

UNIVERSITY OF WATERLOO

University Committee on Student Appeals (USCA) Summary of Discipline Cases – 2024-2025

In accordance with Policy 71 – Student Discipline, section 5. Reporting, a summary of discipline cases is posted to the Secretariat website annually. Offences include, but are not limited to, the following:

ACADEMIC	NON-ACADEMIC
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • cheating • plagiarism • unauthorized co-operation or collaboration as defined by the course instructor • unauthorized aids or assistance as defined by the course instructor • unauthorized resubmission of work • violation of examination regulations • impersonating another student or entering into an arrangement with another to be impersonated • obtaining, distributing, or receiving any confidential academic material without the express consent of the instructor • theft of intellectual property • academic or admission fraud discovered after registration • altering, falsifying or withholding a relevant document • misrepresentation • obstruction or interference • misconduct in research including breach of ethics regarding human or animal testing • contravention of statute (e.g., <i>Copyright Act</i>) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • disruptive, dangerous, aggressive or threatening behaviour, including by electronic means • misuse of University resources, equipment or supplies, including, but not limited to, computers and network, keys, records, permits, letterhead • mischief • vandalism • theft • infringing unreasonably on the work of others • violation of instructor’s communicated rules, such as prohibition of recording lecture • violation of safety regulations in a laboratory or other setting • unauthorized use of equipment, material or a facility or service • unethical behaviour • contravention of statute (e.g., <i>Liquor Licence Act; Criminal Code</i>)

This report is for the period of September 1, 2024 - August 31, 2025.

University Committee on Student Appeals

Summary of Discipline Cases - 2024-2025

Description	Degree	Year	Details	Penalty/Decision
Academic or admission fraud	Undergraduate	2	Fraudulent document submitted for a Petition for Readmission.	Five-term suspension.
Academic or admission fraud	Undergraduate	2	Multiple VIFs submitted corresponding to quiz dates that appeared to be copied and altered. Student provided honest responses.	Zero on quizzes, and an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade.
Academic or admission fraud	Undergraduate	2	Submission of fraudulent medical documentation and translation in support of a petition.	Three-term suspension.
Academic or admission fraud	Undergraduate	2	The student applied for admissions to the University of Waterloo failing to disclose prior postsecondary enrollment.	Denial of transfer credits and disciplinary probation.
Academic or admission fraud	Undergraduate	3	Student paid an external agency to write petition which would allow them readmission to the program if granted. Medical documents submitted were deemed fraudulent. First offence and student expressed remorse.	Three-term suspension, and disciplinary probation.
Academic or admission fraud	Undergraduate	3	Student submitted an altered version of a medical document to expedite the petition process when the original document was under review. Student admitted they altered the document to expedite the process.	Formal warning and disciplinary probation.
Academic or admission fraud	Undergraduate	4	Student submitted fraudulent medical documentation. Student was truthful in their responses.	One-term suspension.
Academic or admission fraud	Undergraduate	4	Submission of VIF was fraudulent. Student accepted responsibility and apologized for offence.	Zero on the midterm exam, an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Academic or admission fraud	Undergraduate	4	Submitted fraudulent documents in support of their petition.	Two-term suspension.
Academic or admission fraud	Undergraduate	No Level	Admission Fraud. Home Edition scores submitted to the Educational testing Service (ETS) which were used on application to the University of Waterloo.	Expulsion.

University Committee on Student Appeals

Summary of Discipline Cases - 2024-2025

Description	Degree	Year	Details	Penalty/Decision
Academic or admission fraud / Altering or falsifying a relevant document	Undergraduate	No Level	Medical documentation fraud.	Six-term suspension.
Cheating	Undergraduate	1	Cheating involving the use of unauthorized GenAI tools and unauthorized assistance on a final exam. First offence.	Zero on the final exam (worth 30% of the course grade), a failing grade in course, and disciplinary probation.
Cheating	Undergraduate	1	Cheating involving the use of unauthorized GenAI tools on a midterm and a final exam. Student accepted responsibility.	Zero on the midterm (worth 30% of course grade), zero on final exam (worth 30% of course grade), and disciplinary probation.
Cheating	Undergraduate	1	Copying from students sitting near them in quizzes. Student accepted responsibility. First offence.	Zero on quiz, and an additional five-mark deduction from the overall course grade.
Cheating	Undergraduate	1	Opportunistic cheating on a test. First offence. Student accepted responsibility and showed a willingness to learn from this experience.	Zero on the test (worth 25% of the course grade), an additional five-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Cheating	Undergraduate	1	Student is suspected of using ChatGPT to answer the function the questions.	Zero on the final exam, and disciplinary probation.
Cheating	Undergraduate	1	Student is suspected of using ChatGPT to answer the function the questions.	Zero on the final, an additional 5% reduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Cheating	Undergraduate	1	Student is suspected of using ChatGPT to answer the function the questions.	Zero on the final, an additional 5% reduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Cheating	Undergraduate	1	Student is suspected of using ChatGPT to answer the function the questions.	Zero on the final, an additional 5% reduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Cheating	Undergraduate	1	Student was caught in the office with a cell phone while writing a deferred exam.	Zero on the final exam, and remain on disciplinary probation.

University Committee on Student Appeals

Summary of Discipline Cases - 2024-2025

Description	Degree	Year	Details	Penalty/Decision
Cheating	Undergraduate	1	Student was caught looking at ChatGPT on their phone during quiz. Student took full responsibility for their actions and expressed remorse.	Zero on the tutorial quiz, and an additional 5% reduction from the overall course grade
Cheating	Undergraduate	1	Student was observed looking at their phone at the beginning of the midterm test. The student complied immediately with the instructor's request to put the phone away.	Disciplinary probation.
Cheating	Undergraduate	1	Student was using a cell phone during a quiz.	Zero on quiz, and disciplinary probation.
Cheating	Undergraduate	2	Submission of an AI-recorded practice file for an oral assignment. Student accepted responsibility for mistakenly submitting the wrong file.	Disciplinary probation.
Cheating	Undergraduate	2	Cheating involving unauthorized collaboration on an assignment. First offence. Student accepted responsibility.	Zero on the assignment (worth 20% of the course grade), an additional five-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Cheating	Undergraduate	2	Cheating involving use of unauthorized aids on an assignment. First offence. Student accepted responsibility.	Zero on the assignment (worth 20% of the course grade), an additional five-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Cheating	Undergraduate	2	Cheating with another student on a quiz.	Zero on quiz,an additional five-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Cheating	Undergraduate	2	Cheating with another student on a quiz.	Zero on quiz, an additional five-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Cheating	Undergraduate	2	Opportunistic cheating in a test situation.	Zero on the test (worth 30% of the course grade), and disciplinary probation.
Cheating	Undergraduate	2	Opportunistic cheating on a test. First offence.	Zero on the test (worth 25% of the course grade), an additional five-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.

University Committee on Student Appeals

Summary of Discipline Cases - 2024-2025

Description	Degree	Year	Details	Penalty/Decision
Cheating	Undergraduate	2	Opportunistic cheating on an exam. First offence. Student accepted responsibility and expressed a commitment to learning from this.	Zero grade on exam, and disciplinary probation.
Cheating	Undergraduate	2	Premeditated cheating on a midterm. Second offence.	Zero on the midterm, failing grade in course, and disciplinary probation. In lieu of suspension, required to successfully complete three courses on ethics.
Cheating	Undergraduate	2	Student seen using smartphone during final exam. Had been warned about conduct during tests.	Zero on final exam, an additional ten-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and required to successfully complete three courses on ethics (in lieu of suspension).
Cheating	Undergraduate	2	Student was seen looking at a classmates paper after laughing and talking with them. Student admitted to looking at classmate's paper but said they did not change their answers based on what they saw.	50% reduction of the midterm grade, and disciplinary probation.
Cheating	Undergraduate	2	Student was seen talking and laughing with a classmate during midterm. Student acknowledged having talked during the midterm.	50% reduction of the midterm grade, and disciplinary probation.
Cheating	Undergraduate	3	Cheating involving unauthorized use of GenAI on a midterm. First offence and student accepted responsibility.	Zero on the midterm (worth 10% of the course grade), an additional five-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Cheating	Undergraduate	3	Cheating involving the use of unauthorized GenAI tools on a final exam. First offence.	Zero on the final exam (worth 30% of the course grade), a failing grade in course, and disciplinary probation.
Cheating	Undergraduate	3	Premeditated cheating on a final exam. Third offence.	Zero on final exam, and disciplinary probation.
Cheating	Undergraduate	3	Student communicated with another student about the quiz.	Required to complete two additional courses for degree requirements. Withdrawn to stand.
Cheating	Undergraduate	3	Student had a stack of papers in their pencil case with content and concepts that were being tested on.	Zero on the test (worth 15% of course grade), an additional five-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.

University Committee on Student Appeals

Summary of Discipline Cases - 2024-2025

Description	Degree	Year	Details	Penalty/Decision
Cheating	Undergraduate	3	Suspected of using GenAI for solutions of quiz which is prohibited in the course. Treated as a single offence with another offence. Second Offence. Student accepted responsibility.	Zero on quiz, and an additional five-mark deduction from the overall course grade.
Cheating	Undergraduate	4	Cheating on a final exam. First offence. Student was cooperative and admitted some mistakes.	Zero on the exam (worth 30% of the course grade), a failing grade in course, and disciplinary probation.
Cheating	Undergraduate	4	Opportunistic cheating and theft of intellectual property. First offence. Student accepted responsibility for some actions.	Zero on the test (worth 25% of the course grade), an additional five-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Cheating	Undergraduate	4	Opportunistic cheating on a test. First offence. Student was cooperative in responding to questions and accepted responsibility.	Zero on the test (worth 25% of the course grade), an additional five-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Cheating	Undergraduate	4	Opportunistic cheating on a test. First offence. Student was cooperative in responding to questions.	Zero on the test (worth 25% of the course grade), an additional five-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Cheating	Undergraduate	4	Opportunistic cheating on a test. First offence. Student was cooperative in responding to questions.	Zero on the test (worth 25% of the course grade), an additional five-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Cheating	Undergraduate	4	Opportunistic cheating on a test. Second offence.	Zero on the test (worth 25% of the course grade), an additional five-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Cheating	Undergraduate	No Level	Student is suspected of using ChatGPT to answer the function the questions on final exam.	Zero on the exam, and disciplinary probation.
Cheating / Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	2	Students observed talking during individual portion of the quiz and when asked to stop proceeded in talking. Student accepted responsibility.	Zero on the quiz, an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Cheating / Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	2	Students observed talking during individual portion of the quiz and when asked to stop proceeded in talking. Student accepted responsibility.	Zero on the quiz, an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.

University Committee on Student Appeals

Summary of Discipline Cases - 2024-2025

Description	Degree	Year	Details	Penalty/Decision
Cheating / Violation of exam regulations	Undergraduate	3	Formulas written on the inside of the calculator cover during the exam.	Zero on the exam, an additional five-mark deduction from the overall course grade, required to successfully complete three additional courses (in lieu of suspension).
Disruptive, dangerous, aggressive or threatening behaviour	Undergraduate	1	Disruptive, dangerous, aggressive or threatening behaviour.	Student banned from campus until risk assessment is completed
Disruptive, dangerous, aggressive or threatening behaviour	Undergraduate	3	Riding on inflatable floaties on Laurel Creek, near Needles Hall.	Given a verbal warning under the Trespass to Property Act, and event recorded.
Disruptive, dangerous, aggressive or threatening behaviour	Undergraduate	3	Riding on inflatable floaties on Laurel Creek, near Needles Hall.	Given a verbal warning under the Trespass to Property Act, and event recorded.
Disruptive, dangerous, aggressive or threatening behaviour	Undergraduate	3	Riding on inflatable floaties on Laurel Creek, near Needles Hall.	Given a verbal warning under the Trespass to Property Act, and event recorded.
Disruptive, dangerous, aggressive or threatening behaviour	Undergraduate	4	Disruptive, dangerous, aggressive, or threatening behaviour.	May not be present in any of a particular faculty's building on campus, and may not be in contact with any employee of that faculty. Student must maintain strict confidentiality about the allegations and the incidents, and disciplinary probation.
Disruptive, dangerous, aggressive or threatening behaviour	Graduate	Masters	Assault between student and visiting researcher.	Student banned from campus until risk assessment is completed
Disruptive, dangerous, aggressive or threatening behaviour / Infringing unreasonably on the work of others	Undergraduate	4	Student sending disruptive communications via email and Microsoft Teams. Student took accountability for actions, sought supports to prevent further recurrences, and provided voluntary apology letter.	Disciplinary probation.
Impersonation	Undergraduate	1	Student found guilty of low level academic integrity offence.	Reprimand.
Impersonation	Undergraduate	5	Answered in-class participation when not present in class. Second offence. Student accepted responsibility.	Zero on in-class participation component, and an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade.

University Committee on Student Appeals

Summary of Discipline Cases - 2024-2025

Description	Degree	Year	Details	Penalty/Decision
Misconduct in research	Undergraduate	4	Student applied to a conference with an abstract that was not their own. Student was apologetic, expressed remorse, did not attend the conference, and did not have previous experience submitting research at a conference.	One-term suspension, and continued disciplinary probation.
Misrepresentation	Undergraduate	1	Added a student's name and number to their submission who was not in the class, and then lied saying that the student was present but had to leave early for an emergency. Student was remorseful for their actions.	Zero on the assignment (worth 5% of the course grade), and disciplinary probation
Misrepresentation	Undergraduate	1	Attempted cheating on a quiz and misrepresentation by submitting doctored evidence.	Zero on the quiz, a failing grade the course, and disciplinary probation.
Misrepresentation	Undergraduate	1	Misrepresentation of sources on an assignment. First academic offence. Student accepted responsibility.	Zero on the assignment (worth 20% of the course grade), an additional five-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Misrepresentation	Undergraduate	1	Misrepresentation related to an assignment. First offence.	Zero on the assignment, an additional five-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Misrepresentation	Undergraduate	1	Student found guilty of low level misrepresentation in a tutorial.	Zero on the tutorial, which must be used in the calculation of the tutorial participation grade (student can only receive grades for a maximum of seven instead of eight tutorials).
Misrepresentation	Undergraduate	1	Student found guilty of misrepresentation as a class mate signed them into a tutorial which they were not present for.	Zero for the tutorial (which needs to be used towards the tutorial participation mark), and disciplinary probation.
Misrepresentation	Undergraduate	1	Student paid an external agency to submit a petition on their behalf. Agency submitted fraudulent medical documents.	Two-term suspension, and disciplinary probation.
Misrepresentation	Undergraduate	1	Student submitted a corrupted file for an assignment.	Zero on the assignment (worth 5% of the course grade), and reprimand.
Misrepresentation	Undergraduate	2	Student had their group members add their name to an in-class assignment which students were required to be present to complete. Student was not present in class and lied about having to leave before the class ended.	Zero on the assignment (worth 5% of the course grade) which cannot count toward lowest mark dropped, and disciplinary probation.

University Committee on Student Appeals

Summary of Discipline Cases - 2024-2025

Description	Degree	Year	Details	Penalty/Decision
Misrepresentation	Undergraduate	3	Student contacted instructor stating they needed more time to complete the quiz due to issues with LEARN. LEARN help stated the student did not log onto LEARN and did not attempt the quiz.	Zero on the quiz (worth 10% of the course grade), an additional five-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Misrepresentation	Undergraduate	3	Submission of false medical documentation for a petition.	Three-term suspension.
Misrepresentation	Undergraduate	3	Unintentional but avoidable misrepresentations when seeking accommodations.	Reprimand, and disciplinary probation.
Misrepresentation	Undergraduate	4	Misrepresentation. Unintentional submission of false medical documentation. Second offence. Student was cooperative in working to address concerns.	Continued disciplinary probation.
Misrepresentation	Undergraduate	No Level	Instructional team noticed an unusual pattern in declarations of absence aligning with assessments indicating misrepresentation.	Reprimand.
Misrepresentation	Undergraduate	No Level	Student sought the re-opening of a quiz on false pretense. First offence.	Zero on the quiz, and disciplinary probation to be ended upon the completion of Academic Integrity Workshop.
Misrepresentation	Undergraduate	No Level	Student submitted forged document for a petition in which requested to be re-admitted to their faculty. Student was honest and forthcoming with explanation.	Two-term suspension, and disciplinary probation.
Misrepresentation / Plagiarism	Undergraduate	1	Submitted another students work for the assignment and then resubmitted an altered document claiming it to be their original work. Accepted responsibility.	Zero on the assignment, an additional five-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Misrepresentation / Plagiarism	Undergraduate	3	Fabricated two quoted passages within a written assignment.	Zero on the assignment, and disciplinary probation
Obtaining confidential academic materials	Undergraduate	4	Student discussed exam questions in a YouTube video which revealed exam answer.	Zero on exam, one-term suspension, and disciplinary probation.

University Committee on Student Appeals

Summary of Discipline Cases - 2024-2025

Description	Degree	Year	Details	Penalty/Decision
Other	Undergraduate	1	Failed to stop writing when instructed to do so at the end of quiz.	5% reduction of the quiz course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Other	Undergraduate	2	Student was alleged to have used GenAI on their paper due to what seemed to be missing in-text citations and errors/weaknesses in citations. Student accepted responsibility.	Considered a level zero offense.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	1	Plagiarism due to omission of in-text citations and imprecision in citations on an assignment. First offence. Student accepted responsibility and expressed commitment to learning from this incident.	25% reduction of the assignment grade (worth 12.5% of the course grade), and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	1	Plagiarism involving use of GenAI tools on an assignment. Second offence. Student cooperated with the investigation.	Zero on the assignment (worth 15% of the course grade), and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	1	Alleged that student used GenAI for Media assignment. Student admitted to offence and was apologetic.	Zero on Media assignment (worth 30%), an additional five-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	1	Insufficient referencing and in-text citations on the assignment questions. Student accepted informal resolution.	Zero on the assignment, and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	1	Insufficient referencing and in-text citations on the assignment questions. Student accepted informal resolution.	Zero on the assignment, and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	1	Minimal referencing in assignment. Student accepted informal resolution.	Zero on the assignment, and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	1	No in-text citations on the assignment question. Student accepted informal resolution.	Zero on the assignment, and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	1	No or minimal referencing in assignment. Student accepted informal resolution.	Zero on the assignment, and disciplinary probation.

University Committee on Student Appeals

Summary of Discipline Cases - 2024-2025

Description	Degree	Year	Details	Penalty/Decision
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	1	Plagiarism due to copying text without appropriate acknowledgment on an assignment. First offence. Student accepted responsibility.	Zero on the assignment, and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	1	Plagiarism due to misrepresentation of sources on an assignment. First offence. Student provided response to concerns raised.	Zero on the assignment, and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	1	Plagiarism due to omission of in-text citation and inaccurate attribution to sources on a group assignment. First offence. Student showed commitment to learning from this and was cooperative.	25% reduction of the assignment grade (worth 10% of the course grade), and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	1	Plagiarism due to omission of in-text citation and inaccurate attribution to sources on a group assignment. First offence. Student showed commitment to learning from this.	25% reduction of the assignment grade (worth 10% of the course grade), and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	1	Plagiarism due to omission of in-text citations and mis-citation. First offence. Student showed commitment to learning from this.	Zero on the assignment, and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	1	Plagiarism due to omission of in-text citations in an assignment. First offence. Student accepted responsibility and showed commitment to learning from this.	50% reduction of the assignment grade, and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	1	Plagiarism due to omission of in-text citations in an assignment. First offence. Student accepted responsibility.	25% reduction of the assignment grade (worth 25% of the course grade), and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	1	Plagiarism due to omission of in-text citations in an assignment. First offence. Student accepted responsibility.	Zero on the final essay (worth 20% of the course grade), and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	1	Plagiarism due to omission of in-text citations on an assignment. First offence. Student accepted responsibility.	Zero on the assignment, and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	1	Plagiarism due to omission of in-text citations on an assignment. First offence. Student showed commitment to learning from this.	Zero on the assignment, and disciplinary probation.

University Committee on Student Appeals

Summary of Discipline Cases - 2024-2025

Description	Degree	Year	Details	Penalty/Decision
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	1	Plagiarism due to omission of in-text citations. First offence.	Zero on the assignment, and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	1	Plagiarism due to unauthorized and unacknowledged use of GenAI on an assignment. First offence.	Zero on the assignment (worth 15% of the course grade), and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	1	Plagiarism involving unauthorized and uncited use of AI tools on an assignment. First offence. Student accepted responsibility.	50% reduction of the essay grade, and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	1	Plagiarism involving unauthorized use of AI on an assignment. First offence.	Zero on essay (worth 25% of course grade), and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	1	Plagiarism involving unauthorized use of GenAI on an assignment. First offence. Student accepted responsibility.	Zero on the assignment (worth 25%), and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	1	Plagiarism involving unauthorized use of GenAI on an assignment. First offence. Student accepted responsibility.	Disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	1	Plagiarism involving unauthorized use of GenAI on a discussion post assignment. First offence. Student accepted responsibility and showed commitment to learning from this.	Disciplinary probation to be ended upon completion of an Academic Integrity Workshop.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	1	Plagiarism involving use of GenAI without authorization or citation. Student accepted responsibility.	10% reduction of the assignment grade, and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	1	Plagiarism involving use of unauthorized AI tools. First offence. Student accepted responsibility.	Zero on essay (worth 30% of the course grade), and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	1	Plagiarism on a report. Student stated that they think they had submitted the incorrect file.	Zero on the report (worth 15% of the course grade), and disciplinary probation.

University Committee on Student Appeals

Summary of Discipline Cases - 2024-2025

Description	Degree	Year	Details	Penalty/Decision
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	1	Plagiarism on an assignment. First offence. Student acknowledged the plagiarism.	Zero on the assignment (worth 30% of the course grade), and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	1	Plagiarism on an assignment.	Zero on the assignment (worth 20% of the course grade), and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	1	Plagiarism on an assignment. First offence.	Zero on the assignment (worth 5% of the course grade), an additional 5% reduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	1	Plagiarism on an assignment. First offence.	Zero on assignment grade (worth 25% of the course grade), and disciplinary probation to be ended upon completion of an Academic Integrity Workshop.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	1	Plagiarism on an assignment. First offence. Student accepted responsibility and apologized.	25% reduction of the assignment grade (worth 25% of the course grade), and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	1	Plagiarism on an assignment. First offence. Student accepted responsibility.	Zero on the assignment (worth 20% of the course grade), and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	1	Plagiarism on an assignment. First offence. Student accepted responsibility.	25% reduction of the assignment grade (worth 25% of the course grade), and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	1	Plagiarism on an assignment. First offence. Student accepted responsibility.	Zero on final grade, and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	1	Plagiarism on an assignment. First offence. Student accepted responsibility.	Zero on the assignment (worth 15% of the course grade), and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	1	Plagiarism on an assignment. First offence. Student accepted responsibility.	Zero on the assignment (worth 20% of the course grade), and disciplinary probation.

University Committee on Student Appeals

Summary of Discipline Cases - 2024-2025

Description	Degree	Year	Details	Penalty/Decision
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	1	Plagiarism on an assignment. First offence. Student accepted responsibility.	Zero on the assignment (worth 25% of the course grade), and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	1	Plagiarism on an assignment. First offence. Student accepted responsibility.	Zero on the assignment (worth 25% of the course grade), and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	1	Plagiarism on an assignment. Student accepted responsibility, apologized, and showed commitment to learning from this experience.	Zero on the assignment, and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	1	Plagiarism on an assignment. Student accepted responsibility.	50% reduction of the assignment grade (worth 20% of the course grade), and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	1	Plagiarism on an assignment. Student accepted responsibility.	Zero on the assignment (worth 25% of course grade), and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	1	Solutions of assignment were similar to that of another student.	Zero on the assignment, an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	1	Student accessed unauthorized materials from another student during a tutorial.	Zero on the assignment in question, and an additional 1% reduction from the overall course grade.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	1	Student admitted that they copied a report.	Zero on the experiment (worth 5.8% of the course grade), an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	1	Student admitted to using ChatGPT on a report to help make writing more formal.	Zero on the report (worth 15% of the course grade), and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	1	Student completed the written portion of report at home and had similarities to the student's lab partner.	Zero on the experiment (worth 5.8% of the course grade), an additional five-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.

