



University of Waterloo

Committee on Student Appeals (UCSA)
Summary of Discipline Cases – 2017-2018

ACADEMIC

- Academic or admission fraud
- Altering or falsifying a relevant document
- Cheating
- Contravention of statute
- Impersonation
- Misrepresentation
- Other
- Obtaining confidential academic materials
- Plagiarism
- Theft of intellectual property
- Unauthorized aids or assistance
- Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration
- Unauthorized resubmission of work
- Violation of examination regulations

NON-ACADEMIC

- Contravention of a statute
- Disruptive, dangerous, aggressive or threatening behaviour
- Infringing unreasonably on the work of others
- Mischief
- Misuse of University resources
- Other
- Theft
- Unauthorized use of equipment
- Unethical behaviour

Description: Academic or admission fraud

Details: Student submitted a petition containing a fraudulent document.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** Not Specified

Penalty/Decision: 2 year suspension.

Description: Academic or admission fraud

Details: Student submitted a petition to the Faculty that contained fraudulent documents from two hospitals.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Expelled.

Description: Academic or admission fraud

Details: Student was brokering for assignment writers to sell to assignment to buyers.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: Expelled.

Description: Academic or admission fraud

Details: Student revealed that they had previously attended another University, but had not included the information in their application to Waterloo. Student advised that they were advised to omit the year at the other University by a hospital counsellor. Student suffered from anxiety and felt that the issues faced did not need to be disclosed. Student expressed considerable remorse for actions and demonstrated convincing evidence of a plan for academic success at Waterloo.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Denial of any transfer credit of any kind from other university; provision of the missing transcript to the Associate Dean; disciplinary probation.

Description: Altering or falsifying a relevant document

Details: Student submitted a forged letter of permission from the University of Waterloo to another university stating that the student had completed equivalent courses at Waterloo.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Student was expelled.

Description: Altering or falsifying a relevant document

Details: Student submitted course override forms with forged signatures. This was a first offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Suspension for two terms and disciplinary probation.

Description: Altering or falsifying a relevant document

Details: Student altered medical note to excuse missed quiz. Student was remorseful, admitted guilt and apologized. Quiz was not worth a great deal.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the quiz, 5 deduction overall, disciplinary probation. Although this was a second offence it was treated as a first offence because it was unrelated to the first offence.

Description: Altering, falsifying or withholding relevant document

Details: Student submitted a forged letter of support, using instructor's name, for a petition to be enrolled in a particular class.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: 20 hours community service required; letter of apology; disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: Student copied material from another student without their knowledge.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Both students will receive zero on the lab, an additional 2.5% deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: Student copied material from another student without their knowledge.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Both students will receive zero on the lab, an additional 2.5% deduction from the overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: Student made public posting with answer to one of the assignment questions.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** Not Specified

Penalty/Decision: 10 marks subtracted from grade.

Description: Cheating

Details: Student copied from test of another student. Other student was not aware that copying occurred.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the test (worth 20%), 5% deducted from final course grade, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: Student used cell phone to access course materials during an in-class test. Student then claimed their roommate was accessing the course materials using this student's account. This was proven to be untrue.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the test; a further 5 marks off the final grade in the course; disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: A number of students handed in an assignment which included responses that matched either verbatim or paraphrased very closely those found in the instructor's solutions manual. This was a first offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment; a further 5 marks off the final grade in the course; disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: A number of students handed in an assignment which included responses that matched either verbatim or paraphrased very closely those found in the instructor's solutions manual. This was a first offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment; a further 5 marks off the final grade in the course; disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: A number of students handed in an assignment which included responses that matched either verbatim or paraphrased very closely those found in the instructor's solutions manual. This was a first offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment; a further 5 marks off the final grade in the course; disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: A number of students handed in an assignment which included responses that matched either verbatim or paraphrased very closely those found in the instructor's solutions manual. This was a first offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment; a further 5 marks off the final grade in the course; disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: The student was found to be in possession of an unauthorized "cheat sheet" during a midterm exam.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Lowered grade on exam, grade for the course shall be no greater than 50, suspended for one term, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: The student brought unauthorized aids into the midterm examination.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: The student will receive a zero on the midterm (worth 30% of the final grade) and will be placed on disciplinary probation. In addition, the student is required to successfully complete an ethics course.

Description: Cheating

Details: Large scale cheating involving many students. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the quiz; a further 5 marks off the final grade in the course; disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: Large scale cheating involving many students. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the two quizzes; a further 5 marks off the final grade in the course; disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: Large scale cheating involving many students. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the two quizzes; a further 5 marks off the final grade in the course; disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: Student wrote formulas on their hand and utilized them in a midterm.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Grade for the midterm exam shall be zero, suspended for a term, and placed on disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: Student consulted a cell phone during the final exam. They did not dispute the allegation, and accepted responsibility.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the exam; a further 5 marks off the final grade in the course; disciplinary probation. This was a first offence; standard penalty.

Description: Cheating

Details: Student submitted a lab report which contained a Turnitin 46% similarity score to the report of another student in the class. The report was worth 17% of the final course grade. Student said they worked side-by-side and shared information and ideas, but were adamant that they never shared electronic versions of the files. Students were remorseful for lack of judgement in working together and fully recognized their actions should be considered excessive collaboration.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the Introduction and Discussion sections of report (8% of the final course grade); 5% reduction in final course grade; disciplinary probation. The conventional penalty was mitigated to zero on just the Introduction and Discussion sections for the following reasons: 1) the only shared text was in these sections of the report; and 2) students took full responsibility for errors.

Description: Cheating

Details: Student submitted a lab report which contained a Turnitin 46% similarity score to the report of another student in the class. The report was worth 17% of the final course grade. Student said they worked side-by-side and shared information and ideas, but were adamant that they never shared electronic versions of the files. Students were remorseful for lack of judgement in working together and fully recognized their actions should be considered excessive collaboration.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the Introduction and Discussion sections of report (8% of the final course grade); 5% reduction in final course grade; disciplinary probation. The conventional penalty was mitigated to zero on just the Introduction and Discussion sections for the following reasons: 1) the only shared text was in these sections of the report; and 2) students took full responsibility for errors.

Description: Cheating

Details: The student looked at another students paper and copied the answers on a quiz.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the quiz and disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: This is a case regarding cheating on the final course exam.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: Zero on final examination, a one term suspension, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: This is a case regarding large scale cheating involving many students

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the quiz, a further 5 marks off the final grade in the course, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: Student accessed cell phone during a class test. This was a first offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the test and disciplinary probation. 5% reduction in overall course grade was waived since there is evidence of significant on-going anxiety issues as a factor in the misconduct.

Description: Cheating

Details: Student submitted a case study which was very similar to one that the TA had written and submitted for a previous offering of the same course.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the assignment; a further 5 marks off the final grade in the course; disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: Large scale cheating involving many students. This was a first offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the quiz; a further 5 marks off the final grade in the course; disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: Large scale cheating involving many students. This was a first offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the quiz; a further 5 marks off the final grade in the course; disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: Large scale cheating involving many students. This was a first offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the quiz; a further 5 marks off the final grade in the course; disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: Large scale cheating involving many students. This was a first offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the quiz; a further 5 marks off the final grade in the course; disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: Large scale cheating involving many students. This was a first offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the quiz; a further 5 marks off the final grade in the course; disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: Large scale cheating involving many students. This was a first offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the quiz; a further 5 marks off the final grade in the course; disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: This is a case regarding cheating on an assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Zero on two questions, 5% off total course grade, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: Student used a phone during a midterm to access answers. This was a first offence. Evidence and circumstances indicate that cheating was not premediated.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the test; a further 5 marks off the final grade in the course; disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: During the midterm, one student was caught accessing LEARN to access 5 documents.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: Zero on midterm, 5% deduction in overall course grade and disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: These students are all accused of accessing prior solutions to complete an assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the assignment, and disciplinary probation for all.

Description: Cheating

Details: These students are all accused of accessing prior solutions to complete an assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the assignment, and disciplinary probation for all.

Description: Cheating

Details: These students are all accused of accessing prior solutions to complete an assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the assignment, and disciplinary probation for all.

Description: Cheating

Details: In a routine review of student submissions for an assignment, it became apparent that the code submitted by this student and a student from a past term shared a number of very similar features.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the assignment.

Description: Cheating

Details: In a routine review of student submissions for an assignment, it became apparent that the code submitted by this student and a student from a past term shared a number of very similar features. MOSS results show that there are 271 lines matched between their code with 47% similarity.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: Zero on assignment and disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: In a routine review of student submissions for an assignment, it became apparent that the code submitted by two students shared a number of very similar features. MOSS results show that there are 130 lines matched between their code.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the assignment and disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: In a routine review of student submissions for an assignment, it became apparent that the code submitted by two students shared a number of very similar features. MOSS results show that there are 130 lines matched between their code.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the assignment and disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: In a routine review of student submissions for an assignment, it became apparent that the code submitted by this student and a student from a past term shared a number of very similar features. MOSS results show that there are 258 lines matched between their code.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: Zero on assignment and disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: In a routine review of student submissions for an assignment, it became apparent that the code submitted by this student and a student from past term shared a number of very similar features. MOSS results show that there are 189 lines matched between their code.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** Not Specified

Penalty/Decision: Zero on assignment and disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: Two students wrote formula(s) on their cover page, despite the warning on the very same page.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Zero on parts of exam related to formula, 5% deduction from exam grade, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: Two students wrote formula(s) on their cover page, despite the warning on the very same page.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: Zero on parts of exam related to formula, 5% deduction from exam grade, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: Student appeared to have writing on their arms, which may have been related to the exam they were writing.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: There was insufficient evidence to impose the standard penalty. Student was made to write a letter of reprimand, and was placed on disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: The student collaborated with another student on a quiz where independent work was required.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: The student will receive zero on the quiz worth 25% of the final grade. Disciplinary probation has been added.

Description: Cheating

Details: Student was caught with cell phone under the desk, with course material displayed on it. The TAs removed the student's exam, and gave the student a new exam. There did not appear to be premeditation.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Grade of zero on the examination, 5% off total course grade and disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: Student was observed looking at the exam of a neighbouring student during the midterm.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: Zero on midterm, 5% off total course grade and disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: One student gave another student an assignment to copy. 5 of the 7 answers on the assignment were identical.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Both students get 0 on Assignment 5 and their lowest mark will not be dropped from final grade calculation. Student who provided assignment will receive a 1% reduction to final grade and will be placed on academic probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: One student gave another student an assignment to copy. 5 of the 7 answers on the assignment were identical.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Both students get 0 on Assignment 5 and their lowest mark will not be dropped from final grade calculation. Student who copied assignment will receive a 5% reduction to final grade and will be placed on academic probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: Student submitted a report that included significant sections from a previous report submitted for credit.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: Re-write of paper.

Description: Cheating

Details: Student was witnessed looking at the paper of a neighbouring student.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: Two students excessively collaborated on an assignment that required independent work.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the assignment, write paragraph about excessive collaboration, disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: Two students excessively collaborated on an assignment that required independent work. It was then discovered that this student excessively collaborated on a second assignment as well.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Zero on two assignments, written paragraph about excessive collaboration, 5% deduction off course grade, disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: Student failed to submit an online quiz claiming internet connectivity issues. When looking closer at the log, student recorded responses to all of the questions extremely quickly in 2 minutes (students are allotted 2 minutes per question). The instructor was unsure of how student responded to the questions so quickly.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the quiz and an additional 5 marks deducted from final course grade. Student will remain on disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: The students collaborated as a group when the assignment required only groups of two.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: The students will receive a grade of zero on the submission. Disciplinary probation is added for all.

Description: Cheating

Details: The students collaborated as a group when the assignment required only groups of two.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: The students will receive a grade of zero on the submission. Disciplinary probation is added for all.

Description: Cheating

Details: The students collaborated as a group when the assignment required only groups of two.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: The students will receive a grade of zero on the submission. Disciplinary probation is added for all.

Description: Cheating

Details: The students collaborated as a group when the assignment required only groups of two.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: The students will receive a grade of zero on the submission. Disciplinary probation is added for all.

Description: Cheating

Details: Data in report submitted appeared to be falsified. Student claimed error in judgement and regretted behaviour.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the course element (10% of course grade); 5% off the final course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: Student logged into LEARN during final exam. Student was remorseful and immediately admitted to wrongdoing.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the exam, failure in the course, disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: During the 10 minutes prior to the start of class, a quiz which was to be distributed was left unattended on the instructor's desk in the classroom. The student photographed the test and distributed it digitally to the other members of the class. When the matter was reported to the director of the program, after the quiz had been written, the decision was made to nullify it, and the grade weight was put over to the next quiz later in the term. The student did not dispute the allegation, stating that because class had not yet started, and because the student had witnessed similar actions in high school, the student did not appreciate how serious a breach of academic integrity had been committed. The student expressed great remorse for actions. This was a first offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the quiz involved; a further 5 marks off the final grade in the course; disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: Student used cell phone to access course materials during an in-class test. This was a first offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the test; a further 5 marks off the final grade in the course; disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: Student used answers from online resources. Student readily acknowledged their actions constituted cheating. This was a first offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment; disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: A number of students handed in an assignment which included responses that matched either verbatim or paraphrased very closely those found in the instructor's solutions manual. The assignment worksheets provided were part of an online bundled resource that accompanied the textbook for the course; solution sets for such things are readily available for purchase online from a number of sites, including CourseHero and fasttestbank.com. Students were permitted to work either singly or in groups of two. The degree of similarity of submitted work

to the solutions, as well as the unexpectedly sophisticated nature of some of the responses, which in some cases included the application of concepts which had not been taken up in class, made coincidence virtually impossible as an explanation. This was confirmed with instructor comments on individual cases, as well as comparison with randomly selected worksheet submissions with no evidence of unauthorized aids having been accessed. The student asserted that the assignment had been completed without accessing unauthorized aids. This was a first offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment; a further 5 marks off the final grade in the course; disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: A number of students handed in an assignment which included responses that matched either verbatim or paraphrased very closely those found in the instructor's solutions manual. This was this student's first offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment; a further 5 marks off the final grade in the course; disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: Student used answers found online and given to them by other students to complete assignment. This was a first offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment; a further 5 marks off the final grade in the course; disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: Student used answers found online and given to them by other students to complete assignment. This was a first offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment; a further 5 marks off the final grade in the course; disciplinary probation.

Description: Disruptive, dangerous, aggressive or threatening behaviour

Details: Student was aggressive and angry with staff in the office regarding co-op sequencing.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: Student was made to write a letter of apology for Associate Deans attention, which they will then share with the individuals involved.

Description: Disruptive, dangerous, aggressive or threatening behaviour

Details: Student sent multiple rude and demeaning emails to their lab coordinator and one of the teaching assistants. Student failed to attend meeting to discuss allegations. This is a third offence (second of this kind).

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 1-term suspension, and disciplinary probation. A typical penalty for this offence involves an apology, but students lack of engagement in the allegation process suggests they do not regret their behaviour or recognize it as problematic, thus an apology was not assessed because it would not be sincere.

Description: Disruptive, dangerous, aggressive or threatening behaviour

Details: The student was warned in an official letter to not contact another student under any circumstances. The student chose to ignore this warning and sent violent and inappropriate messages to the student and a friend of the student.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: The student is required to cease contact with the two students immediately and is suspended for one term (the student is banned from entering the University of Waterloo campus or any of its buildings during this period). The student is placed on disciplinary probation.

Description: Disruptive, dangerous, aggressive or threatening behaviour

Details: Student had inappropriate interactions with instructors and TAs (including disruptive behaviour, sending inappropriate emails).

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Student was suspended for two terms. Student was required to write letters of apology and will be required to sign behavioural contract upon return to UWaterloo. Student will remain on disciplinary probation.

Description: Disruptive, dangerous, aggressive or threatening behaviour

Details: Student was alleged to be verbally disruptive on numerous occasions and in a number of settings – including during lectures, group work, and office hours – to the degree that other students complained that their studies were being interfered with. Student disputed some of the assertions of the allegation relative to behaviour in class, but acknowledged the overall concerns raised and expressed willingness to participate in an effort to address them proactively. This was a first offence. Behaviour was not intentionally malicious.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: Imposition of a contract of acceptable behaviour, applicable not only to this class but also any other course undertaken at the University of Waterloo; disciplinary probation.

Description: Impersonation

Details: Student submitted a falsified reference letter. This was a first offence. The case is fairly egregious, and straddles misrepresentation and impersonation

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Suspension for 3 terms, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Impersonation

Details: Both students were working together in a tutorial when one became ill and had to leave. The other student wrote out the tutorial problem set for the ill student and submitted it in that student's absence. Attendance at the tutorial was required before the problem set could be submitted.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Both students will receive a grade of zero on the tutorial with a five mark course deduction once all marking schemes have been applied. Both students are placed on disciplinary probation.

Description: Impersonation

Details: Both students were working together in a tutorial when one became ill and had to leave. The other student wrote out the tutorial problem set for the ill student and submitted it in that student's absence. Attendance at the tutorial was required before the problem set could be submitted.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Both students will receive a grade of zero on the tutorial with a five mark course deduction once all marking schemes have been applied. Both students are placed on disciplinary probation.

Description: Impersonation

Details: This is a case regarding misuse of iclickers. Student was remorseful and had no prior offences.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Zero on participation grade in course and disciplinary probation.

Description: Impersonation

Details: This is a case regarding misuse of iclickers. Student was remorseful and had no prior offences.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Zero on participation grade in course and disciplinary probation.

Description: Impersonation

Details: Student enrolled in a course indicating they were working with an adjunct professor. A grade revision was submitted indicating grade was 95% for this course. Subsequent investigation found there was no such adjunct professor in the department and the link provided to an actual adjunct professor subsequently denied knowing anything about the student.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** Not Specified

Penalty/Decision: The student's grade was revised to show zero on the transcript. In addition, a three-term suspension was imposed. Disciplinary probation was added and the Registrar's office imposed a service indicator on students record.

Description: Impersonation

Details: Student was found guilty of impersonation.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the iClicker portion of the course (worth 10%), and disciplinary probation.

