



# University of Waterloo

Committee on Student Appeals (UCSA)  
Summary of Discipline Cases – 2015-2016

## ACADEMIC

- Academic or admission fraud
- Altering or falsifying a relevant document
- Cheating
- Contravention of statute
- Impersonation
- Misrepresentation
- Other
- Obtaining confidential academic materials
- Plagiarism
- Theft of intellectual property
- Unauthorized aids or assistance
- Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration
- Unauthorized resubmission of work
- Violation of examination regulations

## NON-ACADEMIC

- Contravention of a statute
- Disruptive, dangerous, aggressive or threatening behaviour
- Infringing unreasonably on the work of others
- Mischief
- Misuse of University resources
- Other
- Theft
- Unauthorized use of equipment
- Unethical behaviour

**Description:** Academic or admission fraud

**Details:** You subsequently admitted to purchasing other fraudulent documents from another source that you had not used.

**Degree:** Undergraduate                      **Year:** 3

**Penalty/Decision:** Permanent refusal of readmission to the Faculty. Two-term suspension, starting fall 2016 or winter 2016. Disciplinary Probation.

---

**Description:** Academic or admission fraud

**Details:** Student filed fraudulent disease diagnosis from another country.

**Degree:** Undergraduate                      **Year:** 3

**Penalty/Decision:** Suspension, 2 terms.

---

**Description:** Academic or admission fraud

**Details:** Student filed fraudulent disease diagnosis from another country.

**Degree:** Undergraduate                      **Year:** 3

**Penalty/Decision:** Expulsion from UW.

---

**Description:** Academic or admission fraud

**Details:** Student submitted fraudulent documents as part of application for readmission.

**Degree:** Undergraduate                      **Year:** 3

**Penalty/Decision:** Expulsion from UW.

---

**Description:** Academic or admission fraud

**Details:** Student submitted fraudulent documents as part of their petition.

**Degree:** Undergraduate                      **Year:** 3

**Penalty/Decision:** Expulsion from UW.

---

**Description:** Academic or admission fraud

**Details:** Student submitted fraudulent documents as part of their petition.

**Degree:** Undergraduate                      **Year:** 2

**Penalty/Decision:** Expulsion.

---

**Description:** Academic or admission fraud

**Details:** Student submitted fraudulent documents in their petition.

**Degree:** Undergraduate                      **Year:** 2

**Penalty/Decision:** Six term suspension.

---

**Description:** Academic or admission fraud

**Details:** Student submitted fraudulent death certificates of their family member.

**Degree:** Undergraduate                      **Year:** 2

**Penalty/Decision:** 6 term suspension. Disciplinary Probation.

---

**Description:** Academic or admission fraud

**Details:** Student submitted fraudulent documents to the Faculty for their petition.

**Degree:** Undergraduate                      **Year:** 4

**Penalty/Decision:** Suspension for 4 years. commencing. Disciplinary Probation.

---

**Description:** Academic or admission fraud

**Details:** Student submitted a petition to the Faculty of Mathematics that contained fraudulent documents.

**Degree:** Undergraduate                      **Year:** 2

**Penalty/Decision:** Disciplinary Probation.

---

**Description:** Academic or admission fraud

**Details:** Student submitted fraudulent documents in their petition.

**Degree:** Undergraduate                      **Year:** 2

**Penalty/Decision:** Expulsion

---

**Description:** Academic or admission fraud

**Details:** Student submitted fraudulent documents in their petition.

---

**Degree:** Undergraduate **Year:** 3

**Penalty/Decision:** Disciplinary Probation.

---

**Description:** Academic or admission fraud

**Details:** This student submitted fraudulent documents in their petition for readmission

**Degree:** Undergraduate **Year:** 2

**Penalty/Decision:** Expulsion

---

**Description:** Academic or admission fraud

**Details:** Student submitted fake certificate of diagnosis from another Hospital in a different country.

**Degree:** Undergraduate **Year:** 3

**Penalty/Decision:** Suspension for 6 terms.

---

**Description:** Academic or admission fraud

**Details:** Student submitted a fraudulent medical document.

**Degree:** Undergraduate **Year:** 2

**Penalty/Decision:** Expulsion from UW.

---

**Description:** Academic or admission fraud

**Details:** Student submitted a fraudulent medical document.

**Degree:** Undergraduate **Year:** 2

**Penalty/Decision:** Expulsion from UW.

---

**Description:** Academic or admission fraud,

**Details:** Student submitted fraudulent documents as part of her petition.

**Degree:** Undergraduate **Year:** 2

**Penalty/Decision:** Expulsion.

---

**Description:** Academic or admission fraud

**Details:** It was identified by another student, that one student as having been someone who took 3,000 from them to provide them with falsified documents. File handed to Police.

**Degree:** Undergraduate                          **Year:** 3

**Penalty/Decision:** Expelled.

---

**Description:** Academic or admission fraud

**Details:** Student submitted two fraudulent documents to the University for his petition.

**Degree:** Undergraduate                          **Year:** 3

**Penalty/Decision:** Six term suspension.

---

**Description:** Academic or admission fraud

**Details:** Student submitted fraudulent documents in their petition.

**Degree:** Undergraduate                          **Year:** 2

**Penalty/Decision:** Six term suspension.

---

**Description:** Academic or admission fraud

**Details:** Student was involved in the sale and distribution of fraudulent documents for student petitions at the University of Waterloo

**Degree:** Undergraduate                          **Year:** 2

**Penalty/Decision:** Expulsion from UW.

---

**Description:** Altering or falsifying a relevant document

**Details:** Student submitted doctors note claiming they were too ill to attend the midterm however, LEARN indicated that the student was on campus at the same time.

**Degree:** Undergraduate                          **Year:** 4

**Penalty/Decision:** The student is suspended for one term.

---

**Description:** Altering or falsifying a relevant document

**Details:** The student added additional information to their returned midterm examination and then submitted it for remarking in order to claim extra marks. The instructor had scanned the midterms before returning them to the students and noticed a discrepancy.

**Degree:** Undergraduate

**Year:** 1

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on the midterm with an additional five mark deduction from the course. Disciplinary Probation.

---

**Description:** Altering or falsifying a relevant document

**Details:** This case involves a student who altered their test.

**Degree:** Undergraduate

**Year:** 4

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on question. Disciplinary Probation.

---

**Description:** Altering or falsifying a relevant document

**Details:** This student altered quiz and resubmitted it for additional marks.

**Degree:** Undergraduate

**Year:** 4

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on quiz. (-5%) deduction overall. Disciplinary Probation.

---

**Description:** Altering or falsifying a relevant document

**Details:** Student altered TEST and resubmitted it for additional marks.

**Degree:** Undergraduate

**Year:** 4

**Penalty/Decision:** Student placed on Disciplinary Probation.

---

**Description:** Altering or falsifying a relevant document

**Details:** Student altered their unofficial transcript in order to have an instructor sign them into class.

**Degree:** Undergraduate

**Year:** 3

**Penalty/Decision:** Letter of apology. Disciplinary Probation.

---

**Description:** Cheating

**Details:** Student submitted the final report that contained sections of text that were identified (by Turnitin) as information taken from another University of Waterloo report, without properly citing the source.

**Degree:** Undergraduate

**Year:** 3

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero to be given on the report; report being worth 50% of the final grade. Student completed the Academic Integrity Agreement.

---

**Description:** Cheating

**Details:** Student sent email to class asking if anyone wanted to complete an online quiz with Student. Three students in the class shared the posting with the course instructor because they considered it ‘inappropriate’ and a possible violation of university police.

**Degree:** Undergraduate    **Year:** 2

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on the assignment. (-5%) of course grade. Disciplinary Probation.

---

**Description:** Cheating

**Details:** One student copied test answers from another student.

**Degree:** Undergraduate    **Year:**

**Penalty/Decision:** First offence. Student required to withdraw from Faculty due to failure.

---

**Description:** Cheating

**Details:** Two students submitted almost identical assignments but claimed that they had not worked together.

**Degree:** Graduate    **Year:** 0

**Penalty/Decision:** Disciplinary Probation. 5 page essay as outlined. Write letters of apology to both students.

---

**Description:** Cheating

**Details:** Two students, one of whom would be writing the midterm at a later time than the other student and the rest of the class, reviewed the midterm exams before being scheduled to write the same exam.

**Degree:** Undergraduate    **Year:** 1

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on the midterm with an additional five mark course deduction. Both students are required to successfully complete one ethics course from a list provided by the Associate Dean and achieve a grade of at least 60%.

---

**Description:** Cheating

**Details:** Two students, one of whom would be writing the midterm at a later time than the other student and the rest of the class, reviewed the midterm exams before being scheduled to write the same exam.

**Degree:** Undergraduate    **Year:** 1

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on the midterm with an additional five mark course deduction. Both students are required to successfully complete one ethics course from a list provided by the Associate Dean and achieve a grade of at least 60%.

---

**Description:** Cheating

**Details:** Solutions submitted for assignments of two students were very similar (beyond what could be expected by chance). The two students sat beside each other in the midterm examination.

**Degree:** Undergraduate   **Year:** 3

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on assignment. Disciplinary Probation.

---

**Description:** Cheating

**Details:** Solutions submitted for assignments of two students were very similar (beyond what could be expected by chance). The two students sat beside each other in the midterm examination.

**Degree:** Undergraduate   **Year:** 3

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on assignment. Disciplinary Probation.

---

**Description:** Cheating

**Details:** Two students were found to be copying each other's work on an Assignment. First offence.

**Degree:** Undergraduate   **Year:** 1

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on assignment, 5 marks off final grade. Disciplinary Probation.

---

**Description:** Cheating

**Details:** Two students were found to be copying each other's work on an Assignment. First offence.

**Degree:** Undergraduate   **Year:** 1

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on assignment, 5 marks off final grade. Disciplinary Probation.

