthorized aids or assistance, that resulted in penalties imposed. The appeal was made on the ground that the severity of the penalty imposed exceeds the nature of the offence for reasons identified by the student.	Denied The Tribunal determined that the ground for appeal was not met. The Tribunal confirmed the findings and penalties, and the original decision was upheld.
Arts FCSA	Undergraduate	A decision of an Associate Dean under Policy 71 where the penalty imposed does not include suspension for more than three consecutive terms or expulsion	The student appealed a disciplinary decision regarding the offence of unauthorized aids or assistance, that resulted in penalties imposed. The appeal was made on the ground that the severity of the penalty imposed exceeds the nature of the offence for reasons identified by the student.	Denied The Tribunal determined that the ground for appeal was not met. The Tribunal confirmed the findings and penalties, and the original decision was upheld.

Level	Degree	Appeal Concerned	Details	Decision	Outcome
Arts FCSA	Undergraduate	A decision of an Associate Dean under Policy 71 where the penalty imposed does not include suspension for more than three consecutive terms or expulsion	The student appealed a disciplinary decision regarding the offence of violation of examination regulations, that resulted in penalties imposed. The appeal was made on the ground that inadequate weight was given to the evidence provided.	Denied	The Tribunal determined that the ground for appeal was not met. The Tribunal confirmed the findings and penalties, and the original decision was upheld.
Arts FCSA	Undergraduate	A decision on a reassessment challenge under Policy 70	The student appealed a decision on a reassessment challenge of an assignment. The appeal was made on the ground that inadequate weight was given to the evidence provided.	Denied	The Tribunal determined that the ground for appeal was not met. The Tribunal confirmed the findings and the original decision was upheld.
Arts FCSA	Undergraduate	A decision on a reassessment challenge under Policy 70	The student appealed a decision on a reassessment challenge of an exam. The appeal was made on the ground that there was a fundamental procedural error seriously prejudicial to the student.	Denied	The Tribunal determined that the ground for appeal was not met. The Tribunal confirmed the findings and the original decision was upheld.
Engineering FCSA	Undergraduate	A decision of an Associate Dean under Policy 71 where the penalty imposed does not include suspension for more than three consecutive terms or expulsion	The student appealed a disciplinary decision regarding the offence of cheating, that resulted in penalties imposed. The appeal was made on the grounds that inadequate weight was given to the evidence provided, and the severity of the penalty imposed exceeds the nature of the offence for reasons identified by the student.	Denied	The Tribunal determined that the grounds for appeal were not met. The Tribunal confirmed the findings and penalties, and the original decision was upheld.
Engineering FCSA	Undergraduate	A decision of an Associate Dean under Policy 71 where the penalty imposed does not include suspension for more than three consecutive terms or expulsion	The student appealed a disciplinary decision regarding the offence of unauthorized resubmission of work, that resulted in penalties imposed. The appeal was made on the ground that the severity of the penalty imposed exceeds the nature of the offence for reasons identified by the student.	Denied	The Tribunal determined that the ground for appeal was not met. The Tribunal confirmed the findings and penalties, and the original decision was upheld.

Level	Degree	Appeal Concerned	Details	Decision Outcome
Engineering FCSA	Undergraduate	A decision of an Associate Dean under Policy 71 where the penalty imposed does not include suspension for more than three consecutive terms or expulsion	The student appealed a disciplinary decision regarding the offence of plagiarism, that resulted in penalties imposed. The appeal was made on the grounds that there was a fundamental procedural error seriously prejudicial to the student, and the severity of the penalty imposed exceeds the nature of the offence for reasons identified by the student.	Denied The Tribunal determined that the grounds for appeal were not met. The Tribunal confirmed the findings and penalties, and the original decision was upheld.
Mathematics FCSA	Undergraduate	A decision of an Associate Dean under Policy 71 where the penalty imposed does not include suspension for more than three consecutive terms or expulsion	The student appealed a disciplinary decision regarding the offence of academic or admission fraud, that resulted in penalties imposed. The appeal was made on the grounds that significant new information relevant to the case that was not available through diligence prior to the decision has been discovered, and the severity of the penalty imposed exceeds the nature of the offence for reasons identified by the student.	Denied The Tribunal determined that the grounds for appeal were not met. The Tribunal confirmed the findings and penalties, and the original decision was upheld.