Description: Impersonation

Details: Student submitted paperwork for the practicum and internship which consisted of falsified information.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: Student was expelled.

Description: Infringing unreasonably on the work of others

Details: The student submitted code which contained an unflattering and rude comment directed toward the instructor.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the project and disciplinary probation.

Description: Misrepresentation

Details: Student is guilty of misrepresentation (changing the exam after the fact, then returning it to the instructor claiming it was marked incorrectly).

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the test (weight of 25%), failure in the course, one term suspension.

Description: Misrepresentation

Details: Student uploaded answers for assignment but did not hand in the required paper copy. Instead, student claimed to have lost the submission and asked to hand it in later. Another student attempted to hand in the paper copy, claiming to have found it on the floor. The course outline indicates that students must hand in their own submission. Course syllabus was clear that this was not permitted. Student claimed personal issues caused them to be unable to attend class. Student was very remorseful and sought professional help for personal issues.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** Not Specified

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the assignment; 5% reduction in final course grade; complete one ethics course before graduation, and disciplinary probation. Penalty mitigated as student was very distressed at the time and judgment was therefore impaired.

Description: Misrepresentation

Details: Student lied about taking a TA training session when applying for a teaching assistantship. The student recognized they submitted the application with untrue information but did this on the hope that could get the position. They did not see this as academic misconduct because they were not lying about a grade. The student was remorseful for their misjudgment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 30 hours of community service. Disciplinary probation is also added.

Description: Misrepresentation

Details: Student sent a misleading email to their instructor.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0% for the Professionalism and Attendance course component (10%). Student is to complete an ethics course (over and above degree requirements). Student is put on disciplinary probation.

Description: Misrepresentation

Details: This is a case involving misuse of the iClicker.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: Zero for iClicker portion of the course, 5% deduction overall, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Misrepresentation

Details: This is a case involving misuse of the iClicker.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: Zero for iClicker portion of the course, 5% deduction overall, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Misrepresentation

Details: Student altered a medical note and manipulated course instructors.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Complete one ethics course before graduation. The course will not count towards degree requirements (in terms of credit count or averages); however, student must achieve a grade of at least 60 to meet this penalty requirement. Disciplinary probation is also added. Student received a mitigated penalty because that many grade penalties and a suspensions would be a large penalty.

Description: Misrepresentation

Details: Impersonation using a clicker (student was not in class, but their clicker was). This was a first offense.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: Zero for clicker portion of grade (15% weight), and deduction of 5 marks from final course grade.

Description: Misrepresentation

Details: Impersonation using a clicker (student was not in class, but their clicker was). This was a first offense. Student did not acknowledge guilt.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: Zero for clicker portion of grade (15% weight), and deduction of 5 marks from final course grade.

Description: Misrepresentation

Details: Impersonation using a clicker (student was not in class, but their clicker was). This was a first offense. Student did not acknowledge guilt.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: Zero for clicker portion of grade (15% weight), and deduction of 5 marks from final course grade.

Description: Misrepresentation

Details: The students misused their iclicker.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: Grade of zero for iclicker portion and disciplinary probation.

Description: Misrepresentation

Details: The students misused their iclicker.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: Grade of zero for iclicker portion and disciplinary probation.

Description: Misrepresentation

Details: The students misused their iclicker.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Grade of zero for iclicker portion and disciplinary probation.

Description: Misrepresentation

Details: The students misused their iclicker.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: Grade of zero for iclicker portion and disciplinary probation.

Description: Misrepresentation

Details: Student is guilty of misrepresentation on a work placement.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: Student and employer came to an agreement for the student to complete the work term. Therefore, it was decided, with CECA's input to put the student on disciplinary probation without applying the failed work term as per Policy 71 guidelines.

Description: Misrepresentation

Details: Student was found guilty of misrepresentation.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the iClicker component (worth 10%). Student to complete a philosophy course (over and above course requirements - 41 courses). Student remains on disciplinary probation.

Description: Misrepresentation

Details: In a group project, the student provided material for one part of a draft submission that was similar to that submitted by another group. Evidence suggested that the student sent the materials to the other student via text message. Student misrepresented what had occurred to the Associate Dean.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment in question; a further 5 marks off the final grade for each course; disciplinary probation for the remainder of the student's undergraduate career.

Description: Misrepresentation

Details: Student submitted altered documents to petitions committee. Second offence. Some mitigating circumstances including the fact that the student was seeking readmission to a program rather than to the institution itself, so penalty is mitigated and student will not be expelled.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: Suspension for 6 terms; verified documentary evidence of a successful course of psychotherapy treatment as a condition of readmission; disciplinary probation.

Description: Misrepresentation

Details: Misuse of iClicker.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: Grade of zero for iClicker portion of assignment, 5% off final grade, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Misrepresentation

Details: Misuse of iClicker.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: Grade of zero for iClicker portion of assignment, 5% off final grade, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Misrepresentation

Details: Instructor noted similar unorthodox approaches used in completion of assignment between group members. When questioned, student made a number of untruthful statements. Student expressed regret.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 5% reduction in the final course grade; and disciplinary probation.

Description: Misrepresentation

Details: Instructor noted similar unorthodox approaches used in completion of assignment between group members. When questioned, student was not truthful. This was a second offence. Student was remorseful.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 10% off of final course grade and disciplinary probation.

Description: Misrepresentation

Details: Instructor noted similar unorthodox approaches used in completion of assignment between group members. When questioned, student was not truthful. Student claimed to have lied due to stress. Student was remorseful.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 5% reduction in the final course grade; and disciplinary probation.

Description: Misrepresentation

Details: Misrepresentation and possible hacking. Student lied during interview and refused to return for subsequent meetings.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Student was removed from co-op program, one term suspension and disciplinary probation.

Description: Misrepresentation

Details: Case of misrepresentation. Student claimed to be having trouble adjusting to life in Canada.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: 0 on two tests, 1 term suspension for next eligible academic term.

Description: Misrepresentation

Details: During a job search, the student was matched with an employer outside of Canada. Before the match process, it became apparent that the student would not be able to honour a job commitment outside the area that the student's family lived in, due to family commitment that developed during the term. The student did not inform CECA of this situation, and instead contacted the potential employer directly after the

match, which is against the CECA terms and conditions. The student asked the employer to switch the position to a different term, and when informed that it was not possible, the student requested that they cancel the job so that the student would avoid any CECA penalty for a renege (since the student could not work away from home town).

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 5

Penalty/Decision: The student cannot enroll in full-time studies in the winter term. The student cannot obtain work term credit for the winter term. If the student does work for no credit, the employer will not be eligible for any tax credits. The student will not have an NCR applied (will receive UO (Unemployed: On Own, Self Imposed) rather than UU (Unemployed: On Own, University Imposed) from CECA), the student will have a letter of reprimand on file and the student will write letters of apologies to those affected by this behaviour.

Description: Misuse of University Resources

Details: Student gave assignment to friend who posted materials on Course Hero. Student was very apologetic.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: Disciplinary probation.

Description: Other

Details: A student used another student's essay without referencing. This student was found guilty of facilitating plagiarism.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: Zero on essay and will lose credit for the course. Disciplinary probation is added.

Description: Other

Details: The student had two simultaneous first offences. The first offence involved copying from a previously submitted assignment and submitting it as the student's own work. The second offence involved efforts to add student's name to another group's project without doing any work.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: The student will receive a grade of zero on the first assignment with an additional five mark course deduction and is required to successfully complete an ethics course required over and above course requirements (to be designated as DRNA). Disciplinary probation is added.

Description: Other

Details: Student had formulas written on cover page of exam, against specific instructions not to do so.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Disciplinary probation.

Description: Other

Details: The student wished to change labs due to travel planes, but without much advance warning. The instructor did not give permission but the student attended the lab anyway. The syllabus was clear regarding the procedure if a change in lab was necessary.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: The student was placed on disciplinary probation and received a 3% deduction from the course once all grading schemes were applied.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Students copied from a previous years final group-work assignment (project worth 12% of the final grade).

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the assignment, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Students copied from a previous years final group-work assignment (project worth 12% of the final grade).

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the assignment, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Students copied from a previous years final group-work assignment (project worth 12% of the final grade).

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the assignment, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Students copied from a previous years final group-work assignment (project worth 12% of the final grade).

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the assignment, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Students copied from a previous years final group-work assignment (project worth 12% of the final grade).

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the assignment, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Students copied from a previous years final group-work assignment (project worth 12% of the final grade).

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the assignment, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Students had identical solutions for an assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Zero on assignment and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Students had identical solutions for an assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Zero on assignment and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Students had identical solutions for an assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Zero on assignment and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Students had identical solutions for an assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Zero on assignment and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Students had identical solutions for an assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Zero on assignment and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Students had identical solutions for an assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Zero on assignment and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Students had identical solutions for an assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Zero on assignment and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Students had identical solutions for an assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: Zero on assignment and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: This case regarding plagiarism on a work report.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 25% off earned grade, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: In a routine review of student submissions, it became apparent that the code submitted by two students shared a number of very similar features. MOSS results show that there are 256 lines matched between their code.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: Zero on assignment, 5% deduction in overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: This student is guilty of plagiarism.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0% on assignment and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Based on the evidence provided by the instructor (assignment and turnitin report) the student has been found guilty of plagiarism.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the assignment (20%) and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student's essay contained uncited material.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: The student will receive a 50% deduction from the earned grade on the essay, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student is guilty of plagiarism.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 0% on assignment (worth 20%) and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student is guilty of plagiarism.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Rewrite and resubmit the paper. There were mitigation circumstances that were taken into consideration. Student is already on disciplinary probation from two earlier academic offenses.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: This is a case regarding plagiarism on an assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 15% deduction on assignment and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Students were accused of plagiarism on final project.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 25% deduction off earned grade on final project.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Students were accused of plagiarism on final project.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: 25% deduction off earned grade on final project.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Students were accused of plagiarism on final project.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 25% deduction off earned grade on final project.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Students were accused of plagiarism.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: 50% off earned grade on final project.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Students were accused of plagiarism.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: 25% deduction off earned grade on final project.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Students were accused of plagiarism.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 25% deduction off earned grade on final project.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Students were accused of plagiarism.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 25% deduction off earned grade on final project.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Students were accused of plagiarism.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 25% deduction off earned grade on final project.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Students were accused of plagiarism.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 5

Penalty/Decision: 25% deduction off earned grade on final project.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Students were accused of plagiarism.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 25% deduction off earned grade on final project.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Students were accused of plagiarism.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: 25% deduction off earned grade on final project.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Students were accused of plagiarism.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: 25% deduction off earned grade on final project.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Students were accused of plagiarism.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: 25% deduction off earned grade on final project.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Students were accused of plagiarism.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 25% deduction off earned grade on final project.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Students were accused of plagiarism.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: 50% off earned grade on final project.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Students were accused of plagiarism.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: 25% deduction off earned grade on final project.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Students were accused of plagiarism.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: 25% deduction off earned grade on final project.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Students were accused of plagiarism.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 50% off earned grade on final project.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Students were accused of plagiarism.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 25% deduction off earned grade on final project.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Students were accused of plagiarism.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: 50% off earned grade on final project.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Students were accused of plagiarism.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: 50% off earned grade on final project.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Students were accused of plagiarism.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 50% off earned grade on final project.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Students were accused of plagiarism.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: 25% deduction off earned grade on final project.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Students were accused of plagiarism.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 25% deduction off earned grade on final project.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Students were accused of plagiarism.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 25% deduction off earned grade on final project.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student plagiarized assignment from an assignment found online.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: Zero on assignment and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was guilty of plagiarism (not using quotation marks).

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: 40% reduction of earned grade on assignment (worth 25%), and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted a report that had no in-text citations and lacked references.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0% on lab report, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted an assignment that was not properly referenced. Student did not respond to request to attend meeting to discuss allegations.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0% on assignment, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted an assignment that was not properly referenced.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0% on lab report; 5% deducted off final grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted an assignment that was not properly referenced.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 10 marks subtracted from assignment (out of 50); disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted a report that was not properly referenced (lacked in-text citations and proper referencing for discussion section).

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 0% on lab report discussion section, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: By the student's admission and based on the evidence, the student was found guilty of plagiarism on an assignment. It was determined that only a small amount of text was plagiarized falling within the guidelines for a 25% penalty.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 25% of earned grade (assignment worth 25%), and required to take an Academic Integrity Workshop.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted a report that was not properly referenced (lacked in-text citations in the discussion section).

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0% on discussion section, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted a report that was not properly referenced (lacked in-text citations and included text that was copied word-for-word from sources, primarily in Introduction section).

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0% on Introduction section, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted a paper that was not properly referenced (included text that was not properly paraphrased).

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 50% off paper, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted a paper that was not properly referenced (included text that was not properly paraphrased).

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 50% off paper, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted an assignment that was not properly referenced (lacked both in-text citations and a reference list).

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 0% assignment, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted an assignment that was not properly referenced (lacked appropriate citations).

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 0% assignment, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted an assignment that was not properly referenced (lacked in-text citations).

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: 0% on fact sheet, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted an assignment that was not properly referenced (lacked in-text citations and a proper reference list).

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** Not Specified

Penalty/Decision: 0% on fact sheet, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted an assignment that was not properly referenced (lacked in-text citations).

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: 0% on fact sheet, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted an assignment that was not properly referenced (lacked in-text citations).

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: 0% on fact sheet, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted an assignment that was similar to an online source (had a 94% similarity index).

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0% on assignment, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Based on evidence provided concerning lack of in-text citations it has been determined that this student is guilty of plagiarism (under 2 sentences).

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 25% deducted from earned grade (assignment worth 20%), and disciplinary probation. Academic Integrity Workshop was full for the term, removed this as an option.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student is guilty of submitting an assignment that lacked in-text citations. Verbatim text was found on over 25% of the assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the assignment (worth 20%), and disciplinary probation. Academic Integrity Workshop was full for the term, removed this as an option.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Based on the evidence provided supporting the allegation of missing in-text citations (under 2 sentences) the student is guilty of plagiarism.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 25% deducted from earned grade (weight 20%) and disciplinary probation. Academic Integrity Workshop was full for the term, removed this as an option.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted report that contained several passages that were copied word-for-word from cited and non-cited sources based on the Turnitin similarity analysis. Writing errors were seen to be an error in judgment rather than an intent to deceive.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: Re-write section of report, synthesizing the content in students own words and attributing information from other sources appropriately with in-text citations; include a reference list for the citations used. Provide a written explanation suitable for inclusion in a WatPD course, outlining how technical information (e.g., company regulations, government policies or specific guidelines should be included in co-op reports). Disciplinary Probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted a paper that included text copied word-for-word from outside sources.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 50% off assignment; Academic Integrity Workshop; and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted a paper that included text from outside sources that was not properly cited and paraphrased.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0% on assignment; mandatory Academic Integrity Workshop; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted a report that lacked proper referencing.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0% on report; 5% off final grade; mandatory Academic Integrity Workshop; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted two assignments that were plagiarized from a previous student's assignments.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 0% on both assignments; 5% off final grade; mandatory ethics course; two letters of apology requested for professor and other student; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: The student was found guilty of plagiarism under 2 sentences. Student did not understand what constitutes plagiarism.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 25% of earned grade deducted (weight 20%), Academic Integrity Workshop.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student plagiarized on work report for co-op.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: Re-write the report.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student plagiarized on work report for co-op.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: Re-write the report.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Since the assignment contained facts, without citation the student was found guilty of plagiarism. The grade penalty assigned reflects the low severity of plagiarism in this case.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 25% deducted off of earned grade, and Academic Integrity Workshop.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Two students copied their solutions on an assignment from an old set of solutions. Both admitted guilt.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the assignment, 5% deduction overall, and placed on disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Two students copied their solutions on an assignment from an old set of solutions. Both admitted guilt.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the assignment, 5% deduction overall, and placed on disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: MOSS revealed similarities between the student's submitted assignment and that submitted by a student in a previous term.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: Zero on assignment, 5% deduction overall, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student posted an answer to a question which was essentially the same as the answer posted by the instructor the previous year, when the same assignment was used. Student was very remorseful for poor judgment and the disrespect it showed for the instructor.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the question; 5% reduction in final course grade; and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student posted an answer to a question which was essentially the same as the answer posted by the instructor the previous year when the same assignment was used. Student was remorseful for poor judgment and the disrespect it showed for the instructor.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: Zero on question; 5% reduction in final course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student posted an answer to a question which was essentially the same as the answer posted by the instructor the previous year when the same assignment was used. Student was apologetic.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: Zero on question; 5% reduction in final course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted an essay that was virtually entirely composed of secondary sources with minimal citation. Student readily accepted responsibility and expressed remorse. This was a first offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted two assignments without using correct citation practice. This was a first offence and the error appeared to be inadvertent. Plagiarized portion was less than 25% of papers.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on one assignment; 50% deduction on the second assignment; a further 5 marks off the final grade in the course; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Turnitin revealed a 33% similarity on assignment to another assignment with no citations. This appeared to be due to language barriers.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: Student given opportunity to re-write paper.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student committed plagiarism. This was a second offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: Zero on essay, 5% deduction overall, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Assignments submitted by two students share a number of similar features.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Zero on questions that were similar in assignments; an additional 5% deduction from the final grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted a midterm assignment which consisted of information that was not properly referenced.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: Letter of apology, 1 hour workshop, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted a paper that included sections of text that were copied directly from outside sources with no citation.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** Not Specified

Penalty/Decision: 0% on assignment and disciplinary probation. Grade readjusted to prevent course failure.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was guilty of submitting a work report that contained verbatim text that was not cited.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0% on work report which will result in a posted grade of 38%; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was guilty of submitting an assignment that contained verbatim uncited text.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: 0% on a portion of the assignment worth 8%, 5% from final grade, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student committed plagiarism.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the assignment; 5% deducted from final grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student committed plagiarism.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the assignment; 5% deducted from final grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student plagiarized one question on assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on question, 5% deducted from final grade, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted an assignment that contained several concepts that were copied from uncited websites.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 50% off earned grade on the assignment; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted a lab report which lacked in-text citations. The student was very remorseful for the error, particularly in overlooking the possibility of a late submission.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the lab report, and disciplinary probation. The additional 5% was not imposed for the following reasons: 1) student was dealing with serious personal stress (death of a family member) and 2) it was evident that the student did not intend to cheat or deceive the instructor.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted two lab reports that contained word-for-word text copied from a document posted on Course Hero by a previous student.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the first lab report (10% of course grade); 10% reduction in final course grade; disciplinary probation. The course element component of the penalty was mitigated to zero on just one report for the following reasons: 1) the evidence for this case was presented concurrently so there was no opportunity for the student to change their practice; and 2) student took full responsibility of their errors. The 5% reduction in final grade was increased to 10% as a recognition that there was additional copied text.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: In a routine review of student submissions for an assignment, it became apparent that the code submitted by two students shared a number of very similar features. MOSS results show that there are 113 lines matched between their code.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the assignment, 5% deduction overall, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: In a routine review of student submissions for an assignment, it became apparent that the code submitted by a student in the current term shared a number of very similar features to a student's work in a previous term. MOSS results show that there are 124 lines matched between their code.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the assignment, 5% deduction overall, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted a report that is 43% similar to a lab report submitted in a previous year and is available on Course Hero. Moreover, the data analyzed in the report was also from a previous years report and was not consistent with the other members of student's group. Student was very remorseful for their errors in judgement in preparing the report.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the course element (17% of course grade), and disciplinary probation. The conventional penalty includes an additional 5% off the final grade, this was not imposed because the value of the course element is substantial.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted a report that is 43% similar to a lab report submitted in a previous year and is available on Course Hero. Moreover, the data analyzed in the report was also from a previous years report and was not consistent with the other members of student's group. Student was very remorseful for their errors in judgement in preparing the report.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the course element (17% of course grade), and disciplinary probation. The conventional penalty includes an additional 5% off the final grade, this was not imposed because the value of the course element is substantial.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: A student lent their roommate their USB key which contained an essay they wrote in a previous term. The roommate then submitted this essay as theirs in the current term.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: 20 hours of unpaid community service in a challenging position for an organization of students choice. Disciplinary probation has also been added. Policy 71 does not specify a typical penalty for excessive collaboration outside course activities, thus community service

was assigned instead of imposing a grade penalty (since the student already completed the course). 25 hours of community service is generally assigned but this penalty has been reduced for the following reasons: 1) student recognized their responsibility in sharing their work with another student, whether intentionally or unintentionally, and 2) the student was supportive of their roommate in this incident.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted an assignment that contained text that was identical to a report submitted in a previous year by another student. The assignment was worth 10% of the course grade. Students was remorseful for error in judgement.