---

**Description:** Cheating

**Details:** Solutions to assignment were too similar between two students. Both assignment submissions share similarities beyond what would be expected by chance. Student agreed to an informal resolution.

**Degree:** Undergraduate   **Year:** 3

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on assignment. Disciplinary Probation.

---





---

**Description:** Cheating

**Details:** Two students submitted assignments that were more similar than would be expected by chance. One student asked the other for help. Student one allowed Student two to look at the assignment. Student two ran out of time and handed in the same assignment.

**Degree:** Undergraduate **Year:** 1

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on assignment. Disciplinary Probation.

---

**Description:** Cheating

**Details:** Two students submitted assignments that were more similar than would be expected by chance. One student asked the other for help. Student one allowed Student two to look at the assignment, other student ran out of time and handed in the same assignment.

**Degree:** Undergraduate **Year:** 1

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on assignment, (-5%) off final grade, Disciplinary Probation.

---

**Description:** Cheating

**Details:** Two students submitted assignments that were more similar than would be expected by chance. Student one walked student two through how to do the assignment in a step-by-step fashion, and student two memorized it to complete the assignment.

**Degree:** Undergraduate **Year:** 1

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on assignment. (-5%) off final grade, Disciplinary Probation.

---

**Description:** Cheating

**Details:** Two students submitted assignments that were more similar than would be expected by chance. Student admitted to stealing the assignment from roommate's laptop. First offence.

**Degree:** Undergraduate **Year:** 1

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on assignment. (-5%) off final grade. Disciplinary Probation.

---

**Description:** Cheating

**Details:** Two students submitted assignments that were more similar than would be expected by chance. Students admitted to living on same floor and one student showed the other the answers. First offence.

**Degree:** Undergraduate **Year:** 1

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on assignment. (-5%) off final grade. Disciplinary Probation.

---

**Description:** Cheating

**Details:** Two students submitted assignments with similar answers. When confronted, one student admitted to copying and pasting the answers of the other student. Students are aware that they must work individually. Student claims to not have been aware that disc

**Degree:** Undergraduate                                  **Year:** 4

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on the assignment. (-5%) deduction from final course grade. Disciplinary Probation.

---

**Description:** Cheating

**Details:** Student submitted assignment with a significant level of similarity between the student submission and Internet repository solutions. First offence.

**Degree:** Undergraduate                                  **Year:** 3

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on Assignment. (-5%) off final course grade. Disciplinary Probation.

---

**Description:** Cheating

**Details:** Student submitted assignment with a significant level of similarity between the student submission and Internet repository solutions. First offence. Student indicated that they dropped the course prior to our meeting.

**Degree:** Undergraduate                                  **Year:** 3

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on Assignment. (-5%) off final course grade. Disciplinary Probation.

---

**Description:** Cheating

**Details:** Two students live as roommates. One student copied assignment from other student. Unclear whether other student was aware of the cheating. Penalty pursued for copying student only.

**Degree:** Undergraduate                                  **Year:** 2

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on assignment. (-5%) off final grade. Disciplinary probation.

---

**Description:** Cheating

**Details:** One student sought guidance from another student on an assignment. When the other student was not paying attention, the student copied work from laptop. Penalty applies only to student who copied, as other student was not aware of cheating.

**Degree:** Undergraduate                                  **Year:** 1

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on assignment. (-5%) off final grade. Disciplinary Probation. Essay requirement.

---

---

**Description:** Cheating

**Details:** One student was struggling with an assignment and was working beside a friend. Friend tried to explain how to complete assignment, but student convinced friend that it would be easier to share answers and work backwards to understand the lesson.

**Degree:** Undergraduate                              **Year:** 1

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on assignment. (-5%) off final grade. Disciplinary Probation.

---

**Description:** Cheating

**Details:** One student was struggling with an assignment and was working beside a friend. Friend tried to explain how to complete assignment, but student convinced friend that it would be easier to share answers and work backwards to understand the lesson.

**Degree:** Undergraduate                              **Year:** 1

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on assignment. (-5%) off final grade. Disciplinary Probation.

---

**Description:** Cheating

**Details:** Codes submitted by two students were too similar for coincidence. Both students strongly denied cheating, stating that answers were similar due to use of same algorithm.

**Degree:** Undergraduate                              **Year:** 3

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on assignment. (-5%) off final grade. Disciplinary Probation.

---

**Description:** Cheating

**Details:** One student copied the code of another student without that student's knowledge or permission. Students were working together on an assignment when one student left the room. The other student copied from the laptop of the student who had left the room.

**Degree:** Undergraduate                              **Year:** 2

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on assignment. (-5%) off final grade. Disciplinary Probation.

---

**Description:** Cheating

**Details:** The codes submitted by two students shared a number of very similar features. Student claimed to have submitted the assignment only to see if cheating would be detected.

**Degree:** Undergraduate                              **Year:** 3

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on assignment. (-5%) off final grade. Disciplinary Probation.

---

---

**Description:** Cheating

**Details:** The codes submitted by two students shared a number of very similar features. Student claimed to have submitted the assignment only to see if cheating would be detected.

**Degree:** Undergraduate                          **Year:** 2

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on assignment. (-5%) off final grade. Disciplinary Probation.

---

**Description:** Cheating

**Details:** Codes submitted by two students were very similar. Students acknowledged working together but denied cheating. Moss results showed that there were 64 lines matched between both students assignment with 52% similarity.

**Degree:** Undergraduate                          **Year:** 3

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on assignment. (-5%) off final grade. Disciplinary Probation.

---

**Description:** Cheating

**Details:** Instructors noticed similarities between the submissions of two students. The academic integrity violation appears to have been inadvertant, so full punishment was deemed to be unwarranted.

**Degree:** Undergraduate                          **Year:** 2

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on assignment. Disciplinary probation.

---

**Description:** Cheating

**Details:** Student submitted work that had previously been submitted in another class. As student had been sent a prior warning about the submission of previously submitted work not being permitted, based on the course policy, the offence was counted as cheating.

**Degree:** Undergraduate                          **Year:** 3

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on assignment. (-5%) off final grade. Disciplinary Probation.

---

**Description:** Cheating

**Details:** High level of similarity between the assignment submissions of two students. Violation may have occurred inadvertently and therefore full penalty is not deserved.

**Degree:** Undergraduate                          **Year:** 4

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on assignment.

---

**Description:** Cheating

**Details:** High level of similarity between the assignment submissions of two students. Student copied assignment of another student who inadvertently left assignment open on laptop.

**Degree:** Undergraduate                                      **Year:** 3

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on assignment. Disciplinary Probation.

---

**Description:** Cheating

**Details:** During the midterm exam, student was discovered to have a number of study notes. Student explained that keeping study notes on body during the exam calmed anxiety, but study notes were not accessed during exam.

**Degree:** Undergraduate                                      **Year:** 1

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on the exam. Failure in the course, to be recorded as a grade of 32 on the transcript; suspension for one term. Disciplinary probation.

---

**Description:** Cheating

**Details:** Instructor noted similarities between assignment submitted by a student and previous student's submission. The student insisted the work was original. There were minor differences in the coding style.

**Degree:** Undergraduate                                      **Year:** 4

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on each of assignment, 10% off final grade, Disciplinary Probation.

---

**Description:** Cheating

**Details:** Student copied part of a solution to a question from the answer key for a similar question asked in a previous offering of this course. Professor met with the student, who admitted to the offense.

**Degree:** Undergraduate                                      **Year:** 3

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on assignment. (-5%) off of final grade. Disciplinary Probation.

---

**Description:** Cheating

**Details:** In a routine review of student submissions for an assignment, it became apparent that the codes submitted by two students shared a number of very similar features. Both students received penalty.

**Degree:** Undergraduate                                      **Year:** 2

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on assignment. Disciplinary probation.

---

**Description:** Cheating

**Details:** In a routine review of student submissions for an assignment, it became apparent that the codes submitted by two students shared a number of very similar features. Both students received penalty.

**Degree:** Undergraduate                      **Year:** 2

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on assignment. (-5%) off final grade. Disciplinary Probation.

---

**Description:** Cheating

**Details:** Solutions submitted by three students were almost identical on certain questions of an assignment. Although student did not admit any culpability in this allegation.

**Degree:** Undergraduate                      **Year:** 4

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on assignment. (-5%) off final grade. Disciplinary Probation.

---

**Description:** Cheating

**Details:** Professor reported that three submissions of an assignment were very similar. Solutions submitted by two students were almost identical on these questions; the submission from student 1 was also substantially similar for these questions.

**Degree:** Undergraduate                      **Year:** 4

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on assignment. (-5%) off final grade. Disciplinary Probation.

---

**Description:** Cheating

**Details:** The student was writing final exam at AccessAbility. After spending half an hour in the bathroom, the student was asked to empty pockets and was found to have a smartphone displaying course notes in Google Drop Box.

**Degree:** Undergraduate                      **Year:** 3

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on final examination.

---

**Description:** Cheating

**Details:** Assignment contained portions plagiarized from another student's previous submission. Second offence.

**Degree:** Undergraduate                      **Year:** 3

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on assignments. (-5%) off final grade. Disciplinary Probation.

---

**Description:** Cheating

**Details:** Student left exam room with exam. Student had signed in, so there was a record of attendance with no exam handed in. Student did not intend to take the exam when leaving. Student accepted penalty.

**Degree:** Undergraduate                      **Year:** 2

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on final exam. (-5%) off final grade. Disciplinary Probation.

---

**Description:** Cheating

**Details:** Student took restroom break during exam, escorted by Professor. Student accessed practice exam during that time (verified by Professor). It was unclear what advantage was gained by doing so.

**Degree:** Undergraduate                      **Year:** 3

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on final exam, failing grade in the course. Disciplinary Probation and 10 page essay.