Mathematics FCSA	Undergraduate	A decision of an Associate Dean under Policy 71 where the penalty imposed does not include suspension for more than three consecutive terms or expulsion	The student appealed a disciplinary decision regarding the offence of cheating, that resulted in penalties imposed. The appeal was made on the grounds that inadequate weight was given to the evidence provided, and the severity of the penalty imposed exceeds the nature of the offence for reasons identified by the student.	Denied The Tribunal determined that the grounds for appeal were not met. The Tribunal confirmed the findings and penalties, and the original decision was upheld.
Mathematics FCSA	Undergraduate	A decision of an Associate Dean under Policy 71 where the penalty imposed does not include suspension for more than three consecutive terms or expulsion	The student appealed a disciplinary decision regarding the offence of cheating, that resulted in penalties imposed. The appeal was made on the grounds that inadequate weight was given to the evidence provided, and the severity of the penalty imposed exceeds the nature of the offence for reasons identified by the student.	Denied The Tribunal determined that the grounds for appeal were not met. The Tribunal confirmed the findings and penalties, and the original decision was upheld.
Mathematics FCSA	Undergraduate	A decision of an Associate Dean under Policy 71 where the penalty imposed does not include suspension for more than three consecutive terms or expulsion	The student appealed a disciplinary decision regarding the offence of unauthorized aids or assistance, that resulted in penalties imposed. The appeal was made on the ground that inadequate weight was given to the evidence provided.	Denied The Tribunal determined that the ground for appeal was not met. The Tribunal confirmed the findings and penalties, and the original decision was upheld.

Level	Degree	Appeal Concerned	Details	Decision Outcome
Mathematics FCSA	Undergraduate	A decision of an Associate Dean under Policy 71 where the penalty imposed does not include suspension for more than three consecutive terms or expulsion	The student appealed a disciplinary decision regarding the offence of unauthorized aids or assistance, that resulted in penalties imposed. The appeal was made on the grounds that there was a fundamental procedural error seriously prejudicial to the student, and there was clear evidence of bias in a hearing or decision.	Denied The Tribunal determined that the grounds for appeal were not met. The Tribunal confirmed the findings and penalties, and the original decision was upheld.
Mathematics FCSA	Undergraduate	A decision of an Associate Dean under Policy 71 where the penalty imposed does not include suspension for more than three consecutive terms or expulsion	The student appealed a disciplinary decision regarding the offence of unauthorized co-operation or collaboration, that resulted in penalties imposed. The appeal was made on the ground that the severity of the penalty imposed exceeds the nature of the offence for reasons identified by the student.	Denied The Tribunal determined that the ground for appeal was not met. The Tribunal confirmed the findings and penalties, and the original decision was upheld.
Mathematics FCSA	Undergraduate	A decision of an Associate Dean under Policy 71 where the penalty imposed does not include suspension for more than three consecutive terms or expulsion	The student appealed a disciplinary decision regarding the offence of unauthorized co-operation or collaboration, that resulted in penalties imposed. The appeal was made on the ground that there was clear evidence of bias in a hearing or decision.	Denied The Tribunal determined that the ground for appeal was not met. The Tribunal confirmed the findings and penalties, and the original decision was upheld.
Mathematics FCSA	Undergraduate	A decision of an Associate Dean under Policy 71 where the penalty imposed does not include suspension for more than three consecutive terms or expulsion	The student appealed a disciplinary decision regarding the offence of unauthorized co-operation or collaboration, that resulted in penalties imposed. The appeal was made on the grounds that there was a fundamental procedural error seriously prejudicial to the student, and inadequate weight was given to the evidence provided.	Denied The Tribunal determined that the grounds for appeal were not met. The Tribunal confirmed the findings and penalties, and the original decision was upheld.