Degree: Undergraduate

Year: 3

Penalty/Decision: 50% deduction in course element (worth 5% of course grade); 5% reduction in final course grade; disciplinary probation. The course element part of the penalty was mitigated to 50% of earned grade because: 1) student did not copy the text directly from the other students report, apart from the reference list, 2) student is in first year, and 3) student took full responsibility.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted an assignment that contained copied text from cited sources. The Turnitin similarity analysis indicated 31% of document was similar to other sources. There were numerous passages from various cited sources that had been either copied or lightly paraphrased, and in-text citations and bibliography lacked essential information. Student was remorseful and embarrassed by errors.

Degree: Undergraduate

Year: 4

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the course element (15% of course grade), and disciplinary probation. Student did not receive 5% reduction in overall course grade because: 1) the two offences differ in nature; 2) the grade penalty is substantial; and 3) student took full responsibility for errors.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: The student did not provide appropriate citation and used word-for-word content from an online forum.

Degree: Undergraduate

Year: 2

Penalty/Decision: A grade of zero on the quiz (worth 10% of the final grade), and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: This was a case of plagiarism on a project.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 100% penalty/reduction on this assignment (worth 3% of the course grade), with no other deduction off the final grade; disciplinary probation. This resolution is dependent on the following conditions: The group will re-do the assignment, the new project must be solely their own work, and contain no academic integrity issues. If they do not redo the project, then they would get the 100% deduction on this element (3%) as well as an additional 2% penalty, for a total of 5% course deduction.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: This was a case of plagiarism on a project.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 100% penalty/reduction on this assignment (worth 3% of the course grade), with no other deduction off the final grade; disciplinary probation. This resolution is dependent on the following conditions: The group will re-do the assignment, the new project must be solely their own work, and contain no academic integrity issues. If they do not redo the project, then they would get the 100% deduction on this element (3%) as well as an additional 2% penalty, for a total of 5% course deduction.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: This was a case of plagiarism on a project.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: 100% penalty/reduction on this assignment (worth 3% of the course grade), with no other deduction off the final grade; disciplinary probation. This resolution is dependent on the following conditions: The group will re-do the assignment, the new project must be solely their own work, and contain no academic integrity issues. If they do not redo the project, then they would get the 100% deduction on this element (3%) as well as an additional 2% penalty, for a total of 5% course deduction.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted a group project that included sections of text that were copied directly from outside sources with no citation.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: Zero on assignment and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted a group project that included sections of text that were copied directly from outside sources with no citation.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: 25% deduction on assignment.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted a group project that included sections of text that were copied directly from outside sources with no citation.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: 25% deduction on assignment.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted a group project that included sections of text that were copied directly from outside sources with no citation.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: 25% deduction on assignment.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted a lab that lacked in-text citations for some statements and provided no reference list. The report is worth 7% of the course grade.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the discussion (3.5% of final grade), and disciplinary probation. 5% off the final grade was not imposed for the following reasons: 1) student was in first year 2) student is an international student with no previous experience writing lab reports

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted a lab report that lacked in-text citations. This report was worth 6% of the final course grade. Student declined to meet with Associate Dean to discuss the case.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the lab report (6% of the final course grade), and disciplinary probation. The conventional penalty was not imposed because student is in first year at the University of Waterloo.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted a lab report containing numerous passages copied word-for-word from sources other than the cited source. The report is worth 7% of the course grade.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the report (7% of the final course grade), and disciplinary probation. The conventional penalty includes an additional 5% off the final grade, which was not imposed for the following reasons: 1) student is in first year at the University of Waterloo, and 2) it was evident that student did not intend to cheat or deceive instructor.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted a lab report which lacked a reference list and contained no in-text citations or mention of literature sources in the discussion. The report is worth 7% of the course grade.

Degree: Undergraduate

Year: 1

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the discussion of the report (3.5% of course grade), and disciplinary probation. The conventional penalty was not imposed for the following reasons: 1) student is in first term at the University of Waterloo, and 2) errors were limited to the discussion section.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted a lab report containing some statements that lacked in-text citations. The report is worth 7% of the course grade. Student was very interested to learn about on campus and online resources to help improve and took full responsibility for errors.

Degree: Undergraduate

Year: 1

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the discussion (3.5% of final grade), and disciplinary probation. The conventional penalty was not imposed for the following reasons: 1) Student is in first year at the University of Waterloo, 2) Student had no previous experience writing lab reports, and 3) errors were primarily limited to the discussion section.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student's discussion section of their lab report lacked in-text citations and the reference list contained non-credible sources. The report is worth 7% of the course grade. Student was interested to learn about on campus and online resources to help improve and took full responsibility for errors.

Degree: Undergraduate

Year: 1

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the discussion (3.5% of final grade), and disciplinary probation. The conventional penalty for plagiarism is zero on the course element and an additional 5% off the final grade. This was not imposed for the following reasons: 1) student is in first year at the University of Waterloo, 2) Student had no previous experience writing lab reports, and 2) errors were limited to the discussion section.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted a lab report that contained text copied word-for-word from various sources. There was also numerous statements that required an in-text citation to validate the accuracy and sources of statements. The report was worth 7% of the course grade. Student was very remorseful for errors in judgement.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the report (7% of the course grade); 10% off the final course grade; penalty should not trigger a course failure (i.e., if zero triggers a course failure; then a final course grade of 50 will be assigned); disciplinary probation. This was a second offence and incurs a more severe penalty.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted their part of the a group assignment that contained incorrect citations.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the assignment. This was a first offense.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted their part of the a group assignment that contained incorrect citations.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the assignment. This was a first offense.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted their part of the a group assignment that contained incorrect citations.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the assignment. This was a first offense.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student completed a midterm test, using wording from the textbook and lecture slides taken verbatim without citation.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: 50% off mark for this essay. Student was made to fix and re-write essay but will be graded on what was handed in originally. This re-write is simply to ensure understanding of how to correct the plagiarism. Disciplinary probation also added.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: The student's work in two different courses was found to contain un-referenced material.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** Not Specified

Penalty/Decision: The student is required to take two ethics courses and obtain an average in the set of at least 60% (with no course below 50%). The student must take these courses over and above regular course requirements and is required to register in them as DRNA. The student will remain on disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Five students copied old solutions for an assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: Zero on copied question in assignment, 5% deduction overall, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Five students copied old solutions for an assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: Zero on copied question in assignment, 5% deduction overall, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Five students copied old solutions for an assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: Zero on copied question in assignment, 5% deduction overall, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Five students copied old solutions for an assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: Zero on copied question in assignment, 5% deduction overall, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Five students copied old solutions for an assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: Zero on copied question in assignment, 5% deduction overall, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted a lab report containing text copied word-for-word from sources other than those cited within their report. Student was very remorseful for errors and also very keen to improve.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 0% off earned grade on the report (3% of the final course mark), and disciplinary probation. The conventional penalty was not imposed for the following reasons: 1) student is in first year at the University of Waterloo, 2) student had no previous experience writing formal lab reports, 3) similar errors on your earlier report were not pointed out, 4) only 21 of 64 lines contained copied text.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted an assignment containing unreferenced material from the solutions manual.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: The student will receive a grade of zero on the assignment with a 4-mark course deduction and will be placed on disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted a lab report which lacked in-text citations. The report is worth 50% of the course lab component; the lab is worth 20% of the final course grade. In addition to the missing in-text citations, the methods section contained a list of references but no citation to the lab manual, and one data set is identical to the exemplar data provided by course TA.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: Student is to complete an additional course before graduation, with the following conditions: It must be one from an approved list, student must obtain a final course grade of at least 60 in the course, and the course will not count as a credit toward degree or count in degree averages but will appear on transcript. Disciplinary probation is also added. The typical penalty (zero on the course element, plus 5% off your final grade) was not applied because earned grade on the lab report is already quite low and the lack of in-text citations was already factored into the grading scheme. No further grade penalty was deemed necessary. It was also clear that the student did not mean to deceive the instructors.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted a work term report containing unreferenced material.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: The student received a grade of 38 on the report and will be required to submit a replacement. Disciplinary probation is added.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student utilized prior posted solutions to complete the questions on an assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the assignment question, 5% deduction in course, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: This is a case regarding plagiarism on an assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the assignment. 5% deduction from the final grade was waived due to the student's reflection on the issue.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: The code submitted by two students shared a number of very similar features.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the assignment. 5% deduction from the final grade was waived due to the student's reflection on the issue. Student was placed on disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: 50% of earned grade and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: In a routine review of student submissions for an assignment, it became apparent that the code submitted by two students shared a number of very similar features.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the assignment, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: This is a case regarding cheating on an assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** Not Specified

Penalty/Decision: Zero on questions in assignment, 5% reduction in the final grade, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted a lab report which lacked in-text citations for three statements that were not common knowledge. This report is worth 6% of the final course grade. Student was remorseful for error in judgement and agreed that omission of these three citations constituted plagiarism.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the discussion of the report (3% of the final course grade), and disciplinary probation. The conventional penalty (zero on the course element and an additional 5% off the final grade) was not imposed for the following reasons: 1) errors were limited to the discussion section and 2) the information was cited in the introduction.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted a quiz which contained content copied directly from the course without paraphrasing or citation.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the quiz, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: This is a case about cheating on an assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: Zero on question in assignment, 5% deduction in course grade, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: This is a case about cheating on an assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Zero on question in assignment, 5% deduction in course grade, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: In a routine review of student submissions for an assignment, it became apparent that the code submitted by two students shared a number of very similar features.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** Not Specified

Penalty/Decision: Zero on question in assignment, 5% deduction in course grade, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: A student who had previously taken the course, forwarded their assignment to another student who completely copied it.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: Both students will receive a zero on the project resulting in failure in the course. Disciplinary probation is added for both students.**Description:** Plagiarism

Details: A student who had previously taken the course, forwarded their assignment to another student who completely copied it.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: Both students will receive a zero on the project resulting in failure in the course. Disciplinary probation is added for both students.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: The student submitted plagiarized material as their own for an assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the assignment with a 5 mark course deduction. Student will remain on disciplinary probation. Student is also required to take an ethics course obtaining a grade of at least 60%; this course is in addition to the previous ethics course that was required from an earlier offence. These two required ethics courses must be coded as DRNA and may not be used for satisfying degree requirements.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: In a routine review of student submissions for an assignment, it became apparent that the code submitted by two students shared a number of very similar features.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the assignment; an additional 5% deduction from the final grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: This is a case regarding cheating on an assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the assignment; an additional 5% deduction from the final grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted a solution that is almost word-for-word identical to the official solutions.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: Zero on questions in assignment, 4.5% reduction in overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: This is a case regarding plagiarism on quizzes.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the two unit quizzes, 5% deduction overall, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism on four assignments (weighted at 5% each).

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0% on three assignments, 50% of earned grade on the fourth assignment, Academic Integrity Workshop.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism on two assignments (weighted at 5% each).

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 0% for each reflection (worth 5%), both reflections must be used in the calculation of the requirement for the course, 5% from final grade in the course, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student found guilty of plagiarism. Assignment weight is 25%.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 50% of assigned grade, 5% reduction from final grade, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: This is a case regarding cheating on a take home examination.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: Zero on course grade.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: In a routine review of student submissions for an assignment , it became apparent that the code submitted by two students shared a number of very similar features.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: Zero on assignment, 5% deduction overall, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: In a routine review of student submissions for an assignment, it became apparent that the code submitted by two students shared a number of very similar features.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: Zero on assignment, 5% deduction overall, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: In a routine review of student submissions for an assignment, it became apparent that the code submitted by two students shared a number of very similar features.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: Zero on assignment, 5% deduction overall, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: In a routine review of student submissions for an assignment, it became apparent that the code submitted by two students shared a number of very similar features.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** Not Specified

Penalty/Decision: Zero on assignment, 5% deduction overall, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student plagiarized on assignments.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** Not Specified

Penalty/Decision: Zero on assignments. Student was given an opportunity to earn a maximum of 15% on an extra credit assignment.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism. This is considered a low level offense.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 50% of earned grade (assignment worth 25%) and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of submitting two separate assignments that contained plagiarism.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0% for assignment 1 (worth 5%), 50% of earned grade for assignment 2 (worth 25%) and Academic Integrity Workshop.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student is guilty of submitting an assignment that contained plagiarism. Student had a previous offense which resulted in an Academic Integrity workshop being required. Student has a low understanding of plagiarism.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment (worth 10%), 5% reduction in final course grade. Since the AI Workshop had not yet been attended, it was decided that disciplinary probation was not warranted.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student is guilty of submitting a refection that contained plagiarism.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0% for the assignment (worth 5%), assignment must be used as one of four required for the course, and Academic Integrity workshop assigned.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of submitting a reflection piece that contained plagiarized material.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0% for the assignment (worth 5%), reflection must be used as one of four as per course outline, and Academic Integrity Workshop assigned.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted a lab which contained text in the introduction that was poorly paraphrased from a Wikipedia record. This text is neither associated with an in-text citation nor is the source listed in the reference list. The introduction also includes two in-text citations, that are not listed in the reference list. Finally, the submission made use of an online glossary (Wikipedia); this was not an acceptable resource based on the assignment instructions. The student agreed that several of the statements should have been associated with a citation. Student was remorseful for the lack of effort and accepted responsibility for their lapse in judgement.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the Introduction and Reference sections of the assignment (3% of the final grade); 5% reduction in the final grade; if this penalty triggers a course failure, then a final course grade of 50 will be assigned; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted a project that contained text in the introduction that was poorly paraphrased from a Wikipedia record. This text is neither associated with an in-text citation nor is the source listed in the reference list. The report also includes two in-text citations that are not listed in the reference list. Finally, the submission made use of an online glossary (Wikipedia); this was not an acceptable resource based on the assignment instructions. Student was not responsible for this part of the report, but signed the declaration indicating they had read the report and agreed to share in any disciplinary penalty the submission might incur. Student was remorseful for the lack of effort put into the review of the final document and accepted responsibility for lapse in judgement.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the Introduction and Reference sections of the assignment (3% of the final grade); 5% reduction in the final grade; if this penalty trips a course failure, then a final course grade of 50 will be assigned; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted a project containing text in the Introduction that was poorly paraphrased from a Wikipedia record. This text is neither associated with an in-text citation nor is the source listed in the reference list. The introduction also includes two in-text citations, that are not listed in the reference list. Finally, the submission made use of an online glossary (Wikipedia); this was not an acceptable resource based on the assignment instructions. Student was responsible for writing the introduction, literature review and preparing the reference list. Student signed the declaration acknowledging: 1) that the report was free from violations of academic integrity and 2) they read the report and agreed to share in any disciplinary penalty the submission might incur.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the Introduction and Reference sections of the assignment (3% of the final grade); 5% reduction in the final grade; if this penalty triggers a course failure, then a final course grade of 50 will be assigned; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Students both plagiarized their reports. Both were given opportunities for re-writes and failed to fix their errors sufficiently.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: A deduction of 25% from earned grade on the report, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Students both plagiarized their reports. Both were given opportunities for re-writes and failed to fix their errors sufficiently.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: A deduction of 25% from earned grade on the report, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: These students had their group assignment flagged for plagiarism concerns.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** Not Specified