---

**Description:** Cheating

**Details:** Student copied code from an internet repository. student claimed to have used the online code as a reference, but changed code.

**Degree:** Undergraduate                      **Year:** 4

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on assignment. Disciplinary Probation.

---

**Description:** Cheating

**Details:** Similar features in assignments of two students were noticed. One student explained that student was busy with interviews at the time the assignment was due and did not have time to work on it. Penalty applied only to student who copied the code.

**Degree:** Undergraduate                      **Year:** 2

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on assignment. (-5%) off final grade. Disciplinary Probation.

---

**Description:** Cheating

**Details:** The student copied from surrounding students (unbeknownst to them) during the final examination and then attempted to deceive the coordinators by putting the incorrect version on the exam booklet.

**Degree:** Undergraduate                      **Year:** 1

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on the final examination with an additional five mark course deduction. Disciplinary Probation.

---



---

**Description:** Cheating

**Details:** Student's submission for final exam included a detached final page rough worksheet that had numerous answers in handwriting that did not match student's writing in the answer section of the exam.

**Degree:** Undergraduate                                      **Year:** 2

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on the final exam (70% of course grade). Failure in the course. 1-term suspension. Disciplinary Probation.

---

**Description:** Cheating

**Details:** Test cases submitted by two students shared a number of very similar features. Both students claimed that assignments were similar because of reliance on course notes.

**Degree:** Undergraduate                                      **Year:** 2

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on assignment, (-5%) off final grade. Disciplinary Probation.

---

**Description:** Cheating

**Details:** Test cases submitted by two students shared a number of very similar features. Both students claimed that assignments were similar because of reliance on course notes.

**Degree:** Undergraduate                                      **Year:** 2

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on assignment, (-5%) off final grade.

---

**Description:** Cheating

**Details:** Student was identified as having submitted a paper that had been previously submitted when taking the course in a previous term.

**Degree:** Undergraduate                                      **Year:** 3

**Penalty/Decision:** Must enroll in two courses: one English for Multilingual Speakers course, achieving a grade of at least 60% and also be required to enroll in one English for Multilingual Speakers, English or Speech Communication course, achieving a grade of at least 60%.

---

**Description:** Cheating

**Details:** Student was caught with a small cheat sheet tucked into student's sleeve during term test. Student later admitted to cheating and took full responsibility.

**Degree:** Undergraduate                                      **Year:** 4

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on test. (-5%) off final grade.

---

---

**Description:** Cheating

**Details:** Student admitted to copying assignment from online source. Course syllabus noted In that consulting another student's solution is prohibited, and submitted solutions may not be copied from any source.

**Degree:** Undergraduate **Year:** 4

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on assignment. Disciplinary Probation.

---

**Description:** Cheating

**Details:** Two students submitted similar assignments. It was determined that one copied the work of the other.

**Degree:** Undergraduate **Year:** 1

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on assignment, (-5%) off final grade. Disciplinary Probation.

---

**Description:** Cheating

**Details:** Two students submitted similar assignments. It was determined that one copied the work of the other.

**Degree:** Undergraduate **Year:** 1

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on assignment, (-5%) off final grade. Disciplinary Probation.

---

**Description:** Cheating

**Details:** Codes submitted by student were very similar to codes submitted by another student in a previous term. Student admitted to using the computer of the other student to work on assignment and claimed that student accidentally accessed the code of the student.

**Degree:** Undergraduate **Year:** 2

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on assignment. (-5%) off final grade. Disciplinary Probation.

---

**Description:** Cheating

**Details:** Student copied parts of a solution for assignment from an online repository containing solutions to an earlier version of a similar assignment. Student admitted to offence right away.

**Degree:** Undergraduate **Year:** 3

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on assignment. Disciplinary Probation.

---

---

**Description:** Cheating

**Details:** Student's solution for two questions were improbably identical to that of the previously posted solutions.

**Degree:** Undergraduate **Year:** 4

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on assignment. 10 page essay. Disciplinary Probation.

---

**Description:** Cheating

**Details:** Student submitted assignment with a significant level of similarity between the student submission and internet repository solutions.

**Degree:** Undergraduate **Year:** 3

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on assignment. (-5%) off final grade. Disciplinary Probation.

---

**Description:** Cheating

**Details:** Student submitted their test for re-grading after having made some changes to their original solutions. Student admitted to having made the changes.

**Degree:** Undergraduate **Year:** 3

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on test. (-5%) off final grade. Disciplinary Probation.

---

**Description:** Cheating

**Details:** This is a case involving allegation of cheating. Student will be dropping the course.

**Degree:** Undergraduate **Year:** 3

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on Test. (-5%) off final grade. Disciplinary Probation.

---

**Description:** Cheating

**Details:** The students midterm examination had ended but then asked another student for there answer to a question and wrote it down on their own examination paper.

**Degree:** Undergraduate **Year:** 4

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on the midterm examination with a five mark course deduction after all grading schemes have been applied. Disciplinary Probation.

---

**Description:** Cheating

**Details:** This is a case where two students were alleged to have cheated on an assignment.

**Degree:** Undergraduate **Year:** 4

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on assignment. (-5%) off final grade. Disciplinary Probation.

---

**Description:** Cheating

**Details:** This is a case were two students were alleged to have cheated on an assignment together. All students admitted via email.

**Degree:** Undergraduate   **Year:** 3

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on assignment. (-5%) off final grade. Disciplinary Probation.

---

**Description:** Cheating

**Details:** This is a case were two students were alleged to have cheated on an assignment together. All students admitted via email.

**Degree:** Undergraduate   **Year:** 4

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on assignment. (-5%) off final grade. Disciplinary Probation.

---

**Description:** Cheating

**Details:** This is a case were two students were alleged to have cheated on an assignment together. All students admitted via email.

**Degree:** Undergraduate   **Year:** 3

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on assignment. (-5%) off final grade. Disciplinary Probation.

---

**Description:** Cheating

**Details:** This is a case where two students were alleged to have cheated on assignment. Officer met with each of the students and they admitted to having copied some of their solutions from the previous years solutions.

**Degree:** Undergraduate   **Year:** 3

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on assignment and (-5%) off final grade. Disciplinary Probation. 10 page essay as outlined in letter of discipline.

---

**Description:** Cheating

**Details:** This is a case where two students were alleged to have cheated on assignment. Officer met with each of the students and they admitted to having copied some of their solutions from the previous years solutions.

**Degree:** Undergraduate   **Year:** 2

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on assignment and (-5%) off final grade. Disciplinary Probation. 10 page essay as outlined in letter of discipline.

---

**Description:** Cheating

**Details:** This is a case where two students were alleged to have cheated on assignment. Officer met with each of the students and they admitted to having copied some of their solutions from the previous years solutions.

**Degree:** Undergraduate   **Year:** 2

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on assignment and (-5%) off final grade. Disciplinary Probation.

---

**Description:** Cheating

**Details:** This is a case where two students were alleged to have cheated on assignment. Officer met with each of the students and they admitted to having copied some of their solutions from the previous years solutions.

**Degree:** Undergraduate   **Year:** 2

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on assignment and (-5%) off final grade. Disciplinary Probation.

---

**Description:** Cheating

**Details:** This is a case where two students were alleged to have cheated on assignment. Officer met with each of the students and they admitted to having copied some of their solutions from the previous years solutions.

**Degree:** Undergraduate   **Year:** 2

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on assignment and (-5%) off final grade. Disciplinary Probation.

---

**Description:** Cheating

**Details:** This is a case involving allegation of cheating on assignment. When met with student we learned that one student looked at other students code seeking for help (online) and referenced it to complete the assignment.

**Degree:** Undergraduate   **Year:** 3

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on assignment. (-5%) off final grade. Disciplinary Probation. 10 page essay as outlined in letter of discipline.

---

**Description:** Cheating

**Details:** This is a case where two students were alleged to have cheated on an assignment. The similarities are blatant and there is little doubt in my mind that the students have copied solutions from each other. Students accepted the penalty.

**Degree:** Undergraduate   **Year:** 2

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on assignment. Disciplinary Probation. 10 Page essay as outlined in discipline letter.



**Degree:** Undergraduate

**Year:** 2

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on assignment. (-5%) off the final grade. Disciplinary Probation.

---

**Description:** Cheating

**Details:** This is a case where two students were alleged to have cheated on an assignment. Student regrets having discussed too much with my friend.

**Degree:** Undergraduate

**Year:** 2

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on assignment, 5% off the final grade. Disciplinary Probation.

---

**Description:** Cheating

**Details:** This is a case where two students were alleged to have cheated on an assignment. In a routine review of student submissions for assignment it became apparent that the codes submitted by two students shared a number of very similar features.

**Degree:** Undergraduate

**Year:** 3

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on assignment. (-5%) off final course grade. Disciplinary Probation.

---

**Description:** Cheating

**Details:** This is the case where two students were alleged to have cheated on an assignment. Students handed in virtually the same assignment.

**Degree:** Undergraduate

**Year:** 3

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on assignment. Disciplinary Probation.

---

**Description:** Cheating

**Details:** This is the case where two students were alleged to have cheated on an assignment. Students handed in virtually the same assignment.

**Degree:** Undergraduate

**Year:**

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on assignment. Disciplinary Probation.

---

**Description:** Cheating

**Details:** This is a case involving allegation of cheating on an assignment. The MOSS results indicated a significant level of similarity between his submission and submissions from previous term.

**Degree:** Undergraduate

**Year:** 3

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on assignment. (-5%) off final grade. Disciplinary Probation 10 page essay as outlined in letter of discipline.

---

**Description:** Cheating

**Details:** This is a case where two students were alleged to have cheated on an assignment. Students are aware of the penalty that will be imposed.

**Degree:** Undergraduate **Year:** 4

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on assignment. (-5%) off final grade. Disciplinary Probation.