Mathematics FCSA	Undergraduate	A decision of an Associate Dean under Policy 71 where the penalty imposed does not include suspension for more than three consecutive terms or expulsion	The student appealed a disciplinary decision regarding the offence of unauthorized co-operation or collaboration, that resulted in penalties imposed. The appeal was made on the grounds that there was a fundamental procedural error seriously prejudicial to the student, and there was clear evidence of bias in a hearing or decision.	Denied The Tribunal determined that the grounds for appeal were not met. The Tribunal confirmed the findings and penalties, and the original decision was upheld.

Level	Degree	Appeal Concerned	Details	Decision Outcome
Mathematics FCSA	Undergraduate	A decision of an Associate Dean under Policy 71 where the penalty imposed does not include suspension for more than three consecutive terms or expulsion	The student appealed a disciplinary decision regarding the offence of violation of examination regulations, that resulted in penalties imposed. The appeal was made on the ground that the severity of the penalty imposed exceeds the nature of the offence for reasons identified by the student.	Denied The Tribunal determined that the ground for appeal was not met. The Tribunal confirmed the findings and penalties, and the original decision was upheld.
Mathematics FCSA	Undergraduate	A decision of an Associate Dean under Policy 71 where the penalty imposed does not include suspension for more than three consecutive terms or expulsion	The student appealed a disciplinary decision regarding the offence of violation of examination regulations, that resulted in penalties imposed. The appeal was made on the ground that the severity of the penalty imposed exceeds the nature of the offence for reasons identified by the student.	Denied The Tribunal determined that the ground for appeal was not met. The Tribunal confirmed the findings and penalties, and the original decision was upheld.
Mathematics FCSA	Undergraduate	A decision of an Associate Dean under Policy 71 where the penalty imposed does not include suspension for more than three consecutive terms or expulsion	The student appealed a disciplinary decision regarding the offences of plagiarism, and unauthorized co-operation or collaboration that resulted in penalties imposed. The appeal was made on the grounds that there was a fundamental procedural error seriously prejudicial to the student, and the severity of the penalty imposed exceeds the nature of the offence for reasons identified by the student.	Granted The Tribunal determined that the grounds for appeal were met. The Tribunal reversed the findings, and granted the student the relief requested.
Mathematics FCSA	Undergraduate	A decision of an Associate Dean under Policy 71 where the penalty imposed does not include suspension for more than three consecutive terms or expulsion	The student appealed a disciplinary decision regarding the offences of unauthorized aids or assistance, and unauthorized co-operation or collaboration, that resulted in penalties imposed. The appeal was made on the grounds that significant new information relevant to the case that was not available through diligence prior to the decision has been discovered, and inadequate weight was given to the evidence provided.	Denied The Tribunal determined that the grounds for appeal were not met. The Tribunal confirmed the findings and penalties, and the original decision was upheld.
Mathematics FCSA	Undergraduate	A decision of an Associate Dean under Policy 71 where the penalty imposed does not include suspension for more than three consecutive terms or expulsion	The student appealed a disciplinary decision regarding the offences of unauthorized aids or assistance, and violation of examination regulations, that resulted in penalties imposed. The appeal was made on the grounds that there was a fundamental procedural error seriously prejudicial to the student, inadequate weight was given to the evidence provided, and the severity of the penalty imposed exceeds the nature of the offence for reasons identified by the student.	Denied The Tribunal determined that the grounds for appeal were not met. The Tribunal confirmed the findings and penalties, and the original decision was upheld.

Level	Degree	Appeal Concerned	Details	Decision	Outcome
Mathematics FCSA	Undergraduate	A decision of an Associate Dean under Policy 71 where the penalty imposed does not include suspension for more than three consecutive terms or expulsion	The student appealed a disciplinary decision regarding the offences of unauthorized aids or assistance, and violation of instructor copyright, that resulted in penalties imposed. The appeal was made on the ground that the severity of the penalty imposed exceeds the nature of the offence for reasons identified by the student.	Denied	The Tribunal determined that the ground for appeal was not met. The Tribunal confirmed the findings and penalties, and the original decision was upheld.