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the team assignment, 5% off total course grade, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: These students had their group assignment flagged for plagiarism concerns.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the team assignment, 5% off total course grade, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: These students had their group assignment flagged for plagiarism concerns.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the team assignment, 5% off total course grade, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted an essay whose content had been substantially taken from an online essay-writing website.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the assignment, 5 marks off the final grade in the course, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted a research essay in which numerous passages had been taken verbatim from sources without citation. This was a first offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the assignment and disciplinary probation. 5% reduction in course grade has been waived because of the high grade value of the assignment, and the student's ready and unequivocal acceptance of responsibility for the omissions.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted assignments whose content had been substantially copied from a variety of websites. This was a first offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: A grade of zero on each critique; the penalties should not in and of themselves trigger a failure in the course. Disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: This is a case involving plagiarism.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 50% of earned grade on assignment (worth 10%), 5% reduction from final grade and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: This is a case involving plagiarism.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 25% of earned grade on assignment (worth 10%), 5% reduction from final grade and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: This is a case involving plagiarism.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the assignment (worth 20%) and assigned to take an academic integrity workshop.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted an essay which contained three passages of text copied word-for-word from the source: one was copied from an uncited source, one was copied from a cited source and the third was from a source different from what was indicated in essay.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: The essay will not count toward course grade, 10% reduction in the final course grade, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student is guilty of plagiarism.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 50% of earned grade on paper (worth 15%); 5% from final course grade; student to resubmit assignment without plagiarism; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student is guilty of plagiarism in two courses.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Course 1: 25% of earned grade on paper (worth 15%); Course 2: 50% of earned grade on paper (worth 15%) and an Academic Integrity Workshop.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student is guilty of plagiarism.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 25% of earned grade on paper (worth 15%) and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student is guilty of submitting an assignment that contained plagiarized text.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 0% on assignment (worth 6%), 5% from final grade, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student is guilty of submitting an assignment which included verbatim text.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the assignment portion that contained plagiarism (worth 2.5%), 5% from final course grade and made to take an Academic Integrity Workshop.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of submitting an assignment that included a photo taken from the web without citation.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the assignment portion that contained plagiarism (worth 2.5%), 5% from final course grade and made to take an Academic Integrity Workshop.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted two on-line quizzes that contained text content copied directly from the course without paraphrasing or citations.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the two quizzes (worth 6% each), and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: The student accessed the solution manual for the textbook and failed to cite the material when using this material for their assignment submission.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the assignment, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: The student accessed the online solution manual for the textbook for their assignment and did not acknowledge the source of this assistance.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Zero on half of the assignment, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: 25% deduction from earned grade (worth 40%), and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student did not cite sources properly. This was a first offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: 25% reduction on the earned grade for the essay. Disciplinary probation was not added since this is the last course the student needed before graduation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student is guilty of plagiarism.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 50% off earned grade on assignment (worth 25%), and assigned to an Academic Integrity Workshop.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student found guilty of plagiarism.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 25% off earned grade (worth 15%), 5% reduction from final grade, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student guilty of plagiarism.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 25% off earned grade (worth 15%), 50% off earned grade (worth 10%), and assigned to an Academic Integrity workshop.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student has been found guilty of plagiarism.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 25% off earned grade on assignment (worth 15%), and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student found guilty of plagiarism.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 50% off earned grade on assignment (worth 10%), and assigned to an Academic Integrity Workshop.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student found guilty of low level plagiarism. Student believed that a footnote was a sufficient citation.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Student to take an Academic Integrity workshop.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Students found guilty of plagiarism.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 50% deduction off earned grade on assignment (worth 5%), 5% reduction in final grade, and assigned to an Academic Integrity workshop.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Students found guilty of plagiarism.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 50% deduction off earned grade on assignment (worth 5%), 5% reduction in final grade, and assigned to an Academic Integrity workshop.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student used material from the solution manual without citing this material.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the assignment, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: The student took a picture of another student's work and submitted it as their own assignment without referencing.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the assignment and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: The student accessed the solutions from Google and failed to cite this material in assignment submission.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the assignment and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: The student accessed the solution manual for the textbook and copied the solution into an assignment without acknowledging the source.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the assignment and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: The student accessed material from the course manual and used it in a course submission without citation.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the assignment and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: The student accessed the solution manual and used it in a course submission.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the assignment and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: The student received help from many sources, including the course TA, and failed to acknowledge this assistance in the submission of their assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the assignment and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: The student accessed online resources for an assignment submission and failed to cite these resources.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the assignment and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: The student accessed the online solutions manual and used this material in an assignment without citing in the submission.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the assignment and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: The student accessed the solution manual and used information for a submitted assignment but failed to cite this material.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the assignment and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: The student accessed the online course manual and used this information for an assignment without citing this source.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the assignment and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: The student sought help from classmates and failed to acknowledge the source of the assistance in the submission.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Zero on half of the assignment (containing the material) and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: The student accessed an online solution manual for the textbook and failed to acknowledge this assistance in the submission.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Zero on half of the assignment (containing the material) and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted a paper that included text that was not properly paraphrased.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 50% deduction from assignment and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted an assignment that contained similar information and design to another student's submission.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 0% on assignment; 5 marks off total grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted a research proposal that contained ideas copied from another online source that was never referenced.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 0% on assignment; disciplinary probation continues.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted an assignment that contained similar information and design to another student's submission.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: 0% on assignment; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted a discussion post that included text that is identical to other sources.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: 0% on assignment; disciplinary probation continues.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted an essay that included text that was copied word-for-word from other online sources.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0% on essay; 1-term suspension; disciplinary probation continues.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student group submitted an assignment that contained graphical data taken directly from other sources.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 50% deduction on assignment; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student group submitted an assignment that contained graphical data taken directly from other sources.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 5 marks off assignment out of 40.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student group submitted an assignment that contained graphical data taken directly from other sources.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 5 marks off assignment out of 40.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student group submitted an assignment that contained graphical data taken directly from other sources.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 5 marks off assignment out of 40.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted an assignment that had a high similarity score to another student's submitted assignment from a different term.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: Zero on assignment; 5 marks off total grade; disciplinary probation continues.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student's section in submitted team assignment contains identical text to online sources without in-text citations.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0% on assignment; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Sections in Appendix of student's submitted assignment were identical to sections of another student's submitted assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: Student to receive 8/40 on final research paper resulting in a final grade of 50% for course; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student copied assignment verbatim from prior solutions. Student was remorseful. Student had accommodations recommended by AccessAbility Services.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the assignment and disciplinary probation. 5% deduction in overall course grade was not imposed due to mitigating factors.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted Co-op reports for two back-to-back work terms. The introduction of the two reports contained text identical to each other. Both reports contained images that lacked citations and text that was copied from cited and uncited sources. Student also submitted a manuscript they co-wrote. Based on a Turnitin similarity analysis, 91% of the submitted manuscript is similar to Co-op reports: 58% similarity to one report and 53% similarity to the other report. The submitted manuscript contained text that was highly similar text to uncited sources Turnitin noted in the reports.

Degree: Undergraduate

Year: 3

Penalty/Decision:

- Prepare one report with content that is limited to student's personal research activity. Failure to meet this deadline satisfactorily will result in loss of both work report credits.
- Completion of an English course (from an approved list) before graduation. The course credit will not count towards degree requirements (in terms of credit count or averages); however, student will have to obtain a grade of at least 60, to meet this penalty requirement.
- Disciplinary probation.

The conventional penalty was deemed to be too excessive because: 1) it would have led to the loss of two work report credits; 2) it was evident that student had data that could be formulated into a work report; 3) the quality of lab work must have been exceptional given that it contributed to a manuscript submission; and 4) student took responsibility for error in thinking that they could copy the text of the submitted manuscript in the report.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Students collaborated excessively on an assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate

Year: 1

Penalty/Decision: All students received zero on the assignment with an additional five mark deduction. Disciplinary probation is added.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted assignment which contained content found on the internet, without citing the sources.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 25% deduction in grade on assignment (worth 15% of final grade), and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student admitted to taking a picture of another students work. Their code was very similar to another students submission, with some parts rearranged in what seemed to be an attempt to disguise the fact that it was copied.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the assignment, 5% deduction overall, disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: This is a case regarding plagiarism on an assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the assignment, 5% deduction overall, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted a lab report that contained text copied word-for-word from cited sources, based on the Turnitin similarity report. The report also contains several in-text citation errors.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the lab report (1.5% of course grade); 5% reduction in final course grade; rewrite sections of the report, rewording the answers into own words and citing the sources appropriately; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student utilized someone else's code from a previous years assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the assignment and is placed on disciplinary probation. Due to mental health and health issues, the 5% deduction was waived.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: This is a case regarding plagiarism on an assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the assignment, and disciplinary probation. 5% deduction was waived due to mental health and remorse.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: This is a case regarding cheating on an assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the assignment, 5% deduction off overall grade, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted a lab report which lacked in-text citations.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the course element (5% of course grade), and disciplinary probation. The conventional penalty includes an additional 5% off the final grade, this was not imposed for the following reasons: 1) errors were primarily contained in the discussion; and 2) it was evident that student did not intend to cheat or deceive instructor.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student's Co-op report contained only one in-text citation. Several statements in the report lacked an in-text citation to support claims. Numerous statements in the report required a citation to add validity to claims and provide a reliable resource for the reader.

Degree: Undergraduate

Year: 4

Penalty/Decision: Completion of one course from an approved list before graduation. The course credit will not count towards degree requirements (in terms of credit count or averages); however, student will have to obtain a grade of at least 70, to meet this penalty requirement. The grade threshold in this case was raised to 70% because the student lied and said they were not aware of the possibility for an extension in the submission deadline; it was evident that they had been told this in writing. Disciplinary probation is also added. The conventional penalty was mitigated because there is no evidence student meant to be deceitful.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: This is a case regarding plagiarism on an assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate

Year: 2

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the assignment, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: This is a case regarding plagiarism on an assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate

Year: 2

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the assignment, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted an assignment with no citation and/or closely paraphrased passages. Student claimed it was unintentional and argued the penalty should be reduced but there were no evidence to support that. This was a first offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the assignment; a further 5 marks off the final grade in the course; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted a lab report that was too similar to that of a report submitted by another student. The flow of the ideas and the wording of the sentences were very similar, in some sentences are almost identical. One student's report was found on Course Hero.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the report (7% of final grade); 5% reduction in final course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student's lab report lacked references in the discussion section. Student had attached a signed, handwritten note to the report indicating they had included references in both the introduction and discussion for all statements that were not common knowledge.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the discussion section (3.8% of final grade); disciplinary probation. The typical penalty was mitigated because: 1) errors were limited to the discussion section, 2) errors in previous reports were not brought to students attention, and 3) it was evident that student did not intend to cheat or deceive instructor.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student's report is missing references for several statements in the discussion. Student attached a signed, handwritten note to the report indicating that they had included references in both the introduction and discussion of the report for all statements that were not common knowledge.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the discussion section (3.8% of final grade); disciplinary probation. The typical penalty was mitigated because: 1) errors were limited to the discussion section, 2) errors in previous reports were not brought to students attention, and 3) it was evident that student did not intend to cheat or deceive instructor.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Students assignment had a similarity score of 38% with complete sentences and paragraphs directly copied and uncited. The assignment is worth 20% of the final grade.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 50% off earned grade and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: According to the Turnitin originality report, the students essay contained 68% similarity index as other sources.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** Not Specified

Penalty/Decision: The student will receive a grade of zero on the essay (worth 25% of the grade).

Description: Plagiarism

Details: This is a case regarding plagiarism on a work report.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: Rewrite of work report.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted a lab report containing several statements that have a strong resemblance to those from a report on the same experiment posted on Course Hero. In addition, it contains numerous full or partial sentences copied word for word from cited sources.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the lab report (6% of final course grade); disciplinary probation. The conventional penalty was not imposed because errors appeared to be due to poor paraphrasing/synthesis rather than an intent to cheat or deceive instructor.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student found guilty of not using quotations for verbatim text, which constitutes plagiarism.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 0% on assignment (20%), and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted lab reports that contained blocks of text copied from the lab manual and from uncited online sources. Student incorporated plagiarized text in five different lab reports, despite admitting to only one.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 25% reduction in earned grade on lab reports; 5% off the final course grade (if this deduction triggers a course failure, the final grade will be 50%); disciplinary probation. These five instances of plagiarism have been treated as one instance because they have been brought forward at the same time. The penalty was mitigated because: 1) of the size of the penalty that would occur with the typical penalty, 2) the fact the student took full responsibility for errors, 3) these sections were the only compromised components, and 4) student did not incur a penalty earlier in the term as a deterrent to repeating this error.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student copied material from another student's previously submitted essay without acknowledgement and submitted this material for credit.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the essay (worth 35% of the grade) and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student copied a question on an assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: Zero on question, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: In a routine review of student submissions for an assignment, it became apparent that the code submitted by a student in the current term shared a number of very similar features with the code submitted by a student in a previous term. MOSS results show that there were 177 lines matched between their code with 76% similarity.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the assignment, 5% deduction overall, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: In a routine review of student submissions for a project, it became apparent that the code submitted by a student shared a number of very similar features with the assignment submitted by a student in a previous term. MOSS results show that there were 944 lines matched between their code with 75% similarity.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Zero on project and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: In a routine review of student submissions for an assignment, it became apparent that the code submitted by a student shared a number of very similar features with the code submitted by another student in a previous term. MOSS results show there were 284 lines matched between their code with 61% similarity.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Zero on assignment, an additional 5% deduction from the final grade.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted a paper entirely devoid of citations.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: Standard penalty. 0 on the course element; a further 5% deduction from the final course grade; disciplinary probation for the remainder of the student's undergraduate career.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student committed plagiarism.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Zero on assignments in question and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted an assignment that contained information taken directly from news articles presented in the course without properly citing that source.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: Zero on assignment and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: The student plagiarized 40% of work report. Student cited source but just did not properly put in quotations.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 5

Penalty/Decision: Rewrite.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Used an online system to complete assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Zero on a question in the assignment, 5% deduction overall. Disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted answer to question taken from another student who had previously taken the course. Student was remorseful and accepted blame immediately.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: Zero on assignment, 5% deducted overall, disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted the previously submitted assignment of another student as student's own work.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: Zero on assignment, 5% deducted off total course grade, disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student plagiarized assignment that was previously submitted by another student.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the assignment, 5% deducted overall and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted assignment that was the same as another student's submission.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the assignment, 5% deduction overall and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student's submission was flagged as being too similar to another student's submission.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** Not Specified

Penalty/Decision: A grade of zero on two assignments, deduction of 5 marks from course grade and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student's submission was flagged as being too similar to another student's submission.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Zero on one question of the assignment, 5% deduction overall and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student's submission was flagged as being too similar to another student's submission. At first, student denied having done anything wrong, then admitted to helping another student. Parts of the assignment were identical. Student has a previous offence of a similar nature.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the assignment, 5% deduction overall and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student's submission was flagged as being too similar to another student's submission. Student admitted to offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Zero on assignment, 5% deducted overall and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student's submission was flagged as being too similar to another student's submission.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Zero on question in assignment, 5% deducted from overall grade and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student's submission was flagged as being too similar to another student's submission.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Zero on assignment, 5% deduction overall and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student's submission was flagged as being too similar to another student's submission. After discussions, it was determined that this student likely did the work themselves and another student had copied the work. Student was not responsible with laptop and did not keep it secure. Students are responsible for preventing their work from being used by others.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student's submission was flagged as being too similar to another student's submission.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the assignment, 5% deducted overall and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Submission for assignment had an 89% Turnitin similarity score with a document submitted the previous year for the same assignment by another student. Student explained that student had mental wellness problems at the time. Student was forthcoming and apologetic.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the assignment (10% of the final course grade); 5% off the final course grade; and disciplinary probation. Student was encouraged to seek help to deal with stress management.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted lab report which contained references only from books and websites. Websites were not to be used as references based on the course guidelines. Despite a lengthy reference list, the report lacked appropriate citations for key information such as the tests that were done during the lab session. Two sentences in the introduction were copied word-for-word from cited websites.