University Committee on Student Appeals

Summary of Discipline Cases - 2024-2025

Description	Degree	Year	Details	Penalty/Decision
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	1	Student copied lab partner's work and submitted it as own.	Zero on the experiment (worth 5.8% of the course grade), an additional five-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	1	Student copied lab partner's work and submitted it as own. Student was honest and forthcoming with explanation and accepted responsibility.	Zero on the experiment (worth 5.8% of the course grade), an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	1	Student copied report from lab partner without their knowledge	Zero on the experiment (worth 5.8% of the course grade), an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	1	Student downloaded the data set which was the incorrect data set and used this for report. Student completed the report from own laptop and submitted it from own LEARN account.	Zero on the assignment, an additional three-mark deduction from the final course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	1	Student found guilty of plagiarism on an assignment.	25% reduction of the assignment grade (worth 15% of the course grade), and required to complete an Academic Integrity Workshop.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	1	Student found guilty of plagiarism on an assignment.	50% reduction of the assignment grade, and required to take an Academic Integrity Workshop and/or plagiarism course.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	1	Student found guilty of plagiarism on the assignment, through the use of GenAI.	50% reduction of the assignment grade.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	1	Student found guilty of plagiarism using GenAI.	Reprimand, and required to take an Academic Integrity Workshop.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	1	Student found guilty of plagiarism. Student used GenAI which is contravention of the course outline, prohibiting its use.	Zero on the project (worth 25% of the course grade), and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	1	Student found guilty of using GenAI in contradiction to the course outline which resulted in plagiarism	Reprimand, and required to complete an Academic Integrity Workshop.

University Committee on Student Appeals

Summary of Discipline Cases - 2024-2025

Description	Degree	Year	Details	Penalty/Decision
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	1	Student found guilty of using GenAI resulting in plagiarism on their report. Instructor reached out to the student to meet multiple times and the student didn't respond.	Zero on the report (worth 15% of the course grade).
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	1	Student found guilty of using GenAI without citation resulting in plagiarism	Reprimand, a deduction of 10% from the assignment grade, and required to complete an Academic Integrity Workshop.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	1	Student found guilty of using GenAI without citations resulting in plagiarism	Reprimand, a deduction of 10% from the assignment grade, and required to complete an Academic Integrity Workshop.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	1	Student found guilty of using GenAI without citations resulting in plagiarism	Reprimand, a deduction of 10% from the assignment grade, and required to complete an Academic Integrity Workshop.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	1	Student found guilty of using GenAI without citations resulting in plagiarism	Reprimand, a deduction of 10% from the assignment grade, and required to complete an Academic Integrity Workshop.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	1	Student found guilty of using GenAI without citations resulting in plagiarism	Reprimand, a deduction of 10% from the assignment grade, and required to complete an Academic Integrity Workshop.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	1	Student found guilty of using GenAI without citations resulting in plagiarism	Reprimand, a deduction of 10% from the assignment grade, and required to complete an Academic Integrity Workshop.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	1	Student found guilty of using GenAI without citations resulting in plagiarism.	Reprimand, a deduction of 10% from the assignment grade, and required to complete an Academic Integrity Workshop.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	1	Student found guilty of using GenAI without citations, resulting in plagiarism.	Reprimand, a deduction of 10% from the assignment grade, and required to complete an Academic Integrity Workshop.

University Committee on Student Appeals

Summary of Discipline Cases - 2024-2025

Description	Degree	Year	Details	Penalty/Decision
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	1	Student had improper referencing in their report, including references that were not the actual source used.	50% reduction of the report grade (worth 15% of the course grade), and a 50-minute one-on-one meeting (in-person) with a Writing and Communication Centre staff member or peer mentor to discuss understanding of how to paraphrase and synthesize information effectively.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	1	Student selected techniques that were not found in the chapter, which was an expectation, and did not cite their use of outside sources. Student accepted informal resolution.	Zero on the assignment, and disciplinary probation to be ended upon completion of an Academic Integrity Workshop.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	1	Student selected techniques that were not in the textbook as per instructions, and did not cite the outside sources they used to complete the assignment.	Zero on the assignment, and disciplinary probation to be ended upon completion of an Academic Integrity Workshop.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	1	Student used another report as a reference. Student was forthcoming with explanation and expressed remorse.	50% reduction of the report grade (worth 15% of the course grade), and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	1	Student used ChatGPT to complete two assignments, which included fabricated sources. Student denied use of GenAI.	Zero on the first assignment, and a 50% grade reduction on the second assignment.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	1	Student used GenAI to complete a report.	Zero on the report (worth 15% of the course grade), and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	1	Student was found guilty of plagiarism. First offence.	Zero on the assignment one, a 50% reduction on assignment two, and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	1	Student was found guilty of plagiarism. First offence.	Zero on the assignment one, a 50% reduction on assignment two, and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	1	Student was found guilty of plagiarism. First offence.	Zero on the assignment one, a 50% reduction on assignment two, and disciplinary probation.

University Committee on Student Appeals

Summary of Discipline Cases - 2024-2025

Description	Degree	Year	Details	Penalty/Decision
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	1	Students copied their project from another student and submitted it as their own work.	Zero on the project, and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	1	Students copied their project from another student and submitted it as their own work.	Zero on the project, and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	1	Students copied their project from another student and submitted it as their own work.	Zero on the project, and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	1	Students copied their project from another students and submitted it as their own work.	Zero on the project, an additional 5% reduction from the overall course grade, and a one-term suspension.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	1	Students essay had evidence of plagiarism.	Zero on the assignment, and disciplinary probation to be ended upon completion of an Academic Integrity Workshop.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	1	Student's submission for Lab Report is similar to another student's submission.	50% reduction for the report (worth 15% of the course grade), and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	1	Submission for the assignments similar to that of a GenAI tool such as ChatGPT. Student accepted responsibility.	Zero on the assignments, an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	1	Submission of assignment questions were similar to that of an unauthorized aid or tool such as ChatGPT. Student accepted informal resolution.	Zero on the assignment, an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	1	Submission of assignment questions were similar to that of an unauthorized aid or tool such as ChatGPT. Student accepted informal resolution.	Zero on the assignment, an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	1	Submission of assignment questions were similar to that of an unauthorized aid or tool such as ChatGPT. Student accepted informal resolution.	Zero on the assignment, an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.

University Committee on Student Appeals

Summary of Discipline Cases - 2024-2025

Description	Degree	Year	Details	Penalty/Decision
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	1	Submission of assignment questions were similar to that of an unauthorized aid or tool such as ChatGPT. Student accepted informal resolution.	Zero on the assignment, an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	1	Submission of assignment Solutions similar to a GenAI tool. Second offence.	Zero on the assignment, and an additional ten-mark deduction from the overall course grade
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	1	The student was found guilty of plagiarism. Student accepted responsibility.	50% reduction of the assignment grade (worth 25% of the course grade), and required to complete an Academic Integrity Workshop.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	1	This student submitted an assignment with insufficiently paraphrased material and without in-text citation. First offence.	50% reduction of the assignment grade, and disciplinary probation to be ended upon completion of an Academic Integrity Workshop.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	1	This student submitted an essay with sources that do not exist and inaccurate assertions about the text. First offence.	Zero on the assignment, and disciplinary probation to end upon completion of an Academic Integrity Workshop.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	1	This student submitted an essay with unquoted and uncited verbatim content from another student's assignment from a prior term. First offence.	Zero on the assignment, and disciplinary probation to be ended upon completion of an Academic Integrity Workshop.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	1	Turnitin AI writing detection report indicating 75% of the assignment was likely written by GenAI. Student accepted informal resolution proposal.	25% reduction of the report grade, and disciplinary probation which removed upon completion of an Academic Integrity 10one Workshop.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	1	Use of unauthorized aids (GenAI) on an assignment. First offence.	Zero on the assignment (worth 25% of the course grade), and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	2	Plagiarism involving unauthorized use of GenAI tools on a final group report assignment. Second offence. Student accepted responsibility.	25% reduction of the assignment grade (worth 10% of the course grade), and continued disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	2	Plagiarism involving unauthorized use of GenAI tools on a final group report assignment. Second offence. Student accepted responsibility.	25% reduction of the assignment grade (worth 10% of the course grade), and continued disciplinary probation.

University Committee on Student Appeals

Summary of Discipline Cases - 2024-2025

Description	Degree	Year	Details	Penalty/Decision
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	2	Plagiarism involving unauthorized use of GenAI tools on an assignment. First offence. Student was cooperative, accepted responsibility, and expressed a commitment to learning from this incident	Zero the assignment (worth 30% of the course grade), and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	2	Plagiarism involving use of GenAI tools on an assignment. Second offence. Student cooperated.	Zero on the assignment (worth 10% of the course grade), and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	2	Alleged that student used ChatGPT for quiz answers. First offence. Student accepted informal resolution.	Zero on quiz two, and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	2	Assignment plagiarized from work by another student in another term.	Zero on the assignment, an additional five-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	2	Assignment plagiarized from work by another student in another term.	Zero on the assignment, an additional five-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	2	Assignment plagiarized from work by another student in another term.	Zero on the assignment, an additional five-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	2	Assignment solutions were copied verbatim from the textbook solutions manual.	Zero on the assignment, and disciplinary probation
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	2	Insufficient referencing and in-text citations on the assignment. Student accepted informal resolution.	Zero on the assignment, and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	2	Insufficient referencing and in-text citations on the assignment. Student accepted informal resolution.	Zero on the assignment, and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	2	Insufficient referencing and in-text citations on the assignment. Student accepted informal resolution.	Zero on the assignment, and disciplinary probation.

University Committee on Student Appeals

Summary of Discipline Cases - 2024-2025

Description	Degree	Year	Details	Penalty/Decision
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	2	Multiple times in assignment submission there is verbatim text not in quotation marks or with minimal paraphrasing that was copied from an attributed source.	2.5 marks off earned grade for the assignment one, and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	2	Multiple times in assignment submission there is verbatim text not in quotation marks or with minimal paraphrasing that was copied from an attributed source.	2.5 marks off earned grade for the assignment one, and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	2	Multiple times in assignment submission there is verbatim text not in quotation marks or with minimal paraphrasing that was copied from an attributed source.	Two marks off earned grade for the assignment and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	2	No in-text citations and no reference in assignment.	Zero on the assignment, and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	2	No in-text citations contained in assignment.	Zero on part B of the assignment, and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	2	No referencing in assignment. Student accepted informal resolution.	Zero on the assignment, and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	2	Omission of in-text citations on a seminar discussion the assignment and final exam. First offence. Student showed commitment to learning from this, and was cooperative.	25% reduction on the final exam (worth 35% of the course grade), and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	2	Plagiarism due to citation of a false source. First offence.	Disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	2	Plagiarism due to citation of a false source. First offence. The inclusion of the false citation appeared to have been a mistake.	25% reduction of the written component of the assignment, and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	2	Plagiarism due to due to inappropriate and inaccurate in-text citations. First offence.	Disciplinary probation.

University Committee on Student Appeals

Summary of Discipline Cases - 2024-2025

Description	Degree	Year	Details	Penalty/Decision
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	2	Plagiarism due to inappropriate and inaccurate in-text citation. First offence.	25% reduction of the written component of the assignment, and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	2	Plagiarism due to inappropriate and inaccurate in-text citation. First offence.	25% reduction of the written component of the assignment, and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	2	Plagiarism due to misrepresentation of sources. Second offence.	Zero on the assignment (worth 10% of the course grade), an additional five-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	2	Plagiarism due to omission of in-text citation and inaccurate attribution to sources on a group assignment. First offence. Student showed commitment to learning from this.	25% reduction of the assignment grade (worth 10% of the course grade), and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	2	Plagiarism due to omission of in-text citations in an assignment. First offence. Student accepted responsibility and showed commitment to learning from this.	50% reduction of the assignment grade (worth 12.5% of the course grade), and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	2	Plagiarism due to omission of in-text citations in an assignment. First offence. Student accepted responsibility and showed commitment to learning from this.	50% reduction of the assignment grade (worth 12.5% of the course grade), and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	2	Plagiarism due to omission of in-text citations on an assignment. First offence. Student accepted responsibility and was cooperative.	50% reduction of the research essay grade, and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	2	Plagiarism due to omission of in-text citations. First offence.	50% reduction of the research essay grade, and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	2	Plagiarism due to unauthorized and unacknowledged use of GenAI on an assignment. First offence. Student was cooperative.	Zero on research essay assignment (worth 25% of the course grade), and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	2	Plagiarism involving unauthorized use of AI on an assignment. First offence.	Zero on the assignment (worth ~4% of the course grade), an additional two-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.

University Committee on Student Appeals

Summary of Discipline Cases - 2024-2025

Description	Degree	Year	Details	Penalty/Decision
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	2	Plagiarism involving unauthorized use of AI on an assignment. Fourth offence.	Zero on the assignment, three-term suspension, and continued disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	2	Plagiarism involving unauthorized use of GenAI on an assignment. First offence. Student accepted responsibility.	Zero assignment (worth 25% of the course grade), and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	2	Plagiarism involving unauthorized use of GenAI. First offence.	Zero on the assignment (worth 20% of the course grade), and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	2	Plagiarism involving unauthorized use of GenAI on a discussion post assignment. First offence. Student accepted responsibility and showed commitment to learning from this.	Zero on the assignment (worth 2% of course grade), and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	2	Plagiarism involving unauthorized use of GenAI on a discussion post assignment. First offence. Student accepted responsibility and showed commitment to learning from this.	Zero on the assignment, an additional two-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	2	Plagiarism involving unauthorized use of GenAI on a discussion post assignment. First offence. Student accepted responsibility and showed commitment to learning from this.	Zero on the assignment (worth 5% of the course grade), an additional two-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	2	Plagiarism involving unauthorized use of GenAI on an assignment and associated activity. First offence.	Zero on the assignment (worth 25% of the course grade), zero on the associated activity, and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	2	Plagiarism involving unauthorized use of GenAI on an assignment. Second offence.	Zero on the assignment (worth 30% of the course grade), required to complete an Academic Integrity Workshop, and continued disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	2	Plagiarism involving use of AI tools without appropriate attribution on an assignment. First offence. Student accepted responsibility and showed commitment to learning from this.	50% reduction of the assignment grade (worth 20% of the course grade), and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	2	Plagiarism involving use of AI tools without authorization on an assignment. Student showed commitment to learning from this.	50% reduction of the assignment grade (worth 15% of the course grade), and disciplinary probation.

University Committee on Student Appeals

Summary of Discipline Cases - 2024-2025

Description	Degree	Year	Details	Penalty/Decision
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	2	Plagiarism involving use of GenAI without appropriate attribution in an essay and discussion assignments. First offence. Student accepted responsibility.	Zero on essay (worth 20% of the course grade), zero on the discussion assignments, and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	2	Plagiarism of text and images in a video assignment. First offence.	Zero on final individual project (worth 15%), and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	2	Plagiarism on a discussion post assignment. Second offence.	Zero on the discussion posts that contained plagiarized content, an additional five-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and continued disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	2	Plagiarism on a discussion post. First offence. Student accepted responsibility.	Zero on the reply post component of the assignment (worth 1% of the course grade), an additional two-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	2	Plagiarism on a report. Student accepted informal resolution.	Zero on the report, and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	2	Plagiarism on an assignment.	Zero on the assignment (worth 5% of the course grade), an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	2	Plagiarism on an assignment. First offence. Student accepted responsibility.	20% reduction of the assignment grade, and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	2	Plagiarism on two assignments. Second offence.	Zero on the assignments (each worth 10% of the course grade), an additional ten-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and continued disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	2	Plagiarism. Student appealed and the suspension was dropped from the penalty.	Zero on the project, and an additional 5% reduction from the overall course grade.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	2	Responses for the assignment had contained material generated by an GenAI tool. Student accepted responsibility.	Zero on the assignment (15% of the course grade), and disciplinary probation.

University Committee on Student Appeals

Summary of Discipline Cases - 2024-2025

Description	Degree	Year	Details	Penalty/Decision
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	2	Solution to assignment question so similar to another student that it was believed to have been copied. Second offence.	Zero on the assignment, and an additional ten-mark deduction from the overall course grade.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	2	Solution to assignment question two was similar to that of another student or GenAI.	Zero on the assignment, an additional five-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	2	Solutions for the assignments were similar to solutions released from prior terms or ChatGPT.	Zero on the assignments, an additional five-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	2	Solutions to quiz questions suspected to be generated using GenAI. Student accepted responsibility.	Zero on quiz, an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	2	Solutions to quiz questions suspected to be generated using GenAI. Student accepted responsibility.	Zero on quiz, an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	2	Student admitted to copying/pasting quiz answer from Word into the LEARN textbox.	Zero on quiz (worth 5% of the course grade), an additional five-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and continued disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	2	Student admitted to using ChatGPT to help with citations.	Zero on the assignment (worth 2.98% of the course grade), an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	2	Student did not include any in-text citations and final reference list is not in APA format, as per assignment instructions. Student accepted informal resolution.	Zero on the assignment, and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	2	Student did not include any references or citations in their assignment. Student accepted informal resolution.	Zero on the assignment, and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	2	Student did not include sufficient in-text citations or references in assignment.	Zero on the assignment, and disciplinary probation.

University Committee on Student Appeals

Summary of Discipline Cases - 2024-2025

Description	Degree	Year	Details	Penalty/Decision
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	2	Student found guilty of plagiarism on their assignment.	25% reduction of earned grades (worth 7% of the course grade), and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	2	Student found guilty of using ChatGPT for the Photo essay.	Reprimand
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	2	Student found guilty of using GenAI on their assignment, which resulted in plagiarism.	50% reduction on the assignment grade (worth 15% of the course grade), an additional 5% reduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	2	Student found guilty of using GenAI resulting in plagiarism.	Zero on discussion post (worth 2% of the course grade), an additional 3% reduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	2	Student found guilty of using GenAI resulting in plagiarism. Student accepted informal resolution.	10% penalty on assignment, and required to complete an Academic Integrity Workshop.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	2	Student had access to the other student's report.	Zero on the report (worth 7.5% of the course grade), and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	2	Student reached out to the instructor saying that they "use a bit of a paraphrase to describe my work easier".	25% reduction of the assignment grade, and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	2	Student submitted a report with a reference that does not exist and cannot be authenticated.	25% reduction of the report grade (which must carry its full weight), and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	2	Student submitted work which they did not authentically produce themselves for the final two assignments in the course	Zero on the assignment.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	2	Student used a citation tool within Google Docs which helped student generate citations.	Zero on module (worth 7.5% of the course grade), an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.

University Committee on Student Appeals

Summary of Discipline Cases - 2024-2025

Description	Degree	Year	Details	Penalty/Decision
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	2	Student used facilitation techniques that were not included in the chapter, as per assignment instructions. The external sources that they used were not cited.	Zero on the assignment, an additional 3% reduction from the overall course grade, and continued disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	2	Student used GenAI for the assignment, course policies did not allow this. Student accepted informal resolution.	Zero on the assignment (worth 10% of the course grade), and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	2	Student was missing significant amount of in-text citation and references in assignment.	Zero on the assignment, and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	2	Students essay had evidence of plagiarism. Student accepted the instructors informal resolution. First offence.	Zero on the assignment.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	2	Submission for questions suspected use of GenAI which is prohibited in the course.	Zero for quiz, an additional five-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	2	Submission for the assignment had been plagiarized from the work of another student. Student accepted informal resolution.	Zero on the assignment, an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	2	Submission for the assignment plagiarized by another student.	Zero on the assignment, an additional five-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	2	Submission for the assignment was similar to that of another student. Student accepted responsibility.	Zero on the assignment, an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	2	Submission for the assignments similar to that of a GenAI tool such as ChatGPT.	Zero on the assignment, an additional ten-mark deduction from the overall course grade.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	2	Submission for the assignments similar to that of a GenAI tool such as ChatGPT.	Zero on the assignments, an additional five-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.

University Committee on Student Appeals

Summary of Discipline Cases - 2024-2025

Description	Degree	Year	Details	Penalty/Decision
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	2	Submission for the assignments similar to that of a GenAI tool such as ChatGPT. Second offence.	Zero on the assignment, and an additional ten-mark deduction from the overall course grade
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	2	Submission of assignment similar to another students submission. Student accepted responsibility.	Zero on the relevant part of the assignment, an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	2	Submission of assignment Solutions similar to a GenAI tool.	Zero on the assignment, an additional five-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	2	Submission of assignment Solutions similar to a GenAI tool. Second offence.	Zero on the assignment, and an additional ten-mark deduction from the overall course grade.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	2	Submission of assignments suspected to have been plagiarized from another students work.	Zero on the assignments, an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	2	Submissions of assignment question were similar to that of a GenAI tool such as ChatGPT. Student accepted informal resolution.	Zero on the assignment questiony, an additional five-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	2	Suspicion of GenAI used for solutions to assignments.	Zero on the assignments, an additional five-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	2	The same three ideas (and some similar wording) as the ChatGPT response were used by student.	Zero on the assignment, an additional 2% reduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	2	There are no in-text citations for information that should be referenced, and no reference list.	Zero on the assignment, and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	2	These two students have code for Project that was suspiciously similar.	Zero on the project, and an additional 5% reduction from the overall course grade.

University Committee on Student Appeals

Summary of Discipline Cases - 2024-2025

Description	Degree	Year	Details	Penalty/Decision
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	2	These two students have code for the project that was suspiciously similar.	Zero on the project, an additional 5% reduction from the overall course grade, and a one-term suspension.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	2	This student submitted an essay with insufficiently paraphrased material and with insufficient in-text citation. There is evidence that 25% of the paper was impacted. First offence. Student accepted responsibility.	Zero on the assignment, and disciplinary probation to be ended upon completion of an Academic Integrity Workshop.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	2	Unintentional omission of in-text citations on a seminar discussion the assignment. First offence.	Reprimand.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	2	Use of GenAI in submission of assignment question	Zero on the assignment, an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	2	Use of GenAI on the assignment question. Student accepted responsibility.	Zero on the assignment, an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	2	Various plagiarism problems on a group assignment. Second offence. Student accepted responsibility.	25% reduction of the assignment grade, and continued disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	2	Work was too similar between both students. Student accepted informal resolution.	50% reduction of the assignment grade.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	2	Work was too similar between both students. Student accepted informal resolution.	50% reduction of the assignment grade.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	3	Plagiarism due to omission of citations for some sources and misattribution to other courses on an assignment. First offence. Student was cooperative and accepted responsibility.	25% reduction of the assignment grade (worth 12% of the course grade), and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	3	Plagiarism due to omission of in-text citations. Also, GenAI tools were used to format references without authorization or appropriate attribution. First offence. Student was cooperative and accepted responsibility.	25% reduction of the assignment grade (worth 25% of the course grade), and disciplinary probation.

University Committee on Student Appeals

Summary of Discipline Cases - 2024-2025

Description	Degree	Year	Details	Penalty/Decision
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	3	Plagiarism involving unauthorized use of GenAI on an assignment. First offence. Student accepted responsibility.	Zero on the assignment (worth 25% of the course grade), and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	3	Plagiarism involving unauthorized use of GenAI on an assignment. First offence. Student accepted responsibility.	Zero on the assignment (worth 30% of the course grade), and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	3	Plagiarism involving unauthorized use of GenAI tools on a final group report assignment. First offence. Student showed a commitment to learning from this experience.	25% reduction of the assignment grade (worth 10% of the course grade), and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	3	Plagiarism involving unauthorized use of GenAI tools on an assignment. First offence. Student expressed a commitment to upholding academic integrity.	Zero on the assignment (worth 30% of the course grade), and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	3	Plagiarism involving unauthorized use of GenAI tools on an assignment. First offence. Student was cooperative and accepted responsibility.	25% reduction of the assignment grade (worth 30% of the course grade), and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	3	Plagiarism involving unauthorized use of GenAI tools on an assignment. First offence. Student was cooperative and accepted responsibility.	25% reduction of the assignment grade (worth 30% of the course grade), and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	3	Plagiarism involving unauthorized use of GenAI tools on an assignment. First offence. Student was cooperative and accepted responsibility.	25% reduction of the assignment grade (worth 30% of the course grade), and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	3	Plagiarism involving unauthorized use of GenAI tools on an assignment. First offence. Student was cooperative and accepted responsibility.	Zero on the assignment (worth 30% of the course grade), and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	3	Student found guilty of using GenAI on an assignment.	Zero on the assignment (worth 34%), and continued disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	3	Student found guilty of using GenAI on an assignment.	Zero on the assignment (worth 34%), and continued disciplinary probation.