Mathematics FCSA	Undergraduate	A decision of an Associate Dean under Policy 71 where the penalty imposed does not include suspension for more than three consecutive terms or expulsion	The student appealed a disciplinary decision regarding the offence of unauthorized co-operation or collaboration, that resulted in penalties imposed. The appeal was made on the ground that there was clear evidence of bias in a hearing or decision.	Denied	The Tribunal determined that the ground for appeal was not met. The Tribunal confirmed the findings and penalties, and the original decision was upheld.
Mathematics FCSA	Undergraduate	A decision of an Associate Dean under Policy 71 where the penalty imposed does not include suspension for more than three consecutive terms or expulsion	The student appealed a disciplinary decision regarding the offence of plagiarism, that resulted in penalties imposed. The appeal was made on the ground that the severity of the penalty imposed exceeds the nature of the offence for reasons identified by the student.	Denied	The Tribunal determined that the ground for appeal was not met. The Tribunal confirmed the findings and penalties, and the original decision was upheld.
Mathematics FCSA	Undergraduate	A grievance decision in an academic setting made by an Associate Dean under Policy 70	The student appealed a grievance decision regarding the alleged treatment of the student by an instructor and the grading scheme of a course. The appeal was made on the grounds that there was a fundamental procedural error seriously prejudicial to the student, there was clear evidence of bias in a hearing or decision, and inadequate weight was given to the evidence provided.	Denied	The Tribunal determined that the grounds for appeal were not met. The Tribunal confirmed the findings, and the original decision was upheld.
Mathematics FCSA	Undergraduate	A grievance decision in an academic setting made by an Associate Dean under Policy 70	The student appealed a grievance decision regarding a final exam mark. The appeal was made on the grounds that there was a fundamental procedural error seriously prejudicial to the student, and there was clear evidence of bias in a hearing or decision.	Denied	The Tribunal determined that the grounds for appeal were not met. The Tribunal confirmed the findings, and the original decision was upheld.

Level	Degree	Appeal Concerned	Details	Decision Outcome	
Science FCSA	Undergraduate	A decision of an Associate Dean under Policy 71 where the penalty imposed does not include suspension for more than three consecutive terms or expulsion	The student appealed a disciplinary decision regarding the offence of misconduct in research, that resulted in penalties imposed. The appeal was made on the grounds that there was evidence of bias in the decision or hearing, and the severity of the penalty imposed exceeds the nature of the offence for reasons identified by the student.	Partially Granted	The Tribunal determined that the grounds for appeal were not met. However, the Tribunal assessed a different, reduced penalty based on mitigating circumstances.
Science FCSA	Undergraduate	A decision of an Associate Dean under Policy 71 where the penalty imposed does not include suspension for more than three consecutive terms or expulsion	The student appealed a disciplinary decision regarding the offence of misrepresentation, that resulted in penalties imposed. The appeal was made on the ground that inadequate weight was given to the evidence provided.	Denied	The Tribunal determined that the ground for appeal was not met. The Tribunal confirmed the findings and penalties, and the original decision was upheld.
Science FCSA	Undergraduate	A decision of an Associate Dean under Policy 71 where the penalty imposed does not include suspension for more than three consecutive terms or expulsion	The student appealed a disciplinary decision regarding the offence of misrepresentation, that resulted in penalties imposed. The appeal was made on the ground that there was significant new information relevant to the case that was not available through diligence prior to the decision has been discovered.	Denied	The Tribunal determined that the ground for appeal was not met. The Tribunal confirmed the findings and penalties, and the original decision was upheld.
Science FCSA	Undergraduate	A decision of an Associate Dean under Policy 71 where the penalty imposed does not include suspension for more than three consecutive terms or expulsion	The student appealed a disciplinary decision regarding the offence of misrepresentation, that resulted in penalties imposed. The appeal was made on the grounds that there was significant new information relevant to the case that was not available through diligence prior to the decision has been discovered.	Denied	The Tribunal determined that the ground for appeal was not met. The Tribunal confirmed the findings and penalties, and the original decision was upheld.