Student recognized errors in understanding the need for citations during the peer-marking exercise. Student admitted to not thoroughly reading the guidelines for preparing a lab report that were provided. Student did not intend to cheat or deceive instructor.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Student will receive zero on the introduction of the report and attend the Integrity Workshop.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Lab report lacked in-text citations. This was student's first lab report submitted. Penalty was mitigated because student took full responsibility for errors, student did not intend to cheat or to deceive instructor and there was no evidence that text was copied from published sources.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the report (5% of your final grade) and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted an assignment that contained two sentences that were copied word-for-word from an uncited source. This similarity was detected with Turnitin software. Student offered numerous explanations which were not accepted.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: A reduction of 2.5% in final course grade; and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student committed plagiarism.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: Zero on assignment, 5% deduction overall, disciplinary probation, 5 page essay on integrity.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student committed plagiarism.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: Zero on assignment, 5% deduction overall, disciplinary probation, 5 page essay on integrity.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student committed plagiarism. Student did not attend meeting to discuss actions, so decision was made without student's input.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 50% grade penalty for work report (will result in failed work report), and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student committed plagiarism.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: Zero on assignment, 5% deducted off overall course grade and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student committed plagiarism on two assignments.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: Zero on both assignments and disciplinary probation. 5% overall deduction was waived due to the assignments being 15% of this students mark.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student committed plagiarism.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: Zero on assignment and 5% deducted from final course grade.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student committed plagiarism.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: Zero on assignment, 5% deducted off overall course grade and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student committed plagiarism.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** Not Specified

Penalty/Decision: Zero on assignment, 5% deducted overall off course grade and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted assignment that was very similar to work submitted by another student. Neither student admitted to wrongdoing, saying that they took the same class, worked on projects together and have similar style.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: Grade of zero on assignment, 5% deduction from overall grade and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted assignment that was very similar to work submitted by another student. Neither student admitted to wrongdoing, saying that they took the same class, worked on projects together and have similar style.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Grade of zero on assignment, 5% deduction from overall grade and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Assignment submitted by student was identified as being the same as that submitted by another student. Student did not agree that actions constituted cheating. Student admitted to speaking with friends, possibly too much, but said they have always done this and thought it was fine to continue.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Zero on one question of the assignment and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Answers on assignment submitted by student were flagged as being similar to another student in the class.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Grade of zero on particular question of assignment, 5% deduction off course grade and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Answers on assignment submitted by student were flagged as being similar to another student in the class.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Grade of zero on particular question of assignment, 5% deduction off course grade and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted an assignment that contained word-for-word information from outside sources.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Student received a 50% grade deduction on the assignment and was required to attend an Academic Integrity Workshop. Student will remain on disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student plagiarized portion of group assignment. Other group members were not penalized.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0% on assignment (worth 5%) and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Numerous participants were found guilty of excessive collaboration.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 50% grade penalty on the assignment and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Numerous participants were found guilty of excessive collaboration.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 50% grade penalty on the assignment and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Numerous participants were found guilty of excessive collaboration.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 50% grade penalty on the assignment and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Numerous participants were found guilty of excessive collaboration.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 50% grade penalty on the assignment and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student plagiarized assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: Zero on assignment, 5% deduction overall, disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student committed plagiarism.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Zero on assignment, 5% deduction overall, disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student committed plagiarism.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Zero on assignment, 5% deduction overall, disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found to have committed plagiarism on three assignments.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Student received a grade of zero for these assignments and was placed on disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted assignment that lacked in-text citations. Student claimed it was an oversight as student was pressed for time when completing the assignment. Student did not intend to cheat or deceive instructor. Student was forthright with explanation for how the errors occurred.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the Introduction, Discussion and Questions sections of the assignment.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student's assignment contained many statements that lacked in-text citations, including the questions. Student explained that student had rushed writing the report, only starting it the night before. Student was remorseful for errors and took full responsibility. Student had been sick for three weeks prior to handing in assignment and this had complicated the student's time management of assignments. Instructor verified illness. Penalty aggravated because this was a second offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the course element (5% of course grade); 10% off the final course grade; and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted assignment that lacked in-text citations in the introduction and for some answers to the questions. Student explained that this was the result of not leaving enough time to complete the citation portion of the assignment before handing it in. Student did not intend to cheat or deceive instructor.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Zero on Introduction section of assignment.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted assignment that lacked in-text citations in places (mostly in the question section), missed quotations around word-for-word text that had a citation, and included another citation that was incomplete. Student was apologetic for actions claiming that citation requirements at previous university were different. Student did not intend to cheat or deceive instructor and was very remorseful.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the Discussion of report to reflect writing errors.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted report that was not properly cited.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the course elements that were not properly cited, 5% of the final course grade and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted assignment that did not use in text citations. Student felt that a lot of the information was common knowledge so did not seek references for all the content. Student had already taken maximum late penalty. Student is a fourth year student, and this was an aggravating factor.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the course element (5% of course grade); 5% reduction in the final course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted assignment that was not properly cited. Student claimed a lack of understanding on citation requirements.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the course elements that missed citations, 5% reduction in final course grade and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted assignment that contained numerous sections that were copied word for word from an assignment previously submitted by another student. Student admitted to asking the other student for help, and then copying the assignment without permission. Student was remorseful. Assignment was worth a significant portion of final grade.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the course element (20% of course grade); disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student committed plagiarism.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: Zero on reading assignment, reduced mark on exhibition assignment, disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student committed plagiarism.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Zero on assignment, 5% deduction on overall course grade, and placed on disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student committed plagiarism.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Zero on assignment, 5% deduction on overall course grade, and placed on disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student committed plagiarism.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Zero on assignment, 5% deduction on overall course grade, and placed on disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student committed plagiarism.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Zero on assignment, 5% deduction on overall course grade, and placed on disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student committed plagiarism.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Zero on assignment, 5% deduction on overall course grade, and placed on disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student committed plagiarism.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Zero on assignment, 5% deduction on overall course grade, and placed on disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student committed plagiarism.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Zero on assignment, 5% deduction on overall course grade, and placed on disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student committed plagiarism.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Zero on assignment, 5% deduction on overall course grade, and placed on disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student committed plagiarism.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Zero on assignment, 5% deduction on overall course grade, and placed on disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student committed plagiarism.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Zero on assignment, 5% deduction on overall course grade, and placed on disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student committed plagiarism.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Zero on assignment, 5% deduction on overall course grade, and placed on disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student committed plagiarism.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Zero on assignment, 5% deduction on overall course grade, and placed on disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: The student's essay contained unreferenced material from another student's assignment (submitted in an earlier term).

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: The student will receive a grade of zero on the essay (worth 35% of the final grade) and will be placed on disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: The assignment submitted by the student this term and a student from past term shared a number of very similar features.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: Zero on assignment, 5% deduction overall, disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: This was a case of plagiarism.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: Zero on assignment, 5% deduction overall, disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: This was a case of plagiarism.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: Zero on assignment, 5% deducted off overall course grade and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: This was a case of plagiarism.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Zero on assignment, 5% deducted overall, disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: This was a case of plagiarism.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: Zero on assignment, 5% deducted overall, disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: This was a case of plagiarism.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: Zero on assignment, 5% deducted overall, disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted assignment that contained several sentences that were copied word-for-word from cited and uncited sources. Student was a first year student, and amount of work copied was limited to less than 25%.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 50% off earned grade on the report and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted assignment that contained 2 passages (2 x 2 sentences) that were copied word-for-word from cited sources. Student explained that this was the first time preparing an assignment of this nature and student misunderstood the need to paraphrase cited text, although this was done for the rest of the report.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 50% reduction in earned grade, disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted report that contained numerous uncited passages copied word-for-word from social learning sites including CourseHero.com, based on a Turnitin similarity analysis. Student claimed to be new to writing reports of this nature. Student also claimed to be under time constraints. Student was in first term of study at the University and the course element grade reduction was substantial. Student was forthright with explanation of evidence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the course element (20% of course grade). If zero triggers a course failure; then a final course grade of 50 will be assigned; and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted assignment that had a high similarity (54%) with a report submitted by another student suggesting it contains plagiarized text. Student claimed to be totally surprised about the similarity to another document. The extent of the matching text was too similar to be due to chance and the explanation for the similarities was not compelling.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the course element (20% of course grade), 5% reduction in the final course grade, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted assignment that was lacking citations. Student claimed misunderstanding of instructions, thinking that work as presented was acceptable. Penalty was mitigated as student was in first term of University at Waterloo and it was evident that student did not intend to cheat or deceive instructor.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Zero on portions of the report; and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted assignment that contained no in-text citations in certain sections. Student admitted that instructions were not read thoroughly. Report was very well prepared otherwise. Student overlooked requirements. Student took full responsibility for errors.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Zero on sections of the report (10% of course grade); disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: One portion of an assignment submitted by the student contained no in-text citations. Student claimed this was purely an oversight. Student was forthright with explanation of the evidence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Zero on portion of the assignment that contained no in-text citations; and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted report that contained no in-text citations in the one section. The report was worth 20% of the final grade. Student had not participated in the first in-class practice report. Previous assignment submitted did not contain in-text citations but this was not noted by the TA.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the section of the report that had no in-text citations (1.5% of final course grade); 5% off the final course grade; and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted assignment that lacked in-text citations in one section. Student claimed that the lack of citations in the discussion section was due to rushing to finish the section because of stress and poor time management during that week. Student intended to return to finish the section and ran out of time.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the section of the report (5 % of final course grade); 5% reduction in final course grade; and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted report that had a Turnitin analysis score of 69%, matching other sources to include reports submitted by previous students. Student claimed excessive stress and was forthright with explanation of the evidence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the course element (10% of course grade); 5% off the final grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted a report similar to a report submitted in 2016 based on a 51% Turnitin score. Report was for the wrong experiment. Student found paper online and claimed that intention was to improve report writing skills. Student was forthright with explanation of evidence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the course element (10% of course grade); 5% off the final course grade; and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted assignment in which numerous statements were missing in-text citations. Student did not respond to two requests for a meeting.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the course element (10% of course grade); 5% off the final grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted report that lacks in-text citations in one section and had numerous references that were not cited. Student claimed this was an oversight.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 50% reduction in earned grade; and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: The students had simultaneous first offences with each other on assignments in both courses.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: All will receive zero on the affected assignments with 5 marks deducted from each course. Disciplinary probation will be added.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: The students had simultaneous first offences with each other on assignments in both courses.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: All will receive zero on the affected assignments with 5 marks deducted from each course. Disciplinary probation will be added.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: The students had simultaneous first offences with each other on assignments in both courses.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: All will receive zero on the affected assignments with 5 marks deducted from each course. Disciplinary probation will be added.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student plagiarized work of another student without consent. Plagiarism was minor and student was remorseful.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Student received a grade of zero. Penalty will only be applied to the plagiarized parts of the assignments and 5% will be deducted from the final grade.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student committed plagiarism. Student was remorseful and upfront when questioned.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the plagiarized parts of the assignments and 5% off the final grade. Disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student guilty of plagiarism.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the assignment and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student is guilty of plagiarism.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0% on assignment, worth 25%, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the assignment worth 10% and participation in academic integrity workshop.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Assignment submitted by student was too similar to that submitted by another student. Student admitted to offence, was remorseful and acknowledged a lack of understanding of course materials. This was a second offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: Zero on assignment, 5% deduction from final grade and student will remain on disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Based on the information provided by the instructor it was determined that the student is guilty of plagiarism.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the assignment worth 10%; and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student copied work of another student. Student was remorseful and recognized that even a small amount of copying amounts to plagiarism.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the plagiarized parts of the assignments and 5% deducted from the final grade. Student will also be placed on disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted assignment flagged by MOSS as being too similar that that submitted by another student. Clearly a copy and paste situation.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the assignment, 5% deducted from overall course grade, disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: The student's essay contained a substantial amount of work of another student from a previous term without citation.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: The student will receive a grade of zero on the essay worth 35% of the final grade and will be placed on disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: The student committed plagiarism.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the assignment (worth 20%); and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: The students plagiarized on an assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: The students will receive a zero on the course element and a further 5 mark deduction from the course. Disciplinary probation is added.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: The students plagiarized on an assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: The students will receive a zero on the course element and a further 5 mark deduction from the course. Disciplinary probation is added.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student plagiarized from an online source. Entire assignment was plagiarized.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the assignment, 5% deducted from overall grade, disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student plagiarized assignment. Student admitted to the offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** Not Specified

Penalty/Decision: Zero on assignment, 5% deducted off overall grade, disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: One of three students who plagiarized an assignment from an online source without any kind of attribution.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 25% deducted from course grade and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: One of three students who plagiarized an assignment from an online source without any kind of attribution.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** Not Specified

Penalty/Decision: 50% deducted from course grade and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: One of three students who plagiarized an assignment from an online source without any kind of attribution.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** Not Specified

Penalty/Decision: 50% deducted from course grade and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: In-text citations were missing from the assignment. First year student.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 25% grade penalty on earned grade (assignment worth 25%), Academic Integrity Workshop.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: In-text citations were missing from the assignment. First year student.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 25% grade penalty on earned grade (assignment worth 25%), Academic Integrity Workshop.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was required to complete the Academic Integrity Workshop as a result of plagiarism. Student failed to attend workshop and as such new penalty has been assigned.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 25% grade penalty on earned grade on assignment (assignment worth 25%) and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: In-text citations were missing from the assignment. First year student.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 25% grade penalty on earned grade (assignment worth 25%), Academic Integrity Workshop.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: In-text citations were missing from the assignment. First year student.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 25% grade penalty on earned grade (assignment worth 25%), Academic Integrity Workshop.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student used work from other sources without any attribution. Student initially disputed allegation but eventually admitted to wrongdoing. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment; a further 5 marks off the final grade in the course; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted an assignment with a similarity rating on Turnitin of 94%. The content for the assignment had been taken virtually entirely from a variety of internet sources without attribution of any kind. These sources included Course Hero, Spark Notes, and Grade Saver. Student acknowledged misconduct and noted academic struggles. This was a first offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment; a further 5 marks off the final grade in the course; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted an assignment whose content had been almost entirely taken verbatim or very closely paraphrased from an internet source, without attribution of any kind. Student did not disclaim the allegations and explained that the oversight came due to the last minute completion of the assignment. This was a first offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment; a further 5 marks off the final grade in the course; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted assignments with high Turnitin match rates.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignments in question.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted an assignment that copied research sources and assignments from previous students. This was a first offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment; a further 5 marks off the final grade in the course; successful completion of the Academic Integrity Workshop; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted three assignments that contained significant amounts of plagiarized material. The student acknowledged having hired a tutor to assist with “polishing” the final draft of the assignment and asserted that the misconduct was unintentional. This was a first offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignments; successful completion of Written Academic English course; and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted assignment that contained content not properly cited. Student accepted responsibility. This was a first offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: In a routine review of student submissions, it became apparent that the code submitted by the Student this term and a student from past term shared a number of very similar features. MOSS results show that there are 155 lines matched between their code.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: Zero on assignment, 5% deduction overall, disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted exercises which contained content found on the internet, without citing the sources.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the exercises and Academic Integrity Workshop.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted assignment that contained a reference list but lacked in-text citations. The course syllabus stated that all work should be appropriately referenced from reputable sources. Sample assignments provided contained in-text citations. There was more than one reminder that in-text citations were required. Student did not attend the scheduled meeting to address these concerns and did not send a written explanation of the evidence as requested. It was evident that the student did not intend to cheat or deceive instructor.

Degree: Undergraduate

Year: 3

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the course element; and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted assignment that contained a reference list but lacked in-text citations. The course syllabus stated that all work should be appropriately referenced from reputable sources. Sample assignments provided contained in-text citations. There was more than one reminder that in-text citations were required. Student claimed this was a mistake and admitted to wrongdoing. Student did not intend to cheat or deceive instructor. Student was remorseful and took full responsibility. Student resubmitted work with proper citations.

Degree: Undergraduate

Year: 3

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the course element; and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted assignment that contained a reference list but lacked in-text citations. The course syllabus stated that all work should be appropriately referenced from reputable sources. Sample assignments provided contained in-text citations. There was more than one reminder that in-text citations were required. Student claimed no intention to plagiarize. Student was remorseful and took responsibility for actions. Student re-submitted assignment with appropriate citations.

Degree: Undergraduate

Year: 3

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the course element; and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted assignment that contained a reference list but lacked in-text citations. The course syllabus stated that all work should be appropriately referenced from reputable sources. Sample assignments provided contained in-text citations. There was more than one reminder that in-text citations were required. Student claimed that it was not made explicit that in-text citations were required. Student recognized error in interpretation when course syllabus was pointed out. Student resubmitted an annotated assignment. Student did not intend to cheat or to deceive instructor.

Degree: Undergraduate

Year: 4

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the course element; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student did not correctly cite sources and then insisted the plagiarism was unintentional. Student accepted responsibility. This was a first offence.

Degree: Undergraduate

Year: 3

Penalty/Decision: 0 grade for the assignment in question, such penalty not to trigger a failure in the course; successful completion of the Academic Integrity Workshop.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted assignments that contained text copied word-for-word from uncited sources. The first paragraphs of the submissions for the two major assignments also contained text copied verbatim from uncited sources. Student indicated that Instructor did not indicate a problem with work when submitted for feedback. Student claimed that plagiarism was limited to introduction. A subsequent Turnitin analysis indicated a score of 89-90%. Had plagiarism been detected earlier, there is a possibility that this would not have occurred, however student was clearly deceptive.

Degree: Undergraduate

Year: 2

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the course elements; final submitted grade will be earned grade of 35%; and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted an assignment in which numerous passages had been copied – either verbatim or very lightly paraphrased – from a variety of sources, including SparksNotes, as well a number of other source texts included in the bibliography, but not in any way directly cited, either in-text or as footnotes, and without quotation marks. One lengthy passage in particular featured an embedded quotation from a source text, in which the citations included created the unmistakable the impression that the student had done the reading, and was the original author of the ideas framing the quotation. The student did not contest the allegation and expressed consternation at the charge, but ultimately agreed the passages were indeed plagiarized.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment; a further 5 marks off the final grade in the course; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted a research paper in which numerous verbatim passages had been taken from uncited sources, without acknowledgement of any kind. A second allegation of plagiarism in a different assignment was subsequently received. The two cases were managed simultaneously. The student did not contest the allegations. This was a first offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 0 on each assignment; a further 5 marks off the final grade in the course; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student is guilty of submitting an assignment that included text taken from a variety of web sources without using proper citations.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 50% of earned grade (assignment worth 10%) and Academic Integrity Workshop.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student is guilty of submitting an assignment that contained plagiarized material.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0% on assignment (worth 20%); 5% deducted from final grade.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student guilty of submitting an assignment that contained plagiarized text.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 50% of earned grade on assignment (worth 20%) and Academic Integrity Workshop.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted an assignment in which greater than 25% was identified as plagiarized by Turnitin. Student found guilty of plagiarism.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0% (assignment worth 25%), Academic Integrity Workshop.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted a book review that contained numerous passages taken from a variety of sources, including in particular a critical review of the book under consideration. This source was not included in the references, and the numerous verbatim passages lacked both in-text citations and quotation marks. Turnitin showed a similarity index of 41%. Student asserted that the wrong version of the essay had been accidentally submitted, and that the student had another draft in which everything had been correctly cited. Given the document properties available for viewing on the student's computer, however, that draft could not be definitively confirmed as having been created before the receipt of the allegation. Furthermore, it appeared that even on this draft, the verbatim passages still lacked quotation marks. The student expressed considerable uncertainty regarding correct citation practice. This was a first offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the assignment; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: The student is guilty of submitting work for a number of assignments within this course which contained plagiarism. Student claimed to be homesick and depressed. Student claimed to be withdrawing from term to return home.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: No additional grade penalties as student received 0% for each of these assignments. Continued disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student guilty of submitting work containing plagiarism.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: 0% on assignment, 50% of earned grade on assignment, 5% deducted from final course grade.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Guilty of submitting another students work as their own.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: 0% on assignment, failure in the course (max. grade of 49%), one term suspension.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: An anonymous student reported to the instructor/school director that there was large-scale cheating going on relative to the three online quizzes. Not every quiz was identical for every student. The reported behaviour was that early on in the time frame, a student or students were taking screenshots or otherwise recording the quiz content, and distributing this information to the rest of the class (enrollment approximately 400) to aid them in completing the quiz.