University Committee on Student Appeals

Summary of Discipline Cases - 2024-2025

Description	Degree	Year	Details	Penalty/Decision
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	3	Alleged that student used ChatGPT to copy/paste answers into quiz. Student accepted informal resolution.	Zero on the quiz (worth 5% of the course grade).
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	3	Alleged that student used ChatGPT to copy/paste answers into quiz. Student accepted informal resolution.	Zero on the quiz (worth 5% of the course grade).
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	3	Alleged that student used ChatGPT to copy/paste answers into quiz. Student accepted informal resolution.	Zero on the quiz (worth 5% of the course grade).
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	3	Alleged that student used ChatGPT to copy/paste answers into quiz. Student accepted informal resolution.	Zero on the quiz (worth 5% of the course grade).
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	3	Another students submission of assignment suspected to have been plagiarized from work student had submitted previously.	Deduction of three marks for the assignment, and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	3	Concerns about the similarity between submission for the assignments and that of the submission of another student from a prior term.	Zero on the assignments, an additional five-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	3	Concerns with an assignment on a particular question and with a reference which did not appear to exist. Second offence.	Zero on the assignment (worth 2.75% of the course grade), an additional eight-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and continued disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	3	Evidence of plagiarism and possible use of GenAI in discussion post and final essay. Second offence.	50% reduction in the final essay grade, zero for the discussion post, and an additional ten-mark deduction from the overall course grade.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	3	Insufficient referencing and in-text citations on the assignment questions. Student accepted informal resolution.	Zero on the question, and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	3	Minimal referencing in assignment. Student accepted informal resolution.	Zero on the assignment, and disciplinary probation.

University Committee on Student Appeals

Summary of Discipline Cases - 2024-2025

Description	Degree	Year	Details	Penalty/Decision
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	3	Minimal referencing on the assignment question. Student accepted informal resolution.	Zero on the question, and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	3	Minimal referencing on the assignment question. Student accepted informal resolution.	Zero on the question, and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	3	Minor, unintentional plagiarism due to inconsistent citation of collaborators' work. First offence. Student accepted responsibility.	Reprimand.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	3	Multiple times in assignment submission there is verbatim text not in quotation marks or with minimal paraphrasing that was copied from an attributed source.	25% reduction of the assignment grade, and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	3	Multiple times in assignment submission there is verbatim text not in quotation marks or with minimal paraphrasing that was copied from an attributed source.	Two marks off earned grade for the assignment, and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	3	Multiple times in assignment submission there is verbatim text not in quotation marks or with minimal paraphrasing that was copied from an attributed source.	Two marks off earned grade for the assignment, and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	3	Multiple times in assignment submission there is verbatim text not in quotation marks or with minimal paraphrasing that was copied from an attributed source.	Two marks off earned grade for the assignment, and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	3	No in-text citations on the assignment question. Student accepted informal resolution.	Zero on the assignment, and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	3	No in-text citations on the assignment question. Student accepted informal resolution.	Zero on the assignment, and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	3	No or minimal referencing in assignment. Student accepted informal resolution.	Zero on the assignment, and disciplinary probation.

University Committee on Student Appeals

Summary of Discipline Cases - 2024-2025

Description	Degree	Year	Details	Penalty/Decision
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	3	No or minimal referencing in assignment. Student accepted informal resolution.	Zero on the assignment, and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	3	No referencing in assignment.	Zero on the assignment, and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	3	Plagiarism due to citation of false sources and unreliable citation practices. First offence.	50% reduction of the final exam grade (worth 40% of the course grade), and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	3	Plagiarism due to errors in a quotation and page numbers for courses. Second offence. Student accepted responsibility.	20% reduction of the essay grade, and continued disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	3	Plagiarism due to inaccurate reporting of cited sources. First offence. Student accepted responsibility and showed commitment to learning from this.	20% reduction of the assignment grade, and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	3	Plagiarism due to inconsistencies and inaccuracies in citations on an assignment. First offence. Student accepted responsibility and showed commitment to learning from this.	25% reduction of the assignment grade (worth 12% of the course grade), and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	3	Plagiarism due to unintentional omission of in-text citations. Student showed commitment to learning from this and was cooperative.	25% reduction of the final exam grade, and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	3	Plagiarism involving fabricated references on a class project. Second offence. Student accepted responsibility.	50% reduction of the project grade, and continued disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	3	Plagiarism involving omission of in-text citations on an assignment.	50% reduction of the assignment grade (worth 15% of the course grade), and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	3	Plagiarism involving omission of in-text citations on an assignment. Third offence.	Zero on the assignment, an additional five-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and required to complete an Academic Integrity Workshop in lieu of suspension.

University Committee on Student Appeals

Summary of Discipline Cases - 2024-2025

Description	Degree	Year	Details	Penalty/Decision
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	3	Plagiarism involving unauthorized and unacknowledged use of AI on an assignment.	50% reduction of the assignment grade, an additional two-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	3	Plagiarism involving unauthorized and uncited use of AI on an assignment. Second offence. Student was cooperative and admitted to mistakes.	Zero on the assignment (worth 20% of the course grade), and continued disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	3	Plagiarism involving unauthorized use of AI on an assignment. First offence.	Zero on essay (worth 25% of the course grade), and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	3	Plagiarism involving unauthorized use of AI on two assignments. First offence.	Zero on essay (worth 25% of the course grade), and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	3	Plagiarism involving unauthorized use of GenAI on an assignment. First offence. Student accepted responsibility.	Zero on the assignment (worth 25% of the course grade), and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	3	Plagiarism involving undercitation and miscitation in an assignment. First offence. Student showed commitment to learning from this.	20% reduction of the assignment grade, and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	3	Plagiarism involving use of GenAI without authorization or citation. First offence. Student accepted responsibility.	10% reduction of the earned grade, and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	3	Plagiarism of ideas in a written research proposal. First offence.	A 25% reduction of the final project paper grade, and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	3	Plagiarism on an assignment that appeared to be unintentional. First offence. Student accepted responsibility.	50% reduction of the earned grade, and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	3	Plagiarism on an assignment.	Zero on the assignment, and disciplinary probation

University Committee on Student Appeals

Summary of Discipline Cases - 2024-2025

Description	Degree	Year	Details	Penalty/Decision
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	3	Plagiarism on an assignment.	Zero on the assignment, an additional 5% reduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	3	Plagiarism on an assignment. First offence.	Zero on the assignment (worth 10% of the course grade), and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	3	Plagiarism on an assignment. Fourth offence and already given a one-term suspense for another offence this term.	Zero on the assignment, an additional five-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and required to complete an Academic Integrity Workshop.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	3	Plagiarism on an assignment. Second offence.	Zero on the assignment (worth 10% of the course grade), an additional five-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and continued disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	3	Plagiarism on an assignment. Second offence.	Zero on the assignment, an additional five-mark deduction from the overall course grade, one-term suspension, and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	3	Plagiarism on an assignment. Second offence. Student accepted responsibility.	Zero on the assignment (worth 10% of the course grade), an additional five-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and continued disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	3	Plagiarism on two assignments involving unauthorized and uncited use of GenAI and omission of in-text citations. First offence. Student accepted responsibility and showed commitment to learning from this.	Zero on research paper (worth 15% of the course grade), and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	3	Question appeared to be consistent with a ChatGPT answer. Student was apologetic and provided an honest and forthcoming explanation.	Zero on the assignment (worth 5%), an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	3	Report was flagged due to some references that were unable to be authenticated.	Zero on the report (worth 10% of the course grade), and disciplinary probation
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	3	Responses contained material generated by an GenAI tool. Student accepted responsibility.	Zero on the assignment, and disciplinary probation.

University Committee on Student Appeals

Summary of Discipline Cases - 2024-2025

Description	Degree	Year	Details	Penalty/Decision
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	3	Responses were identical to another student in the class.	50% reduction of the midterm grade (individual portion worth one 5.6% of the course grade), and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	3	Responses were identical to another student in the class.	50% reduction of the midterm grade (individual portion worth one 5.6% of the course grade), and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	3	Self-plagiarised and made an effort to make reports for different from previously submitted reports.	Zero on the reports (each worth 14% of the course grade), an additional ten-mark deduction from the overall course grade, a one-term suspension, and continued disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	3	Similarities between submission for three assignments and that of the submission of another student from a prior term. Student accepted responsibility.	Zero on the assignments, an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	3	Similarities in the introduction of another report.	25% reduction of the report grade (worth 10% of the course grade), and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	3	Solutions for the assignment generated by an GenAI tool. Student accepted responsibility and informal resolution.	Withdrawal to stand.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	3	Solutions for the assignment problem discovered to have been plagiarized from the work of a student who took the course previously. Second offence. Student accepted responsibility.	Zero on the assignment, and an additional five-mark deduction from the overall course grade.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	3	Solutions to assignment appeared to have used a GenAI tool. Second offence. Student accepted responsibility.	Zero on the assignment one, and an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	3	Solutions to quiz questions suspected to be generated using GenAI. Student accepted responsibility.	Zero on quiz, an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	3	Solutions to quiz questions suspected to be generated using GenAI. Student accepted responsibility.	Zero on quiz, an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.

University Committee on Student Appeals

Summary of Discipline Cases - 2024-2025

Description	Degree	Year	Details	Penalty/Decision
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	3	Solutions to quiz questions suspected to be generated using GenAI. Student accepted responsibility.	Zero on quiz, an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	3	Solutions to quiz questions suspected to be generated using GenAI. Student accepted responsibility.	Zero on quiz, an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	3	Solutions to quiz questions suspected to be generated using GenAI. Student accepted responsibility.	Zero on quiz, an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	3	Student admitted to using ChatGPT for a question on an assignment. Second offence. Student was apologetic and accepted responsibility.	Zero on the assignment, and an additional eight-mark deduction from the overall course grade.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	3	Student admitted to using ChatGPT to brainstorm and forgot to cite its use which was prohibited as per syllabus indications.	Zero on the assignment, and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	3	Student used ChatGPT during a lab.	Zero on the assignment (worth 6% of the course grade), an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	3	Student copied/pasted answer for formatting and then adjusted answer.	Zero on quiz (worth 5% of the course grade), and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	3	Student did not include any in-text citations in their assignment.	Zero on the assignment, and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	3	Student did not include any references or in-text citations in their assignment.	Zero on the assignment, and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	3	Student found guilty of plagiarism on the assignment.	Zero on the assignment (worth 15%), and disciplinary probation.

University Committee on Student Appeals

Summary of Discipline Cases - 2024-2025

Description	Degree	Year	Details	Penalty/Decision
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	3	Student found guilty of using GenAI for their photo essay.	10% reduction of the earned grade.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	3	Student has used GenAI to write some of their reflections in assignment.	50% reduction of the assignment grade.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	3	Student submitted assignments with solutions similar to that of a previous term's assignment solutions.	Zero on the assignments, an additional ten-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	3	Student submitted assignments with solutions similar to that of a previous term's assignment solutions.	Zero on the assignments, an additional eleven-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	3	Student submitted assignments with solutions similar to that of a previous term's assignment solutions.	Zero on the assignments, an additional eight-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	3	Student submitted assignments with solutions similar to that of a previous term's assignment solutions.	Zero on the assignments, an additional five-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	3	Student submitted assignments with solutions similar to that of a previous term's assignment solutions.	Zero on the assignments, an additional five-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	3	Student submitted a report with multiple sections were taken directly from online sources with improper referencing or no referencing at all. First offence.	Disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	3	Student submitted assignments had been plagiarized from the work of two students who took the course in earlier terms. Second offence. Student accepted responsibility.	Zero on the assignments, and an additional eight-mark deduction from the overall course grade.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	3	Student used ChatGPT to form the introduction of their assignment. Student was honest and admitted to using ChatGPT.	10% reduction of the assignment grade, and disciplinary probation.

University Committee on Student Appeals

Summary of Discipline Cases - 2024-2025

Description	Degree	Year	Details	Penalty/Decision
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	3	Student used lab partner’s calculations for own report.	Zero on the module (worth 7.5% of the course grade), an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	3	Student was found guilty of using GenAI without citations and poor paraphrasing on their position paper.	15% reduction of the earned grade (10% of the course grade), and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	3	Student was missing a large number of in-text citations in their assignment. Student was honest and remorseful.	50% reduction of the assignment grade, and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	3	Student was missing a significant amount of citations/references in assignment. Student accepted informal resolution.	Zero on the assignment, and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	3	Student was using potential use of GenAI in submission of assignments. Student accepted responsibility.	Zero on the assignment, an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	3	Students presentation containing slides that were highly similar to another presentation and the references were falsified. Student had a concurrently resolved informal case.	Zero on the assignment, and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	3	Submission for questions and the suspected use of GenAI which is prohibited in the course.	Zero for quiz, an additional five-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	3	Submission for the assignment question suspected use of a GenAI tool for assistance. Student accepted responsibility and accepted informal resolution.	Zero on the assignment question, an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	3	Submission for the assignments plagiarized by student in later term.	A three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	3	Submission of assignment questions were similar to that of an unauthorized aid or tool such as ChatGPT. Second offence. Student accepted informal resolution.	Zero on quiz, an additional five-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.

University Committee on Student Appeals

Summary of Discipline Cases - 2024-2025

Description	Degree	Year	Details	Penalty/Decision
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	3	Submission of assignments plagiarized by another student. Student accepted responsibility.	A five-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	3	Submission of assignments plagiarized by another student. Student accepted responsibility.	Zero on the assignments, an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	3	Submission of assignments plagiarized by another student. Student accepted responsibility.	Zero on the assignments, an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	3	Submission of assignments plagiarized from a students previous submission.	Zero on the assignments, and a deduction of five marks from the course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	3	Submission of assignments suspected to have been plagiarized from another students work submitted previously.	Zero on the assignments, an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	3	Submissions for the assignments appeared to have been plagiarized from solutions distributed in a previous term. Second offence.	Zero on the assignments, an additional five-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	3	Submissions for the assignments appeared to have been plagiarized. Third offence.	Zero on the assignment, an additional ten-mark deduction from the overall course grade, extra degree requirement of three additional courses. Withdrawal to stand.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	3	Submissions for the assignments similar to previous solutions released from other terms.	Zero for the assignment, an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation. Withdrawal to stand.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	3	Suspected of using GenAI for solutions of quiz which is prohibited in the course. Second offence.	Zero on quiz, and a deduciton of 10 marks from course.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	3	This student submitted a proposal assignment with sources named that do not exist, and titles given do not correspond to the urls offered or with any published articles. First offence. The student readily admitted using a citation generator.	Zero on the assignment, and disciplinary probation.

University Committee on Student Appeals

Summary of Discipline Cases - 2024-2025

Description	Degree	Year	Details	Penalty/Decision
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	3	This student used ChatGPT in forming an assignment. The full assignment is affected. First offence. Student admitted to committing offence.	Zero on the assignment, and disciplinary probation
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	3	Unintentional omission of in-text citations on a seminar discussion the assignment. Student was cooperative.	Reprimand.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	3	Used GenAI on assignemnt with course policies stated this was not allowed. Student admitted to using GenAI and accepted informal resolution.	Zero on the assignment (worth 10% of the course grade), and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	3	Various plagiarism problems on a group assignment. First offence. Student accepted responsibility.	15% reduction from earned grade, and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	4	Plagiarism involving unauthorized use of GenAI on an assignment. First offence. Student accepted responsibility.	Zero on the assignment (worth 30% of the course grade), and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	4	Student did not include any in-text citations in three assignments.	50% reduction of the on Part A of assignment one, 100% reduction of the assignment two grade, and 50% reduction of Part A of assignment three.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	4	Answer for question appeared to be consistent with a ChatGPT answer.	Zero on the assignment (worth 5% of the course grade), an additional ten-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and continued disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	4	Assignment contains multiple instances of phrases copied directly from sources that are not contained in quotation marks, or are rewritten so minimally as to be immediately traceable to the original source.	25% reduction of the assignment grade, and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	4	Assignment had been plagiarized from the work of a student who took the course in a previous term. Third offence.	Zero on the assignments, and an additional ten-mark deduction from the overall course grade.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	4	Assignment had similarities with another student's assignments.	Zero on the assignment (worth 15% of the course grade), an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and continued disciplinary probation.

University Committee on Student Appeals

Summary of Discipline Cases - 2024-2025

Description	Degree	Year	Details	Penalty/Decision
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	4	Assignment had similarities with another student's assignments.	Zero on the assignment one (worth 15% of the course grade), an additional ten-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	4	Assignments were similar to that of previous terms assignment solutions.	Zero on the assignments, an additional ten-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	4	Assignments were similar to that of previous terms assignment solutions.	Zero on the assignments, an additional five-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	4	Assignments were similar to that of previous terms assignment solutions.	Zero on the assignments, an additional five-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	4	Assignments were similar to that of previous terms assignment solutions.	Zero on the assignments, an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	4	Assignments were similar to that of previous terms assignment solutions. Third offence.	Zero on the assignments, and an additional ten-mark deduction from the overall course grade.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	4	Assignments had been plagiarized from another student who took the course in earlier terms. Second offence.	Zero on the assignments, and an additional eight-mark deduction from the overall course grade.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	4	ChatGPT was permitted for use in question three of each section, but student used ChatGPT for other questions in the assignment.	Zero on the assignment, and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	4	Did not properly cite articles for reports.	25% reduction of the report grade (worth 10% of the course grade), and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	4	Instructor noted several areas of concern, including some sections that were not referenced, and references that were cited but were not aligned with the text.	20% reduction of the assessment grade, and disciplinary probation.

University Committee on Student Appeals

Summary of Discipline Cases - 2024-2025

Description	Degree	Year	Details	Penalty/Decision
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	4	Insufficient referencing and in-text citations on the assignment questions. Student accepted informal resolution.	Zero on the questions.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	4	Insufficient referencing and in-text citations on the assignment questions. Student did not respond to informal resolution proposal.	Zero on the assignment questions.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	4	Insufficient referencing and in-text citations on the assignment. Student accepted informal resolution.	Zero on the question.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	4	Insufficient referencing on the assignment questions. Student accepted informal resolution.	Zero on the questions.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	4	Minimal referencing in assignment.	Zero on the assignment.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	4	Minimal referencing in assignment. Student accepted informal resolution.	Zero on the assignment, and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	4	Minimal referencing in assignment.	Zero on the assignment, and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	4	Minimal referencing in assignment.	Zero on the assignment, and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	4	Minimal referencing on the assignment question. Student accepted informal resolution.	Zero on the question, and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	4	Multiple times in assignment one submission there is verbatim text not in quotation marks or with minimal paraphrasing that was copied from an attributed source.	25 marks off earned grade for the assignment, and disciplinary probation.

University Committee on Student Appeals

Summary of Discipline Cases - 2024-2025

Description	Degree	Year	Details	Penalty/Decision
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	4	Multiple times in assignment submission there is verbatim text not in quotation marks or with minimal paraphrasing that was copied from an attributed source.	25 marks off earned grade for the assignment, and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	4	Multiple times in assignment submission there is verbatim text not in quotation marks or with minimal paraphrasing that was copied from an attributed source.	25 marks off earned grade for the assignment, and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	4	Multiple times in assignment submission there is verbatim text not in quotation marks or with minimal paraphrasing that was copied from an attributed source.	25 marks off earned grade for the assignment, and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	4	No in-text citations on the assignment question. Student accepted informal resolution.	Zero on the question, and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	4	No in-text citations on the assignment question. Student accepted informal resolution.	Zero on the question, and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	4	No in-text citations on the assignment question. Student accepted informal resolution.	Zero on the question, and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	4	No in-text citations on the assignment question. Student accepted informal resolution.	Zero on the question, and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	4	No in-text citations on the assignment question. Student accepted informal resolution.	Zero on the question, and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	4	No in-text citations on the assignment question. Student accepted informal resolution.	Zero on the question.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	4	No or minimal referencing in assignment.	Zero on part B of the assignment, and disciplinary probation.

University Committee on Student Appeals

Summary of Discipline Cases - 2024-2025

Description	Degree	Year	Details	Penalty/Decision
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	4	No or minimal referencing in assignment.	Zero on the assignment, and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	4	No reference list and few in-text citations in assignment. Student accepted informal resolution.	Zero on the assignment, and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	4	No reference to course content other than model questions in assignment. Student accepted informal resolution.	Zero on the assignment, and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	4	No references contained in assignment.	Zero on the assignment, and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	4	Plagiarism (poor citations and paraphrasing) and incorrect source citation on final project. Not believed to be a deliberate offence.	25% reduction of the final project grade, and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	4	Plagiarism (using GenAI) on an outline and essay.	Zero on the outline (worth 10% of the course grade), zero on the essay (worth 30% of the course grade), and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	4	Plagiarism and/or use of GenAI tool for final project.	Zero on final project, and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	4	Plagiarism due to inaccurate reporting of sources. First offence. Student accepted responsibility.	40% reduction of the earned grade, and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	4	Plagiarism due to inappropriate and inaccurate in-text citation. First offence.	25% reduction of the relevant section of the assignment, and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	4	Plagiarism due to omission of citations. First offence. Student accepted responsibility and was cooperative.	50% reduction of the essay grade, and disciplinary probation.

University Committee on Student Appeals

Summary of Discipline Cases - 2024-2025

Description	Degree	Year	Details	Penalty/Decision
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	4	Plagiarism due to omission of in-text citations on an assignment. First offence. Student accepted responsibility and was cooperative.	50% reduction of the research essay grade, and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	4	Plagiarism due to omission of in-text citations on an assignment. First offence. Student accepted responsibility and was cooperative.	50% reduction of the research essay grade, and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	4	Plagiarism due to use of GenAI without citation on a discussion post. First offence. Student accepted responsibility.	Zero on the discussion post, an additional two-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	4	Plagiarism due to verbatim copying from a cited source without using quotation marks. Second offence.	10% reduction of the earned grade (worth 16% of the course grade), and continued disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	4	Plagiarism in a group project.	20% reduction of the assignment grade.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	4	Plagiarism involving fabricated sources, likely generated using unauthorized AI tools. First offence.	Zero on the essay outline (worth 7% of the course grade), an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	4	Plagiarism involving fabricated sources, likely generated using unauthorized AI tools. Second offence.	Zero on essay outline (worth 7% of the course grade), an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and continue on disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	4	Plagiarism involving unauthorized and uncited use of AI tools on a discussion post assignment. First offence. Student accepted responsibility and apologized.	Zero on grade for discussion post, an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	4	Plagiarism involving unauthorized and uncited use of AI. First offence. Student accepted responsibility.	Zero on essay (worth 25% of course grade), and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	4	Plagiarism involving unauthorized use of GenAI on an assignment. First offence. Student accepted responsibility and showed commitment to learning from this.	Zero on the assignment (worth 25% of the course grade), and disciplinary probation.

University Committee on Student Appeals

Summary of Discipline Cases - 2024-2025

Description	Degree	Year	Details	Penalty/Decision
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	4	Plagiarism involving unauthorized use of GenAI on an assignment. Second offence. Student accepted responsibility and showed commitment to learning from this.	Zero on their assignment (worth 25% of the course grade), an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and continued disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	4	Plagiarism involving unauthorized use of GenAI on an assignment. First offence.	Zero on the assignment (worth 5% of the course grade), an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	4	Plagiarism involving unauthorized use of GenAI on an assignment. First offence. Student accepted responsibility.	Zero on the assignment (worth 25% of the course grade), and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	4	Plagiarism involving unauthorized use of GenAI on an assignment. First offence. Student accepted responsibility.	Zero on the assignment (worth 30% of the course grade), and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	4	Plagiarism on a discussion post. First offence.	Disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	4	Plagiarism on a discussion post. First offence.	Zero on the discussion post (worth 3% of the course grade), an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	4	Plagiarism on a discussion post. First offence.	Zero on the discussion posts (worth 3% of the course grade), an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	4	Plagiarism on a discussion post. Second offence.	Zero on the assignment, an additional five-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	4	Plagiarism on an assignment.	Zero the assignment (worth 20% of the course grade), and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	4	Plagiarism on an assignment. Second offence.	Zero on the assignment (worth 2.84% of the course grade), an additional ten-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.

University Committee on Student Appeals

Summary of Discipline Cases - 2024-2025

Description	Degree	Year	Details	Penalty/Decision
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	4	Plagiarism on an assignment. Second offence. Student accepted responsibility and showed commitment to learning from this.	Zero on essay (worth 25% of course grade), and required to complete an Academic Integrity Workshop.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	4	Plagiarism on an assignment. Student was cooperative.	25% reduction of the assignment grade (worth 25% of the course grade), and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	4	Plagiarism on an assignment. Third offence.	Zero on the assignment, an additional five-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and continued disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	4	Plagiarism on a group project.	20% reduction of the assignment grade.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	4	Plagiarism on a group project.	20% reduction of the assignment grade.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	4	Plagiarism on the reading response assignments. Student accepted responsibility.	50% reduction of the essay grade (worth 25% of course grade), and required to complete an Academic Integrity Workshop.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	4	Plagiarism on two assignments.	Zero on the assignment (worth 10% of the course grade), and continued disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	4	Plagiarism on two assignments. Student accepted responsibility and showed commitment to learning from this.	50% reduction of the assignment grade (worth 25% of course grade), and disciplinary probation to be ended upon the completion of Academic Integrity Workshop.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	4	Plagiarism within final project.	Zero for the final project, an additional five-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	4	Plagiarized solutions from prior term in assignment. Second offence. Withdrew from course.	Zero on the assignment, and an additional ten-mark deduction from the overall course grade.