Science FCSA	Undergraduate	A decision of an Associate Dean under Policy 71 where the penalty imposed does not include suspension for more than three consecutive terms or expulsion	The student appealed a disciplinary decision regarding the offence of unauthorized aids or assistance, that resulted in penalties imposed. The appeal was made on the grounds that there was a fundamental procedural error seriously prejudicial to the student, and there was clear evidence of bias in a hearing or decision.	Denied	The Tribunal determined that the grounds for appeal were not met. The Tribunal confirmed the findings and penalties, and the original decision was upheld.

Level	Degree	Appeal Concerned	Details	Decision Outcome
Science FCSA	Undergraduate	A decision of an Associate Dean under Policy 71 where the penalty imposed does not include suspension for more than three consecutive terms or expulsion	The student appealed a disciplinary decision regarding the offence of unauthorized co-operation or collaboration, that resulted in penalties imposed. The appeal was made on the ground that the severity of the penalty imposed exceeds the nature of the offence for reasons identified by the student.	Denied The Tribunal determined that the ground for appeal was not met. The Tribunal confirmed the findings and penalties, and the original decision was upheld.
UCSA	Master's	A decision of the Vice-President, Academic & Provost under Policy 71	The student appealed a campus ban. The appeal was made on the grounds that there was a fundamental procedural error seriously prejudicial to the student, and the severity of the penalty imposed exceeds the nature of the offence for reasons identified by the student.	Denied The Tribunal determined that the grounds for appeal were not met. The Tribunal confirmed the findings and penalties, and the original decision was upheld.
UCSA	PhD	A decision of the Vice-President, Academic & Provost under Policy 71	The student appealed a campus ban. The appeal was made on the grounds that there was a fundamental procedural error seriously prejudicial to the student, there was clear evidence of bias in a hearing or decision, and the severity of the penalty imposed exceeds the nature of the offence for reasons identified by the student.	Denied The Tribunal determined that the grounds for appeal were not met. The Tribunal confirmed the findings and penalties, and the original decision was upheld.
UCSA	PhD	A FCSA decision regarding an appeal of a grievance decision in an academic setting made by an Associate Dean under Policy 70	The student appealed an FCSA decision for an appeal of a grievance regarding a course grade and access to historical grade distribution. The appeal was made on the grounds that there was a fundamental procedural error seriously prejudicial to the student, and significant new information relevant to the case that was not available through diligence prior to the decision has been discovered.	Denied The Tribunal determined that the grounds for appeal were not met. The Tribunal confirmed the findings and penalties, and the original decision was upheld.
UCSA	Undergraduate	A decision of an Associate Dean under Policy 71 where the penalty imposed includes suspension for more than three consecutive terms or expulsion	The student appealed a disciplinary decision regarding the offence of cheating, that resulted in penalties imposed. The appeal was made on the grounds that there was a fundamental procedural error seriously prejudicial to the student, inadequate weight was given to the evidence provided, and the severity of the penalty imposed exceeds the nature of the offence for reasons identified by the student.	No decision rendered (dismissed) The Chair dismissed the appeal after the Appellant failed to rectify their submission by the required deadline.

Level	Degree	Appeal Concerned	Details	Decision	Outcome
UCSA	Undergraduate	A decision of the Vice-President, Academic & Provost under Policy 71	The student appealed a campus ban. The appeal was made on the grounds that there was clear evidence of bias in a hearing or decision, inadequate weight was given to the evidence provided, and the severity of the penalty imposed exceeds the nature of the offence for reasons identified by the student.	Denied	The Tribunal determined that the grounds for appeal were not met. The Tribunal confirmed the findings and penalties, and the original decision was upheld.
UCSA	Undergraduate	A decision under Policy 42	The student appealed a decision made against them under Policy 42 that resulted in outcomes imposed. The appeal was made on the grounds that there was a fundamental procedural error seriously prejudicial to the student, there was clear evidence of bias in a hearing or decision, significant new information relevant to the case that was not available through diligence prior to the decision has been discovered, inadequate weight was given to the evidence provided, and the severity of the penalty imposed exceeds the nature of the offence for reasons identified by the student.	Denied	The Tribunal determined that the grounds for appeal were not met. The Tribunal confirmed the findings and penalties, and the original decision was upheld.