Examination of the results for all three quizzes revealed a noticeable pattern of significant numbers of students attaining perfect or near-perfect scores, in times that were significantly shorter than that required by the course instructor to complete that particular quiz. Based on this analysis, allegations and proposals for an informal resolution (based on the student's admission of guilt) were forwarded to 51 students whose results satisfied the balance of probability that unauthorized aids had been accessed.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Zero on quiz 3; 5% deduction overall, disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: An anonymous student reported to the instructor/school director that there was large-scale cheating going on relative to the three online quizzes. Not every quiz was identical for every student. The reported behaviour was that early on in the time frame, a student or students were taking screenshots or otherwise recording the quiz content, and distributing this information to the rest of the class (enrollment approximately 400) to aid them in completing the quiz.

Examination of the results for all three quizzes revealed a noticeable pattern of significant numbers of students attaining perfect or near-perfect scores, in times that were significantly shorter than that required by the course instructor to complete that particular quiz. Based on this analysis, allegations and proposals for an informal resolution (based on the student's admission of guilt) were forwarded to 51 students whose results satisfied the balance of probability that unauthorized aids had been accessed.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Zero on quiz 1; 5% deduction overall, disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: An anonymous student reported to the instructor/school director that there was large-scale cheating going on relative to the three online quizzes. Not every quiz was identical for every student. The reported behaviour was that early on in the time frame, a student or students were taking screenshots or otherwise recording the quiz content, and distributing this information to the rest of the class (enrollment approximately 400) to aid them in completing the quiz.

Examination of the results for all three quizzes revealed a noticeable pattern of significant numbers of students attaining perfect or near-perfect scores, in times that were significantly shorter than that required by the course instructor to complete that particular quiz. Based on this analysis, allegations and proposals for an informal resolution (based on the student's admission of guilt) were forwarded to 51 students whose results satisfied the balance of probability that unauthorized aids had been accessed.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Zero on two quizzes, 5% deduction overall, disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: An anonymous student reported to the instructor/school director that there was large-scale cheating going on relative to the three online quizzes. Not every quiz was identical for every student. The reported behaviour was that early on in the time frame, a student or students were taking screenshots or otherwise recording the quiz content, and distributing this information to the rest of the class (enrollment approximately 400) to aid them in completing the quiz.

Examination of the results for all three quizzes revealed a noticeable pattern of significant numbers of students attaining perfect or near-perfect scores, in times that were significantly shorter than that required by the course instructor to complete that particular quiz. Based on this analysis, allegations and proposals for an informal resolution (based on the student's admission of guilt) were forwarded to 51 students whose results satisfied the balance of probability that unauthorized aids had been accessed.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Grade of zero on two quizzes, 5% deduction overall, disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: An anonymous student reported to the instructor/school director that there was large-scale cheating going on relative to the three online quizzes. Not every quiz was identical for every student. The reported behaviour was that early on in the time frame, a student or students were taking screenshots or otherwise recording the quiz content, and distributing this information to the rest of the class (enrollment approximately 400) to aid them in completing the quiz.

Examination of the results for all three quizzes revealed a noticeable pattern of significant numbers of students attaining perfect or near-perfect scores, in times that were significantly shorter than that required by the course instructor to complete that particular quiz. Based on this analysis, allegations and proposals for an informal resolution (based on the student's admission of guilt) were forwarded to 51 students whose results satisfied the balance of probability that unauthorized aids had been accessed.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Grade of zero on one quiz, 5% deduction overall, disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: The students collaborated on an assignment when independent work was required.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Both students will receive a grade of zero on the assignment with a five mark course deduction. Disciplinary probation is added for both.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: The students collaborated on an assignment when independent work was required.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Both students will receive a grade of zero on the assignment with a five mark course deduction. Disciplinary probation is added for both.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: The students worked together on an assignment that required independent work.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Both students will receive a grade of zero on the assignment with an additional 5 mark course deduction. Disciplinary probation is added.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: The students worked together on an assignment that required independent work.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Both students will receive a grade of zero on the assignment with an additional 5 mark course deduction. Disciplinary probation is added.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: One student posted their code on a closed Facebook account to assist classmates with the assignment. The other student submitted that code as their own.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Both students will receive a zero on the assignment with an additional 5 mark course deduction. Disciplinary probation is added for both students.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: One student posted their code on a closed Facebook account to assist classmates with the assignment. The other student submitted that code as their own.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Both students will receive a zero on the assignment with an additional 5 mark course deduction. Disciplinary probation is added for both students.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Based on evidence and turnitin report, student was found guilty of plagiarism.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0% of earned grade and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Based on the evidence provided this student has been found guilty of plagiarism.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 50% of earned grade and disciplinary probation.

Description: Theft of intellectual property

Details: Student used the other students intellectual property without consent.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: The student is to receive a grade of NCR in the course and is required to take an ethics course over and above course requirements. The student is required to register in this course as DRNA and is required to obtain a grade of at least 60%. The student is also placed on disciplinary probation.

Description: Theft of intellectual property

Details: Student found guilty of posting course notes without instructors authorization.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: Letters of apology to the instructors. Student is in final term so disciplinary probation was not assigned.

Description: Theft of intellectual property

Details: A student posted assignment questions to Stack Overflow.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: Disciplinary probation.

Description: Theft of intellectual property

Details: Student submitted an assignment which contained information that was identical to the assignment of another student who had previously taken the course.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: Student received 0% on the assignment and an additional 5 marks subtracted from final course grade. Student wrote letters of apology to the instructor and to other student and completed an ethics course. Student will remain on disciplinary probation.

Description: Theft of intellectual property

Details: Student submitted report that lacked in-text citations in the introduction and had a 67% match to a report submitted by another student in the class. Student claimed to have no knowledge of how to complete report, and found TAs to be unfriendly and difficult to understand. Student claimed no knowledge of other sources of assistance available to them. Other student offered help and was not aware that student copied from report used as a reference. This resulted in a theft of intellectual property. Penalty mitigated as student is a first year student who did not recognize guidance that was available. Student was remorseful.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the course element (20% of course grade); 5% deduction from final grade; and disciplinary probation.

Description: Theft of intellectual property

Details: Student submitted same answers to assignment as another student. Student was remorseful and forthcoming with evidence. One student was trying to assist the other who was struggling with the course work. This student took advantage of the kindness of the other student.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the course element; 3% of final grade; 5% reduction in final course grade; completion of one ethics course; and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized aids or assistance

Details: Based on the evidence (witnesses, test, course slides) and the interview with the student; the student was found guilty of premeditated cheating on a test.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the test (worth 20%); failure in the course; one term suspension; disciplinary probation. Note: Student had attended the Academic Integrity workshop for a previous infraction.

Description: Unauthorized aids or assistance

Details: Student used unauthorized aids/assistance during a test.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0% on test (worth 20%), failure in the course, and one term suspension.

Description: Unauthorized aids or assistance

Details: Student was caught with a phone during a midterm; the phone displayed images of course PowerPoint slides. The student was very remorseful and equally transparent discussing the issues they faced this term.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the course element (20% of course grade); 5% reduction in final course grade; disciplinary probation. The penalty was mitigated because: 1) the grade penalty is substantive and the student will not be able to drop this course; 2) The student was forthright in their explanation of the evidence; and 3) The student is in first-year and is adjusting to the university's expectations of academic integrity.

Description: Unauthorized aids or assistance

Details: The student used a cell phone in a tutorial. This was expressly forbidden according to the syllabus.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the tutorial and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized aids or assistance

Details: Student was found to have four pages of course assignment solutions and five hand-written notes within the allowed printed chapters of textbook during final exam. The student was very remorseful for error.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the final exam, failure in the course (46), a 1-term suspension, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized aids or assistance

Details: Proctors at AccessAbility Services found small sheets of paper containing relevant course information (printed in approximately size 5 font) in students pencil case, prior to an exam. These papers were removed before the student was allowed to start the exam. The student explained they were study notes, they forgot to remove from their pencil case.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: Disciplinary probation. Penalty was mitigated to only probation because it is recognized that the student has a habit of preparing notes in preparation for tests and the unauthorized aid was removed at the start of the test, so they could not benefit from them.

Description: Unauthorized aids or assistance

Details: During an exam student was found to have several small notes containing information relevant to the exam with them.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the exam; failure in the course; suspension for a portion of one term. This was reduced due to the students involvement on a UW athletic team; 40 hours of unpaid community service in a challenging position for an off-campus organization of student's choice (added to compensate for the reduced suspension duration); disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized aids or assistance

Details: Within 10 minutes of the start of course exam, instructor noticed a sheet of paper with hand-written calculations relevant to the exam content on students table. The exam cover sheet listed only a calculator and ruler as additional allowed materials. Student said there was a rumor going around that a cheat sheet was allowed and they didn't read the instructions on the cover sheet.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 5% reduction in the final course grade, and disciplinary probation. The course elements part of the conventional penalty were not imposed for the following reasons: 1) student did not hide their intention to use the additional sheet of equations they had prepared, 2) student received no known advantage of having the prepared sheet and 3) instructor recognized that student was surprised to learn that the extra equation sheet was unacceptable.

Description: Unauthorized aids or assistance

Details: Student was caught with textbook on the table, cheating on a quiz.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: 0% on quiz, 5 marks off total grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized aids or assistance

Details: The student brought in unauthorized aids (cheat notes) into their test.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: A grade of zero for the test; failure in the course (a 46 shall be assigned as a grade); one term suspension; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized aids or assistance

Details: Both students collaborated on two assignments where independent work was required.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Both students will receive a grade of zero on the assignments with a further 10% to be deducted from the final grade in the course. Both students are required to successfully complete an ethics course.

Description: Unauthorized aids or assistance

Details: Both students collaborated on two assignments where independent work was required.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Both students will receive a grade of zero on the assignments with a further 10% to be deducted from the final grade in the course. Both students are required to successfully complete an ethics course.

Description: Unauthorized aids or assistance

Details: Student looked at course notes on phone during exam. Student claimed unusual stress and sleep deprivation. Student claimed it was not a premeditated offence, as student accidentally left phone in pocket and was reminded that it was there when a notification caused it to buzz during the exam. Student then looked at course notes. A reminder of the prohibition of cell phone use was on the first page of the exam.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the final exam (30% of course grade); failure in the course; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized aids or assistance

Details: It was reported that some additional papers fell out of the student's exam while the student was handing in their answers. The additional pages contained a mixture of typed and handwritten notes relevant to the course material as well as solutions for the course assignments. Student apologized for error in judgment due to high stress in trying to meet readmission requirements.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the final exam; failure in the course (this grade will not be used in the calculation of the readmission term average); one-term suspension; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students excessively collaborated on an assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: Zero on collaborated questions, 5% deduction in overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students excessively collaborated on an assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Zero on collaborated questions, 5% deduction in overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students excessively collaborated on an assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Zero on collaborated questions, 5% deduction in overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students excessively collaborated on an assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Zero on collaborated questions, 5% deduction in overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students excessively collaborated on an assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Zero on collaborated questions, 5% deduction in overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students excessively collaborated on an assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Zero on collaborated questions, 5% deduction in overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students excessively collaborated on an assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: Zero on collaborated questions, 5% deduction in overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students submitted identical assignments.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: All received zero on the assignment and were placed on disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students submitted identical assignments.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: All received zero on the assignment and were placed on disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students submitted identical assignments.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: All received zero on the assignment and were placed on disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students submitted identical assignments.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: All received zero on the assignment and were placed on disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students submitted identical assignments.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: All received zero on the assignment and were placed on disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students submitted identical assignments.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: All received zero on the assignment and were placed on disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students submitted identical assignments.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: All received zero on the assignment and were placed on disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students submitted identical assignments.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: All received zero on the assignment and were placed on disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students submitted identical assignments.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: All received zero on the assignment and were placed on disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students submitted identical assignments.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: All received zero on the assignment and were placed on disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students submitted identical assignments.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: All received zero on the assignment and were placed on disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students submitted identical assignments.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: All received zero on the assignment and were placed on disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students submitted identical assignments.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: All received zero on the assignment and were placed on disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students submitted identical assignments.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: All received zero on the assignment and were placed on disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students submitted identical assignments.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: All received zero on the assignment and were placed on disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students submitted identical assignments.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: All received zero on the assignment and were placed on disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students submitted identical assignments.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: All received zero on the assignment and were placed on disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students submitted identical assignments.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: All received zero on the assignment and were placed on disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students submitted identical assignments.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: All received zero on the assignment and were placed on disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students submitted identical assignments.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: All received zero on the assignment and were placed on disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students submitted identical assignments.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: All received zero on the assignment and were placed on disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students submitted identical assignments.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: All received zero on the assignment and were placed on disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students submitted identical assignments.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: All received zero on the assignment and were placed on disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students submitted identical assignments.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: All received zero on the assignment and were placed on disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students submitted identical assignments.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: All received zero on the assignment and were placed on disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students submitted identical assignments.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: All received zero on the assignment and were placed on disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students submitted identical assignments.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: All received zero on the assignment and were placed on disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students submitted identical assignments.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: All received zero on the assignment and were placed on disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students submitted identical assignments.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: All received zero on the assignment and were placed on disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: This is a case involving unauthorized co-operation or collaboration.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the assignment and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: This is a case involving unauthorized co-operation or collaboration.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the assignment and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: This is a case involving unauthorized co-operation or collaboration.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the assignment and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: This is a case involving unauthorized co-operation or collaboration.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the assignment and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: This is a case involving unauthorized co-operation or collaboration.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the assignment and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: This is a case involving unauthorized co-operation or collaboration.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the assignment and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students collaborated on an assignment where independent work was required.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Both students will receive a grade of zero on the assignment, 5% course deduction, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students collaborated on an assignment where independent work was required.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Both students will receive a grade of zero on the assignment, 5% course deduction, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: This is a case regarding plagiarism on an assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: Zero on assignment, 5% course deduction, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: This is a case regarding plagiarism on an assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Zero on assignment, 5% course deduction, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: This is a case regarding plagiarism on an assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Zero on assignment, 5% course deduction, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: This is a case regarding plagiarism on an assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Zero on assignment, 5% course deduction, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: This is a case regarding plagiarism on an assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: Zero on assignment, 5% course deduction, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: This is a case regarding plagiarism on an assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: Zero on assignment, 5% course deduction, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students collaborated on an assignment (more than what was permitted).

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the assignment, 5% course deduction, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students collaborated on an assignment (more than what was permitted).

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the assignment, 5% course deduction, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students collaborated on an assignment (more than what was permitted).

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the assignment, 5% course deduction, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students collaborated on an assignment (more than what was permitted).

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the assignment, 5% course deduction, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students collaborated on an assignment (more than what was permitted).

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the assignment, 5% course deduction, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: The students collaborated excessively on an assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Both students will receive a grade of zero on the assignment, an additional five mark course deduction, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: The students collaborated excessively on an assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Both students will receive a grade of zero on the assignment, an additional five mark course deduction, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: This is a case regarding excessive collaboration on an assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: Zero on assignment, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: This is a case regarding excessive collaboration on an assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: Zero on assignment, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students excessively collaborated on an assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Zero on assignment.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students excessively collaborated on an assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Zero on assignment.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Two students were found guilty of submitting similar work for a variety of class assessments.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0% for each assignment (35% in total), and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student submitted a quiz that had similar responses to another student's quiz.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: 0% on quiz, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student submitted an assignment that was similar to another student's assignment. Student did not attend meeting to discuss allegations.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0% on assignment (cannot drop as lowest grade); 5% off final grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student submitted an assignment that was similar to another student's assignment. Student did not respond to request to attend meeting to discuss allegations.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 0% on assignment (cannot drop as lowest grade); 5% off final grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student submitted the work of another student as their own work. MOSS revealed similarities.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment; minus 5% off the final grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student gave assignment to a friend who copied it and submitted it as own work. MOSS revealed similarities.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment; minus 5% off the final grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student admitted to using assignment posted to GitHub to answer assignment. MOSS revealed similarities.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment; minus 5% off the final grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student admitted over email that they used an assignment solution found online to complete their assignment. MOSS revealed similarities.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment; minus 5% off the final grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: The two students copied code on a project that required independent work.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Both students will receive a grade of zero on the project with an additional five mark course deduction. Disciplinary probation is added for both students.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: The two students copied code on a project that required independent work.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Both students will receive a grade of zero on the project with an additional five mark course deduction. Disciplinary probation is added for both students.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: The students collaborated on a project by sharing code. Independent work was required.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Both students will receive a grade of zero on the project with a further five mark deduction from the course. Disciplinary probation is added for both.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: The students collaborated on a project by sharing code. Independent work was required.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Both students will receive a grade of zero on the project with a further five mark deduction from the course. Disciplinary probation is added for both.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Several answers on assignment were too similar to those submitted by another student. Student admitted to working with another student but did not feel the work done crossed the line into excessive collaboration. Conclusions were drafted separately. Student was very remorseful for error in judgment and had no intention to cheat.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Zero on questions that were too similar, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Several answers on assignment were too similar to those submitted by another student. Student admitted to working with another student but did not feel the work done crossed the line into excessive collaboration. Conclusions were drafted separately. Student was very remorseful for error in judgment and had no intention to cheat.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Zero on questions that were too similar, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: The students shared code and other parts of two projects when independent work was required.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Both students will receive grades of zero on the two projects with an additional 10 mark course deduction once all grading schemes have been applied. Disciplinary probation is added for both students.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: The students shared code and other parts of two projects when independent work was required.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Both students will receive grades of zero on the two projects with an additional 10 mark course deduction once all grading schemes have been applied. Disciplinary probation is added for both students.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Five students were involved to various degrees in excessive collaboration across three assignments.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Zero on one assignment, 5% deduction overall, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Five students were involved to various degrees in excessive collaboration across three assignments.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Zero on one assignments, 5% deduction overall, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Five students were involved to various degrees in excessive collaboration across three assignments.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** Not Specified

Penalty/Decision: Zero on certain questions, 5% deducted from overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Five students were involved to various degrees in excessive collaboration across three assignments.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Zero on one assignment, 5% deduction overall, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Five students were involved to various degrees in excessive collaboration across three assignments.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Zero on one assignment, 5% deduction overall, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Five students were involved to various degrees in excessive collaboration across three assignments.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Zero on one assignment, 5% deduction overall, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Assignments submitted by two students shared a number of very similar features. MOSS results show that there are 162 lines matched between their code.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: Zero on questions that were similar in assignments; an additional 5% deduction from the final grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Assignments submitted by two students shared a number of very similar features. MOSS results show that there are 162 lines matched between their code.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: Zero on questions that were similar in assignments; an additional 5% deduction from the final grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Assignments submitted by two students shared a number of very similar features. MOSS results show that there are 65 lines matched between their code.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: Zero on questions that were similar in assignments; an additional 5% deduction from the final grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Assignments submitted by two students shared a number of very similar features.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: Zero on questions that were similar in assignments; an additional 5% deduction from the final grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Assignments submitted by two students shared a number of very similar features. MOSS results show that there are 101 lines matched between their code.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Zero on questions that were similar in assignments; an additional 5% deduction from the final grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Assignments submitted by two students shared a number of very similar features. MOSS results show that there are 101 lines matched between their code.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Zero on questions that were similar in assignments; an additional 5% deduction from the final grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student submitted two parts of a final assignment. One part had a 97% similarity index and the other had a 42% similarity index to other student's papers.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0% on both assignment portions, 5% off final grade, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student submitted an assignment that was very similar to the assignment submitted by another student. Student claimed that this was the result of helping a friend who was struggling with the assignment. Student did not intend to deceive the instructor or cheat. Student did not know friend would copy assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the course element (10% of course grade); disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student submitted an assignment that was too similar to the submission of another student in the class, without acknowledging they worked with this student (as required by the course guidelines).