University Committee on Student Appeals

Summary of Discipline Cases - 2024-2025

Description	Degree	Year	Details	Penalty/Decision
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	4	Plagiarized the solutions of another student on assignment questions. Student accepted responsibility.	Zero on the assignment, an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	4	Question appeared to be consistent with a ChatGPT answer. Student admitted to using ChatGPT for this question, and was honest and forthcoming with explanation.	An eight-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and continued disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	4	Question appeared to be consistent with a ChatGPT answer. Student was honest and forthcoming with explanation and took accountability for actions.	Zero on the assignment (worth 5% of the course grade), an additional eight-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and continued disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	4	Self-plagiarism on an assignment. First offence. Student accepted responsibility and showed commitment to learning from this.	Zero on the essay (worth 15% of the course grade), and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	4	Significant amount of citations missing in assignment. Student accepted informal resolution.	Zero on the assignment, and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	4	Solution for the assignments used by another student who took the course in a previous term.	A three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	4	Solution to assignment similar to another student. Student was honest.	Zero on the assignment question, an additional five-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	4	Solutions to quiz questions suspected to be generated using GenAI. Student accepted responsibility.	Zero on the quiz, an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	4	Solutions to quiz questions suspected to be generated using GenAI. Student accepted responsibility.	Zero on the quiz, an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	4	Solutions to quiz questions suspected to be generated using GenAI. Student accepted responsibility.	Zero on the quiz, an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.

University Committee on Student Appeals

Summary of Discipline Cases - 2024-2025

Description	Degree	Year	Details	Penalty/Decision
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	4	Solutions to quiz questions suspected to be generated using GenAI. Student accepted responsibility.	Zero on the quiz, an additional five-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	4	Student admitted to not manually checking the sources ChatGPT had provided.	50% reduction of the report grade (worth 8% of the course grade), and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	4	Student admitted to using AI to help with forming the flow of the assignment. Used ChatGPT which was prohibited as per syllabus indications.	25% reduction of the assignment grade (not eligible to be dropped), and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	4	Student admitted to using ChatGPT to get a better understanding of the assignment.	Zero on the assignment (worth 5% of the course grade), an additional eight-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and continued disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	4	Student admitted to using Grammarly for writing feedback and using ChatGPT to brainstorm ideas and make sentences look more academic.	25% reduction of the assignment grade, and grade cannot be dropped.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	4	Student admitted to using the introduction and the title page from old report for new report, but student did not copy anything from old report into new report.	50% reduction of the report grade, an additional eight-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and continued disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	4	Student did not cite their use of Grammarly for editing and they were not able to provide version history. Student accepted informal resolution.	25% reduction of the earned grade, and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	4	Student did not include any in-text citations in assignment. Second offence.	Zero on the assignment, an additional five-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	4	Student falsified references in final term presentation.	Zero on component of the project in question, and required to submit an additional assignment.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	4	Student found guilty of plagiarism through the use of GenAI.	Zero on the assignment (worth 15% of the course grade), and disciplinary probation.

University Committee on Student Appeals

Summary of Discipline Cases - 2024-2025

Description	Degree	Year	Details	Penalty/Decision
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	4	Student found guilty of plagiarism through the use of GenAI.	Zero on the assignment, and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	4	Student found guilty of plagiarism through the use of GenAI.	Zero on the assignment, and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	4	Student found guilty of plagiarism.	Zero on the assignment, and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	4	Student found guilty of using ChatGPT on assignment.	25% reduction of the earned grade, and continued disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	4	Student found guilty of using GenAI resulting in plagiarism	25% reduction of the lab grade (worth 20% of the course grade), and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	4	Student found guilty of using GenAI resulting in plagiarism.	25% reduction of the lab grade (worth 20% of the course grade), and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	4	Student found guilty of using GenAI resulting in plagiarism.	50% reduction of the paper grade, and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	4	Student found guilty of using GenAI which resulted in plagiarism. First offence.	25% reduction of the assignment grade (worth 30% of the course grade), and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	4	Student handed in a proposal by the previous project group in a previous term.	Zero on the proposal, and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	4	Student made an error accidentally and did not intend to plagiarize. Personal circumstances had impacted them.	25% reduction of the report grade (worth 10% of the course grade), an additional eight-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.

University Committee on Student Appeals

Summary of Discipline Cases - 2024-2025

Description	Degree	Year	Details	Penalty/Decision
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	4	Student missing a significant amount of in-text citations in assignment. Student accepted informal resolution.	Zero on the assignment, and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	4	Student referenced articles that could not be found and the student could not recall how or where student retrieved these articles.	Zero on the essay outline (worth 5% of the course grade); an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	4	Student said they used ChatGPT as a search engine tool to generate ideas. Their paper does not follow the format set out in the assignment. Student admitted to using ChatGPT.	Zero on analysis section of the research paper, and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	4	Student says they used GenAI only to brainstorm, but did not cite/document its use and cannot produce the ChatGPT logs. Student accepted informal resolution.	25% reduction of the assignment grade (cannot be dropped), an additional 3% reduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	4	Student used ChatGPT for references unaware that they were not allowed to.	Zero on the lab report (worth 10% of the course grade), and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	4	Student used GenAI for Media assignment. No statement about GenAI use in this course, however, article does not exist. Student admitted to not reading article, using ChatGPT.	Zero on the assignment (worth 30% of the course grade), and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	4	Student used GenAI, and some of references appear to be fabricated.	Zero on the report (worth 8% of the course grade), an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	4	Student used GenAI without authorization or acknowledgment.	Zero on the proposal.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	4	Student used GenAI without authorization or acknowledgment.	Zero on the proposal.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	4	Student was found guilty of plagiarism through the use of GenAI.	50% reduction of the assignment grade (15% of the course grade), and disciplinary probation.

University Committee on Student Appeals

Summary of Discipline Cases - 2024-2025

Page 54 of 135

Description	Degree	Year	Details	Penalty/Decision
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	4	Student was missing significant amounts of in-text citations and references. Student accepted informal resolution.	Zero on the assignment, and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	4	Student was suspected to have used AI to write their assignments and midterm.	100% reduction of the the assignment grade, 100% reduction of the short answer portion of the midterm, 100% reduction of the final assignment grade, and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	4	Student's references list had some references that did not exist.	50% reduction of the final report grade (worth 30% of the course grade), an additional five-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and a required 50-minute one-on-one meeting with a Writing and Communication Centre staff member.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	4	Students submitted a GenAI answer and they lightly edited to add their own comments.	Zero on the assignment (must count toward final course grade), and an additional 3% reduction from the overall course grade.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	4	Submission due to a strong similarity to the ChatGPT response. Student admitted to using Grammarly.	50% reduction of the assignment grade, an additional 2% reduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	4	Submission for the assignment question suspected use of a GenAI tool for assistance. Second offence.	Zero on the assignment, and an additional ten-mark deduction from the overall course grade.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	4	Submission for the assignment question suspected use of a GenAI tool for assistance. Student accepted responsibility and informal resolution.	Zero on the question, an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	4	Submission for the final project appeared to have been almost entirely plagiarized from two sources, which student did not include in references.	Zero on the final assignment, and failure in the course.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	4	Submission of assignment coding portion plagiarized from another student who took the course in a prior term. Student admitted guilt and apologized.	Zero on the assignment, and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	4	Submission of assignment coding portion plagiarized from another student who took the course in a prior term. Student admitted guilt and apologized.	Zero on the assignment, and disciplinary probation.

University Committee on Student Appeals

Summary of Discipline Cases - 2024-2025

Description	Degree	Year	Details	Penalty/Decision
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	4	Submission of assignment plagiarized by another student. Student accepted responsibility.	A three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	4	Submission of assignment similar to another student's submission from a prior term. Student accepted informal resolution.	Zero on the assignment.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	4	Submission of assignments plagiarized by another student.	Zero on the assignment, an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	4	Submission of assignments suspected to have been plagiarized from another students work.	A three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	4	Submission of assignments suspected to have been plagiarized from another students work. Student accepted responsibility.	Zero on the assignments, an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	4	Submissions for the assignments seem to have been plagiarized from the content of a GitHub repository. Student accepted informal resolution.	Zero on the assignment problems, an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	4	Suspected of using GenAI for solutions of quiz which is prohibited in the course. Fourth offence.	Zero on quiz, and an additional ten-mark deduction from the overall course grade
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	4	Suspected use of GenAI on part of a report. Second offence, but different from prior offence.	Zero on the report.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	4	The student failed to offer two in-text citations for paraphrased material. The student also cited the wrong source for paraphrased material and provided an inaccurate citation in the works cited.	25% of earned grade penalty, required to complete training, and continued disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	4	The student submitted answer with a lack of citations and attribution. Student accepted allegations and informal resolution.	Zero on the question.

University Committee on Student Appeals

Summary of Discipline Cases - 2024-2025

Description	Degree	Year	Details	Penalty/Decision
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	4	The student submitted answer with a lack of citations and attribution. Student accepted allegations and informal resolution.	Zero on the question.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	4	This student submitted an essay that included two quotations that were not from the source as cited, from an uncited google source and constituted a false representation of the text being discussed. First offence. Student accepted responsibility.	25% reduction of the assignment grade, and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	4	This student submitted an essay that the instructor suspected had used GenAI due to the discussion's general tone and evidence of false citations. While the possible use of GenAI in the broader essay was not pursued, the falsification of the citations is clear. First offence.	25% reduction of the earned grade, and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	4	This student used ChatGPT in forming an essay that was to have represented their own thoughts. ChatGPT was not cited as a source. First offence.	Zero on the assignment, and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	4	Three paragraphs within section of final project contained information specific enough that the source should have been cited, but student provided no citations.	Zero for the final project, and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	4	Used GenAI on an assignment, despite the course guidelines explicitly stating that GenAI should not be used. Student accepted informal resolution.	Zero on the assignment (worth 10% of the course grade), an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	4	Various plagiarism problems on a group assignment. First offence. Student accepted responsibility.	25% reduction of the earned grade, and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	4	Various plagiarism problems on a group assignment. Second offence.	25% reduction of the assignment grade, and continued disciplinary probation.

University Committee on Student Appeals

Summary of Discipline Cases - 2024-2025

Description	Degree	Year	Details	Penalty/Decision
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	5	Assignments were similar to that of previous terms assignment solutions. Fourth offence.	Zero on the assignments, an additional ten-mark deduction from the overall course grade, one-term suspension, and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	5	Assignments were similar to that of previous terms assignment solutions. Student accepted responsibility.	Zero on the assignments, an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	5	Final project submission similar to Github repository and was not cited. Second offence.	Zero on final project, and an additional five-mark deduction from the overall course grade.
Plagiarism	Graduate	Doctoral	Student submitted an essay with a substantial amount of insufficiently paraphrased material and without in-text citation.	Student offered the chance to re-write the paper. If the student chooses not to re-write and/or fails to meet the agreed upon deadline, the grade for the essay is zero. If the student chooses to re-write and re-submit, they will receive a grade for the re-write that is penalized by 25% of earned grade.
Plagiarism	Graduate	Masters	Instructor found numerous examples of inadequate paraphrasing in assignment, a finding of plagiarism was confirmed.	15% reduction of the assignment grade.
Plagiarism	Graduate	Masters	Plagiarism. High (79%) TurnItIn detection for AI-generated content on the assignment.	Zero on the assignment, and an additional 5% reduction from the overall course grade.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	No Level	Assignments were similar to that of previous terms assignment solutions. Student accepted responsibility.	Zero on the assignment, an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	No Level	Plagiarism due to copying from an uncited source. First offence. Student accepted responsibility.	25% reduction of the midterm essay grade (worth 20% of the course grade), and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	No Level	Plagiarism due to omission of a citation for an answer on an assignment. First offence. Student accepted responsibility.	Zero on the assignment, an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.

University Committee on Student Appeals

Summary of Discipline Cases - 2024-2025

Description	Degree	Year	Details	Penalty/Decision
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	No Level	Plagiarism involving unauthorized use of GenAI on an assignment. First offence. Student accepted responsibility and showed commitment to learning from this.	Zero on the assignment (worth 25% of the course grade), and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	No Level	Plagiarism on an assignment.	Zero on the assignment, an additional five-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	No Level	Plagiarism on an assignment. First offence.	Zero on the assignment (worth 20% of course grade), and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	No Level	Plagiarism on an assignment. First offence. Student accepted responsibility and showed commitment to learning from this.	Zero on the assignment (worth 25% of course grade), and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	No Level	Questions were found that appeared to be posted by student on Studocu. Student admitted to offence and was apologetic.	Zero on the assignment (worth 12.5% of the course grade), and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	No Level	Student admitted to using GenAI for report although assignment instructions did not allow for this. Student admitted to the offence and accepted informal resolution.	Zero on the report (worth 15% of the course grade), and disciplinary probation.
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	No Level	Suspected of using GenAI for solutions of quiz which is prohibited in the course. Sceond offence. Student accepted responsibility.	Zero on quiz, and an additional five-mark deduction from the overall course grade
Plagiarism	Undergraduate	No Level	Unintentional omission of in-text citations on a seminar discussion the assignment. Second offence.	Reprimand.
Plagiarism / Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	1	This student’s assignment bore remarkable similarities to that of another student’s, suggesting the offences of unauthorized collaboration and plagiarism. First offence. First offence. Student did not accepted responsibility.	Zero on the assignment, and disciplinary probation
Theft of intellectual property	Undergraduate	1	Theft of intellectual property that facilitated others’ cheating on a term test. First offence.	Zero on the test (worth 25% of the course grade), an additional five-mark deduction from the overall course grade, required to write a letter of apology as a condition of graduation, and disciplinary probation.

University Committee on Student Appeals

Summary of Discipline Cases - 2024-2025

Page 59 of 135

Description	Degree	Year	Details	Penalty/Decision
Theft of intellectual property	Undergraduate	1	Unusual similarities had been noticed between submission for the assignment. Student admitted to having copied the other student's work without their knowledge.	Zero on the assignment, an additional ten-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation. Withdrawal to stand.
Theft of intellectual property	Undergraduate	2	Identical code submitted for the assignment which was suppose to be completed independently. Student admitted to theft of work.	Zero on the assignment, an additional ten-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Theft of intellectual property	Undergraduate	2	Solution to assignment question similar to that of another student. Student admitted to theft of work.	Withdrawal to stand.
Theft of intellectual property	Undergraduate	2	Submission of solutions to assignment question were similar to that of another student. Student admitted to theft of work.	Zero on the assignment, an additional ten-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Theft of intellectual property	Undergraduate	3	Infringement of intellectual property. First offence. Student accepted responsibility.	Disciplinary probation.
Theft of intellectual property	Undergraduate	3	Infringement of intellectual property. First offence. Student accepted responsibility.	Reprimand, and disciplinary probation.
Theft of intellectual property	Undergraduate	3	Solution to assignment similar to another student. Student admitted to theft of assignments.	Zero on the assignment, an additional ten-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Theft of intellectual property	Undergraduate	3	Student accidentally uploaded these documents while mass uploading documents to Studocu. Student stated that they tattempted to get these documents taken down but were unsuccessful. Second offence.	Required to complete one course from list provided by the end of term.
Theft of intellectual property	Undergraduate	3	Theft of intellectual property that facilitated others' cheating on a term test. First offence. Student accepted responsibility.	Zero on the test (worth 25% of the course grade), an additional five-mark deduction from the overall course grade, required to write a letter of apology, and disciplinary probation.
Theft of intellectual property	Undergraduate	4	The student was looking at samples collected by another section of the course. They and their lab partner were warned not to do this but both students were observed doing this again.	Zero on the lab (worth 6% of the course grade), an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.

University Committee on Student Appeals

Summary of Discipline Cases - 2024-2025

Description	Degree	Year	Details	Penalty/Decision
Theft of intellectual property	Undergraduate	4	The student was looking at samples collected by another section of the course. They and their lab partner were warned not to do this but both students were observed doing this again.	Zero on the lab (worth 6% of the course grade), an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Theft of intellectual property	Undergraduate	4	Theft of intellectual property by posting an electronic copy of a copyright textbook. First offence. Student accepted responsibility and was cooperative.	Required to write a letter of apology as a condition of graduation, and disciplinary probation.
Theft of intellectual property / Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	3	Student took photos of the midterm and logged onto LEARN while completing the midterm.	Zero on the midterm (worth 25% of the course grade), an additional five-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation. Withdrawal to stand.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	1	Assignment question similar to ChatGPT. Student accepted responsibility.	Zero on the assignment, an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	1	Assignment questions were similar to that of an unauthorized aid or tool such as ChatGPT.	Zero on the assignment. Disciplinary probation waived.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	1	Assignment questions were similar to that of an unauthorized tool or aid such as ChatGPT. Student accepted informal resolution.	Zero on the assignment, an additional five-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	1	During final exam, a proctor had noticed that student had formulas written on arm.	Zero on exam, an additional five-mark deduction from the overall course grade, three additional courses for degree requirements (in lieu of suspension), and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	1	Either collaborated with another student (or two students) or used a GenAI tool such as ChatGPT or GitHub CoPilot for assistance on the assignment.	Zero on the assignment, an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	1	Plagiarism due to unauthorized and unacknowledged use of GenAI on an assignment. First offence.	Zero on the assignment essay (worth 15% fo the course), and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	1	Solution to assignment question similar to ChatGPT. Student accepted responsibility.	Zero on the assignment, an additional five-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.

University Committee on Student Appeals

Summary of Discipline Cases - 2024-2025

Description	Degree	Year	Details	Penalty/Decision
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	1	Solutions for the assignment questions similar to ChatGPT.	Zero on the assignment, an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	1	Solutions for the assignment questions similar to ChatGPT.	Zero on the assignment, an additional five-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	1	Solutions of assignment were similar to that of an unauthorized aid or tool such as ChatGPT or another student.	Zero on the assignment, an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation. Withdrawal to stand.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	1	Solutions of assignment were similar to that of an unauthorized aid or tool such as ChatGPT.	Zero on the assignment, an additional five-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	1	Solutions of assignment were similar to that of an unauthorized aid or tool such as ChatGPT. First offence. Student accepted responsibility.	Zero on the assignment, an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	1	Solutions of assignment were similar to that of an unauthorized aid or tool such as ChatGPT. Student accepted responsibility.	Zero on the assignment, an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	1	Solutions of assignment were similar to that of an unauthorized aid or tool such as ChatGPT. Student accepted responsibility.	Zero on the assignment, an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	1	Solutions of assignment were similar to that of an unauthorized aid or tool such as ChatGPT. Student accepted responsibility.	Zero on the assignment, an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	1	Solutions of assignment were similar to that of another student. Second offence.	Zero on the assignment, an additional 15-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	1	Solutions of assignment questions were similar to that of an unauthorized aid or tool such as ChatGPT. Offence was not deliberate.	Zero on the assignment questions only, an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.

University Committee on Student Appeals

Summary of Discipline Cases - 2024-2025

Description	Degree	Year	Details	Penalty/Decision
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	1	Solutions of assignment questions were similar to that of another student. First offence.	Zero on the assignments,an additional five-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	1	Solutions of assignment questions were similar to that of another student. Student accepted informal resolution.	Zero on the assignment, an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	1	Solutions of assignment questions were similar to that of another student. Student accepted informal resolution.	Zero on the assignment, an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	1	Student had cell phone on their lap while completing quiz.	Zero on quiz, an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	1	Student remotely accessed the platform to take the quiz.	Zero on quiz.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	1	Student shared their assignment with a classmate to help the classmate in completion of the assignment. First offence.	25% of earned grade, and disciplinary probation to be ended upon the completion of Academic Integrity Workshop.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	1	Student was found guilty of using GenAI for their take home exam.	Zero on all question for which GenAI was used (approximately 50%) and required meeting with a member of the Academic Integrity team.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	1	Student was found guilty of using GenAI with out citations resulting in plagiarism.	50% reduction of the resubmitted assignment grade, and required to complete an Academic Integrity Workshop. If student does not resubmit the assignment by the date determined, the original penalty of zero% on the assignment (worth 15%) will stand.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	1	Student was observed using ChatGPT during Tutorial despite clear direction in the course outline that this was not allowed.	Zero on tutorial one, and an additional 5% reduction from the overall course grade.

University Committee on Student Appeals

Summary of Discipline Cases - 2024-2025

Description	Degree	Year	Details	Penalty/Decision
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	1	Submission for the assignment similar to unauthorized aid or tool such as ChatGPT.	Zero on the assignment, and an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	1	Submission for two assignments similar to unauthorized aid or tool such as ChatGPT.	Zero on the assignments, an additional eight-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	1	Submission of assignment was similar to that of an unauthorized aid or tool such as ChatGPT.	Zero on the assignment, an additional five-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	1	Submission of assignment was similar to that of an unauthorized aid or tool such as ChatGPT. Student accepted responsibility and penalty.	Zero on the assignment, an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	1	Submission of assignment was similar to that of an unauthorized aid or tool such as ChatGPT. Student accepted responsibility and penalty.	Zero on the assignment, an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	1	Submission of assignment was similar to that of another student. Student accepted responsibility.	Zero on the assignment, an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	1	Submission of assignment plagiarized from an unauthorized tool or aid.	Zero on the assignment, an additional five-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	1	Submission of assignment plagiarized from an unauthorized tool or aid. First offence.	Zero on the assignment, an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	1	Submission of assignment question similar to that of an unauthorized aid or tool such as ChatGPT.	Zero on the assignment, an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation. Withdrawal to stand.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	1	Submission of assignment questions plagiarized from an unauthorized tool or aid.	Zero on the assignment, an additional five-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.

University Committee on Student Appeals

Summary of Discipline Cases - 2024-2025

Description	Degree	Year	Details	Penalty/Decision
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	1	Submission of assignment questions plagiarized from an unauthorized tool or aid. Student accepted responsibility.	Zero on the assignment, an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	1	Submission of assignment questions were similar to that of an unauthorized aid or tool such as ChatGPT.	Zero on the assignment, an additional five-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	1	Submission of assignment questions were similar to that of an unauthorized aid or tool such as ChatGPT. Student accepted responsibility.	Zero on the assignment, an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	1	Submission of assignment similar to that of a GenAI tool, or unauthorized collaboration with another student, or both. Student accepted penalty.	Zero on the assignment, an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	1	Submission of assignment similar to that of an unauthorized aid or tool such as ChatGPT.	Zero on the assignment, an additional five-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	1	Submission of assignment similar to that of an unauthorized tool or aid or another student. Student accepted responsibility.	Disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	1	Submission of assignment solutions similar to ChatGPT. Second offence.	Zero on the assignment, an additional five-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation. Withdrawal to stand.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	1	Unauthorized collaboration with other students, uncited use of a GenAI tool such as ChatGPT.	Zero on the assignments, and an additional five-mark deduction from the overall course grade.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	1	Unauthorized use of AI on an assignment. First offence. Student accepted responsibility and informal resolution.	Zero on the assignment (worth 25% of the course grade), and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	1	Unauthorized use of AI on an assignment. First offence. Student accepted responsibility.	50% reduction of the earned grade, and disciplinary probation.

University Committee on Student Appeals

Summary of Discipline Cases - 2024-2025

Description	Degree	Year	Details	Penalty/Decision
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	1	Unauthorized use of AI on an assignment. First offence. Student accepted responsibility.	50% reduction of the earned grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	1	Unauthorized use of AI on an assignment. First offence. Student accepted responsibility.	50% reduction of the assignment grade (worth 15% of the course grade), and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	1	Unauthorized use of aids (GenAI) on a midterm. First offence.	Zero on the midterm question, an additional two-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	1	Unauthorized use of aids (GenAI) on a midterm. First offence.	Zero on the midterm question, an additional two-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	1	Unauthorized use of aids on a midterm. Student accepted responsibility and showed commitment to learning from this.	Zero on the midterm question, an additional two-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	1	Unauthorized use of GenAI on an assignment. First offence. Student accepted responsibility.	Zero on quiz (worth 15% of the course grade), an additional five-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	1	Unauthorized use of GenAI on an assignment. Second offence. Student accepted responsibility.	Zero on the assignment (worth 25% of the course grade), an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and continued disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	1	Unauthorized use of GenAI on a midterm.	Zero on the midterm question, an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	1	Unauthorized use of GenAI on an assignment. First offence. Student accepted responsibility and apologized.	Zero on the assignment (worth 10% of the course grade), and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	1	Unauthorized use of GenAI on an assignment. First offence. Student accepted responsibility.	Zero on the assignment (worth 1% of course grade), and disciplinary probation.