---

**Description:** Cheating

**Details:** This is a case where two students were alleged to have cheated on an assignment. Students are aware of the penalty that will be imposed.

**Degree:** Undergraduate **Year:** 3

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on assignment. (-5%) off final grade. Disciplinary Probation.

---

**Description:** Cheating

**Details:** This is a case where two students were alleged to have cheated on an assignment. Students are aware of the penalty that will be imposed.

**Degree:** Undergraduate **Year:** 3

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on assignment. (-5%) off final grade. Disciplinary Probation.

---

**Description:** Cheating

**Details:** Student was spotted with their phone between their legs during the exam. The Presiding officer gave them a yellow card identifying that student was violating the exam rules, confiscated the student's phone and backpack and I confiscated their exam.

**Degree:** Undergraduate **Year:** 2

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on assignment (-5%) from final grade one term suspension.

---

**Description:** Cheating

**Details:** Two students submitted answers to an online quiz that were substantially similar. Both students acknowledged having worked on the test at the same time. Students did not dispute the allegation.

**Degree:** Undergraduate **Year:** 1

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on the test. (-5%) marks off the final grade in the course. Disciplinary Probation.

---



---

**Description:** Cheating

**Details:** Two students submitted answers to an online quiz that were substantially similar. Both students acknowledged having worked on the test at the same time. Students did not dispute the allegation.

**Degree:** Undergraduate                          **Year:** 2

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on the test. (-5%) marks off the final grade in the course. Disciplinary Probation.

---

**Description:** Cheating

**Details:** Two students in the class submitted answers to an online quiz that were identical throughout. Student never responded to the request for a meeting, the matter has been resolved without the student.

**Degree:** Undergraduate                          **Year:** 2

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on the test. Further 5 marks off the final grade in the course. Disciplinary Probation.

---

**Description:** Cheating

**Details:** Two students in the class submitted answers to an online quiz that were identical throughout, had been entered in the same order and at the same time, and contained identical errors. Student did not dispute the allegation.

**Degree:** Undergraduate                          **Year:** 2

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on the test. Further 5 marks off the final grade in the course. Disciplinary Probation.

---

**Description:** Cheating

**Details:** Student was observed attempting to view the exam paper of another student seated in the row in front of them.

**Degree:** Undergraduate                          **Year:** 3

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on the test; disciplinary probation for the remainder of the student's undergraduate career.

---

**Description:** Cheating

**Details:** Student was discovered using a cheat note to assist with the hiragana characters being tested. Student expressed great remorse for their actions.

**Degree:** Undergraduate                          **Year:** 2

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on the quiz. Further 5 marks off the final grade in the course. Disciplinary Probation.

---

**Description:** Cheating

**Details:** This is a case involving allegation of cheating. Student apologized and started to explain that it was a misunderstanding.

**Degree:** Undergraduate                      **Year:** 2

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on quiz (-5%) off course grade. Disciplinary Probation.

---

**Description:** Cheating

**Details:** The student was caught checking an on-line dictionary on their smart phone. The instructor and another student saw them doing this.

**Degree:** Undergraduate                      **Year:** 2

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on the test. Disciplinary Probation.

---

**Description:** Cheating

**Details:** Student plagiarized on assignment.

**Degree:** Undergraduate                      **Year:** 3

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on the assignment (-5%) deduction overall. Disciplinary Probation.

---

**Description:** Cheating

**Details:** This is a case involving allegation of cheating on an assignment.

**Degree:** Undergraduate                      **Year:** 4

**Penalty/Decision:** Student dropped the course.

---

**Description:** Cheating

**Details:** Student was caught with formulas written on their hand during midterm.

**Degree:** Undergraduate                      **Year:**

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on midterm, (-5%) deduction off grade. Disciplinary Probation.

---

**Description:** Cheating

**Details:** This is a case involving allegation of cheating on a assignment.

**Degree:** Undergraduate                      **Year:** 3

**Penalty/Decision:** 1-term suspension. Disciplinary Probation.

---

**Description:** Cheating

**Details:** Student takes notes for other the students in class and they have identical responses to another student in another class.

**Degree:** Undergraduate                      **Year:** 3

**Penalty/Decision:** Disciplinary Probation.

---

**Description:** Cheating

**Details:** Student attended final exam as scheduled but did not have Watcard with them to verify identity. Student completed the form provided by the Registrar's Office for this situation. When you submitted the signed form, you were advised to present your Watcard

**Degree:** Undergraduate                      **Year:** 4

**Penalty/Decision:** Disciplinary Probation.

---

**Description:** Cheating

**Details:** Assignment submitted by student was identified as having many similarities to same assignment submitted by another student in previous course offering.

**Degree:** Undergraduate                      **Year:** 2

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on assignment. (-5%) off final exam, 10 page essay. Disciplinary probation.

---

**Description:** Cheating,

**Details:** Solutions to assignment were too similar between two students. Both assignment submissions share similarities beyond what would be expected by chance. Student agreed to an informal resolution.

**Degree:** Undergraduate                      **Year:** 2

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on assignment. Disciplinary probation.

---

**Description:** Cheating,

**Details:** This is a case where two students were alleged to have cheated on an assignment in the winter term. Students have accepted the penalties.

**Degree:** Undergraduate                      **Year:** 2

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on assignment. (-5%) off final grade. Disciplinary Probation.

---

**Description:** Cheating

**Details:** Student seems to have had access to the instructors notes that come with the text book and their answers were remarkable similarly worded to the direct response. Again this could be coincidence.

**Degree:** Undergraduate                                      **Year:** 2

**Penalty/Decision:** Disciplinary Probation.

---

**Description:** Cheating

**Details:** Software flagged students submission that indicated a significant level of similarity between their submission and submissions from previous term.

**Degree:** Undergraduate                                      **Year:** 3

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on the assignment. (-5%) deduction overall. Disciplinary Probation.

---

**Description:** Cheating

**Details:** Student took another students code without permission and submitted it. Student admitted offence.

**Degree:** Undergraduate                                      **Year:** 3

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on test. (-5%) deduction. Disciplinary Probation.

---

**Description:** Cheating

**Details:** Two students worked together on assignments. Both admitted to this and took responsibility.

**Degree:** Undergraduate                                      **Year:** 2

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on each assignment. (-5%) off final grade and Disciplinary probation.

---

**Description:** Cheating

**Details:** Two students worked together on assignments. Both admitted to this and took responsibility.

**Degree:** Undergraduate                                      **Year:** 2

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on each assignment. (-5%) off final grade and Disciplinary probation.

---

**Description:** Cheating

**Details:** student resubmitted their previously submitted code in the Winter term.

**Degree:** Undergraduate

**Year:** 3

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on the assignment. (-5%) deduction, Disciplinary Probation.

---

**Description:** Contravention of a statute

**Details:** Student was drunk underage. Dons and student's friends were concerned about student's well being. Student did vomit but was able to make it to a toilet.

**Degree:** Undergraduate

**Year:** 1

**Penalty/Decision:** Student was asked to complete the UW E-Checkup and submit certificate of completion.

---

**Description:** Contravention of statute

**Details:** Student was charged with aggravated assault after student became aggressive and violent when asked to leave a house party. After leaving, student ran into another victim who was then assaulted by Student.

**Degree:** Undergraduate

**Year:** 3

**Penalty/Decision:** Criminal charges were laid, and as a result the Student was banned from campus until further notice. Return to campus was conditional on presenting a satisfactory risk assessment. Ban was temporarily lifted for one term in order for Student to finish work

---

**Description:** Contravention of statute

**Details:** The student uttered death threats in person and through electronic means.

**Degree:** Undergraduate

**Year:** 2

**Penalty/Decision:** The student has been suspended and removed from work term. Co-op employer will be notified. A university ban issued by Provost is in effect until conditions provided by the student have been met.

---

**Description:** Disruptive, dangerous, aggressive or threatening behaviour

**Details:** Student posted vulgarities on LEARN after receiving grade, and did so in front of friends. Student explained that a teaching assistant had evaluated lab book during the lab prior to receiving this message.

**Degree:** Undergraduate

**Year:** 1

**Penalty/Decision:** Prepare two, separate, typed written apology letters. Provide 50 hours of unpaid community service by the end of the Spring term for one of the following organizations: The Food Bank of Waterloo Region, House of Friendship, John Howard Society of Waterloo

---

**Description:** Disruptive, dangerous, aggressive or threatening behaviour

**Details:** Student posted hate-speech to Facebook chat group. Posts were viewable by all chat group members, who had not already blocked student's messages. A number of students asked student and others posting these messages to stop.

**Degree:** Undergraduate

**Year:** 1

**Penalty/Decision:** Complete the in-class sensitivity training workshop. Disciplinary probation.

---

**Description:** Disruptive, dangerous, aggressive or threatening behaviour

**Details:** Three students came forward with concerns about disruptive and offensive behaviour on a Facebook group that was created for students in order to comment and collaborate on their courses.

**Degree:** Undergraduate

**Year:** 1

**Penalty/Decision:** Letters of apology to the members of the Facebook group and to the Dean of the Faculty. Completing a diversity training program 80 hours of community service.

---

**Description:** Disruptive, dangerous, aggressive or threatening behaviour

**Details:** Three students came forward with concerns about disruptive and offensive behaviour on a Facebook group that was created for students in order to comment and collaborate on their courses.

**Degree:** Undergraduate

**Year:** 1

**Penalty/Decision:** Letters of apology to the members of the Facebook group and to the Dean of the Faculty. Completing a diversity training program serving a one term suspension in spring term.

---

**Description:** Disruptive, dangerous, aggressive or threatening behaviour

**Details:** Two students alleged to have sexually assaulted another student on campus.

**Degree:** Undergraduate

**Year:** 1

**Penalty/Decision:** Disciplinary Probation for the remainder of the student's undergraduate career.

---

**Description:** Disruptive, dangerous, aggressive or threatening behaviour

**Details:** Student was charged with administering a noxious substance under the criminal code, as the result of an ongoing dispute with their roommates. Student was subsequently banned from campus.