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the course element (10% of course grade); 5% deduction from the final course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: First situation: Students had almost identical answers to two questions on an assignment. Second situation: Students had very similar answers in an assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: First situation: Both students received zero on the assignment, 5% deduction overall, disciplinary probation. Second situation: Both students received disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: First situation: Students had almost identical answers to two questions on an assignment. Second situation: Students had very similar answers in an assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: First situation: Both students received zero on the assignment, 5% deduction overall, disciplinary probation. Second situation: Both students received disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: First situation: Students had almost identical answers to two questions on an assignment. Second situation: Students had very similar answers in an assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: First situation: Both students received zero on the assignment, 5% deduction overall, disciplinary probation. Second situation: Both students received disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: First situation: Students had almost identical answers to two questions on an assignment.

Second situation: Students had very similar answers in an assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: First situation: Both students received zero on the assignment, 5% deduction overall, disciplinary probation. Second situation: Both students received disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: This case regarding excessive collaboration on two assignments.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on each of the collaborated questions and 5% reduction in their overall grade.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: This case regarding excessive collaboration on two assignments.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on each of the collaborated questions and 5% reduction in their overall grade.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: This is a case regarding excessive collaboration on an assignment. Both students claimed that they did all of the work on their own, and that any similarities are pure coincidence. However, the level of similarity goes beyond a coincidence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: A grade of zero on the collaborated questions and a deduction of 5 marks from course grade.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: This is a case regarding excessive collaboration on an assignment. Both students claimed that they did all of the work on their own, and that any similarities are pure coincidence. However, the level of similarity goes beyond a coincidence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: A grade of zero on the collaborated questions and a deduction of 5 marks from course grade.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: On class assignments, the submissions from two students were nearly identical. This includes unusual things like identical spelling mistakes, unnecessary brackets, and ascii values vs actual characters. It seems unlikely that two students would independently write code with this level of similarity.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Student one: Zero on one question in one assignment, 5% deduction overall and disciplinary probation. Student two: Zero on two questions in two assignments, 5% deduction overall. This was a second offense.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: On class assignments, the submissions from two students were nearly identical. This includes unusual things like identical spelling mistakes, unnecessary brackets, and ascii values vs actual characters. It seems unlikely that two students would independently write code with this level of similarity.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Student one: Zero on one question in one assignment, 5% deduction overall and disciplinary probation. Student two: Zero on two questions in two assignments, 5% deduction overall. This was a second offense.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: In a routine review of student submissions for an assignment, it became apparent that the code submitted by two students shared a number of very similar features. MOSS results show that there are 220 lines matched between their code.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: Zero on assignment, 5% deduction overall, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: This is a case involving excessive collaboration on an assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Zero on collaborated question in assignment, 5% off the final grade, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: This is a case regarding excessive collaboration.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Zero on question in assignment, 5% deduction in course grade, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: This is a case regarding excessive collaboration.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Zero on collaborated question in assignment, 5% reduction in final grade, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: In a routine review of student submissions for an assignment, it became apparent that the code submitted by two students shared a number of very similar features. MOSS results show that there are 220 lines matched between their code.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: Student one: zero on assignment, 5% deduction off course grade and disciplinary probation. Student two: zero on assignment, and disciplinary probation. Student two did not receive 5% reduction in final grade because they were remorseful, learned their lesson and made efforts to re-do the assignments for their own benefit/learning. The student feared they would fail this course with the additional 5% deduction.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: In a routine review of student submissions for an assignment, it became apparent that the code submitted by two students shared a number of very similar features. MOSS results show that there are 220 lines matched between their code.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: Student one: zero on assignment, 5% deduction off course grade and disciplinary probation. Student two: zero on assignment, and disciplinary probation. Student two did not receive 5% reduction in final grade because they were remorseful, learned their lesson and made efforts to re-do the assignments for their own benefit/learning. The student feared they would fail this course with the additional 5% deduction.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students submitted assignments that are extremely similar in two particular parts. It is very unlikely to have happened by chance - therefore, copying is suspected.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Zero on copied questions and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students submitted assignments that are extremely similar in two particular parts. It is very unlikely to have happened by chance - therefore, copying is suspected.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Zero on copied questions and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students submitted assignments that are extremely similar in two particular parts. It is very unlikely to have happened by chance - therefore, copying is suspected.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Zero on copied questions and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students submitted assignments that are extremely similar in two particular parts. It is very unlikely to have happened by chance - therefore, copying is suspected.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Zero on copied questions and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students submitted assignments that are extremely similar in two particular parts. It is very unlikely to have happened by chance - therefore, copying is suspected.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Zero on copied questions and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student submitted a report that was too similar to their lab partner's report to be considered an independent submission. There is significant overlap in the wording of reports, many identical typos and errors, all the calculations are identical, and, graphs appear to be copies of lab partner's graphs. Lab was worth 9% of the course grade. Student recognized that they had worked too closely together and insisted there was no intention of cheating.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the calculations and questions (i.e., earned grade for graphs and conclusion); if zero triggers a course failure, then a final course grade of 50 will be assigned; disciplinary probation. The conventional penalty was not imposed for the following reasons: 1) errors were limited to the calculations and graphs, 2) student is in first year, and 3) student was very remorseful for error in judgement.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student submitted a report that was too similar to their lab partner's report to be considered an independent submission. There is significant overlap in the wording of reports, many identical typos and errors, all the calculations are identical, and, graphs appear to be copies of lab partner's graphs. Lab was worth 9% of the course grade. Student recognized that they had worked too closely together and insisted there was no intention of cheating.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the calculations and questions (i.e., earned grade for graphs and conclusion); if zero triggers a course failure, then a final course grade of 50 will be assigned; disciplinary probation. The conventional penalty was not imposed for the following reasons: 1) errors were limited to the calculations and graphs, 2) student is in first year, and 3) student was very remorseful for error in judgement.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student submitted a report that was too similar to their lab partner's report to be considered an independent submission. There is significant overlap in the wording of reports, many identical typos and errors, all the calculations are identical, and, graphs appear to be copies of lab partner's graphs. Lab was worth 9% of the course grade. Student recognized that they had worked too closely together and insisted there was no intention of cheating.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the calculations (12/50) and graphs (10/50) (4% of course grade); if zero triggers a course failure, then a final course grade of 50 will be assigned; disciplinary probation. The conventional penalty was not imposed for the following reasons: 1) errors were limited to the calculations and graphs, 2) student is in first year, and 3) student was very remorseful for error in judgement.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student submitted a report that was too similar to their lab partner's report to be considered an independent submission. There is significant overlap in the wording of reports, many identical typos and errors, all the calculations are identical, and, graphs appear to be copies of lab partner's graphs. Lab was worth 9% of the course grade. Student recognized that they had worked too closely together and insisted there was no intention of cheating.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the calculations (12/50) and graphs (10/50) (4% of course grade); if zero triggers a course failure, then a final course grade of 50 will be assigned; disciplinary probation. The conventional penalty was not imposed for the following reasons: 1) errors were limited to the calculations and graphs, 2) student is in first year, and 3) student was very remorseful for error in judgement.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Unauthorized collaboration occurred between the two students. Student 2 (second offence) copied from student 1.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Both students will receive a zero on the project, with an additional five mark deduction overall, and will be placed on disciplinary probation. Student 2 (second offence) is required to complete an ethics course from a list provided by the Associate Dean, earning a grade of at least a 60%. The course may not be used toward any degree requirements and is to be taken over and above course requirements.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Unauthorized collaboration occurred between the two students. Student 2 (second offence) copied from student 1.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Both students will receive a zero on the project, with an additional five mark deduction overall, and will be placed on disciplinary probation. Student 2 (second offence) is required to complete an ethics course from a list provided by the Associate Dean, earning a grade of at least a 60%. The course may not be used toward any degree requirements and is to be taken over and above course requirements.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student submitted a lab report containing several problems consistent with excessive collaboration. It appears that the report resembles components of a report submitted by another student. This report was worth 6% of the final course grade. Student was very forthcoming as to how the reports resembled one another and took full responsibility for error in judgement.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the lab report; 5% deduction from the final course grade (if this penalty triggers a course failure, final grade will be 50); disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student submitted a lab report containing several problems consistent with excessive collaboration. It appears that the report resembles components of a report submitted by another student. Student admitted they lent their report to a friend but insisted they not copy work. Student was very remorseful for actions.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Student to provide 25 hours of unpaid community service in a challenging position for an off-campus organization of their choice. Failure to complete these hours by the approved deadline will result in the conventional penalty for excessive collaboration: zero on the report (6% of final grade) and 5% reduction in final course grade. Student is also placed on disciplinary probation. The conventional penalty was not imposed in this case because: 1) student was on conditional standing and had been working hard to improve grades and; 2) student told friend not to copy any of the report; and 3) student was remorseful for error in judgement.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: The codes submitted by two students shared a number of very similar features. MOSS results show that for assignment 1 there were 93 lines matched between their code with 57% similarity, for assignment 2 there are 328 lines matched between their code with 71% similarity.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the assignment, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: The codes submitted by two students shared a number of very similar features. MOSS results show that for assignment 1 there were 93 lines matched between their code with 57% similarity, for assignment 2 there are 328 lines matched between their code with 71% similarity.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the assignment, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students found guilty of excessive collaboration. This student was the original author of the work submitted.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the assignment (worth 5%), and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students found guilty of excessive collaboration. This student copied from the other student.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the assignment (worth 5%), 5% reduction in overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students found guilty of excessive collaboration/plagiarism.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Zero on lab report (weight 10%), 5% off final grade in course, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: The students excessively collaborated on an assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Both students will receive a grade of zero on the assignment and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: The students excessively collaborated on an assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Both students will receive a grade of zero on the assignment and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student submitted an assignment that has a high similarity score to an assignment submitted when they took the course in a previous term.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: 5 hours community service; 1 page reflection; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student asked another student to complete data analysis and submitted it as own work.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: Final grade of 35%; Failure to Complete (FTC) for course; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Three students excessively collaborated on an assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Two students received zero on the assignment and were put on disciplinary probation. The case was dropped for the other student.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Three students excessively collaborated on an assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Two students received zero on the assignment and were put on disciplinary probation. The case was dropped for the other student.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Three students excessively collaborated on an assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: Two students received zero on the assignment and were put on disciplinary probation. The case was dropped for the other student.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students collaborated excessively on an assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: All students received zero on the assignment with an additional five mark deduction. Disciplinary probation is added.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students collaborated excessively on an assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: All students received zero on the assignment with an additional five mark deduction. Disciplinary probation is added.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students collaborated excessively on an assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: All students received zero on the assignment with an additional five mark deduction. Disciplinary probation is added.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students submitted solutions to an assignment, whose written components are essentially identical copies of each other. Student one admitted to taking the file of student two without their consent.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: Student one received zero on the assignment, 5 mark deduction in overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students collaborated more than what was permitted according to the instructor's guidelines on a number of questions in one assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the questions that contained the collaboration, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students collaborated more than what was permitted according to the instructor's guidelines on a number of questions in one assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the questions that contained the collaboration, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students collaborated more than what was permitted according to the instructor's guidelines on a number of questions in one assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the questions that contained the collaboration, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students collaborated more than what was permitted according to the instructor's guidelines on a number of questions in one assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the questions that contained the collaboration, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students collaborated more than what was permitted according to the instructor's guidelines on a number of questions in one assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the questions that contained the collaboration, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students collaborated more than what was permitted according to the instructor's guidelines on a number of questions in one assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the questions that contained the collaboration, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students answers to online Quizzes are unusually similar and consistent with another student in the class. Students admitted to working together.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: Students will provide 25 hours of unpaid community service in a challenging position for an off-campus organization of their choice; disciplinary probation. The conventional penalty was not imposed because student indicated they wanted to drop the course.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students answers to online Quizzes are unusually similar and consistent with another student in the class. Students admitted to working together.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: Students will provide 25 hours of unpaid community service in a challenging position for an off-campus organization of their choice; disciplinary probation. The conventional penalty was not imposed because student indicated they wanted to drop the course.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: This is a case regarding cheating on an assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Zero on two questions, 5% off total course grade, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: This is a case regarding cheating on an assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Zero on two questions, 5% off total course grade, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student used previous student's work in their assignment. This was a first offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the assignment; a further 5 marks off the final grade in the course; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: The students collaborated more than was permitted according to the instructor's course rules and the syllabus.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: Both students received a zero on the assignment (worth 9% of the final grade) and were placed on disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: The students collaborated more than was permitted according to the instructor's course rules and the syllabus.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: Both students received a zero on the assignment (worth 9% of the final grade) and were placed on disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students excessively collaborated on assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Zero on collaborated question in assignment, 5% deduction overall, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students excessively collaborated on assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Zero on collaborated question in assignment, 5% deduction overall, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students excessively collaborated on assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Zero on collaborated question in assignment, 5% deduction overall, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students excessively collaborated on assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Zero on collaborated question in assignment, 5% deduction overall, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students excessively collaborated on assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: Zero on collaborated question in assignment, 5% deduction overall, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students excessively collaborated on assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Zero on collaborated question in assignment, 5% deduction overall, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students excessively collaborated on assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Zero on collaborated question in assignment, 5% deduction overall, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students excessively collaborated on assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Zero on collaborated question in assignment, 5% deduction overall, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students excessively collaborated on assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Zero on collaborated question in assignment, 5% deduction overall, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student submitted a lab report that had a 41% similarity to a report submitted by another student enrolled in the course.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the discussion (2% of the final grade); 5% off the final course grade; disciplinary probation. The course element part of the penalty was mitigated to zero on just the Discussion section for the following reasons: 1) the copied text was in the discussion of the report; and 2) student took full responsibility of errors.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student submitted a lab report that had a 41% similarity to a report submitted by another student enrolled in the course.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the discussion (2% of the final grade); 5% off the final course grade; disciplinary probation. The course element part of the penalty was mitigated to zero on just the Discussion section for the following reasons: 1) the copied text was in the discussion of the report; and 2) student took full responsibility of errors.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: This is a case regarding cheating on a question in an assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: Zero on question in assignment, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: This is a case regarding cheating on a question in an assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: Zero on question in assignment, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: This is a case regarding cheating on a question in an assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: Zero on question in assignment, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: This is a case regarding cheating on a question in an assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: Zero on question in assignment, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: In a routine review of student submissions for an assignment, it became apparent that the code submitted by two students shared a number of very similar features. MOSS results show that there are 256 lines matched between their code.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: Zero on assignment, 2% deduction overall, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: In a routine review of student submissions for an assignment, it became apparent that the code submitted by two students shared a number of very similar features. MOSS results show that there are 256 lines matched between their code.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: Zero on assignment, 2% deduction overall, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: The portion of a group project submitted by the student was a verbatim match to a portion of that submitted by another group. The student admitted to group members that it was copied.