University Committee on Student Appeals

Summary of Discipline Cases - 2024-2025

Description	Degree	Year	Details	Penalty/Decision
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	1	Use of unauthorized aids (AI tools) on an assignment. First offence.	Zero on reflections 1 and 2 (each worth 2% of the course grade), zero on the assignment (worth 12% of the course grade), and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	1	Use of unauthorized aids (AI tools) on an assignment. First offence.	Zero on the assignment (worth 20% of the course grade), and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	1	Use of unauthorized aids (AI) on an assignment. First offence.	Zero on the assignment, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	1	Use of unauthorized aids (AI) on an assignment. First offence.	Zero on the assignment (worth 15% of the course grade), and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	1	Use of unauthorized aids (AI) on an assignment. First offence. Student accepted responsibility and was cooperative.	50% reduction of the earned grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	1	Use of unauthorized aids (AI) on an assignment. First offence. Student accepted responsibility.	Zero on the assignment (worth 30% of the course grade), and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	1	Use of unauthorized aids (AI) on discussion posts. First offence. Student accepted responsibility and showed commitment to learning from this.	Zero on the discussion post, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	1	Use of unauthorized aids (GenAI) on an assignment. First offence. Student accepted responsibility.	Zero on the assignment (worth 15% of the course grade), and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	1	Use of unauthorized aids (GenAI) on assignments. First offence. Student apologized and accepted responsibility.	Zero on the assignments (worth 25% of the course grade), and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	1	Use of unauthorized aids (i.e., GenAI) on an assignment. First offence. Student accepted responsibility and showed commitment to learning from this.	Zero on the assignment (worth 15% of the course grade), and disciplinary probation.

University Committee on Student Appeals

Summary of Discipline Cases - 2024-2025

Description	Degree	Year	Details	Penalty/Decision
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	1	Use of unauthorized aids on a midterm test.	Zero on the midterm question, an additional two-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	1	Use of unauthorized aids on a midterm test.	Zero on the midterm questions, an additional two-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	1	Use of unauthorized aids on an assignment. Multiple previous offences.	Zero on the assignment, three-term suspension, and continued disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	2	Appeared to have collaborated with another student on the assignment, or used GenAI for assistance. Second offence. Student accepted responsibility.	Zero on the assignment, and an additional nine-mark deduction from the overall course grade.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	2	Appeared to have used a GenAI tool such as ChatGPT for assistance with assignment. Third offence. Student accepted responsibility.	Grade of 32 for the course, and a set of two courses to be taken as additional degree requirements.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	2	Assignment questions appeared to have been plagiarized from a GenAI tool.	Zero on the assignment, an additional five-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	2	Assignment questions similar to another student and ChatGPT.	Zero on the assignment, an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	2	Assignment solution was nearly identical to that of another student. Second instance of this offence.	Zero on the assignment, and an additional ten-mark deduction from the overall course grade.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	2	Assignment solutions similar to ChatGPT.	Zero on the assignment, an additional eight-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	2	Assignment solutions similar to ChatGPT. Student accepted responsibility.	Zero on the assignment, an additional five-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.

University Committee on Student Appeals

Summary of Discipline Cases - 2024-2025

Page 68 of 135

Description	Degree	Year	Details	Penalty/Decision
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	2	Assignment solutions similar to ChatGPT. Student accepted responsibility.	Zero on the assignment, an additional five-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	2	Assignment solutions similar to ChatGPT. Student accepted responsibility.	Zero on the assignment, an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	2	Assignments similar to that of an unauthorized aid or tool such as ChatGPT. First offence.	Zero on the first assignment, 25% reduction from the second assignment, an an additional ten-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	2	Either collaborated with another student (or two students) or used a GenAI tool such as ChatGPT or GitHub CoPilot for assistance on the assignment.	Zero on the assignment, an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	2	Final exam a proctor discovered that the student had formulas written on the inside of their calculator case. Third offence.	Zero on the final exam, an additional five-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and a one-term suspension.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	2	Peer review argument and final argument submissions similar to ChatGPT. Student was honest in response and accepted responsibility.	Zero for the peer review argument draft, zero for the final argument submission, an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	2	Plagiarism due to omission of in-text citations on an assignment. First offence. Student accepted responsibility..	25% reduction of the assignment grade (worth 25% of the course grade), and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	2	Plagiarism due to unacknowledged use of AI on a reflection the assignment. First offence. Student accepted responsibility and apologized.	Zero on the assignment, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	2	Plagiarism due to use of GenAI without citation on two discussion posts. First offence. Student accepted responsibility and showed commitment to learning from this.	Zero on discussion post (worth 2.86% of the course grade), an additional two-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	2	Solution for the assignment similar to that of another student. Student accepted responsibility.	Zero on the assignment one, an additional 2.73% reduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.

University Committee on Student Appeals

Summary of Discipline Cases - 2024-2025

Description	Degree	Year	Details	Penalty/Decision
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	2	Solutions for quiz similar to ChatGPT.	Zero on quiz, and an additional ten-mark deduction from the overall course grade. If the 10-mark deduction causes grade to drop to 47, 48, or 49, it shall be recorded as 50.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	2	Solutions for the assignment questions similar to ChatGPT. Student accepted responsibility.	Zero on the assignment, an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	2	Solutions for the assignment similar to ChatGPT.	Zero on the assignment, an additional five-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	2	Solutions for the assignment suspected to have either collaborated with another student or used a GenAI tool.	Zero on the assignment, an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	2	Solutions for the assignment suspected to have used a GenAI tool. Second offence. Student accepted responsibility.	Zero on the assignment, and an additional eight-mark deduction from the overall course grade.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	2	Solutions for the assignments similar to GenAI tool. Second offence. Student accepted responsibility.	Zero on the assignments, an additional eight-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	2	Solutions of assignment were similar to that of an unauthorized aid or tool such as ChatGPT. Student accepted responsibility.	Zero on the assignment, an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	2	Solutions of assignment were similar to that of an unauthorized aid or tool such as ChatGPT. Student accepted responsibility.	Zero on the assignment, an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	2	Solutions of assignment were similar to that of an unauthorized aid or tool such as ChatGPT. Student accepted responsibility.	Zero on the assignment, an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	2	Solutions of assignment were similar to that of an unauthorized aid or tool such as ChatGPT. Student accepted responsibility.	Zero on the assignment, an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.

University Committee on Student Appeals

Summary of Discipline Cases - 2024-2025

Description	Degree	Year	Details	Penalty/Decision
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	2	Solutions of assignment were similar to that of an unauthorized aid or tool such as ChatGPT. Student accepted responsibility.	Zero on the assignment, an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	2	Solutions of assignment questions were similar to an unauthorized aid or tool such as ChatGPT.	Zero on the assignment, an additional five-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	2	Solutions of assignment questions were similar to that of an unauthorized tool or aid such as ChatGPT.	Zero on the assignment, and an additional ten-mark deduction from the overall course grade.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	2	Solutions of assignment questions were similar to that of an unauthorized tool or aid such as ChatGPT.	Zero on the assignment, and an additional five-mark deduction from the overall course grade. Withdrawal to stand.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	2	Solutions to assignment similar to an unauthorized aid or tool. Fourth offence.	A grade of 46 in the course.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	2	Student accessed LEARN during final exam.	Zero on the final exam, an additional five-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	2	Student accessed their course notes while the exam was in progress. Student cooperated and was honest.	Zero on final exam, an additional five-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	2	Student is found guilty of using unauthorized aids on the assignment. Student accepted responsibility and expressed remorse.	Zero on both assignments, and disciplinary probation. The students final grade will not be lower than 50% in order for them to receive credit for the course.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	2	Student is found guilty of using unauthorized aids on the final the assignment. Student admitted to the offence and shared extenuating circumstances.	50% reduction of the earned grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	2	Student observed by proctor to be using a cellphone during the final exam.	Zero on final exam, and disciplinary probation.

University Committee on Student Appeals

Summary of Discipline Cases - 2024-2025

Description	Degree	Year	Details	Penalty/Decision
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	2	Student observed to be using cellphone during the final exam. Student accepted responsibility.	Zero on final exam, an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	2	Submission for the assignment appeared to have been plagiarized from a GenAI tool, such as ChatGPT.	Zero on the assignment, an additional ten-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	2	Submission of assignment was similar to that of an unauthorized aid or tool such as ChatGPT. Student accepted responsibility.	Zero on the assignment, an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	2	Submission of assignment was similar to that of an unauthorized aid or tool such as ChatGPT. Student accepted responsibility.	Zero on the assignment, and an additional ten-mark deduction from the overall course grade.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	2	Submission of assignment was similar to that of an unauthorized tool or aid such as ChatGPT. Student accepted responsibility.	Zero on the assignments, an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	2	Submission of assignment plagiarized from an unauthorized tool or aid.	Disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	2	Submission of assignment question plagiarized from an unauthorized tool or aid.	Zero on the assignment, an additional five-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	2	Submission of assignment question were similar to that of an unauthorized aid or tool such as ChatGPT.	Zero on the assignment, an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	2	Submission of assignment questions plagiarized from an unauthorized tool or aid.	Zero on the assignment, an additional five-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	2	Submission of assignment questions plagiarized from an unauthorized tool or aid.	Zero on the assignment, an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.

University Committee on Student Appeals

Summary of Discipline Cases - 2024-2025

Description	Degree	Year	Details	Penalty/Decision
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	2	Submission of assignment questions were similar to that of an unauthorized tool or aid such as ChatGPT. Student accepted responsibility.	Zero on the assignment questions, an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	2	Submission of assignment similar to ChatGPT. Second offence.	Zero on the assignment questions, and an additional eight-mark deduction from the overall course grade.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	2	Submission of solutions for the assignment appeared to have used a GenAI tool. Second offence.	Zero on the assignments, and an additional ten-mark deduction from the overall course grade. Withdrawal to stand.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	2	Submission of solutions for the assignment appeared to have used a GenAI tool. Second offence. Student accepted penalty.	Zero on the assignment, and an additional eight-mark deduction from the overall course grade.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	2	Suspected of collaborating with another student on assignments. Second offence.	Zero for the question on the first assignment, zero for the second assignment, and an additional ten-mark deduction from the overall course grade.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	2	This student submitted an essay produced with the unauthorized use of GenAI. The material offered in the essay is not the student's work and the content of the essay is inaccurate.	Zero on the essay (worth 40% of the course grade), and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	2	Unauthorized aids on a midterm test. Student accepted responsibility.	Zero on the midterm question, an additional two-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	2	Unauthorized assistance (from AI) on an assignment. First offence. Student accepted responsibility and was cooperative.	50% reduction of the assignment grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	2	Unauthorized assistance on an assignment.	Zero on the assignment, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	2	Unauthorized use of AI on an assignment. First offence. Student accepted responsibility.	50% reduction of the earned grade, and disciplinary probation.

University Committee on Student Appeals

Summary of Discipline Cases - 2024-2025

Description	Degree	Year	Details	Penalty/Decision
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	2	Unauthorized use of aids (GenAI) on an assignment. First offence.	Zero on the assignment (worth 20% of the course grade), and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	2	Unauthorized use of aids on an assignment. Third offence. Student accepted responsibility and showed commitment to learning from this.	Zero on the assignment, and continued disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	2	Unauthorized use of GenAI on a quiz. First offence. Student accepted responsibility and showed commitment to learning from this.	Zero on the quiz (worth 15% of the course grade), an additional two-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	2	Unauthorized use of GenAI on a group assignment. First offence. Student accepted responsibility and expressed remorse.	Zero on the presentation (worth 13.5% of the course grade), and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	2	Unauthorized use of GenAI on a group assignment. First offence. Student accepted responsibility and expressed remorse.	Zero on the presentation (worth 13.5% of the course grade), and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	2	Unauthorized use of GenAI on a group assignment. First offence. Student accepted responsibility and expressed remorse.	Zero on the presentation (worth 13.5% of the course grade), and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	2	Unauthorized use of GenAI on a group assignment. First offence. Student accepted responsibility and expressed remorse.	Zero on the presentation (worth 13.5% of the course grade), and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	2	Unauthorized use of GenAI on a group assignment. First offence. Student accepted responsibility and expressed remorse.	Zero on the presentation (worth 13.5% of the course grade), and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	2	Unauthorized use of GenAI on an assignment. First offence.	25% dreduction of the resubmission grade for the assignment (worth 15% of the course grade), and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	2	Unauthorized use of GenAI on an assignment. First offence.	Zero on the assignment (worth ~4% of the course grade), an additional two points deducted from overall grade, and disciplinary probation.

University Committee on Student Appeals

Summary of Discipline Cases - 2024-2025

Description	Degree	Year	Details	Penalty/Decision
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	2	Unauthorized use of GenAI on an assignment. First offence. Student accepted responsibility and expressed remorse.	Zero on the assignment (worth 10% of the course grade), and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	2	Unauthorized use of GenAI on an assignment. First offence. Student accepted responsibility.	Zero on the assignment (worth 10% of the course grade), and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	2	Unauthorized use of GenAI. First offence.	Zero on the assignment (worth 5% of the course grade), an additional five-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	2	Unauthorized use of GenAI. First offence.	Zero on the assignment (worth 5% of the course grade), an additional five-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	2	Use of unauthorized aids (AI tools) on an assignment. First offence.	Zero on the assignment (worth 20% of the course grade), and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	2	Use of unauthorized aids (AI) on an assignment. First offence.	Zero on the relevant component of the assignment, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	2	Use of unauthorized aids (AI) on an assignment. First offence. Student accepted responsibility and showed commitment to learning from this.	Zero on the assignment, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	2	Use of unauthorized aids (AI) on discussion posts and an assignment. First offence. Student accepted responsibility and showed commitment to learning from this.	Zero on discussion posts, zero on the assignment (worth 20% of the course grade), and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	2	Use of unauthorized aids (GenAI tools) on an assignment. First offence. Student accepted responsibility.	Zero on the assignment (worth 10% of course grade), and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	2	Use of unauthorized aids (GenAI tools) on the assignment. First offence. Student accepted responsibility.	Zero on the assignment (worth 10% of course grade), and disciplinary probation.

University Committee on Student Appeals

Summary of Discipline Cases - 2024-2025

Page 75 of 135

Description	Degree	Year	Details	Penalty/Decision
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	2	Use of unauthorized aids (GenAI) on a discussion post assignment.	Zero on the discussion post (worth 1.7% of the course grade), an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	2	Use of unauthorized aids (GenAI) on a midterm. First offence.	Zero on the midterm question, an additional two-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	2	Use of unauthorized aids (GenAI) on a midterm. First offence.	Zero on the midterm question, an additional two-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	2	Use of unauthorized aids (GenAI) on an assignment.	Zero on the assignment, an additional five-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	2	Use of unauthorized aids (GenAI) on an assignment. First offence.	Zero on the assignment (worth 25% of the course grade), and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	2	Use of unauthorized aids (GenAI) on an assignment. First offence. Student accepted responsibility and showed commitment to learning from this.	Zero on the assignment (worth 25% of the course grade), and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	2	Use of unauthorized aids (GenAI) on an assignment. First offence. Student accepted responsibility.	Zero on the assignment, an additional two-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	2	Use of unauthorized aids (GenAI) on an assignment. Second offence.	Zero on the assignment, an additional five-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	2	Use of unauthorized aids (GenAI) on two assignments. First offence. Student accepted responsibility.	Zero on the questions one, two and three, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	2	Use of unauthorized aids (GenAI) on an assignment. Second offence. Student accepted responsibility.	Zero on the assignment (worth 15% of the course grade), an additional ten-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.

University Committee on Student Appeals

Summary of Discipline Cases - 2024-2025

Description	Degree	Year	Details	Penalty/Decision
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	2	Use of unauthorized aids on a midterm test.	Zero on the midterm question, an additional five-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	2	Use of unauthorized aids on a midterm test. Student accepted responsibility.	Zero on the midterm question, an additional five-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	2	Use of unauthorized aids on an assignment.	Zero on the assignment, an additional 5% reduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	2	Use of unauthorized aids on an assignment. First offence.	Zero on the assignment, an additional five-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	2	Use of unauthorized aids on an assignment. Second offence. Student accepted responsibility and showed commitment to learning from this.	Zero on the assignment, and an additional five-mark deduction from the overall course grade.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	2	Use of unauthorized aids on quizzes.	Zero on quizzes, an additional ten-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	2	Uses of unauthorized aids (AI) on the assignments. First offence. Student accepted responsibility.	Zero on the assignments (worth 45% of the course grade), and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	3	Unauthorized use of GenAI tools on an assignment. First offence.	Zero on quiz (worth 15% of the course grade), an additional five-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	3	A proctor had found student accessing cellphone during a permitted break in the final exam. Second offence.	Zero on exam, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	3	Appeared to have used a GenAI tool for assistance with assignment. Second offence. Admitted to offence.	Zero on the assignment, and an additional five-mark deduction from the overall course grade.

University Committee on Student Appeals

Summary of Discipline Cases - 2024-2025

Description	Degree	Year	Details	Penalty/Decision
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	3	Assignment code similar to that of another student.	Zero on the assignment questions, an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	3	Assignment question were similar to that of another student and having features associated with an unauthorized aid or tool such as ChatGPT.	Zero on the assignment, an additional five-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	3	Assignment questions were similar to that of an unauthorized tool or aid such as ChatGPT.	Zero on the assignment, and an additional five-mark deduction from the overall course grade. Withdrawal to stand.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	3	During quiz student witnessed by proctors using their cellphone which is prohibited.	Reprimand.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	3	Plagiarism involving unauthorized use of AI on an assignment. First offence. Student accepted responsibility, expressed regret, and showed commitment to learning from this.	Zero on the assignment (worth 25% of course grade), and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	3	Plagiarism on an assignment.	50% reduction of the assignment grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	3	Solutions for the assignment similar to ChatGPT.	Zero on the assignment, an additional five-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	3	Solutions of assignment were similar to that of an unauthorized aid or tool such as ChatGPT.	Zero on the assignment, an additional five-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	3	Solutions of assignment were similar to that of an unauthorized aid or tool such as ChatGPT. Second offence. Student was honest about circumstances.	Zero on the assignments, and an additional eight-mark deduction from the overall course grade.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	3	Solutions of assignment were similar to that of an unauthorized aid or tool. Second offence.	Zero on the assignment, an additional ten-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.

University Committee on Student Appeals

Summary of Discipline Cases - 2024-2025

Description	Degree	Year	Details	Penalty/Decision
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	3	Solutions of assignment questions were similar to that of an unauthorized aid or tool such as ChatGPT. Second offence.	Zero on the assignments, and an additional ten-mark deduction from the overall course grade.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	3	Solutions on assignments similar to ChatGPT.	Zero on the assignments, an additional five-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	3	Solutions on online midterm exam similar to ChatGPT.	Zero on the midterm exam, an additional five-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	3	Solutions on online midterm exam similar to ChatGPT.	Zero on the midterm exam, an additional five-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	3	Solutions on online midterm exam similar to ChatGPT.	Zero on the midterm exam, an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	3	Solutions on online midterm exam similar to ChatGPT.	Zero on the midterm exam, an additional eight-mark deduction from the overall course grade, required completion of three courses (in lieu of a suspension), and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	3	Student is found guilty of using unauthorized aids on the assignment.	Zero on the assignment, and an additional 10% reduction from the overall course grade.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	3	Student used AI on an end of term assignment without proper citation.	Zero on the relevant section of the assignment, and disciplinary probation
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	3	Student used the assigned reading article to complete their in-class reaction paper which was prohibited by the instructor	Zero on the assignment, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	3	Student was found to have had access to and copied from another student.	Zero on the project, and an additional 5% reduction from the overall course grade.

University Committee on Student Appeals

Summary of Discipline Cases - 2024-2025

Description	Degree	Year	Details	Penalty/Decision
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	3	Student was observed listening to audio answers then record their responses for the oral final exam.	Zero on the test or exam, an additional five-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	3	Student was seen using the assigned reading to complete their in-class reaction paper which is prohibited as per syllabus	Zero on the assignment, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	3	Submission for the assignment appeared to have been plagiarized from a GenAI tool, such as ChatGPT.	Zero on the assignment, an additional five-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	3	Submission for the assignment appeared to have been plagiarized from a GenAI tool, such as ChatGPT. Student accepted responsibility.	Zero on the assignment.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	3	Submission of assignment was similar to that of an unauthorized tool or aid such as ChatGPT. Student accepted responsibility.	Zero on the assignment, an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	3	Submission of assignment similar to that of an unauthorized aid or tool or unauthorized collaboration.	Zero on the assignment, an additional five-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	3	Submission of assignment similar to that of another student. Student accepted responsibility and clarified that they used GenAI to complete the assignment.	Zero on the assignment, an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	3	Two assignments were similar to ChatGPT. Second offence. Student accepted responsibility and penalty.	Zero on the assignments.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	3	Two questions on quiz solutions similar to ChatGPT. Second offence. Student accepted responsibility.	Zero on quiz , an additional ten-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	3	Unauthorized collaboration on an assignment. Student accepted responsibility.	Zero on the assignment, an additional five-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.

University Committee on Student Appeals

Summary of Discipline Cases - 2024-2025

Description	Degree	Year	Details	Penalty/Decision
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	3	Unauthorized use of AI on a group assignment. Previous concurrent academic offences.	Zero on part two of the group assignment, an additional five-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and continued disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	3	Unauthorized use of AI on an assignment. First offence.	Zero on the assignment (worth 10% of the course grade), and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	3	Unauthorized use of AI on an assignment. First offence. Student accepted responsibility and showed commitment to learning from this.	Zero on paragraph exercise (worth 2.5% of the course grade), an additional five-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	3	Unauthorized use of AI on an assignment. First offence. Student accepted responsibility.	Zero on the assignment (worth 10% of course grade), and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	3	Unauthorized use of aids on a midterm. Second offence.	Zero on both midterm questions, an additional two-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and continued disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	3	Unauthorized use of aids on an assignment. Student accepted responsibility.	Zero on the assignment, an additional five-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	3	Unauthorized use of GenAI and plagiarism involving omission of in-text citations on two assignments. First offence. Student accepted responsibility.	50% reduction of the resubmission for the first assignment, 25% reduction of the second assignment, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	3	Unauthorized use of GenAI on an assignment (annotated bibliography). First offence. Student accepted responsibility.	Zero on the assignment (worth 20% of the final grade), and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	3	Unauthorized use of GenAI on an assignment. First offence. Student accepted responsibility.	Zero on the assignment (worth 10% of the course grade), and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	3	Unauthorized use of GenAI on an assignment. First offence. Student accepted responsibility.	Zero on the assignment (worth 12% of the course grade), and disciplinary probation.

University Committee on Student Appeals

Summary of Discipline Cases - 2024-2025

Description	Degree	Year	Details	Penalty/Decision
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	3	Unauthorized use of GenAI on an assignment. First offence. Student accepted responsibility.	Zero on the assignment (worth 20% of the course grade), and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	3	Unauthorized use of GenAI on an assignment. Second offence. Student accepted responsibility.	25% reduction of the resubmission grade for the assignment (worth 12% of the course grade), and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	3	Use of unauthorized aids (AI tools) on an assignment. Student was cooperative and showed commitment to learning from this.	25% reduction of the earned grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	3	Use of unauthorized aids (AI) on an assignment. First offence. Student accepted responsibility and was cooperative.	Zero on research essay, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	3	Use of unauthorized aids (AI) on discussion posts. Second offence.	Zero on assignment grade (worth 20% of the course grade), an additional five-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and continued disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	3	Use of unauthorized aids (GenAI) on a midterm. First offence.	Zero on both midterm questions, an additional two-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	3	Use of unauthorized aids (GenAI) on a midterm. First offence.	Zero on both midterm questions, an additional two-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	3	Use of unauthorized aids (GenAI) on a midterm. First offence.	Zero on both midterm questions, an additional two-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	3	Use of unauthorized aids (GenAI) on a quiz and an assignment. First offence.	Zero on quiz (worth 15% of the course grade), zero on the assignment (worth 2/44 marks that make up the 25% weekly activities grade), and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	3	Use of unauthorized aids (GenAI) on an assignment.	Zero on the assignment, an additional five-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.