**Degree:** Undergraduate

**Year:** 1

**Penalty/Decision:** No further penalty beyond the campus ban.

---

**Description:** Infringing unreasonably on the work of others, Unethical behaviour

**Details:** The student wrote a blog that contained mean-spirited and vexatious comments about an instructor.

**Degree:** Undergraduate

**Year:** 2

**Penalty/Decision:** The student is required to provide an acceptable apology letter to the victim, and successfully complete an ethics course obtaining at least 60%. The course may not be used to satisfy any degree requirements, and is to be coded as Degree Requirement.

---

**Description:** Misrepresentation

**Details:** During a group assignment where all members were required to complete aspects of the report as they would be sharing the grade, two group members left and did not remove their names from the assignment.

**Degree:** Undergraduate

**Year:** 3

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on the assignment. Student will be required to successfully complete the Academic Integrity Workshop during their next academic term.

---

**Description:** Misrepresentation

**Details:** During a group assignment where all members were required to complete aspects of the report as they would be sharing the grade, two group members left and did not remove their names from the assignment.

**Degree:** Undergraduate

**Year:** 1

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on the assignment. Student will be required to successfully complete the Academic Integrity Workshop during their next academic term.

---

**Description:** Misrepresentation

**Details:** Student submitted to the course professor an email communication from University Information Systems and Technology (IST), which student had altered to state that student's computer difficulties were the reason that final assignment had not been submitted.

**Degree:** Undergraduate

**Year:** 4

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on the assignment. Disciplinary Probation for the remainder of the student's undergraduate career.

---

**Description:** Misrepresentation

**Details:** Student missed exam after sleeping in, and emailed instructor during scheduled exam time asking if student could come in and write the already started exam. Student then submitted a verification of illness form.

**Degree:** Undergraduate

**Year:** 1

**Penalty/Decision:** Write a typewritten apology letter (not an email) to instructor. Write a written testimonial (max 250 words) on: 1) what led student to misrepresent self to instructor and 2) how others could avoid making the same mistake.

---

**Description:** Misrepresentation

**Details:** The student added the names of two friends who were not in class on an in-class participation assignment. The student who was absent was not aware of this and had not requested this action.

**Degree:** Undergraduate                      **Year:** 3

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on the assignment. The student is required to successfully complete the Academic Integrity Workshop.

---

**Description:** Misrepresentation

**Details:** Student missed a number of quizzes. Student claimed lack of ability to access quizzes on LEARN. It was confirmed by IST that this was untrue and student then acknowledged lying but said this occurred due to poor English language skills.

**Degree:** Undergraduate                      **Year:**

**Penalty/Decision:** (-5%) deduction from final course grade. Completion of career advice interview with Career Action. Disciplinary probation.

---

**Description:** Misrepresentation

**Details:** Student signed attendance sheet for another student who was not actually present. Student claimed to have done so because the other student was going to come to class but was late to arrive. Student was remorseful for their actions.

**Degree:** Undergraduate                      **Year:** 3

**Penalty/Decision:** Student will not receive credit for attending the seminar. Student will pay a \$5,000 fine to be donated to a specified fund. Professor will assist you in arranging for this donation. Disciplinary probation.

---

**Description:** Misrepresentation

**Details:** Student submitted assignment with references that had incorrect publication dates.

**Degree:** Undergraduate                      **Year:** 3

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on assignment and 5 marks will be deducted from their final course grade. Disciplinary probation.

---

**Description:** Misrepresentation

**Details:** Following a midterm exam, students were asked to compare their graded midterms with a posted marking key to ensure no marking errors were made. Student admitted this and took full responsibility.

**Degree:** Undergraduate                      **Year:** 3



**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on the midterm (20% of course grade); failure in the course; (maximum final grade, 48); one-term suspension. Disciplinary probation.

---

**Description:** Misrepresentation

**Details:** Student altered test and resubmitted it for additional marks.

**Degree:** Undergraduate **Year:** 3

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on test. (-5)% deduction. Disciplinary Probation.

---

**Description:** Misrepresentation

**Details:** Student submitted Verification Illness forms that were altered from the Doctor, Doctor was students parent.

**Degree:** Undergraduate **Year:** 3

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on second paper (worth 10% of final grade). Additional (-5%) deduction from final course grade. Disciplinary probation.

---

**Description:** Misrepresentation

**Details:** Student asserted that they had submitted the essay extension request by mistake, thinking it was related to another online quiz which was required of all students in order to access the content on the LEARN site, which they had also completed.

**Degree:** Undergraduate **Year:** 4

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on the essay. (-5%) marks off the final grade in the course. Disciplinary Probation.

---

**Description:** Misrepresentation

**Details:** Student submitted fraudulent documents in their petition.

**Degree:** Undergraduate **Year:** 2

**Penalty/Decision:** Six term suspension.

---

**Description:** Misrepresentation

**Details:** Student altered their midterm and resubmitted for extra marks in the Spring term.

**Degree:** Undergraduate **Year:** 2

**Penalty/Decision:** Disciplinary Probation.

---

**Description:** Misrepresentation

**Details:** Student altered their midterm and resubmitted for extra marks in the Spring term.

**Degree:** Undergraduate

**Year:** 4

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on question. (-5%) off total grade. Disciplinary Probation.

---

**Description:** Misrepresentation

**Details:** Student altered their midterm and resubmitted for extra marks in the Spring term.

**Degree:** Undergraduate

**Year:** 4

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on question. (-5%) off total grade. Disciplinary Probation.

---

**Description:** Misrepresentation

**Details:** Student altered their midterm and resubmitted for extra marks in the Spring term.

**Degree:** Undergraduate

**Year:** 3

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on question. (-5%) off total grade. Disciplinary Probation.

---

**Description:** Misrepresentation

**Details:** When you met with Professor to discuss the evidence in this case, you were remorseful for lying to your instructor and acknowledged how irresponsible you had been.

**Degree:** Undergraduate

**Year:** 3

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on the midterm (25% of course grade). Failure in the course. Disciplinary Probation.

---

**Description:** Misuse of University resources

**Details:** This case was reported Spring term. Both students admitted to using bound photocopies of the entire course textbook: one did the photocopying; the other got the copy from another student. Both were remorseful.

**Degree:** Undergraduate

**Year:** 1

**Penalty/Decision:** Both students did not realize the seriousness of what they had done.

---

**Description:** Misuse of University resources

**Details:** Both students admitted to using bound photocopies of the entire course textbook: one did the photocopying; the other got the copy from another student. Both were remorseful.

**Degree:** Undergraduate

**Year:** 1

**Penalty/Decision:** Both students did not realize the seriousness of what they had done.

---

**Description:** Other

**Details:** Student submitted an assignment that contained inappropriate language.

**Degree:** Undergraduate                      **Year:** 2

**Penalty/Decision:** 10 page essay and disciplinary probation.

---

**Description:** Other

**Details:** Students submitted assignment that contained offensive material.

**Degree:** Undergraduate                      **Year:** 1

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on the questions involved already (by the marker). The students penalty included provision of an apology letter; completion of a Case Study and probation.

---

**Description:** Other

**Details:** The student lied to an instructor about why they were unable to attend a group presentation.

**Degree:** Undergraduate                      **Year:** 1

**Penalty/Decision:** The student is required to write an apology letter to the instructor and complete a case study on the situation. Disciplinary Probation.

---

**Description:** Plagiarism

**Details:** Student used another student's student number on an assignment. Students also made the exact same mistake and calculated the same values. Student admitted to offence and accepted an informal resolution. First offence.

**Degree:** Undergraduate                      **Year:** 2

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on assignment. (-5%) off final grade. Disciplinary Probation.

---

**Description:** Plagiarism

**Details:** Student used another student's student number on an assignment. Students also made the exact same mistake and calculated the same values. Student admitted to offence and accepted an informal resolution.

**Degree:** Undergraduate                      **Year:** 4

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on assignment. (-5%) off final grade. Disciplinary Probation. 10 page essay as outlined in letter of reprimand.

---

**Description:** Plagiarism

**Details:** Citation issues.

**Degree:** Undergraduate **Year:** 4

**Penalty/Decision:** 20% grade penalty on the assignment.

---

**Description:** Plagiarism

**Details:** Student worked on a group project that was found to have verbatim sentences without quotation marks, taken from a source that was included in the reference list. Student acknowledged having used the source to construct the paragraph, and accepted response.

**Degree:** Undergraduate **Year:** 3

**Penalty/Decision:** 50% deducted from grade for assignment. Student is recommended for the Academic Integrity Workshop.

---

**Description:** Plagiarism

**Details:** Student worked on a group project that was found to contain significant passages in a number of places that were either verbatim or closely paraphrased reproductions of the same set of ideas being presented in another group's project.

**Degree:** Undergraduate **Year:** 3

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on the assignment, to be applied to each of two courses; a further 5 marks off the final grade in each course; successful completion of a course on ethics; grade earned to be included in all averages.

---

**Description:** Plagiarism

**Details:** Student worked on a group project that was found to have one completely uncited sentence, whose source was not included in the references list. Student acknowledged having used an internet source to construct the sentence in question, and accepted response.

**Degree:** Undergraduate **Year:** 3

**Penalty/Decision:** 50% grade deduction on assignment; penalty will be applied to the assignment grade in each of two courses; no other penalty. Academic Integrity workshop candidate. Due to the clear evidence of inadvertence and no intention to deceive.

---

**Description:** Plagiarism

**Details:** The student submitted work term report to the dropbox. The paper did not include a bibliography or references section, and there were no in-text citations. Student accepted the penalty.