UCSA	Undergraduate	A FCSA decision regarding an appeal of a decision of an Associate Dean under Policy 71 where the penalty imposed does not include suspension for more than three consecutive terms or expulsion	The student appealed an FCSA decision for an appeal of a disciplinary decision regarding the offence of cheating, that resulted in penalties imposed. The appeal was made on the grounds that there was a fundamental procedural error seriously prejudicial to the student, and there was clear evidence of bias in a hearing or decision.	Denied	The Tribunal determined that the grounds for appeal were not met. The Tribunal confirmed the findings and penalties, and the original decision was upheld.
UCSA	Undergraduate	A FCSA decision regarding an appeal of a decision of an Associate Dean under Policy 71 where the penalty imposed does not include suspension for more than three consecutive terms or expulsion	The student appealed an FCSA decision for an appeal of a disciplinary decision regarding the offence of plagiarism, that resulted in penalties imposed. The appeal was made on the grounds that inadequate weight was given to the evidence provided, and the severity of the penalty imposed exceeds the nature of the offence for reasons identified by the student.	Denied	The Tribunal determined that the grounds for appeal were not met. The Tribunal confirmed the findings and penalties, and the original decision was upheld.

Level	Degree	Appeal Concerned	Details	Decision Outcome
UCSA	Undergraduate	A FCSA decision regarding an appeal of a decision of an Associate Dean under Policy 71 where the penalty imposed does not include suspension for more than three consecutive terms or expulsion	The student appealed an FCSA decision for an appeal of a disciplinary decision regarding the offence of plagiarism, that resulted in penalties imposed. The appeal was made on the grounds that there was a fundamental procedural error seriously prejudicial to the student, significant new information relevant to the case that was not available through diligence prior to the decision has been discovered, inadequate weight was given to the evidence provided, and the severity of the penalty imposed exceeds the nature of the offence for reasons identified by the student.	Denied The Tribunal determined that the grounds for appeal were not met. The Tribunal confirmed the findings and penalties, and the original decision was upheld.
UCSA	Undergraduate	A FCSA decision regarding an appeal of a decision of an Associate Dean under Policy 71 where the penalty imposed does not include suspension for more than three consecutive terms or expulsion	The student appealed an FCSA decision for an appeal of a disciplinary decision regarding the offence of unauthorized aids or assistance, that resulted in penalties imposed. The appeal was made on the grounds that there was a fundamental procedural error seriously prejudicial to the student, and the severity of the penalty imposed exceeds the nature of the offence for reasons identified by the student.	Denied The Tribunal determined that the grounds for appeal were not met. The Tribunal confirmed the findings and penalties, and the original decision was upheld.
UCSA	Undergraduate	A FCSA decision regarding an appeal of a decision of an Associate Dean under Policy 71 where the penalty imposed does not include suspension for more than three consecutive terms or expulsion	The student appealed an FCSA decision for an appeal of a disciplinary decision regarding the offence of violation of examination regulations, that resulted in penalties imposed. The appeal was made on the ground that the severity of the penalty imposed exceeds the nature of the offence for reasons identified by the student.	Denied The Tribunal determined that the grounds for appeal were not met. The Tribunal confirmed the findings and penalties, and the original decision was upheld.
UCSA	Undergraduate	A FCSA decision regarding an appeal of a grievance decision in an academic setting made by an associate dean under Policy 70	The student appealed an FCSA decision for an appeal of a grievance decision regarding the alleged treatment of the student by an instructor and the grading scheme of a course. The appeal was made on the grounds that there was a fundamental procedural error seriously prejudicial to the student, there was clear evidence of bias in the decision, and inadequate weight was given to the evidence provided.	Denied The Tribunal determined that the grounds for appeal were not met. The Tribunal confirmed the findings and penalties, and the original decision was upheld.