University Committee on Student Appeals

Summary of Discipline Cases - 2024-2025

Description	Degree	Year	Details	Penalty/Decision
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	3	Use of unauthorized aids (GenAI) on an assignment.	Zero on the assignment, an additional five-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	3	Use of unauthorized aids (GenAI) on an assignment. First offence.	Zero on the assignment, an additional five-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	3	Use of unauthorized aids (GenAI) on an assignment. First offence. Student accepted responsibility and apologized.	Zero on research essay assignment (worth 25% of the course grade), and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	3	Use of unauthorized aids (GenAI) on an assignment. First offence. Student accepted responsibility and apologized.	Zero on the assignment (worth 25% of the course grade), and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	3	Use of unauthorized aids (GenAI) on an assignment. First offence. Student accepted responsibility and apologized.	Zero on the assignment (worth 25% of the course grade), and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	3	Use of unauthorized aids (GenAI) on an assignment. First offence. Student accepted responsibility.	Zero on the assignment, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	3	Use of unauthorized aids (GenAI) on an assignment. Second offence.	Zero on the assignment, an additional ten-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	3	Use of unauthorized aids (GenAI) on an assignment. Second offence.	Zero on the assignment, an additional five-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	3	Use of unauthorized aids (GenAI) on an assignment. Second offence.	Zero on the assignment, an additional ten-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	3	Use of unauthorized aids (GenAI) on an assignment. Second offence. Student accepted responsibility.	Zero on the assignment, an additional ten-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.

University Committee on Student Appeals

Summary of Discipline Cases - 2024-2025

Description	Degree	Year	Details	Penalty/Decision
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	3	Use of unauthorized aids (GenAI) on the assignments. First offence. Student accepted responsibility.	Zero on the self-evaluation and group-evaluation the assignment (worth 20% of the course grade), zero on the assignment (worth 40% of course grade), and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	3	Use of unauthorized aids on a midterm test. Student accepted responsibility.	Zero on the midterm question, an additional two-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	3	Use of unauthorized tools (GenAI) on an assignment. First offence. Student accepted responsibility and showed commitment to learning from this.	25% reduction of the resubmission grade for the assignment (worth 12% of the course grade), and disciplinary probation
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	3	Uses of unauthorized aids (AI) on the assignments. First offence.	Zero on the three assignments (together worth 15% of the course grade), and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	3	Uses of unauthorized aids (AI) on the assignments. First offence.	Zero on the three assignments (together worth 15% of the course grade), and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	4	Unauthorized use of GenAI tools on a discussion post assignment. First offence. Student accepted responsibility and showed commitment to learning from this.	Zero on the discussion post (worth ~1.65% of the course grade), an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	4	Unauthorized use of GenAI tools on an assignment. First offence. Student accepted responsibility.	Zero on the final assignment (worth 30% of the course grade), and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	4	Appeared to have used a GenAI tool (such as ChatGPT) for assistance with assignment. Second offence. Student accepted responsibility.	Zero on the assignment, and an additional five-mark deduction from the overall course grade.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	4	Appeared to have used a GenAI tool for assistance with assignment. Second offence. Student admitted to offence.	Zero on the assignment, and an additional eight-mark deduction from the overall course grade.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	4	Assignment appeared to have been completed with the assistance of a GenAI tool.	Zero on the assignment, an additional eight-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.

University Committee on Student Appeals

Summary of Discipline Cases - 2024-2025

Description	Degree	Year	Details	Penalty/Decision
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	4	Assignment showed strong similarities to those of two other students; it's believed that student had either copied from one another or from a common source. Second offence	25% reduction of the assignment grade, and an additional five-mark deduction from the overall course grade.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	4	Assignment showed strong similarities to those of two other students; it's believed that student had either copied from one another or from a common source. Second offence	25% reduction of the assignment grade, and an additional five-mark deduction from the overall course grade.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	4	Part of submission for the assignment appeared to have been plagiarized from a GenAI tool, such as ChatGPT.	Zero on the assignment, and an additional five-mark deduction from the overall course grade.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	4	Plagiarism and use of unauthorized aids (GenAI) on multiple parts of an assignment. Previous offences.	Zero on the assignment (worth 40% of the course grade), three-term suspension, and continued disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	4	Plagiarism involving misrepresentation of sources and unauthorized use of AI on three assignments. Previous offences.	Zero on essay, required to successfully complete three courses on ethics before graduating, and continued disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	4	Quiz solutions similar to ChatGPT. Second offence. Student gave an honest response.	Zero on quiz, an additional ten-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	4	Solutions of assignment were similar to that of an unauthorized aid or tool such as ChatGPT. Student accepted responsibility.	Zero on the assignment, an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	4	Solutions of assignments were similar to an unauthorized aid or tool such as ChatGPT. First offence.	Zero on the assignments, an additional five-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	4	Solutions on online midterm exam similar to ChatGPT or another student. Second offence.	Zero on the midterm exam, an additional eight-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	4	Solutions on online midterm exam similar to ChatGPT.	Zero on the midterm, an additional five-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.

University Committee on Student Appeals

Summary of Discipline Cases - 2024-2025

Description	Degree	Year	Details	Penalty/Decision
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	4	Student found guilty of using GenAI on the assignment.	25% reduction of the earned grade on part two of the assignment (worth 24% of the course grade), and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	4	Student is found guilty of using an unauthorized aid on a quiz. Student admitted to offence.	Zero on the assignment, an additional 2.5% reduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	4	Student is found guilty of using an unauthorized aid on an assignment.	Zero on the assignment, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	4	Student is found guilty of using unauthorized aids on weekly homework and quizzes. Student admitted fault and accepted penalty.	Zero to all homework and quizzes from weeks 1-4 (totaling 14.5% of the course grade). Withdrawal to stand.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	4	Student submitted the incorrect file for an assignment; the correct file was completed by the deadline.	Disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	4	Student used ChatGPT to interpret articles, revise their work, and differentiate between concepts. Student accepted responsibility.	50% reduction of the application response grade.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	4	Submission for the assignment appeared to have been plagiarized from a GenAI tool, such as ChatGPT. Student admitted to AI use to clean up work.	Zero on the assignment questions, an additional ten-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	4	Submission of assignment was similar to that of an unauthorized tool or aid such as ChatGPT. Student accepted responsibility.	Zero on the assignment, an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	4	Submission of assignment similar to an unauthorized aid or tool such as ChatGPT.	Zero on the assignment, an additional five-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	4	Submission of assignment similar to an unauthorized aid or tool such as ChatGPT.	Zero on the assignment, an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.

University Committee on Student Appeals

Summary of Discipline Cases - 2024-2025

Description	Degree	Year	Details	Penalty/Decision
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	4	Submissions for the assignments appeared to have been plagiarized from a GenAI tool, such as ChatGPT.	Zero on the assignment, an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	4	Unauthorized use of AI tools on a research paper. First offence. Student accepted responsibility.	Reprimand, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	4	Unauthorized use of aids on an assignment. First offence.	Zero on the midterm questions, an additional ten-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	4	Unauthorized use of GenAI on a discussion post. First offence. Student accepted responsibility.	Zero on the discussion post (worth ~1.67% of the course grade), an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	4	Unauthorized use of GenAI on an assignment. Student accepted responsibility.	Zero on the assignment, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	4	Unauthorized use of GenAI on two assignments. First offence. Student accepted responsibility.	Zero on the first assignment (worth 20% of the course grade), zero on the second assignment (worth 2% of the course grade), and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	4	Unauthorized use of GenAI on two discussion posts. First offence. Student accepted responsibility and showed commitment to learning from this.	A three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	4	Unauthorized use of GenAI.	Zero on the assignment, an additional 10% reduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	4	Use of GenAI tools without authorization on discussion posts and an assignment.	Zero on the submitted discussion forum posts, an additional ten-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and continued disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	4	Use of unauthorized aids (AI) on assignments. First offence.	Zero on the assignment (worth 20% of the course grade), zero on the thesis paragraph and outline (worth 10% of the course grade), and disciplinary probation.

University Committee on Student Appeals

Summary of Discipline Cases - 2024-2025

Description	Degree	Year	Details	Penalty/Decision
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	4	Use of unauthorized aids (AI) on discussion posts. First offence. Student accepted responsibility.	Zero on discussion post, an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	4	Use of unauthorized aids (GenAI) on a midterm. First offence.	Zero on both midterm question, an additional two-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	4	Use of unauthorized aids (GenAI) on a midterm. Second offence.	Zero on the midterm question, an additional five-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and continued disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	4	Use of unauthorized aids (GenAI) on an assignment. First offence. Student accepted responsibility and showed commitment to learning from this.	50% reduction of the final essay grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	4	Use of unauthorized aids on a midterm test.	Zero on the midterm questions, an additional two-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	4	Use of unauthorized aids on a midterm test.	Zero on the midterm questions, an additional two-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	4	Use of unauthorized aids on a midterm test.	Zero on the midterm questions, an additional two-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	4	Use of unauthorized aids on a midterm test.	Zero on the midterm questions, an additional two-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	4	Use of unauthorized aids on a midterm test. Second offence.	Zero on the midterm questions, an additional five-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	4	Use of unauthorized aids on a midterm test. Student accepted responsibility.	Zero on the midterm questions, an additional two-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.

University Committee on Student Appeals

Summary of Discipline Cases - 2024-2025

Page 88 of 135

Description	Degree	Year	Details	Penalty/Decision
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	4	Use of unauthorized aids on a midterm test. Student accepted responsibility.	Zero on the midterm questions, an additional two-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	4	Use of unauthorized aids on a midterm test. Student accepted responsibility.	Zero on the midterm questions, an additional two-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	4	Use of unauthorized aids on a midterm test. Student accepted responsibility.	Zero on the midterm questions, an additional two-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	4	Use of unauthorized aids on a quiz.	Zero on quiz, an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	4	Use of unauthorized aids on an assignment. First offence. Student accepted responsibility and showed commitment to learning from this.	Zero on the assignment, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	4	Use of unauthorized aids on an assignment. Student accepted responsibility.	Zero on the short answer section of assignment, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	4	Use of unauthorized aids on two assignments. First offence. Student accepted responsibility.	Zero on both assignments (each worth 15% of the course grade), and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	5	Submission of assignment similar to an unauthorized aid or tool such as ChatGPT.	Zero on the assignment, an additional deduction of five marks from the course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Graduate	Masters	Student included a reference list at the end, but zero in-text citations for the many different facts and statements. Student accepted responsibility.	50% reduction of the assessment grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	No Level	Assignments appeared that used GenAI for assistance. Fourth offence. Student admitted to offence. Deemed to be poor judgment not deception.	Zero on the assignments, and an additional eight-mark deduction from the overall course grade.

University Committee on Student Appeals

Summary of Discipline Cases - 2024-2025

Description	Degree	Year	Details	Penalty/Decision
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	No Level	Either collaborated with another student (or two students) or used a GenAI tool such as ChatGPT or GitHub CoPilot for assistance on the assignment.	Zero on the assignment, an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	No Level	Solutions of assignment questions two and three were similar to that of an unauthorized aid or tool such as ChatGPT. Second offence.	Zero on the assignment, and an additional nine-mark deduction from the overall course grade.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	No Level	Unauthorized use of aids on an assignment. First offence.	Zero on the midterm questions, an additional two-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	No Level	Use of an unauthorized and unacknowledged tool (AI) on an assignment. First offence. Student accepted responsibility and showed commitment to learning from this.	Zero on the specific exercise (worth 2.5% of the course grade), an additional five-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	No Level	Use of unauthorized aids (AI) on an assignment. First offence. Student accepted responsibility.	Zero on the assignment (worth 15% of the course grade), and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	No Level	Use of unauthorized aids (GenAI) on an assignment. First offence.	Zero on the assignment (worth 15% of the course grade), and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized aids or assistance	Undergraduate	No Level	Use of unauthorized aids on quizzes.	Zero on quizzes, an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized aids or assistance / Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	1	Solutions for the assignment similar to GenAI tool and other students. First offence.	Zero on the assignment, an additional eight-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation
Unauthorized aids or assistance / Violation of instructor copyright	Undergraduate	2	Student appeared to have used a GenAI tool, such as ChatGPT or GitHub CoPilot when working on the assignment. Second offence.	Zero on the assignment, and an additional eighth-mark deduction from the overall course grade.
Unauthorized aids or assistance / Violation of instructor copyright	Undergraduate	2	Submissions for the assignments appeared to have been completed with the assistance of a GenAI tool.	Zero on the assignments, and an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade. Withdrawal to stand.

University Committee on Student Appeals

Summary of Discipline Cases - 2024-2025

Description	Degree	Year	Details	Penalty/Decision
Unauthorized aids or assistance / Violation of instructor copyright	Undergraduate	3	Solutions to assignments similar to ChatGPT.	Zero on the assignments, an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized aids or assistance / Violation of instructor copyright	Undergraduate	3	Student appeared to have used a GenAI tool (such as ChatGPT or GitHub CoPilot) when working on the assignment.	Zero on the assignment, an additional five-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	1	A student reported that another student took their solution and submitted it as their own for the assignment.	Zero on the assignment, and disciplinary probation
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	1	Assignment submission similar to another student indicating unauthorized collaboration.	A three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	1	Assignment submission similar to another student indicating unauthorized collaboration.	A three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	1	Assignment submissions have entirely and perfectly identical submissions to that of another student. Student accepted informal resolution.	Zero on the assignment, an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	1	Assignment submissions have entirely and perfectly identical submissions to that of another student. Student accepted informal resolution.	Zero on the assignment, an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	1	Assignment submissions have entirely and perfectly identical submissions to that of another student. Student accepted informal resolution.	Zero on the assignment, an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	1	Assignment submissions have entirely and perfectly identical submissions to that of another student. Student accepted informal resolution.	Zero on the assignment, an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	1	Assignment submissions have entirely and perfectly identical submissions to that of another student. Student accepted informal resolution.	Zero on the assignment, an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation

University Committee on Student Appeals

Summary of Discipline Cases - 2024-2025

Description	Degree	Year	Details	Penalty/Decision
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	1	Assignment submissions have entirely and perfectly identical submissions to that of another student. Student accepted informal resolution.	Zero on the assignment, an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	1	Assignment was similar to that of another student.	Zero on the assignment, an additional five-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation. Withdrawal to stand.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	1	Discussed work with another student and submitted similar reports.	50% reduction of the experiment grade (worth 5.8% of the course grade), an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	1	Proctor reported seeing students looking at each other's papers and talking during the last five minutes of the exam period.	Zero for the multiple-choice and true/false components of the final exam, an additional five-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	1	Solution to assignment similar to another student.	Zero on the assignment, an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	1	Solutions for assignment question similar to that of another student.	Zero on the assignment, and an additional five-mark deduction from the overall course grade
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	1	Solutions for assignment question similar to that of another student.	Zero on the assignment, an additional five-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	1	Solutions for assignment question similar to that of another student. First offence.	Zero on the assignment, an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	1	Solutions for assignment question similar to that of another student. First offence.	Zero on the assignment, and an additional five-mark deduction from the overall course grade.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	1	Solutions for the assignment appeared to have been shared between four students.	Zero on the assignment, an additional five-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.

University Committee on Student Appeals

Summary of Discipline Cases - 2024-2025

Description	Degree	Year	Details	Penalty/Decision
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	1	Solutions for the assignment appeared to have been shared between four students. Student accepted responsibility.	Zero on the assignment, an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	1	Solutions for the assignment questions were similar to that of another student. Student accepted responsibility.	Zero on the assignment, an additional five-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	1	Solutions for the assignment questions were similar to that of another student. Student accepted responsibility.	Zero on the assignment, an additional five-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	1	Solutions for the assignment questions were similar to that of another student. Student accepted responsibility.	Zero on the assignment, an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	1	Solutions for the assignment questions were similar to that of another student. Student accepted responsibility.	Zero on the assignment, an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	1	Solutions for the assignment questions were similar to that of another student. Student accepted responsibility.	Zero on the assignment, an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	1	Solutions for the assignment questions were similar to that of another student. Student accepted responsibility.	Zero on the assignment, an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	1	Solutions for the assignment questions were similar to that of another student. Student accepted responsibility.	Zero on the assignment, an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	1	Solutions for the assignment questions were similar to that of another student. Student accepted responsibility.	Zero on the assignment, an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	1	Solutions for the assignment questions were similar to that of another student. Student accepted responsibility.	Zero on the assignment, an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.

University Committee on Student Appeals

Summary of Discipline Cases - 2024-2025

Description	Degree	Year	Details	Penalty/Decision
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	1	Solutions for the assignment questions were similar to that of another student. Student accepted responsibility.	Zero on the assignment, an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	1	Solutions for the assignment similar to other students.	Zero on the assignment, an additional five-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	1	Solutions for the assignment similar to other students.	Zero on the assignment, an additional five-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	1	Solutions for the assignment similar to other students.	Zero on the assignment, an additional five-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	1	Solutions for the assignment similar to that of another student.	Zero on the assignment, and an additional five-mark deduction from the overall course grade.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	1	Solutions for the assignment similar to that of another student.	Zero on the assignment, and an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	1	Solutions for the assignment similar to that of another student.	Zero on the assignment, and an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	1	Solutions of assignment were similar to that of another student.	Zero on the assignment, an additional five-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	1	Solutions of assignment were similar to that of another student.	Zero on the assignment, an additional five-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation. Withdrawal to stand.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	1	Solutions of assignment were similar to that of another student. Offence not deliberate.	Zero on the assignment, an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.

University Committee on Student Appeals

Summary of Discipline Cases - 2024-2025

Description	Degree	Year	Details	Penalty/Decision
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	1	Solutions of assignment were similar to that of another student. Offence not deliberate.	Zero on the assignment, an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	1	Solutions of assignment were similar to that of another student. Student proactively reached out and accepted responsibility.	Zero on the assignment, an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	1	Solutions of assignment questions were similar to that of another student. Student accepted informal resolution.	Zero on the assignment, an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	1	Solutions of assignment questions were similar to that of another student. Student accepted informal resolution.	Zero on the assignment, an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	1	Solutions of report were similar to that of lab partner.	Zero on the report (worth 5.8% of the course grade), an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	1	Solutions of report were similar to that of lab partner.	Zero on the report (worth 5.8% of the course grade), an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	1	Solutions of report were similar to that of lab partner.	Zero on the report (worth 5.8% of the course grade), an additional two-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	1	Solutions of report were similar to that of lab partner.	Zero on the report (worth 5.8% of the course grade), an additional two-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	1	Solutions to assignment question were similar to that of another student.	Zero on the assignment, an additional five-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	1	Solutions to assignment question were similar to that of two other students. Student accepted responsibility.	Zero on the assignment, an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.

University Committee on Student Appeals

Summary of Discipline Cases - 2024-2025

Description	Degree	Year	Details	Penalty/Decision
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	1	Solutions to assignment question were similar to that of two other students. Student accepted responsibility.	Zero on the assignment, an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	1	Solutions to assignment question were similar to that of two other students. Student accepted responsibility.	Zero on the assignment, an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	1	Solutions to assignment question were similar to that of two other students. Student accepted responsibility.	Zero on the assignment, an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	1	Solutions to assignment questions similar to that of another student.	Zero on the assignment, an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	1	Solutions to assignment questions similar to that of another student.	Zero on the assignment, and an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	1	Solutions to assignment similar to other students.	Zero on the assignment, an additional five-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	1	Solutions to quiz questions suspected to be generated using GenAI. Student accepted responsibility.	Zero on quiz, an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	1	Solutions to quiz questions suspected to be generated using GenAI. Student accepted responsibility.	Zero on quiz, an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	1	Student admitted to working on this report together.	Zero on the report, an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	1	Student and lab partner had talked about the questions as Student was confused about some of the content. Both of the student would google questions Student was unsure of and write down the answers.	Zero on the report (worth 5.8% of the course grade), an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.

University Committee on Student Appeals

Summary of Discipline Cases - 2024-2025

Description	Degree	Year	Details	Penalty/Decision
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	1	Student and lab partner worked on report together.	50% reduction of the experiment grade (worth 5.8% of the course grade), an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	1	Student and lab partner worked on report together.	50% reduction of the experiment grade (worth 5.8% of the course grade), an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	1	Student and lab partner worked on report together.	50% reduction of the experiment grade (worth 5.8% of the course grade), an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	1	Student and lab partner worked on report together.	Zero on the experiment (worth 5.8% of the course grade), an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	1	Student and lab partner worked on report together.	Zero on the experiment (worth 5.8% of the course grade), an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	1	Student and lab partner worked on report together.	Zero on the experiment (worth 5.8% of the course grade), an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	1	Student and lab partner worked on report together.	Zero on the experiment (worth 5.8% of the course grade), an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	1	Student and lab partner worked on report together.	Zero on the experiment (worth 5.8% of the course grade), an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	1	Student and lab partner worked on report together.	Zero on the experiment (worth 5.8% of the course grade), an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	1	Student and lab partner worked on report together.	Zero on the experiment (worth 5.8% of the course grade), an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.

University Committee on Student Appeals

Summary of Discipline Cases - 2024-2025

Description	Degree	Year	Details	Penalty/Decision
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	1	Student and lab partner worked on report together.	Zero on the experiment (worth 5.8% of the course grade), an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	1	Student and lab partner worked on report together.	Zero on the experiment (worth 5.8% of the course grade), an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	1	Student and lab partner worked on report together.	Zero on the experiment (worth 5.8% of the course grade), an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	1	Student and lab partner worked on report together.	Zero on the experiment (worth 5.8% of the course grade), an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	1	Student and lab partner worked on report together.	Zero on the experiment (worth 5.8% of the course grade), an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	1	Student and lab partner worked on report together.	Zero on the experiment (worth 5.8% of the course grade), an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	1	Student and lab partner worked on report together. Admitted offence.	Zero on the experiment (worth 5.8% of the course grade), an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	1	Student and lab partner worked on report together. Student admitted to offence and was apologetic.	Zero on the experiment (worth 5.8% of the course grade), an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	1	Student and lab partner worked on report together. Student admitted to offence.	Zero on the experiment (worth 5.8% of the course grade), an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	1	Student and lab partner worked on report together. Student admitted to offence.	Zero on the experiment (worth 5.8% of the course grade), an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.

University Committee on Student Appeals

Summary of Discipline Cases - 2024-2025

Description	Degree	Year	Details	Penalty/Decision
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	1	Student and lab partner worked on report together. Student admitted to offence.	Zero on the experiment (worth 5.8% of the course grade), an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	1	Student and lab partner worked on report together. Student admitted to offence.	Zero on the experiment (worth 5.8% of the course grade), an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	1	Student and lab partner worked on report together. Student admitted to offence.	Zero on the experiment (worth 5.8% of the course grade), an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	1	Student and lab partner worked on report together. Student admitted to offence.	Zero on the experiment (worth 5.8% of the course grade), an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	1	Student and lab partner worked on report together. Student admitted to offence.	Zero on the experiment (worth 5.8% of the course grade), an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	1	Student and lab partner worked on report together. Student admitted to offence.	Zero on the experiment (worth 5.8% of the course grade), an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	1	Student and lab partner worked on report together. Student admitted to offence.	Zero on the experiment (worth 5.8% of the course grade), an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	1	Student and lab partner worked on report together. Student admitted to offence.	Zero on the experiment (worth 5.8% of the course grade), an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	1	Student and lab partner worked on report together. Student admitted to offence.	Zero on the experiment (worth 5.8% of the course grade), an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	1	Student and lab partner worked on report together. Student admitted to offence.	Zero on the experiment (worth 5.8% of the course grade), an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.

University Committee on Student Appeals

Summary of Discipline Cases - 2024-2025

Page 99 of 135

Description	Degree	Year	Details	Penalty/Decision
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	1	Student and lab partner worked on report together. Student admitted to offence.	Zero on the experiment (worth 5.8% of the course grade), an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	1	Student and lab partner worked on report together. Student admitted to offence.	Zero on the experiment (worth 5.8% of the course grade), an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	1	Student and lab partner worked on report together. Student admitted to offence.	Zero on the experiment (worth 5.8% of the course grade), an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	1	Student and lab partner worked on report together. Student was apologetic and showed remorse.	Zero on the experiment (worth 5.8% of the course grade), an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	1	Student and lab partner worked on report together. Student was honest and cooperative.	Zero on the experiment (worth 5.8% of the course grade), an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	1	Student and lab partner worked on report together. Student was honest and cooperative.	Zero on the experiment (worth 5.8% of the course grade), an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	1	Student collaborated with another student.	A 2% reduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	1	Student completed the written portion of report at home and solutions were similar to lab partner.	50% reduction on the report grade (worth 5.8% of the course grade), and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	1	Student completed the written portion of report at home and solutions were similar to lab partner.	Zero on the report (worth 5.8% of the course grade), an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	1	Student finished assignment by taking help from ChatGPT.	Zero on the assignment, an additional five-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.