**Degree:** Undergraduate **Year:** 2

---

**Penalty/Decision:** Disciplinary Probation. 10 page essay as outlined in the letter of reprimand.

---

**Description:** Plagiarism

**Details:** The student submitted an assignment that included direct quotations and sections from websites but the student did not use any quotation marks for the direct quotations and did not reference the websites used.

**Degree:** Undergraduate                      **Year:** 1

**Penalty/Decision:** Grade of zero on the essay assignment. Further 5 marks off the final course grade. Disciplinary Probation.

---

**Description:** Plagiarism

**Details:** Student submitted Work Term Report. The paper contained several small and one egregious examples of work copied from other cites. Student was very apologetic and accepted the informal resolution.

**Degree:** Undergraduate                      **Year:** 2

**Penalty/Decision:** Failed work term report. Disciplinary Probation. 10 page essay as outlined in the letter of reprimand.

---

**Description:** Plagiarism

**Details:** Student submitted a reading reflection assignment whose content had been largely taken, verbatim or very closely paraphrased, from an internet source, without citation of any kind. Student did not deny the allegation.

**Degree:** Undergraduate                      **Year:** 2

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on the assignment. Further 5 marks off the final grade in the course. Disciplinary probation for the remainder of the student’s undergraduate career.

---

**Description:** Plagiarism

**Details:** Student included four uncited figures from the work of others in two submitted work reports. Student was able to provide an accurate list of the sources for figures and noted that they appeared in the reference list of the reports.

**Degree:** Undergraduate                      **Year:** 4

**Penalty/Decision:** Student to write a 3,000-word paper on a specific topic that is acceptable to Instructor. Disciplinary probation.

---

**Description:** Plagiarism

**Details:** Two students submitted assignments which were word for word, character for character identical right down to the indentations.

**Degree:** Undergraduate                      **Year:** 3

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on assignment. (-5)% off final grade. Disciplinary probation 10 page essay.

---

**Description:** Plagiarism

**Details:** Student submitted an assessment that contained answers taken directly from the course content without properly citing that source. This is a first offence.

**Degree:** Undergraduate **Year:** 2

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on assignment, Disciplinary probation.

---

**Description:** Plagiarism

**Details:** Student submitted an assessment that contained answers taken directly from the course content without properly citing that source. This is a first offence.

**Degree:** Undergraduate **Year:** 2

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on assignment, Disciplinary probation.

---

**Description:** Plagiarism

**Details:** Student submitted an assignment that contained portions of material taken directly from the course content without properly citing that source. This is a first offence.

**Degree:** Undergraduate **Year:** 2

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on assignment, Disciplinary probation.

---

**Description:** Plagiarism

**Details:** Two students collaborated on assignments more than permitted according to the course/instructor guidelines.

**Degree:** Undergraduate **Year:** 1

**Penalty/Decision:** Both students will receive grades of zero on the assignments and letters of reprimand in their files.

---

**Description:** Plagiarism

**Details:** Two students collaborated on assignments more than permitted according to the course/instructor guidelines.

**Degree:** Undergraduate **Year:** 1

**Penalty/Decision:** Both students will receive grades of zero on the assignments and letters of reprimand in their files.

---

**Description:** Plagiarism

**Details:** Student's lab report contained inappropriate in-text citations. In student's written explanation of the evidence, student explained that this was the student's first university formal lab report and student was "completely lost". Student was remorseful.

**Degree:** Undergraduate

**Year:** 1

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on the assignment (5% of course grade). Disciplinary probation.

---

**Description:** Plagiarism

**Details:** Student posted a comment that was identical to an earlier posting by another student who was a member of a different discussion group. When confronted student admitted wrongdoing and claimed the direct copying was inadvertant.

**Degree:** Undergraduate

**Year:** 1

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on the assignment (1% of course grade – the value of the first discussion posting). (-5%) reduction in final grade. Disciplinary probation.

---

**Description:** Plagiarism

**Details:** Student submitted a midterm exam and then an essay assignment that contained material taken verbatim from a variety of web resources. The second assignment was submitted while the first was under review, so they were counted as one case.

**Degree:** Undergraduate

**Year:** 2

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on the Midterm Exam. Zero on the essay assignment and an additional 5 points deducted from the final course grade. Disciplinary probation.

---

**Description:** Plagiarism

**Details:** Student submitted an assignment that did not include any in-text citations.

**Degree:** Undergraduate

**Year:** 1

**Penalty/Decision:** Student received a letter of reprimand; no penalty on assignment.

---

**Description:** Plagiarism

**Details:** Student submitted an assignment that did not contain any in-text citations.

**Degree:** Undergraduate

**Year:** 1

**Penalty/Decision:** Student received a letter of reprimand; no penalty on assignment.

---

**Description:** Plagiarism

**Details:** Student submitted an assignment which included sections that were paraphrased.

**Degree:** Undergraduate

**Year:** 1

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on the assignment. (-5%) points from the final course grade. Disciplinary Probation.

---

**Description:** Plagiarism

**Details:** Student submitted a tutorial essay which includes paraphrased materials from published sources that are not properly referenced.

**Degree:** Undergraduate **Year:** 1

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on the tutorial essay. (-5%) points from their final course grade. Disciplinary Probation.

---

**Description:** Plagiarism

**Details:** Two students submitted assignment that had solutions to several questions that were extremely similar. One student eventually admitted to looking at the assignment of the other student, although the degree to which the copying occurred was not agreed upon.

**Degree:** Undergraduate **Year:** 1

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on the midterm exam. (-5%) off final grade. Disciplinary Probation. 10 page essay as outlined in the attached letter of discipline.

---

**Description:** Plagiarism

**Details:** The student's assignment submission contained word-for-word copied passages from sources with no acknowledgement and no works cited. The assignment requires students to cite any courses from which they paraphrase. First offence.

**Degree:** Undergraduate **Year:** 1

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on assignment. Disciplinary Probation.

---

**Description:** Plagiarism

**Details:** Student submitted an assignment that was not properly referenced.

**Degree:** Undergraduate **Year:** 3

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on the assignment. Disciplinary Probation.

---

**Description:** Plagiarism

**Details:** Student's lab report contained numerous statements that were not supported by appropriate in-text citations. In written explanation of the evidence student took responsibility for the errors in the report and explained how this happened. Student was very remorseful.

**Degree:** Undergraduate **Year:** 2

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on the assignment (5% of course grade). (-5%) reduction in final course grade. Disciplinary Probation.

---



---

**Description:** Plagiarism

**Details:** The report submitted by student has evidence of extensive plagiarism. Some of the text is uncited but from the provided references. Student claimed this was not done intentionally. Student suffers from depression when under pressure.

**Degree:** Undergraduate **Year:** 3

**Penalty/Decision:** Failed work report. Disciplinary Probation. 10 page essay.

---

**Description:** Plagiarism

**Details:** Two assignments were plagiarized from a former student. Answers submitted matched old assignment rather than current assignment. This was a first offence.

**Degree:** Undergraduate **Year:** 2

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on each of the assignments. Disciplinary Probation.

---

**Description:** Plagiarism

**Details:** Student submitted a case study that included significant sections that were paraphrased and not cited.

**Degree:** Undergraduate **Year:** 3

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on assignment and an additional 5 point subtracted from final course grade. Disciplinary Probation.

---

**Description:** Plagiarism

**Details:** Student plagiarized sentences in one section of an assignment. Student accepted responsibility and penalty.

**Degree:** Undergraduate **Year:** 4

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on paper. Disciplinary Probation.

---

**Description:** Plagiarism

**Details:** Student submitted an assignment that was not properly referenced.

**Degree:** Undergraduate **Year:** 2

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on the assignment. Disciplinary Probation.

---

**Description:** Plagiarism

**Details:** The student's assignment (worth 35% of the final grade) contained uncited material from another student.

---

**Degree:** Undergraduate **Year:** 2

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on the essay. Disciplinary Probation.

---

**Description:** Plagiarism

**Details:** Student submitted an assignment that was not properly referenced.

**Degree:** Undergraduate **Year:** 4

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on the assignment and an extra 5 marks deducted from final course grade. Disciplinary Probation.

---

**Description:** Plagiarism

**Details:** An assignment was submitted that was heavily plagiarized from a paper posted on the internet. Student claimed that the paper online was used to find more sources only. Student claimed there was an attempt to paraphrase, even though it wasn't done well.

**Degree:** Undergraduate **Year:** 3

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on the assignment (30% of course grade). Disciplinary Probation.

---

**Description:** Plagiarism

**Details:** Student submitted assignment that contained some sentences that were copied word-for-word from the associated cited sources. However, the student cited the course textbook in at least 5 places in the discussion for topics that were not explicitly discussed.

**Degree:** Undergraduate **Year:** 1

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on the second report. Disciplinary Probation.

---

**Description:** Plagiarism

**Details:** Student's report had a high Turnitin similarity score (40%); 37% of the report was identical to various reports submitted by other University of Waterloo students, with one being higher than the others (19%).

**Degree:** Undergraduate **Year:** 1

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on the assignment (25% of course grade). If zero triggers a course failure; then a final course grade of 50 will be assigned. Disciplinary probation.

---

**Description:** Plagiarism

**Details:** Professor reported that a student posted a blog that contained evidence of plagiarism. Student admitted to plagiarism and accepted the penalty.

**Degree:** Undergraduate **Year:** 2

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on blog post, essay as assigned by Professor.

---

**Description:** Plagiarism

**Details:** Professor reported that a student copy-and-pasted text from online sources into assigned blog task. The sources were cited, but the text was not quoted. In addition, the instructions for the blog task specifically said "do not copy text verbatim from

**Degree:** Undergraduate **Year:** 4

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on assignment. (-5%) off final grade. Disciplinary Probation.

---

**Description:** Plagiarism

**Details:** Student heavily plagiarized in two assignments. Student claimed to understand need to cite work in essays, but not assignments. There may have been a language barrier.