Although the student's group members discovered the plagiarism and excessive collaboration prior to final submission and entirely replaced the offending passages with new work, the student's actions remain an academic offence. Circumstances suggest that an escalated penalty is warranted as student suggested hiding the plagiarism when confronted by group.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the course element; an additional 10% off the final course grade; disciplinary probation for the remainder of the student's undergraduate career.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student submitted a bonus assignment, some portions overlapped in use of sources and in the flow of the argument to that submitted by another student.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the course element; disciplinary probation for the remainder of the student's undergraduate career. The 5% deduction from the course grade was deemed to be excessive given the particular circumstances of the case.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: The student submitted a draft group assignment that Turnitin flagged for similar wording to that of another team. This similar content was not in the final submissions. In meeting with the Associate Dean, the student indicated that the student discussed the content of the assignment with another student who was a member of the other team concerned. The student noted that the student sought "inspiration" from the other student, then could not remember what they said, so copied the material from their open and unattended laptop.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the course element; 10% deduction from the final course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: The student acknowledged that they received the assignment solution from another student in the class and submitted it. They have recognized what they did was wrong and made a poor judgement under the circumstances of pending deadline and laptop malfunction.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the assignment and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: The student acknowledged that they gave the assignment solution to another student in the class. They have recognized what they did was wrong and made a poor judgement under the circumstances of helping a friend.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the assignment and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Two students excessively collaborated on an assessment that required independent work.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Both students will receive a grade of zero on the assessment worth 10% of the final grade. Disciplinary probation is added for both students.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Two students excessively collaborated on an assessment that required independent work.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Both students will receive a grade of zero on the assessment worth 10% of the final grade. Disciplinary probation is added for both students.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Excessive collaboration on two assignments.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Zero on both assignments, 5% grade reduction overall and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Excessive collaboration on two assignments.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: Zero on one assignment, 5% grade reduction overall and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Excessive collaboration was reported. One student asked another to scan and send the assignment as a favour. The other student copied the assignment. Only the copying student was found guilty.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the assignment, 5% deducted overall, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student submitted assignment with answers that were identical to those submitted by another student. The student admitted to copying another students function. They were upfront and honest with the situation which was commendable and agreed to accept the consequence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Standard penalty of 0 on the assignment, minus 5% to their final grade and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student allowed another student to copy answers from the assignment before submitting it for grading. Student admitted to wrongdoing.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Standard penalty of 0 on the assignment, minus 5% to their final grade and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student submitted assignment which appeared to have been copied from another student. Student admitted to discussing strategies with other student and claimed that professor was aware. Professor denied allegations.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Grade of zero, 5% deduction on overall grade and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Two students submitted assignments with too many similarities. They acknowledged that they shared their assignments with each other to test their answers.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Zero on assignment, 5% deducted from overall grade and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Two students submitted assignments with too many similarities. They acknowledged that they shared their assignments with each other to test their answers.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Zero on assignment, 5% deducted from overall grade and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Assignment submitted by two students shared a large number of similar features. One student admitted to copying from the other due to being over-extended with interviews and midterm exams. Both students were extremely remorseful and were honest about their actions.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the assignment and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Assignment submitted by two students shared a large number of similar features. One student admitted to copying from the other due to being over-extended with interviews and midterm exams. Both students were extremely remorseful and were honest about their actions.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the assignment and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Two students submitted assignments that were similar to each other. One student acknowledged getting stuck on the assignment, and asking the other for help. Students claimed that only ideas were discussed, but the results were identical. The course has a zero collaboration policy for some questions, and this was one of them. The students agreed that they had collaborated on the assignment in violation of the no collaboration policy.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Zero on assignment, 5% deducted from overall grade and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Two students submitted assignments that were similar to each other. One student acknowledged getting stuck on the assignment, and asking the other for help. Students claimed that only ideas were discussed, but the results were identical. The course has a zero collaboration policy for some questions, and this was one of them. The students agreed that they had collaborated on the assignment in violation of the no collaboration policy.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Zero on assignment, 5% deducted from overall grade and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: The student submitted an assignment that read almost identical to the summary submitted by the student's partner in many sections of the report. One student was experiencing a stressful time and asked for assistance from the other student. The other student claimed to only allow the student to read the assignment, and wasn't sure how the submissions were so alike. Other student claimed to want to help, and was not intending on allowing student to copy so much.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the course element (2.5% of course grade) and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student copied work of partner. Student claimed to be under a great deal of stress. Student was remorseful and admitted that student should have taken a late penalty rather than copy work of a friend.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the course element (2.5% of course grade); 5% off the final course grade; and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student was found to have excessively collaborated on an assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the assignment, disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student was found to have excessively collaborated on an assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the assignment, disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student was found to have excessively collaborated on an assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the assignment, disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student was found to have excessively collaborated on an assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the assignment, disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student was found to have excessively collaborated on an assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the assignment, disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student was found to have excessively collaborated on an assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the assignment, disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student was found to have committed plagiarism on an assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Zero on assignment, 5% deducted from overall grade, disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student was found to have collaborated excessively with another student.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Zero on section of assignment and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student was found to have collaborated excessively with another student.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Zero on section of assignment and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student plagiarized work of another student who had previously taken the course. Student claimed that both students worked independently and the similarities between the assignments were due to a printing error.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 50% off earned grade on assignment, 5% off final grade, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student submitted assignments that were the same as those submitted by a student who had taken the course in previous years. The course syllabus explicitly prohibited that. Student claimed that both students worked independently and the similarities between the assignments were due to a printing error.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the assignments, 5% reduction in final course grade and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students found guilty of unauthorized collaboration.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 50% of earned grade penalty and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students found guilty of unauthorized collaboration.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 50% of earned grade penalty and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student submitted same answers to assignment as another student. Student was remorseful and forthcoming with evidence. One student was trying to assist the other who was struggling with the course work.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the course element; 3% of final grade; and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: The students collaborated on an assignment that required independent work.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: The students will receive zero on the assignment with a five mark course deduction. Disciplinary probation is added for all.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: The students collaborated on an assignment that required independent work.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: The students will receive zero on the assignment with a five mark course deduction. Disciplinary probation is added for all.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: The students collaborated on an assignment that required independent work.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: The students will receive zero on the assignment with a five mark course deduction. Disciplinary probation is added for all.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student submitted assignment that was noted as being too similar to another student's assignment by MOSS.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Grade of zero on one question; 5% deduction overall; and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Excessive collaboration on an assignment. Wording used is the same including spelling mistakes (three students).

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: Zero on assignment, 5% deduction overall, disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Excessive collaboration on an assignment. Wording used is the same including spelling mistakes (three students).

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: Zero on assignment, 5% deduction overall, disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Excessive collaboration on an assignment. Wording used is the same including spelling mistakes (three students).

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: Zero on assignment, 5% deduction overall, disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Excessive collaboration on an assignment. Sections of assignment are identical.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** Not Specified

Penalty/Decision: Zero on assignment, 5% deduction overall, disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Excessive collaboration on an assignment. Sections of assignment are identical.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** Not Specified

Penalty/Decision: Zero on assignment, 5% deduction overall, disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: One student sent an assignment to another student who submitted it as their own work for a grade.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Both students will receive a grade of zero on the assignment with an additional five mark course deduction. Disciplinary probation is added for both students.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: One student sent an assignment to another student who submitted it as their own work for a grade.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Both students will receive a grade of zero on the assignment with an additional five mark course deduction. Disciplinary probation is added for both students.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student submitted an assignment in which the answers to three questions were substantially identical – same basic wording, same ideas presented in the same order, and to the same level of detail – to those submitted by two other students in the class. Comparison to other randomly selected assignments from the same class confirmed that the similarities among the answers could not be a coincidence. Student did not contest the allegation. This was a first offence.

Degree: Undergraduate

Year: 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment; a further 5 marks off the final grade in the course; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student submitted an assignment in which the answers to three questions were substantially identical – same basic wording, same ideas presented in the same order, and to the same level of detail – to those submitted by two other students in the class. Comparison to other randomly selected assignments from the same class confirmed that the similarities among the answers could not be a coincidence. Student did not contest the allegation. This was a first offence.

Degree: Undergraduate

Year: 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment; a further 5 marks off the final grade in the course; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student submitted an assignment in which the answers to three questions were substantially identical – same basic wording, same ideas presented in the same order, and to the same level of detail – to those submitted by two other students in the class. Comparison to other randomly selected assignments from the same class confirmed that the similarities among the answers could not be a coincidence. Student did not contest the allegation. This was a first offence.

Degree: Undergraduate

Year: 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment; a further 5 marks off the final grade in the course; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Two students (assigned to different discussion groups) submitted online scenario commentary posts that were substantially identical in content, making the same points in the same order, and using virtually identical wording. The post is worth 5% of the final grade. Student 1 did not dispute the allegation, stating that both students previously overlooked the assignment, and completed it together just before the deadline, each submitting answers at the same time. This was a first offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment; a further 5 marks off the final grade in the course; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Two students (assigned to different discussion groups) submitted online scenario commentary posts that were substantially identical in content, making the same points in the same order, and using virtually identical wording. The post is worth 5% of the final grade. Student 1 did not dispute the allegation, stating that both students previously overlooked the assignment, and completed it together just before the deadline, each submitting answers at the same time. Student claimed that no instructions were given that would prohibit this activity. This was a first offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment; a further 5 marks off the final grade in the course; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Several students worked together on an assignment, which was not allowed. This was a first offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment; a further 5 marks off the final grade in the course; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student was found guilty of submitting similar work for a variety of class assessments, indicating unauthorized collaboration.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0% for each assignment and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student submitted report that had a 67% match to a report submitted by another student in the class. Student had offered to help a friend and had no idea that peer had copied most of report. Student had a sincere interest in helping friend to succeed and other student took advantage of this.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 5% deduction from final course grade; and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized resubmission of work

Details: Student submitted work that had been previously submitted in another course. Student did not respond to request for meeting. This was a first offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment; a further 5 marks off the final grade in the course; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized resubmission of work

Details: Student submitted report with 48% similarity to a previous report. Much of the analysis, conclusions and recommendations are the same.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: Student was given one week to resubmit their work with no content from their prior submission.

Description: Unauthorized resubmission of work

Details: Turnitin similarity analysis reported a 58% similarity score to a report the student submitted when they took the course in a previous term. The student was not aware that they were unable to use previous labs.

Degree: Undergraduate

Year: 3

Penalty/Decision: 5% reduction in the final course grade, and disciplinary probation. A mitigated penalty has been imposed because the student did not recognize that their work cannot be re-submitted and they were transparent with the teaching assistants about their actions.

Description: Unauthorized resubmission of work

Details: Student re-used text from a previous terms lab report. They were not aware of self-plagiarism and thought that using their own work would do no harm. They took full responsibility and said they learned their lesson.

Degree: Undergraduate

Year: 2

Penalty/Decision: 5% reduction in the final course grade, and disciplinary probation. A mitigated penalty has been imposed because the student did not recognize that their work cannot be re-submitted and they were transparent with the teaching assistants about their actions.

Description: Unauthorized resubmission of work

Details: Student submitted a report that had a Turnitin similarity index of 88% to a report they submitted (for the same course) in a previous term. They received a grade for the previous report before dropping the course. The student did not know that the resubmission of work, for which they had not received credit, was not allowed.

Degree: Undergraduate

Year: 4

Penalty/Decision: 5% reduction in final course grade, and disciplinary probation. A mitigated penalty has been imposed because the student did not recognize that their own work cannot be re-submitted.

Description: Unauthorized resubmission of work

Details: This is a case regarding excessive collaboration on a question in an assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate

Year: 1

Penalty/Decision: Zero on question in assignment, 5% deduction in course grade, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized resubmission of work

Details: In a routine review of student submissions for an assignment, it became apparent that the code submitted by two students shared a number of very similar features. MOSS results show that there are 71 lines matched between their code with nearly identical code, same order most of the time, just different names.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: Student one: Zero on the assignment, 5% deduction overall and disciplinary probation. Student two: Zero on the assignment and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized resubmission of work

Details: In a routine review of student submissions for an assignment, it became apparent that the code submitted by two students shared a number of very similar features. MOSS results show that there are 71 lines matched between their code with nearly identical code, same order most of the time, just different names.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: Student one: Zero on the assignment, 5% deduction overall and disciplinary probation. Student two: Zero on the assignment and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized resubmission of work

Details: Student submitted an assignment containing uncited material from a previous submission.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: The student will receive a zero on the project and will remain on disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized resubmission of work

Details: Student submitted a lab report that showed 49% similarity to the lab report they submitted in a previously term, in the same course. In particular, descriptions of the methods and answers to the discussion questions were copied essentially verbatim. This lab report is worth 50% of the course lab component; the lab is worth 20% of the final course grade.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the lab report (10% of the final course grade); If the zero triggers a failure in the lab component of the course, a final lab grade of 50% will be assigned; disciplinary probation. The conventional penalty was modified and mitigated for the following reasons: 1) failure in the lab report would trigger failure in the course and require student to enroll in the course for a third time; 2) this is their first academic misconduct offence; 3) the lab report and required discussion questions were the same as the lab they student did the previous year, making it challenging to re-word the fundamental information; 4) no draft Turnitin analysis was made available to the class; and 5) there was perhaps some misunderstanding between student and TA about what 'trying your best to reword' meant.

Description: Unauthorized resubmission of work

Details: Student submitted work they had previously submitted in another course. Student accepted responsibility for their actions. This was a first offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the assignment and disciplinary probation. 5% reduction in course grade has been waived because of the high grade value of the assignment, as well as evidence supporting the student's assertion of being unaware of the misconduct, and the fact they

Description: Unauthorized resubmission of work

Details: Student is guilty of submitting work which had been previously submitted.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 50% of earned grade on the assignment (worth 20%), and an Academic Integrity workshop.

Description: Unauthorized resubmission of work

Details: The Results, Discussion and Questions sections of lab report, are essentially identical to the corresponding sections of the report on the same experiment that this student submitted in a previous course/term.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the Results, Discussion and Questions sections of lab report (6% of the final course grade), and disciplinary probation. The conventional penalty was mitigated for the following reasons: 1) the only bone fide copied text was in these sections of the essay whereas the Introduction was new and 2) student took full responsibility for errors.

Description: Unauthorized resubmission of work

Details: Student was found guilty of resubmitting prior academic work without authorization to do so.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: Grade reduction in course and continued disciplinary probation. Student will be allowed to submit work report.

Description: Unauthorized resubmission of work

Details: Student is guilty of unauthorized resubmission of work (less than 25% of the assignment).

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 50% of earned grade, and continued disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized resubmission of work

Details: Student failed to complete the Academic Integrity Workshop.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Addition of disciplinary probation to original penalty and 0% on assignment (assignment worth 25%).

Description: Unauthorized resubmission of work

Details: Student guilty of resubmitting work without authorization to do so.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 50% of earned grade (assignment worth 25%) and Academic Integrity Workshop.

Description: Unauthorized use of equipment

Details: Student used their LEARN TA privileges to write students enrolled in two courses in multiple academic terms. They did this for their personal benefit (to seek nominations for a TA award). The student indicated they had no malicious intent in mind when sending the email to students to encourage them to nominate them for this award.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: The student lost their TA privileges while their status is Faculty undergraduate or Faculty post-degree student. Student to provide 25 hours of unpaid community service in a challenging position for an approved organization of their choice. Disciplinary probation is added. The typical penalty for this offence includes an apology and community service. The apology was replaced with a loss of TA privileges because student appeared to appreciate why their actions were inappropriate and displayed a lack of insight regarding a TA's role and privileges.

Description: Unethical behaviour

Details: Student submitted multiple assignments containing swearing on assignments.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: Student was placed on disciplinary probation.

Description: Unethical behaviour

Details: Student is guilty of infringing upon the integrity values set out by the University.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Letter of apology and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unethical behaviour

Details: This case involved a falsified resume.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 5

Penalty/Decision: Student was suspended for two terms.

Description: Unethical behaviour

Details: Three students claimed to be too sick to write a test, but wrote another test one hour later on the same day.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: All were placed on disciplinary probation.

Description: Unethical behaviour

Details: Three students claimed to be too sick to write a test, but wrote another test one hour later on the same day.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: All were placed on disciplinary probation.

Description: Unethical behaviour

Details: Three students claimed to be too sick to write a test, but wrote another test one hour later on the same day.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: All were placed on disciplinary probation.

Description: Unethical behaviour

Details: Student was matched with the employer and reneged on the position several days later. This is the student's last co-op term. Before the penalty could be applied, the student was accidentally matched with a second employer, and accepted the position.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: UU (Unemployed, on-own university imposed) and a failed work term (NCR on COOP5). A failed work term will not affect student's ability to graduate with a co-op degree, since they already met co-op requirements for the double degree program.

Description: Unethical behaviour

Details: Student was scheduled for a work term, but no employer evaluation was submitted for the term. When contacted at the end of the term, the student indicated that the student had not worked during the term due to illness. CECA was not informed of this, and no verification of illness was submitted. Student claimed this was agreed to by student and employer. Student misrepresented the situation and presented false information to CECA regarding the term.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Student was removed from co-op as student could not meet requirements to remain in co-op. Student was also required to complete an ethics course before graduation, obtaining at least a 60%.

Description: Unethical behaviour

Details: Student submitted a verification of illness form to get out of an exam at 11:30, having just written an exam for another course at 10:00. This was a first offence, and rather than submitting false statement, it was considered to be a failure to disclose relevant information.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: Grade changed to WD.

Description: Unethical behaviour

Details: The student continued to contact a co-op employer after being asked to stop.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: The student will be placed on disciplinary probation.

Description: Unethical behaviour

Details: Student was posting antagonistic comments and hacked into a forum to post these comments.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Disciplinary probation.

Description: Unethical behaviour

Details: The student participated in unethical behaviour.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: The student will be suspended for one term and was required to complete an ethics course. The student was placed on disciplinary probation.

Description: Violation of examination regulations

Details: Despite dropping the course, student attended the final exam and proceeded to answer the questions until being asked to stop and exit out of the exam (after about 1 hour). Student was remorseful for error in judgement.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: Student violated the UWaterloo exam guidelines by attending the final exam when they were no longer enrolled in the course; however, there is no evidence they did this to cheat. Penalty was mitigated while acknowledging that the student will have an advantage

if they re-take the course. If the student re-takes the course, they must obtain a grade of at least 60 for the course to count toward degree credit count. Disciplinary probation is added.

Description: Violation of examination regulations

Details: Lab demonstrator and instructor saw student looking at multiple neighbouring student's exams during midterm. Numerous concerns were raised when comparing student's exam and the other student's exams.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 0% on midterm; 5 marks off total grade (not resulting in course failure); disciplinary probation.

Description: Violation of examination regulations

Details: On two separate tests, student continued to transfer answers to Scranton card after the close of the test period. Student ignored instructions written on the test and that were announced verbally. When asked to stop writing, the student continued and the Scranton card/pencil needed to be forcibly removed. Student did not respond to three requests to meet with the Associate Dean to discuss this allegation. The third request for a meeting indicated that if student failed to attend they would receive the maximum penalty for violation of exam regulations based on Policy 71 guidelines.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: First test will be calculated using the 'Grade 1' calculation as outlined in the course syllabus; zero on second test; 5% reduction in final course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Violation of examination regulations

Details: The student brought in cheat notes to the final examination being written in AccessAbility Services.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: One term suspension. Student must retake the course. Disciplinary probation.

Description: Violation of examination regulations

Details: Two students opportunistically cheated during the final examination.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Student 1 will receive a 5% deduction from the course grade and disciplinary probation.

Description: Violation of examination regulations

Details: Two students opportunistically cheated during the final examination.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Student 2 will receive zero on the examination and disciplinary probation.