University Committee on Student Appeals

Summary of Discipline Cases - 2024-2025

Page 100 of 135

Description	Degree	Year	Details	Penalty/Decision
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	1	Student found guilty of unauthorized collaboration with other students. Student admitted to offence.	50% reduction of the assignment grade (worth 15% of the course grade), and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	1	Student glanced at lab partner's work and there were a few times where both of the student worked together.	50% reduction of the first assignment grade (worth 5.8% of the course grade), zero for the second assignment (worth 5.8% of the course grade), and an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	1	Student looked through lab partners report and had similar solutions.	50% reduction of the experiment grade (worth 5.8% of the course grade), and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	1	Student shared their work with lab partner, and the lab partner copied it.	50% reduction of the experiment grade (worth 5.8% of the course grade), an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	1	Student shared their work with lab partner, and the lab partner copied it.	50% reduction of the experiment grade (worth 5.8% of the course grade), an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	1	Student work with another student on their report.	Zero on the experiment (worth 5.8% of the course grade), an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	1	Student worked too close to lab partners'. Student admitted to offence.	Zero on the experiment (worth 5.8% of the course grade), an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	1	Students shared code for project.	Zero on the project, an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	1	Students shared code for project.	Zero on the project, an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	1	Student's solution to assignment question was similar to that of another student.	Zero on the assignment, an additional five-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation. Withdrawal to stand.

University Committee on Student Appeals

Summary of Discipline Cases - 2024-2025

Description	Degree	Year	Details	Penalty/Decision
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	1	Students submission for lab report was similar to another students. Student admitted to working on the introduction with another student.	50% reduction of the lab report grade (worth 15% of the course grade), and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	1	Students submission for lab report was similar to another students. Student admitted to working on the introduction with another student.	50% reduction of the lab report grade (worth 15% of the course grade), and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	1	Submission for the report similar to that of another student.	Zero on the report (worth 5.8% of the course grade), an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	1	Submission for the report similar to that of another student.	Zero on the report (worth 5.8% of the course grade), an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	1	Submission for the report similar to that of another student.	Zero on the report (worth 5.8% of the course grade), an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	1	Submission of assignment was similar to another student.	Zero on the assignment, an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	1	Submission of assignment was similar to another student.	Zero on the assignment, an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	1	Submission of assignment was similar to that of another student. Student accepted responsibility.	Zero on the assignment, an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	1	Submission of assignment was similar to that of another student. Student accepted responsibility.	Zero on the assignment, an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	1	Submission of assignment was similar to that of another student. Student accepted responsibility.	Zero on the assignment, an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.

University Committee on Student Appeals

Summary of Discipline Cases - 2024-2025

Description	Degree	Year	Details	Penalty/Decision
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	1	Submission of assignment was similar to that of another student. Student accepted responsibility.	Zero on the assignment, an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	1	Submission of assignment was similar to that of another student. Student accepted responsibility.	Zero on the assignment, an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	1	Submission of assignment was similar to that of another student. Student accepted responsibility.	Zero on the assignment, an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	1	Submission of assignment was similar to that of another student. Student accepted responsibility.	Zero on the assignment, an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	1	Submission of assignment question two was similar to that of another student.	Zero on the assignment, an additional five-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	1	Submission of assignment question two was similar to that of another student. Admitted to offence.	Withdrawal to stand.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	1	Submission of assignment question were similar to another student. Student accepted responsibility.	Zero on the assignment, an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	1	Submission of assignment question were similar to another student. Student accepted responsibility.	Zero on the assignment, an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	1	Submission of assignment question were similar to another student. Student accepted responsibility.	Zero on the assignment, an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	1	Submission of assignment question were similar to another student. Student accepted responsibility.	Zero on the assignment, an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.

University Committee on Student Appeals

Summary of Discipline Cases - 2024-2025

Page 103 of 135

Description	Degree	Year	Details	Penalty/Decision
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	1	Submission of assignment question were similar to another student. Student accepted responsibility.	Zero on the assignment, an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	1	Submission of assignment question were similar to another student. Student accepted responsibility.	Zero on the assignment, an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	1	Submission of assignment questions similar to that of another student.	Zero on the assignment, an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	1	Submission of assignment questions similar to that of another student.	Zero on the assignment, an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	1	Submission of assignment questions similar to that of another student.	Zero on the assignment, an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	1	Submission of assignment questions similar to that of another student.	Zero on the assignment, an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	1	Submission of assignment questions similar to that of another student.	Zero on the assignment, an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	1	Submission of assignment questions similar to that of another student.	Zero on the assignment, an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	1	Submission of assignment questions similar to that of another student.	Zero on the assignment, an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	1	Submission of assignment questions similar to that of another student.	Zero on the assignment, an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	1	Submission of assignment questions similar to that of another student.	Zero on the assignment, an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.

University Committee on Student Appeals

Summary of Discipline Cases - 2024-2025

Description	Degree	Year	Details	Penalty/Decision
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	1	Submission of assignment questions similar to that of another student.	Zero on the assignment, an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	1	Submission of assignment questions similar to that of another student.	Zero on the assignment, an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	1	Submission of assignment questions solutions similar to that of another student.	Zero on the assignment, an additional five-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	1	Submission of assignment questions solutions similar to that of another student.	Zero on the assignment, an additional five-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	1	Submission of assignment questions solutions similar to that of another student. Student admitted to offence.	Zero on the assignment, an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	1	Submission of assignment questions solutions similar to that of another student. Student admitted to offence.	Zero on the assignment, an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	1	Submission of assignment questions were similar to that of another student. Student accepted informal resolution.	Zero on the assignment, an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	1	Submission of assignment questions were similar to that of another student. Student accepted informal resolution.	Zero on the assignment, an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	1	Submission of assignment similar to another student.	Zero on the assignment, an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	1	Submission of assignment similar to another student. Student accepted informal resolution.	Zero on the assignment, an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.

University Committee on Student Appeals

Summary of Discipline Cases - 2024-2025

Description	Degree	Year	Details	Penalty/Decision
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	1	Submission of assignment similar to another student. Student admitted to offence.	Zero on the assignment, an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	1	Submission of assignment solutions similar to another student. Student accepted responsibility.	Zero on the assignment, an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	1	Submission of assignment solutions similar to another student. Student accepted responsibility.	Zero on the assignment, an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	1	Submission of assignment solutions very similar. Student accepted informal resolution.	Zero on the assignment, an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	1	Submission of assignment solutions very similar. Student accepted responsibility.	Zero on the assignment, an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	1	Submission of assignment solutions very similar. Student accepted responsibility.	Zero on the assignment, an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	1	Submission of solutions for the assignment question similar to another student.	Zero on the assignment, an additional five-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	1	Submission of solutions for the assignment question similar to another student.	Zero on the assignment, an additional five-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	1	Submission of solutions for the assignment questions were similar to another student.	Zero on the assignment, an additional five-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	1	Submission of solutions for the assignment questions were similar to another student.	Zero on the assignment, an additional five-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.

University Committee on Student Appeals

Summary of Discipline Cases - 2024-2025

Description	Degree	Year	Details	Penalty/Decision
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	1	Submitted an identical paper to them classmate. Student admitted to offence and was remorseful.	Zero on the assignment, and disciplinary probation. Not allowed to drop course.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	1	Suspected plagiarism	Zero on the assignment, an additional 2% reduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	1	Two students have different code for entering the data, but the calculations are identical.	Zero on the assignment, an additional 1% reduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	1	Two students have different code for entering the data, but the calculations are identical.	Zero on the assignment, an additional 1% reduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	1	Two students have different code for entering the data, but the calculations are identical.	Zero on the assignment, an additional 3% reduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	1	Two students have different code for entering the data, but the calculations are identical.	Zero on the assignment, an additional 3% reduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	1	Two students submitted nearly identical wording on the experimental procedure.	Zero on the assignment, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	1	Two students submitted nearly identical wording on the experimental procedure.	Zero on the assignment, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	1	Unauthorized collaboration on an assignment. First offence. Student accepted responsibility and showed commitment to learning from this.	Zero on the assignment (worth 10% of the course grade), and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	1	Unauthorized collaboration on several discussion post assignments. Student admitted to offence.	Zero on discussion posts, and disciplinary probation.

University Committee on Student Appeals

Summary of Discipline Cases - 2024-2025

Description	Degree	Year	Details	Penalty/Decision
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	1	Unauthorized collaboration on submission of assignment. Student accepted informal resolution.	Zero on the assignment, an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	1	Unauthorized collaboration on submission of assignment. Student accepted informal resolution.	Zero on the assignment, an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	1	Unauthorized collaboration on submission of assignment. Student accepted informal resolution.	Zero on the assignment, an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	1	Unauthorized collaboration on submission of assignment. Student accepted informal resolution.	Zero on the assignment, an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	1	Unauthorized collaboration on submission of assignment. Student accepted informal resolution.	Zero on the assignment, an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	1	Unauthorized collaboration on submission of assignment. Student accepted informal resolution.	Zero on the assignment, an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	1	Unauthorized collaboration with lab partner.	Zero on the assignment (worth 5.8% of the course grade), an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	1	Unauthorized collaboration with lab partner.	Zero on the assignment (worth 5.8% of the course grade), an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	1	Unusual level of similarity between submission for the assignment. First offence.	Zero on the assignment, an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	1	Unusual similarities had been observed between submission for the assignment two and that of another student.	Zero on the assignment, an additional ten-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.

University Committee on Student Appeals

Summary of Discipline Cases - 2024-2025

Description	Degree	Year	Details	Penalty/Decision
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	2	Collaboration with another student on the assignment. Second offence. Student accepted informal resolution.	Zero on the assignment, an additional eight-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	2	Direct copying in the submission of assignment.	Zero on the assignment, an additional eight-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	2	Four students submitted very similar (word-by-word) lab reports.	50% off the resubmitted report, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	2	Four students submitted very similar (word-by-word) lab reports.	50% off the resubmitted report, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	2	Midterm exam was similar to that of another student.	Zero for midterm exam, an additional deduction of five marks from the course grade, and disciplinary probation
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	2	Midterm exam was similar to that of another student. Student accepted responsibility.	Zero for midterm exam, an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	2	Midterm exam was similar to that of another student. Student accepted responsibility.	Zero for midterm exam, an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	2	Report had a high Turnitin score, similar to lab partner.	Zero on the report (worth 7.5% of the course), an additional five-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	2	Solution for the assignment similar to that of another student. Student accepted responsibility.	Zero on the assignment, an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	2	Solution to assignment question one was similar to that of another student. Student accepted responsibility.	Zero on assignment, an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.

University Committee on Student Appeals

Summary of Discipline Cases - 2024-2025

Description	Degree	Year	Details	Penalty/Decision
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	2	Solution to assignment question one was similar to that of another student. Student accepted responsibility.	Zero on the assignment question only, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	2	Solution to assignment question one was similar to that of another student. Student accepted responsibility.	Zero on the assignment, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	2	Solution to assignment question one was similar to that of another student. Student accepted responsibility.	Zero on the assignment, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	2	Solution to assignment question one was similar to that of another student. Student accepted responsibility.	Zero on the assignment, an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	2	Solution to assignment question one was similar to that of another student. Student accepted responsibility.	Zero on the assignment, an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	2	Solution to assignment question one was similar to that of another student. Student accepted responsibility.	Zero on the assignment, an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	2	Solution to assignment question similar to another student.	Zero on the assignment, an additional five-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	2	Solution to assignment question was similar to that of another student.	Zero on the assignment, an additional five-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	2	Solution to assignment question was similar to that of another student. Student believed to be original author.	Zero on the assignment, an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	2	Solution to assignment question were similar to that of another student. Student accepted responsibility.	Zero on the assignment, an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.

University Committee on Student Appeals

Summary of Discipline Cases - 2024-2025

Description	Degree	Year	Details	Penalty/Decision
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	2	Solution to assignment question were similar to that of another student. Student accepted responsibility.	Zero on the assignment, an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	2	Solution to assignment similar to another student.	Zero on the assignment, an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	2	Solution to assignment similar to each other suggestion unauthorized collaboration.	Zero on the assignment, an additional five-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	2	Solution to assignment similar to each other suggestion unauthorized collaboration.	Zero on the assignment, an additional five-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	2	Solutions for an assignment question similar to that of another student.	Zero on the assignment, an additional five-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	2	Solutions for an assignment question similar to that of another student. Second offence. Student appears to be the original author.	Zero on the assignment, an additional five-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	2	Solutions for the assignment questions similar to another student. Second offence.	Zero on the assignment, an additional eight-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	2	Solutions for the assignment similar to another student.	Zero on the assignment, an additional five-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	2	Solutions for the assignment similar to another student.	Zero on the assignment, an additional five-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	2	Solutions of assignment questions were similar to another student's submission. Student accepted responsibility.	Zero on the assignment, an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.

University Committee on Student Appeals

Summary of Discipline Cases - 2024-2025

Description	Degree	Year	Details	Penalty/Decision
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	2	Solutions of assignment questions were similar to another student's submission. Student accepted responsibility.	Zero on the assignment, an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	2	Solutions of assignment questions were similar to that of another student.	Zero on the assignment, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	2	Solutions of assignment questions were similar to that of another student.	Zero on the assignment, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	2	Solutions to assignment similar to each other. Student was honest in response.	Zero on the assignment, an additional five-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	2	Solutions to assignment similar to each other. Student was honest in response.	Zero on the assignment, an additional five-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	2	Specific similarities between both reports, including similar/identical sections of the report, some calculations appeared to be copied/pasted due to the level of similarity, and the naming of units and formatting was identical.	50% reduction on module (worth 7.5% of the course grade), an additional five-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	2	Student admitted to using the other student's work without their permission.	Zero on the assignments, an additional six-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	2	Student admitted to working on this report with the other student.	50% reduction on module (worth 5.8% of the course grade), an additional five-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	2	Student and lab partner worked on report together.	50% reduction on module (worth 7.5% of the course grade), an additional five-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	2	Student and lab partner worked on report together.	50% reduction on module (worth 7.5% of the course grade), an additional ten-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.

University Committee on Student Appeals

Summary of Discipline Cases - 2024-2025

Page 112 of 135

Description	Degree	Year	Details	Penalty/Decision
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	2	Student and lab partner worked on report together.	50% reduction on module (worth 7.5% of the course grade), an additional five-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	2	Student collaborated with another student on this report.	50% reduction on module (worth 5.8% of the course grade), an additional five-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	2	Student collaborated with another student on this report.	50% reduction on module (worth 5.8% of the course grade), an additional five-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	2	Student collaborated with another student on this report.	50% reduction on module (worth 5.8% of the course grade), an additional five-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	2	Student collaborated with another student on this report.	50% reduction on module (worth 5.8% of the course grade), an additional five-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	2	Student collaborated with another student on this report.	50% reduction on module (worth 7.5% of the course grade), an additional five-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	2	Student collaborated with two other students on this report.	50% reduction on module (worth 2.5% of the course grade), an additional five-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	2	Student collaborated with two other students on this report.	50% reduction on module (worth 2.5% of the course grade), an additional five-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	2	Student collaborated with two other students on this report.	50% reduction on module (worth 2.5% of the course grade), an additional five-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	2	Student found guilty of unauthorized collaboration with other students. Student admitted to offence.	50% of earned grade on the assignment (worth 15% of the course grade), and disciplinary probation.

University Committee on Student Appeals

Summary of Discipline Cases - 2024-2025

Description	Degree	Year	Details	Penalty/Decision
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	2	Student found guilty of unauthorized collaboration with other students. Student admitted to offence.	50% of earned grade on the assignment (worth 15% of the course grade), and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	2	Student found guilty of unauthorized collaboration with other students. Student admitted to offence.	50% of earned grade on the assignment (worth 15% of the course grade), and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	2	Student's assignment questions code similar to that of another student.	Zero on the assignment questions, an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	2	Student's assignment questions similar to another student.	Zero on the assignment, an additional five-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	2	Student's assignment questions similar to another student. Second offence.	Zero on the assignment, an additional eight-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	2	Student's assignment was nearly identical to that of another student.	Zero on the assignment, an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	2	Student's assignment was nearly identical to that of another student.	Zero on the assignment, an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	2	Students submitted work that matched with another student.	Must prepare new submission which are unique and the student's own work, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	2	Students submitted work that matched with another student.	Must prepare new submission which are unique and the students own work, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	2	Students submitted work that matched with another student.	Must prepare new submission which are unique and the students own work, and disciplinary probation.

University Committee on Student Appeals

Summary of Discipline Cases - 2024-2025

Description	Degree	Year	Details	Penalty/Decision
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	2	Students submitted work that matched with another student.	Must prepare new submission which are unique and the students own work, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	2	Students submitted work that matched with another student.	Must prepare new submission which are unique and the students own work, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	2	Submission for the assignment question one similar to that of another student.	Zero on the assignment, an additional five-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	2	Submission for the assignment question one similar to that of another student. Student accepted responsibility.	Zero on the assignment, an additional five-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	2	Submission for the assignment question similar of that to another student.	Zero on the assignment, an additional five-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	2	Submission for the assignment question similar of that to another student.	Zero on the assignment, an additional five-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	2	Submission for the assignment question similar to that of another student.	Zero on the assignment, an additional five-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	2	Submission for the assignment question similar to that of another student.	Zero on the assignment, an additional five-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	2	Submission for the assignment question similar to that of another student.	Zero on the assignment, an additional five-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	2	Submission for the assignment question similar to that of another student.	Zero on the assignment, an additional five-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.

University Committee on Student Appeals

Summary of Discipline Cases - 2024-2025

Description	Degree	Year	Details	Penalty/Decision
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	2	Submission for the assignment question similar to that of another student. Student admitted to offence.	Zero on the assignment, an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	2	Submission for the assignment question similar to that of another student. Student was original author.	Zero on the assignment, an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	2	Submission for the assignment question was nearly identical to that of another student	Zero on the assignment, an additional five-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	2	Submission for the assignment similar to that of another student.	Zero on the assignment, an additional five-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	2	Submission for the assignment similar to that of another student.	Zero on the assignment, an additional five-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	2	Submission for the assignment similar to that of another student. Second offence.	Zero on the assignment, an additional five-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	2	Submission for the assignment's questions observed to share a large number of similarities. Student accepted responsibility.	Zero on the assignment, an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	2	Submission for the assignment's questions observed to share a large number of similarities. Student accepted responsibility.	Zero on the assignment, an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	2	Submission of assignment was similar to that of another student. Student accepted responsibility.	Zero on the assignment, an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	2	Submission of assignment was similar to that of another student. Student accepted responsibility.	Zero on the assignment, an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.

University Committee on Student Appeals

Summary of Discipline Cases - 2024-2025

Description	Degree	Year	Details	Penalty/Decision
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	2	Submission of assignment was similar to that of another student. Student accepted responsibility.	Zero on the assignment, an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	2	Submission of assignment was similar to that of another student. Student accepted responsibility.	Zero on the assignment, an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	2	Submission of assignment was similar to that of another student. Student accepted responsibility.	Zero on the assignment, an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	2	Submission of assignment was similar to that of another student. Student accepted responsibility.	Zero on the assignment, an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	2	Submission of assignment was similar to that of another student. Student accepted responsibility.	Zero on the assignment, an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	2	Submission of assignment was similar to that of another student. Student accepted responsibility.	Zero on the assignment, an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	2	Submission of assignment was similar to that of another student. Student accepted responsibility.	Zero on the assignment, an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	2	Submission of assignment was similar to that of another student. Student accepted responsibility.	Zero on the assignment, an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	2	Submission of assignment question similar to another student.	Zero on the assignment question only, an additional two-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	2	Submission of assignment question similar to another student.	Zero on the assignment, an additional five-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.

University Committee on Student Appeals

Summary of Discipline Cases - 2024-2025

Description	Degree	Year	Details	Penalty/Decision
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	2	Submission of assignment question similar to another student.	Zero on the assignment, an additional five-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	2	Submission of assignment question similar to another student.	Zero on the assignment, an additional five-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	2	Submission of assignment question similar to another student.	Zero on the assignment, an additional two-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	2	Submission of assignment question similar to another student. Student accepted responsibility and informal resolution.	Zero on the assignment, an additional five-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	2	Submission of assignment question similar to another student. Student admitted to offence.	Zero on the assignment, an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	2	Submission of assignment questions similar to that of another student.	Zero on the assignment, an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	2	Submission of assignment questions were similar to that of another student. Student accepted informal resolution.	Zero on the assignment, an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	2	Submission of assignment similar to another student.	A three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	2	Submission of assignment solutions similar to another student.	Zero on the assignment, an additional five-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	2	Submission of assignment solutions similar to another student. Student accepted informal resolution.	Zero on the assignment, an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.

University Committee on Student Appeals

Summary of Discipline Cases - 2024-2025

Description	Degree	Year	Details	Penalty/Decision
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	2	Submission of assignment solutions similar to another student. Student accepted informal resolution.	Zero on the assignment, an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	2	Submission of assignment solutions similar to another student. Student accepted informal resolution.	Zero on the assignment, an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	2	Submission of assignment solutions similar to another student. Student accepted responsibility and informal resolution.	Zero on the assignment, an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	2	Submission of quiz questions indicated that student had been looking at the wrong version of the question.	Zero for the quiz, an additional five-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation. Withdrawal to stand.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	2	Submission of solutions for the assignment question similar to another student.	Zero on the assignment question, an additional five-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	2	Submission of solutions for the assignment question similar to another student. Student accepted responsibility and informal resolution.	Zero on the assignment question, an additional five-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	2	Submission of solutions for the assignment question similar to another student. Student accepted responsibility and informal resolution.	Zero on the assignment question, an additional five-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	2	Submission of solutions for the assignment question similar to another student. Student accepted responsibility and informal resolution.	Zero on the assignment question, an additional five-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	2	Submission of solutions to assignment question were similar to that of another student.	Zero on the assignment, an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	2	Submission of solutions to assignment question were similar to that of another student. Student accepted responsibility.	Zero on the assignment question only, and disciplinary probation.

University Committee on Student Appeals

Summary of Discipline Cases - 2024-2025

Description	Degree	Year	Details	Penalty/Decision
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	2	Submission of solutions to assignment question were similar to that of another student. Student accepted responsibility.	Zero on the assignment, an additional five-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	2	Submission of solutions to assignment question were similar to that of another student. Student accepted responsibility.	Zero on the assignment, an additional five-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	2	Submission of solutions to assignment question were similar to that of another student. Student accepted responsibility.	Zero on the assignment, an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	2	Submission of solutions to assignment question were similar to that of another student. Student accepted responsibility.	Zero on the assignment, an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	2	Submission of solutions to assignment were similar to that of another student.	Zero on the assignment, an additional five-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	2	Submission of solutions to assignments were similar to that of another student.	Zero on the assignment, an additional five-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	2	Submission of solutions to assignments were similar to that of another student.	Zero on the assignment, an additional five-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	2	Submission of solutions to assignments were similar to that of another student.	Zero on the assignment, an additional five-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	2	Suspicion that student collaborated with another student on the assignments.	Zero on the assignment, an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	2	Unauthorized collaboration on an assignment.	Zero on the assignment (worth 10% of the course grade), and disciplinary probation.

University Committee on Student Appeals

Summary of Discipline Cases - 2024-2025

Description	Degree	Year	Details	Penalty/Decision
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	2	Unauthorized collaboration on an assignment.	Zero on the assignment, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	2	Unusual level of similarity between submission for the assignment questions.	Zero on the assignment, an additional five-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	2	Unusual level of similarity between submission for the assignment questions.	Zero on the assignment, an additional five-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	2	Unusual level of similarity between submission for the assignment questions.	Zero on the assignment, an additional five-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	2	Unusual level of similarity between submission for the assignment questions.	Zero on the assignment, an additional five-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	2	Unusual level of similarity between submission for the assignment questions.	Zero on the assignment, an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	2	Unusual level of similarity between submission for the assignment questions. Student accepted responsibility.	Zero on the assignment, an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	2	Unusual level of similarity between submission for the assignment questions. Unusual delay in discovery of misconduct.	Zero on the assignment, an additional two-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	2	Unusual level of similarity between submission for the assignment questions. Unusual delay in discovery of misconduct. Student accepted responsibility.	Zero on the assignment, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	2	Unusual level of similarity between submission for the assignment that of another student.	Zero on the assignment, an additional five-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.

University Committee on Student Appeals

Summary of Discipline Cases - 2024-2025

Description	Degree	Year	Details	Penalty/Decision
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	2	Unusual level of similarity between submission for the assignment that of another student.	Zero on the assignment, an additional five-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	2	Unusual level of similarity between submission for the assignment that of another student. Student accepted responsibility.	Zero on the assignment, an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	2	Unusual level of similarity between submission for the assignment that of another student. Student was honest in response.	Zero on the assignment, an additional one-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	2	Unusual level of similarity between submission for the assignment that of another student. Student was honest in response.	Zero on the assignment, an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	2	Unusual level of similarity between submission for the assignments and that of another student.	Zero on the assignment, an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	3	An assignment submission closely resemble another student, suggesting collaboration beyond what was permitted. Student accepted responsibility.	Zero on the assignment, an additional eight-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	3	An assignment submission closely resemble another student, suggesting collaboration beyond what was permitted. Student accepted responsibility.	Zero on the assignment, an additional eight-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	3	Concerns about the similarity between submission for the assignment and that of another student.	Zero on the assignment, an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	3	Concerns about the similarity between submission for the assignment and that of another student.	Zero on the assignment, an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	3	GenAI was used for major parts of report.	Zero on part one of the report, 50% earned grade reduction on part two of the report, and disciplinary probation.