**Degree:** Undergraduate **Year:** 3

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on the assignment (10% of course grade); an additional 5% off the final course grade. Disciplinary Probation.

---

**Description:** Plagiarism

**Details:** Student plagiarized portions of assignment. Student was remorseful but said that this was not done on purpose. Language barrier may have played a role.

**Degree:** Undergraduate **Year:** 3

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on Part A, Question 3 (10 marks of the 68 total marks); an additional 5% off the final course grade. Disciplinary Probation.

---

**Description:** Plagiarism

**Details:** Assignment submitted contained text copied from other sources without citation. Student was remorseful and took responsibility for errors.

**Degree:** Undergraduate **Year:** 2

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on Part A, Question 3 (10 marks of the 68 total marks); an additional 5% off the final course. Disciplinary Probation.

---

**Description:** Plagiarism

**Details:** Assignment consisted almost entirely of text copied word-for-word from documents available online, not properly cited. Student was remorseful.

**Degree:** Undergraduate **Year:** 3

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on the assignment (10% of course grade); an additional 5% off the final course grade. Disciplinary Probation.

---

**Description:** Plagiarism

**Details:** This is a case where student was alleged to have plagiarized on an assignment.

**Degree:** Undergraduate **Year:** 3

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on the essay assignment. Disciplinary Probation.

---

**Description:** Plagiarism

**Details:** It was reported that 15 students were involved in a case of plagiarism. The class was asked to read a document and to answer questions about it.

**Degree:** Undergraduate **Year:** 4

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on assignment. Disciplinary probation. Academic Integrity Workshop.

---

**Description:** Plagiarism

**Details:** It was reported that 15 students were involved in a case of plagiarism. The class was asked to read a document and to answer questions about it.

**Degree:** Undergraduate **Year:** 4

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on assignment. Disciplinary probation. Academic Integrity Workshop.

---

**Description:** Plagiarism

**Details:** It was reported that 15 students were involved in a case of plagiarism. The class was asked to read a document and to answer questions about it.

**Degree:** Undergraduate **Year:** 4

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on assignment. Disciplinary probation. Academic Integrity Workshop.

---

**Description:** Plagiarism

**Details:** It was reported that 15 students were involved in a case of plagiarism. The class was asked to read a document and to answer questions about it.

**Degree:** Undergraduate **Year:** 4

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on assignment. Disciplinary probation. Academic Integrity Workshop.

---

**Description:** Plagiarism

**Details:** It was reported that 15 students were involved in a case of plagiarism. The class was asked to read a document and to answer questions about it.

**Degree:** Undergraduate **Year:** 4

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on assignment. Disciplinary probation. Academic Integrity Workshop.



**Degree:** Undergraduate

**Year:** 4

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on assignment. Disciplinary probation. Academic Integrity Workshop.

---

**Description:** Plagiarism

**Details:** It was reported that 15 students were involved in a case of plagiarism. The class was asked to read a document and to answer questions about it.

**Degree:** Undergraduate

**Year:** 3

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on assignment. Disciplinary probation. Academic Integrity Workshop.

---

**Description:** Plagiarism

**Details:** It was reported that 15 students were involved in a case of plagiarism. The class was asked to read a document and to answer questions about it.

**Degree:** Undergraduate

**Year:** 4

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on assignment. Disciplinary probation. Academic Integrity Workshop.

---

**Description:** Plagiarism

**Details:** It was reported that 15 students were involved in a case of plagiarism. The class was asked to read a document and to answer questions about it.

**Degree:** Undergraduate

**Year:**

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on assignment. Disciplinary probation. Academic Integrity Workshop.

---

**Description:** Plagiarism

**Details:** It was reported that 15 students were involved in a case of plagiarism. The class was asked to read a document and to answer questions about it.

**Degree:** Undergraduate

**Year:**

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on assignment. Disciplinary probation. Academic Integrity Workshop.

---

**Description:** Plagiarism

**Details:** It was reported that 15 students were involved in a case of plagiarism. The class was asked to read a document and to answer questions about it.

**Degree:** Undergraduate

**Year:**

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on assignment. Disciplinary probation. Academic Integrity Workshop.

---

**Description:** Plagiarism

**Details:** Most of the student's assignment was copied word-for-word from several web pages. Student acknowledged knowing this was wrong but did so anyway. Student expressed remorse for misjudgment.

**Degree:** Undergraduate                      **Year:** 4

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on the assignment (15% of course grade); 5% deduction from the final course grade. Disciplinary probation.

---

**Description:** Plagiarism

**Details:** It was decided that this offense did not warrant a suspension and that the student would benefit more from enrollment in the Academic Integrity Workshop.

**Degree:** Undergraduate                      **Year:** 3

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on the assignment. (-5%) from final grade. Academic Integrity Workshop. Disciplinary probation.

---

**Description:** Plagiarism

**Details:** Student submitted final report in that contained sections of text that have been identified (by Turnitin) as information taken from another University of Waterloo report, without properly citing the source. Student did not respond to offer of informal resolution.

**Degree:** Undergraduate                      **Year:** 2

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on the final report and Disciplinary probation.

---

**Description:** Plagiarism

**Details:** Based on the number of incorrect citations and the value of the report (15%) it was decided that the maximum grade penalty was not warranted.

**Degree:** Undergraduate                      **Year:** 3

**Penalty/Decision:** 50% grade penalty for the lab report. Disciplinary probation.

---

**Description:** Plagiarism

**Details:** Parts of assignment were not cited. Student claimed that this was due to lack of knowledge on proper citation due to transfer from another faculty where essays were not required. Student was very forthcoming about lack of writing experience.

**Degree:** Undergraduate                      **Year:** 3

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on the assignment (25% of final grade); an additional 5% off the final course (If zero on the assignment plus 5% deduction from the final course grade triggers a course failure; then a final course grade of 50 will be assigned). Disciplinary probation.

---

**Description:** Plagiarism

**Details:** Plagiarism not intentional, but penalty is still warranted.

**Degree:** Undergraduate                      **Year:** 4

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on the assignment, (5%) from final grade minimum final grade 50%.

---

**Description:** Plagiarism

**Details:** Student submitted an essay which contained two significant paragraphs which had been taken from a website without citation or attribution of any kind. In a meeting with the Associate Dean, the student did not dispute the allegation.

**Degree:** Undergraduate                      **Year:** 3

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on the assignment; a further 5 marks off the final grade in the course. Disciplinary probation.

---

**Description:** Plagiarism

**Details:** Student submitted a film evaluation assignment which contained a number of phrases taken either verbatim or very closely paraphrased from three different internet sources, without citation or attribution.

**Degree:** Undergraduate                      **Year:** 1

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on the discussion post. 5 marks off the final grade in the course. Disciplinary probation.

---

**Description:** Plagiarism

**Details:** Student submitted an assignment in which much of the content had apparently been taken verbatim from an internet source. Student claimed to have been under considerable pressure at the time and did not intend to plagiarize.

**Degree:** Undergraduate                      **Year:** 3

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on the assignment. Disciplinary probation.

---

**Description:** Plagiarism

**Details:** Student submitted a critique in which verbatim passages were taken from two sources without citation of any kind. One of the sources was the subject of the assignment, and had been included in the references list.

**Degree:** Undergraduate                      **Year:** 4

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on the assignment.

---



---

**Description:** Plagiarism

**Details:** Student submitted an assignment and a final essay, each of which included numerous passages taken verbatim from their source without quotation marks of any kind. Student insisted that the plagiarisms were unintentional.

**Degree:** Undergraduate                                  **Year:** 4

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on the assignments. Disciplinary probation.

---

**Description:** Plagiarism

**Details:** Plagiarism was noticed in student's assignment. Student claimed that student did not think unpublished work must be cited and became angered for being punished for a mistake. Student admitted it was a lapse of judgment.

**Degree:** Undergraduate                                  **Year:** 4

**Penalty/Decision:** 15% deduction in research project grade, (-5%) reduction in the course grade, one term suspension. Disciplinary probation.

---

**Description:** Plagiarism

**Details:** Assignment contained text copied word-for-word from various sources.

**Degree:** Undergraduate                                  **Year:** 3

**Penalty/Decision:** Loss of Co-op term report credit; successful completion of professional development course. Disciplinary Probation.

---

**Description:** Plagiarism

**Details:** Turnitin flagged submission for further investigation, referencing a paper by a student from another university and an online source. This was a group assignment.

**Degree:** Undergraduate                                  **Year:** 1

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on assignment. Disciplinary Probation.

---

**Description:** Plagiarism

**Details:** Software discovered similarities between the assignments of two students on multiple assignment. Source was an internet repository. Student accepted punishment offered.

**Degree:** Undergraduate                                  **Year:** 3

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on each assignment, 10% off final grade. Disciplinary probation.

---

---

**Description:** Plagiarism

**Details:** Student submitted a work report which matched 59% with work submitted by another student.

**Degree:** Undergraduate                              **Year:** 2

**Penalty/Decision:** Failing grade. Disciplinary probation.

---

**Description:** Plagiarism

**Details:** The student failed to cite some course material in their answer on the quiz.

**Degree:** Undergraduate                              **Year:** 3

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on the quiz. Disciplinary probation is added.

---

**Description:** Plagiarism

**Details:** Student did not provide a citation for these sentences. Three other passages were matched to text in reports submitted by students at other universities. Student was remorseful for their error.

**Degree:** Undergraduate                              **Year:** 2

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on the introduction of the report. (-5%) reduction on final course grade. Disciplinary probation.

---

**Description:** Plagiarism

**Details:** Students first report contained a number of errors in the Introduction. Student was forthcoming in explaining their submission.

**Degree:** Undergraduate                              **Year:** 2

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on the introduction of the report. (-5%) reduction on final course grade. Disciplinary probation.