University Committee on Student Appeals

Summary of Discipline Cases - 2024-2025

Description	Degree	Year	Details	Penalty/Decision
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	3	Plagiarism due to copying another student’s work on an assignment. Second offence.	Zero on the assignment, required to complete three courses on ethics, and continued disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	3	Question for experiment was similar to that of another student.	Zero on the experiment (worth 5.8% of the course grade), an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	3	Solution to assignment question was similar to that of another student.	Zero on the assignment, an additional five-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	3	Solutions for the assignment discovered to have been plagiarized from their work when they took the course in the Spring term.	A three-mark deduction from the overall course grade
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	3	Student and lab partner worked on report together.	Zero on the experiment (worth 5.8% of the course grade), an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	3	Student and lab partner worked on report together. Student admitted to offence.	Zero on the experiment (worth 5.8% of the course grade), an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	3	Student used GenAI for major parts of the report. Writing style is significantly different compared to assignment one and GenAI tags were found.	Penalty of 50% earned grade reduction on the report, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	3	Students shared code on lab assignment.	A reduction of 10 marks from the earned grade of the lab assignment.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	3	Students used shared code on lab assignment.	Zero on lab the assignment.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	3	Students used shared code on lab assignment.	Zero on lab the assignment.

University Committee on Student Appeals

Summary of Discipline Cases - 2024-2025

Description	Degree	Year	Details	Penalty/Decision
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	3	Submission for the assignment question was nearly identical to that of another student. Second offence in same category.	Zero on the assignment, and an additional ten-mark deduction from the overall course grade.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	3	Submission of assignment question was similar to another student.	Zero on the assignment, and an additional eight-mark deduction from the overall course grade.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	3	Submission of assignment questions solutions similar to that of another student.	Zero on the assignment, an additional five-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	3	Submission of assignment was similar to that of another student.	Zero on the assignment, an additional five-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	3	Submission of assignments plagiarized by another student. Second offence. Student accepted responsibility.	Zero on the assignment, and an additional eight-mark deduction from the overall course grade.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	3	Submission of assignments were plagiarized by another student. Second offence. Student accepted responsibility.	Zero on the assignment, and an additional eight-mark deduction from the overall course grade.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	3	Submission of assignments were similar to that of another student.	Zero on the assignment, an additional five-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	3	Submission of assignments were similar to that of another student. Student accepted responsibility.	Zero on the assignment, an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	3	Submission of solutions to an assignment were similar to that of another student.	Zero on the assignment, an additional five-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	3	Submission used by another student in submission for the assignment. Student accepted responsibility.	A three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.

University Committee on Student Appeals

Summary of Discipline Cases - 2024-2025

Description	Degree	Year	Details	Penalty/Decision
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	3	Submissions for the assignments appeared to have been completed with the assistance of a GenAI tool. Second offence.	Zero on the assignments, an additional ten-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and three additional courses.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	3	The assignment code was similar to that of another student. Student accepted responsibility and was honest in response.	Zero on the assignment, an additional eight-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	3	The assignment code was similar to that of another student. Student accepted responsibility and was honest in response.	Zero on the assignment, an additional eight-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	3	The assignment code was similar to that of another student. Student accepted responsibility.	Zero on the assignment, an additional eight-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	3	The assignment questions similar to another student.	Zero on the assignment, an additional five-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	3	The assignment solution was used by another student in in another term.	A three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	3	The assignment solutions were similar to that of two toher students in class.	Zero on the assignment, an additional five-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	3	The assignment solutions were similar to two other students. Third offence. Student accepted responsibility.	Zero on the assignment, an additional eight-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	3	The assignment submission were similar to another students submission. Second offence with the same course. Student accepted responsibility.	Zero on the assignment, an additional eight-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	3	Two students submitted a report that had identical graphs at the end of the reports.	15 mark deduction on graph portion of the assignment, and disciplinary probation.

University Committee on Student Appeals

Summary of Discipline Cases - 2024-2025

Description	Degree	Year	Details	Penalty/Decision
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	3	Unauthorized collaboration on an assignment.	Zero on each relevant part of the assignment, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	3	Unauthorized collaboration on an assignment.	Zero on the assignment, an additional 5% reduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	3	Unauthorized collaboration on an assignment.	Zero on the assignment, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	3	Unauthorized collaboration on an assignment.	Zero on the assignment, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	3	Unauthorized collaboration on an assignment.	Zero on the assignment, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	3	Unauthorized collaboration on an assignment.	Zero on the module (worth 7.5% of the course grade), an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	3	Unauthorized collaboration on an assignment.	Zero on the module (worth 7.5% of the course grade), an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	3	Unauthorized collaboration on an assignment.	Zero on the relevant component of the assignment (worth 10% of the course grade), and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	3	Unauthorized collaboration on an assignment. First offence. Student accepted responsibility.	Zero on the assignment (worth 10% of course grade), and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	3	Unauthorized collaboration on an assignment. First offence. Student accepted responsibility.	Zero on the assignment (worth 10% of course grade), and disciplinary probation.

University Committee on Student Appeals

Summary of Discipline Cases - 2024-2025

Description	Degree	Year	Details	Penalty/Decision
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	3	Unauthorized collaboration on an assignment. Second offence.	Zero on the assignment, an additional ten-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	3	Unauthorized collaboration with another student for submission of assignment. Second offence. Student accepted responsibility.	Zero on the assignment, an additional eight-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	3	Unauthorized collaboration.	Reprimand.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	4	33% of an assignment submission overlapped with another student's assignment from a previous term.	50% reduction for the assignment grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	4	An assignment showed strong similarities to those of two other students; it's believed that student had either copied from one another or from a common source.	25% reduction for the assignment grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	4	Assignment solution was similar to two other students who took the course. Second offence.	Zero on the assignment, an additional eight-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	4	Excessive collaboration, both students had almost identical graphs.	50% reduction for the final lab grade.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	4	Excessive collaboration, both students had almost identical graphs.	50% reduction for the final lab grade.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	4	Solution to assignment question were similar to that of another student. Student accepted responsibility.	Zero on the assignment, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	4	Student submitted nearly identical assignment code as three other students.	Zero on the assignment question, and disciplinary probation

University Committee on Student Appeals

Summary of Discipline Cases - 2024-2025

Description	Degree	Year	Details	Penalty/Decision
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	4	Student submitted nearly identical assignment code as three other students.	Zero on the assignment question, and disciplinary probation
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	4	Submission of assignment similar to that of another student.	Zero on the assignments, an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	4	Unauthorized collaboration on the assignment, with another student. Student accepted responsibility.	Zero on the assignment, an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	4	Unauthorized collaboration on the assignment, with another student. Student accepted responsibility.	Zero on the assignment, an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	4	Unauthorized collaboration with another student on quiz.	Reprimand, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	5	The assignment code was used by another student in another term.	Reprimand, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	No Level	Assignment was similar to that of another student,	Zero on the assignment, an additional five-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation. Withdrawal to stand.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	No Level	Solution to assignment similar to another student.	Zero on the assignment, an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	No Level	Student googled answers and worked with lab partner. Student was new to Canada.	Zero on the experiment (worth 5.8% of the course grade), an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	No Level	Student submitted nearly identical assignment code as three other students.	Zero on the assignment question, and disciplinary probation

University Committee on Student Appeals

Summary of Discipline Cases - 2024-2025

Description	Degree	Year	Details	Penalty/Decision
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	No Level	Submission for the assignment similar to that of another student.	Zero on the experiment (worth 5.8% of the course grade), an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	No Level	Submission of assignment questions similar to that of another student.	Zero on the assignment, an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	No Level	Submission of solutions for the assignment similar to another student. Student accepted responsibility.	Zero on the assignment, an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation. Withdrawal to stand.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	No Level	Submission of solutions for the assignment were similar to another student. Student accepted responsibility.	Zero on the assignment questions, an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration	Undergraduate	No Level	Submission of the assignment similar to another student. Second offence. Student was honest in response.	Zero on the assignment question, and an additional five-mark deduction from the overall course grade.
Unauthorized resubmission of work	Undergraduate	1	Student resubmitted work from previous years.	Zero on the first report that was resubmitted, all subsequent laboratory reports graded on their own merit, and an additional one-term suspension.
Unauthorized resubmission of work	Undergraduate	1	Student resubmitted work from previous years.	Zero on the first report that was resubmitted, all subsequent laboratory reports graded on their own merit, and an additional one-term suspension.
Unauthorized resubmission of work	Undergraduate	1	Student resubmitted work from previous years.	Zero on the first report that was resubmitted, all subsequent laboratory reports graded on their own merit, and an additional one-term suspension.
Unauthorized resubmission of work	Undergraduate	1	Student resubmitted work from previous years.	Zero on the first report that was resubmitted, all subsequent laboratory reports graded on their own merit, and an additional one-term suspension.
Unauthorized resubmission of work	Undergraduate	1	Student resubmitted work from previous years.	Zero on the first report that was resubmitted, all subsequent laboratory reports graded on their own merit, and an additional one-term suspension.

University Committee on Student Appeals

Summary of Discipline Cases - 2024-2025

Page 129 of 135

Description	Degree	Year	Details	Penalty/Decision
Unauthorized resubmission of work	Undergraduate	1	Student submitted past-graded work. Student accepted responsibility.	50% reduction of the lab grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized resubmission of work	Undergraduate	2	Final report plagiarized from submission of a project from the previous term. Student was honest in response.	Zero on the assignment, an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized resubmission of work	Undergraduate	2	Student resubmitted work from previous years.	Zero on the first report that was resubmitted, and all subsequent laboratory reports graded on their own merit.
Unauthorized resubmission of work	Undergraduate	2	Student resubmitted work. Student accepted responsibility.	Zero on the assignment (worth 5% of the course grade), an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.
Unauthorized resubmission of work	Undergraduate	2	Student submitted a lab report where they have directly copied and pasted a significant portion of their contribution from their submission from the year earlier.	Zero on the report, and disciplinary probation
Violation of exam regulations	Undergraduate	1	It was observed that student continuing to flip through the pages of exam and actively make marks in it with pencil.	Disciplinary probation.
Violation of exam regulations	Undergraduate	1	Minor violation of exam regulations.	Reprimand, and disciplinary probation.
Violation of exam regulations	Undergraduate	1	Student continued writing for six minutes after the end of the exam period was announced.	10% reduction of the midterm exam grade, and disciplinary probation.
Violation of exam regulations	Undergraduate	1	Student found to have practice solution written on their hand during midterm.	Additional degree requirements of three courses (in lieu of a suspension). Withdrawal to stand.
Violation of exam regulations	Undergraduate	2	Provided solution to the wrong question on final exam indicating that student had obtained a copy of the exam in advance. Second offence.	Zero for final exam, an additional five-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and a set of three courses required to be taken as additional degree requirements (in lieu of suspension).

University Committee on Student Appeals

Summary of Discipline Cases - 2024-2025

Description	Degree	Year	Details	Penalty/Decision
Violation of exam regulations	Undergraduate	2	Student was witnessed using smartphone during the two final exams. Second offence.	Zero for final exam deduction of 10 marks from the course grade.
Violation of exam regulations	Undergraduate	2	Student witnessed with course notes during exam while writing in AccessAbility. Opportunistic Offence.	Disciplinary probation. Withdrawal to stand.
Violation of exam regulations	Undergraduate	2	Two proctors witnessed unusual behaviour from student during the final exam.	25% reduction of the final exam grade (worth 48% of the course grade), and disciplinary probation.
Violation of exam regulations	Undergraduate	2	Violation of exam regulations in a test setting.	Reprimand, and disciplinary probation.
Violation of exam regulations	Undergraduate	2	Violation of exam regulations in an exam situation that resulted in opportunistic cheating. First offence.	Zero on the exam (worth 30% of the course grade), and disciplinary probation.
Violation of exam regulations	Undergraduate	2	Violation of midterm exam and quiz rules by accessing questions prior to beginning the assessment timer. Assessments were made available in advance through instructor error.	Disciplinary probation.
Violation of exam regulations	Undergraduate	2	Violation of midterm exam and quiz rules by accessing questions prior to beginning the assessment timer. Assessments were made available in advance through instructor error.	The rule stating "You must pass the in-person final exam to pass the course" will apply, and disciplinary probation.
Violation of exam regulations	Undergraduate	2	Violation of midterm exam and quiz rules by accessing questions prior to beginning the assessment timer. Assessments were made available in advance through instructor error.	The rule stating "You must pass the in-person final exam to pass the course" will apply, and disciplinary probation.
Violation of exam regulations	Undergraduate	2	Violation of midterm exam and quiz rules by accessing questions prior to beginning the assessment timer. Assessments were made available in advance through instructor error.	The rule stating "You must pass the in-person final exam to pass the course" will apply, and disciplinary probation.
Violation of exam regulations	Undergraduate	2	Violation of midterm exam and quiz rules by accessing questions prior to beginning the assessment timer. Assessments were made available in advance through instructor error.	The rule stating "You must pass the in-person final exam to pass the course" will apply, and disciplinary probation.

University Committee on Student Appeals

Summary of Discipline Cases - 2024-2025

Description	Degree	Year	Details	Penalty/Decision
Violation of exam regulations	Undergraduate	2	Violation of midterm exam and quiz rules by accessing questions prior to beginning the assessment timer. Assessments were made available in advance through instructor error.	The rule stating "You must pass the in-person final exam to pass the course" will apply, and disciplinary probation.
Violation of exam regulations	Undergraduate	2	Violation of midterm exam and quiz rules by accessing questions prior to beginning the assessment timer. Assessments were made available in advance through instructor error.	The rule stating "You must pass the in-person final exam to pass the course" will apply, and disciplinary probation.
Violation of exam regulations	Undergraduate	3	Continued to write after the end of the exam period was announced. Second offence.	Zero on the question that was being written, and an additional three-mark deduction from the overall course grade.
Violation of exam regulations	Undergraduate	3	Discovered with smartphone during final exam.	Reprimand.
Violation of exam regulations	Undergraduate	3	Opportunistic violation of exam regulations on a term test. First offence.	Zero on the test (worth 30% of the course grade), and disciplinary probation.
Violation of exam regulations	Undergraduate	3	Violation of exam regulations. First offence.	25% reduction of the midterm grade (worth 25% of the course grade), and disciplinary probation.
Violation of exam regulations	Undergraduate	3	Violation of exam regulations. First offence.	Reprimand, and disciplinary probation.
Violation of exam regulations	Undergraduate	3	Violation of midterm exam and quiz rules by accessing questions prior to beginning the assessment timer. Assessments were made available in advance through instructor error.	The rule stating "You must pass the in-person final exam to pass the course" will apply, and disciplinary probation.
Violation of exam regulations	Undergraduate	3	Violation of midterm exam and quiz rules by accessing questions prior to beginning the assessment timer. Assessments were made available in advance through instructor error.	The rule stating "You must pass the in-person final exam to pass the course" will apply, and disciplinary probation.
Violation of exam regulations	Undergraduate	3	Violation of midterm exam and quiz rules by accessing questions prior to beginning the assessment timer. Assessments were made available in advance through instructor error.	The rule stating "You must pass the in-person final exam to pass the course" will apply, and disciplinary probation.

University Committee on Student Appeals

Summary of Discipline Cases - 2024-2025

Description	Degree	Year	Details	Penalty/Decision
Violation of exam regulations	Undergraduate	3	Violation of midterm exam and quiz rules by accessing questions prior to beginning the assessment timer. Assessments were made available in advance through instructor error.	The rule stating "You must pass the in-person final exam to pass the course" will apply, and disciplinary probation.
Violation of exam regulations	Undergraduate	3	Violation of midterm exam and quiz rules by accessing questions prior to beginning the assessment timer. Assessments were made available in advance through instructor error.	The rule stating "You must pass the in-person final exam to pass the course" will apply, and disciplinary probation.
Violation of exam regulations	Undergraduate	3	Violation of midterm exam and quiz rules by accessing questions prior to beginning the assessment timer. Assessments were made available in advance through instructor error.	The rule stating "You must pass the in-person final exam to pass the course" will apply, and disciplinary probation.
Violation of exam regulations	Undergraduate	3	Violation of midterm exam and quiz rules by accessing questions prior to beginning the assessment timer. Assessments were made available in advance through instructor error.	The rule stating "You must pass the in-person final exam to pass the course" will apply, and disciplinary probation.
Violation of exam regulations	Undergraduate	3	Violation of midterm exam and quiz rules by accessing questions prior to beginning the assessment timer. Assessments were made available in advance through instructor error.	The rule stating "You must pass the in-person final exam to pass the course" will apply, and disciplinary probation.
Violation of exam regulations	Undergraduate	3	Violation of midterm exam and quiz rules by accessing questions prior to beginning the assessment timer. Assessments were made available in advance through instructor error.	The rule stating "You must pass the in-person final exam to pass the course" will apply, and disciplinary probation.
Violation of exam regulations	Undergraduate	3	Violation of midterm exam and quiz rules by accessing questions prior to beginning the assessment timer. Assessments were made available in advance through instructor error.	The rule stating "You must pass the in-person final exam to pass the course" will apply, and disciplinary probation.
Violation of exam regulations	Undergraduate	3	Violation of midterm exam and quiz rules by accessing questions prior to beginning the assessment timer. Assessments were made available in advance through instructor error.	The rule stating "You must pass the in-person final exam to pass the course" will apply, and disciplinary probation.
Violation of exam regulations	Undergraduate	3	Violation of midterm exam and quiz rules by accessing questions prior to beginning the assessment timer. Assessments were made available in advance through instructor error.	The rule stating "You must pass the in-person final exam to pass the course" will apply, and disciplinary probation.
Violation of exam regulations	Undergraduate	3	Violation of midterm exam and quiz rules by accessing questions prior to beginning the assessment timer. Assessments were made available in advance through instructor error.	The rule stating "You must pass the in-person final exam to pass the course" will apply, and disciplinary probation.

University Committee on Student Appeals

Summary of Discipline Cases - 2024-2025

Description	Degree	Year	Details	Penalty/Decision
Violation of exam regulations	Undergraduate	3	Violation of midterm exam and quiz rules by accessing questions prior to beginning the assessment timer. Assessments were made available in advance through instructor error.	The rule stating "You must pass the in-person final exam to pass the course" will apply, and disciplinary probation.
Violation of exam regulations	Undergraduate	3	Violation of midterm exam and quiz rules by accessing questions prior to beginning the assessment timer. Assessments were made available in advance through instructor error.	The rule stating "You must pass the in-person final exam to pass the course" will apply, and disciplinary probation.
Violation of exam regulations	Undergraduate	3	Violation of midterm exam and quiz rules by accessing questions prior to beginning the assessment timer. Assessments were made available in advance through instructor error.	The rule stating "You must pass the in-person final exam to pass the course" will apply, and disciplinary probation.
Violation of exam regulations	Undergraduate	3	Violation of midterm exam and quiz rules by accessing questions prior to beginning the assessment timer. Assessments were made available in advance through instructor error.	The rule stating "You must pass the in-person final exam to pass the course" will apply, and disciplinary probation.
Violation of exam regulations	Undergraduate	3	Violation of midterm exam and quiz rules by accessing questions prior to beginning the assessment timer. Assessments were made available in advance through instructor error.	The rule stating "You must pass the in-person final exam to pass the course" will apply, and disciplinary probation.
Violation of exam regulations	Undergraduate	3	Violation of midterm exam and quiz rules by accessing questions prior to beginning the assessment timer. Assessments were made available in advance through instructor error.	The rule stating "You must pass the in-person final exam to pass the course" will apply, and disciplinary probation.
Violation of exam regulations	Undergraduate	3	Violation of midterm exam and quiz rules by accessing questions prior to beginning the assessment timer. Assessments were made available in advance through instructor error.	The rule stating "You must pass the in-person final exam to pass the course" will apply, and disciplinary probation.
Violation of exam regulations	Undergraduate	3	Violation of midterm exam and quiz rules by accessing questions prior to beginning the assessment timer. Assessments were made available in advance through instructor error.	The rule stating "You must pass the in-person final exam to pass the course" will apply, and disciplinary probation.
Violation of exam regulations	Undergraduate	3	Violation of midterm exam and quiz rules by accessing questions prior to beginning the assessment timer. Assessments were made available in advance through instructor error. Second offence.	The rule stating "You must pass the in-person final exam to pass the course" will apply, and disciplinary probation.
Violation of exam regulations	Undergraduate	3	Violation of midterm exam and quiz rules by accessing questions prior to beginning the assessment timer. Assessments were made available in advance through instructor error. Second offence.	The rule stating "You must pass the in-person final exam to pass the course" will apply, and disciplinary probation.

University Committee on Student Appeals

Summary of Discipline Cases - 2024-2025

Description	Degree	Year	Details	Penalty/Decision
Violation of exam regulations	Undergraduate	3	Violation of midterm exam and quiz rules by accessing questions prior to beginning the assessment timer. Assessments were made available in advance through instructor error. Third offence.	The rule stating "You must pass the in-person final exam to pass the course" will apply, and disciplinary probation.
Violation of exam regulations	Undergraduate	3	Violation of midterm exam and quiz rules by accessing questions prior to beginning the assessment timer. Assessments were made available in advance through instructor error. Third offence.	The rule stating "You must pass the in-person final exam to pass the course" will apply, and disciplinary probation.
Violation of exam regulations	Undergraduate	4	Observed in test continuing writing after the end of the exam announced. Student apologized and accepted responsibility.	Zero for the relevant question, and disciplinary probation.
Violation of exam regulations	Undergraduate	4	Student continued to write after the announced end of the exam period.	A deduction of two marks (out of 30) from grade for the test, and disciplinary probation.
Violation of exam regulations	Undergraduate	4	Student found to be in possession of a cellphone during final exam.	Zero for the final exam, an additional five-mark deduction from the overall course grade, and a failing grade for course.
Violation of exam regulations	Undergraduate	4	Violation of exam regulations in a test setting. First offence. Student was cooperative.	Disciplinary probation.
Violation of exam regulations	Undergraduate	4	Violation of exam regulations. First offence.	Reprimand, and disciplinary probation.
Violation of exam regulations	Undergraduate	4	Violation of midterm exam and quiz rules by accessing questions prior to beginning the assessment timer. Assessments were made available in advance through instructor error.	Reprimand.
Violation of exam regulations	Undergraduate	4	Violation of midterm exam and quiz rules by accessing questions prior to beginning the assessment timer. Assessments were made available in advance through instructor error.	The rule stating "You must pass the in-person final exam to pass the course" will apply, and disciplinary probation.
Violation of exam regulations	Undergraduate	4	Violation of midterm exam and quiz rules by accessing questions prior to beginning the assessment timer. Assessments were made available in advance through instructor error.	The rule stating "You must pass the in-person final exam to pass the course" will apply, and disciplinary probation.

University Committee on Student Appeals

Summary of Discipline Cases - 2024-2025

Description	Degree	Year	Details	Penalty/Decision
Violation of exam regulations	Undergraduate	4	Violation of midterm exam and quiz rules by accessing questions prior to beginning the assessment timer. Assessments were made available in advance through instructor error.	The rule stating "You must pass the in-person final exam to pass the course" will apply, and disciplinary probation.
Violation of exam regulations	Undergraduate	4	Violation of midterm exam and quiz rules by accessing questions prior to beginning the assessment timer. Third offence.	Zero on quiz, and an additional five-mark deduction from the overall course grade
Violation of exam regulations	Undergraduate	5	Violation of midterm exam and quiz rules by accessing questions prior to beginning the assessment timer. Assessments were made available in advance through instructor error.	The rule stating "You must pass the in-person final exam to pass the course" will apply, and disciplinary probation.
Violation of exam regulations	Undergraduate	5	Violation of midterm exam and quiz rules by accessing questions prior to beginning the assessment timer. Assessments were made available in advance through instructor error.	The rule stating "You must pass the in-person final exam to pass the course" will apply, and disciplinary probation.
Violation of exam regulations	Undergraduate	No Level	Talking with another student during midterm, and had similarities in submissions.	10% reduction of the midterm grade.
Violation of exam regulations	Undergraduate	No Level	Talking with another student during midterm, and had similarities in submissions.	10% reduction of the midterm grade.
Violation of instructor copyright	Undergraduate	3	GitHub, Materials were accessible in a GitHub repository. Student immediately complied and removed the materials.	Reprimand.