---

**Description:** Plagiarism

**Details:** Students submission for the first report had a high similarity score (30%) based on a Turnitin analysis.

**Degree:** Undergraduate                              **Year:** 2

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on the introduction of the report. (-5%) reduction in final course grade. Disciplinary probation.

---

**Description:** Plagiarism

**Details:** Student submitted a report for the first report contained several passages that had been copied word-for-word from a submission by an unidentified student at another institution, based on a Turnitin similarity analysis. Student was remorseful for errors.

**Degree:** Undergraduate

**Year:** 2

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on the assignment (18% of course grade). (-5%) off final course grade. Disciplinary probation.

---

**Description:** Plagiarism

**Details:** Student's submission for the first report had a high similarity score based on a Turnitin analysis. A substantial portion of the text in your report (20%) matched text in the reports submitted by many other students.

**Degree:** Undergraduate

**Year:** 3

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on the assignment (18% of course grade). (-5%) reduction in final course grade; One-term suspension. Disciplinary probation.

---

**Description:** Plagiarism

**Details:** Student submitted a hypothesis paper in which numerous passages were so closely paraphrased from their source passages, cited but without quotation marks. Student expressed some confusion regarding correct citation practice.

**Degree:** Undergraduate

**Year:** 3

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on each assignment. Disciplinary probation.

---

**Description:** Plagiarism

**Details:** Student shared their submitted assignment with the other student who copied it, changed it slightly and submitted it as their own work without acknowledgement.

**Degree:** Undergraduate

**Year:** 1

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on the assignment. Disciplinary probation.

---

**Description:** Plagiarism

**Details:** Student shared their submitted assignment with the other student who copied it, changed it slightly and submitted it as their own work without acknowledgement.

**Degree:** Undergraduate

**Year:** 1

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on the assignment. Disciplinary probation.

---

**Description:** Plagiarism

**Details:** Student submitted assignment contained material from the internet that was not cited (approximately 15%).

**Degree:** Undergraduate

**Year:** 1

**Penalty/Decision:** The student will receive a grade of zero on the assignment. Disciplinary probation.













**Degree:** Undergraduate **Year:** 3

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on the assignment (-5%) from the final grade one term suspension.

---

**Description:** Plagiarism

**Details:** This is a case involving plagiarism for an assignment.

**Degree:** Undergraduate **Year:** 2

**Penalty/Decision:** 10% from assignment

---

**Description:** Plagiarism

**Details:** Student took responsibility for the plagiarised sections in a group assignment in an email to the instructor. The text was cited but quotations were missing.

**Degree:** Undergraduate **Year:** 4

**Penalty/Decision:** 20% grade penalty.

---

**Description:** Plagiarism

**Details:** Student submitted a final paper that included direct quotations from sources but the student had not used any quotation marks for the direct quotations and did not reference all of the sources used. The student did not intend to plagiarize.

**Degree:** Undergraduate **Year:** 3

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on the essay assignment. (-5) marks off the final grade. Disciplinary probation.

---

**Description:** Plagiarism

**Details:** Student was accused of plagiarizing.

**Degree:** Undergraduate **Year:** 2

**Penalty/Decision:** Suspension for fall term. Zero on assignment (-5%) off course grade. Disciplinary Probation.

---

**Description:** Plagiarism

**Details:** Students submission for an assignment contained a substantial amount of text taken from a review article published in 1996.

**Degree:** Undergraduate **Year:** 3

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on the assignment (10% of course grade); 10% reduction in final course grade. Disciplinary probation.

















---

**Description:** Plagiarism

**Details:** The student's quiz contained uncited material from the course content.

**Degree:** Undergraduate                      **Year:** 1

**Penalty/Decision:** The student will receive a zero on the quiz worth 7% of the final grade. Disciplinary Probation.

---

**Description:** Plagiarism

**Details:** Student was honest and forthcoming about plagiarizing on an assignment. Student seemed genuinely remorseful about their actions.

**Degree:** Undergraduate                      **Year:** 2

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on the assignment.

---

**Description:** Plagiarism

**Details:** The student's quiz contained uncited material from the course content.

**Degree:** Undergraduate                      **Year:** 1

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on the quiz which is worth 7% of the final grade. Disciplinary Probation.

---

**Description:** Plagiarism

**Details:** The student's quiz contained uncited course material.

**Degree:** Undergraduate                      **Year:** 1

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on the quiz which is worth 7% of the final grade. Disciplinary Probation.

---

**Description:** Plagiarism

**Details:** Student plagiarized 46% of their work report.

**Degree:** Undergraduate                      **Year:** 3

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on work report. Disciplinary Probation.

---

---

**Description:** Plagiarism

**Details:** The students quiz contained material that was copied from the internet without adequate referencing.

**Degree:** Undergraduate                      **Year:** 1

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on the quiz worth 7% of the final grade. Disciplinary Probation.

---

**Description:** Plagiarism

**Details:** Student submitted an analysis assignment that had been substantially copied either verbatim or very closely paraphrased from an internet source. Student expressed considerable confusion regarding correct citation and paraphrasing practices.

**Degree:** Undergraduate                      **Year:** 2

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on the assignment.

---

**Description:** Plagiarism

**Details:** Student submitted an essay in which two sentences did not have correct citations: one included the source but no quotation marks, the other had neither. Student expressed dismay at the oversight, but nonetheless accepted responsibility for their actions.

**Degree:** Undergraduate                      **Year:** 2

**Penalty/Decision:** A grade reduction of 50% on the assignment.

---

**Description:** Plagiarism

**Details:** Student submitted an essay in which one paragraph had sentences taken verbatim from other sources without attribution of any kind. In a meeting with the Associate Dean, student acknowledged that they had failed to cite properly.

**Degree:** Undergraduate                      **Year:** 2

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on the assignment.

---

**Description:** Plagiarism

**Details:** Student plagiarized a draft of their essay.

**Degree:** Undergraduate                      **Year:** 1

**Penalty/Decision:** Disciplinary Probation.

---

---

**Description:** Plagiarism

**Details:** Student submitted a report that contained an introduction that was almost entirely copied word-for-word from one source.

**Degree:** Undergraduate **Year:** 3

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on the assignment (3% of course grade). (-5%) reduction in final course grade. Disciplinary probation.

---

**Description:** Plagiarism

**Details:** The student did not cite material from the course materials on their quiz.

**Degree:** Undergraduate **Year:** 1

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on the quiz (worth 7% of the final grade). Disciplinary probation.

---

**Description:** Plagiarism

**Details:** This is the case where two students were alleged to have cheated on an assignment.

**Degree:** Undergraduate **Year:** 3

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on the assignment. (-5%) deduction overall. Disciplinary probation.

---

**Description:** Plagiarism

**Details:** Turnitin showed a 21% similarity to the other students paper.

**Degree:** Undergraduate **Year:** 4

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on assignment (-5%) from final grade.

---

**Description:** Plagiarism

**Details:** Students essay contained numerous segments copied word-for-word from the cited sources; two sentences were not associated with a citation but were matched by Turnitin. This is not the first time you have received an allegation of plagiarism.

**Degree:** Undergraduate **Year:** 1

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on the assignment. Additional (-5%) deducted. Disciplinary probation.

---

---

**Description:** Plagiarism

**Details:** This case involves plagiarism in a course. The following students copied portions of friends assignments when a friend wasnt looking and the other modified after looking at their friends assignment on a borrowed USB key.

**Degree:** Undergraduate                      **Year:** 1

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on assignment. (-5%) deducted from overall course. Disciplinary probation.

---

**Description:** Plagiarism

**Details:** This case involves plagiarism in a course. The following students copied portions of friends assignments when a friend wasnt looking and the other modified after looking at their friends assignment on a borrowed USB key.

**Degree:** Undergraduate                      **Year:** 1

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on assignment. (-5%) deducted from overall course grade. Disciplinary probation.

---

**Description:** Plagiarism

**Details:** Student submitted three quizzes that contained answers taken directly from the course content without properly citing that source.

**Degree:** Undergraduate                      **Year:** 1

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero to be given on the three quizzes in question.

---

**Description:** Plagiarism

**Details:** Students essay contained a large section of text mostly copied word-for-word from a published source. Student was remorseful for their errors.

**Degree:** Undergraduate                      **Year:** 4

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on the essay (15% of course grade).(-5%) reduction in final course grade. Disciplinary probation.

---

**Description:** Plagiarism

**Details:** The student submitted an assignment without proper citation.

**Degree:** Undergraduate                      **Year:** 1

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on the assignment with an extra five mark course deduction. Disciplinary Probation.

---

**Description:** Plagiarism

**Details:** The students final capstone project contained plagiarised material.

**Degree:** Undergraduate

**Year:**

**Penalty/Decision:** Required to resubmit the capstone project, and successfully complete an ethics course from a list provided by the Associate Dean. Disciplinary probation.

---

**Description:** Plagiarism

**Details:** The professor compared the two submissions and determined that not only the wording but the structure of the two reports were very similar. Also the bibliography was almost 100% identical.

**Degree:** Undergraduate

**Year:** 2

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on the assignment worth 15% of the final grade (-5%) from the final grade. Disciplinary probation.

---

**Description:** Plagiarism

**Details:** Student plagiarized on assignment.

**Degree:** Undergraduate

**Year:** 3

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on the assignment. Disciplinary Probation.

---

**Description:** Plagiarism

**Details:** Student submitted an assignment with verbatim text with no citation of sources and no use of quotation marks.

**Degree:** Undergraduate

**Year:** 2

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on the assignment. Disciplinary probation.

---

**Description:** Plagiarism

**Details:** Student submitted an assessment containing sections of text that have been identified as information taken from an internet source without properly citing that source. Student was advised that this is considered plagiarism and is not acceptable.

**Degree:** Undergraduate

**Year:** 2

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero be given for the assessment.

---

**Description:** Plagiarism

**Details:** This is the case where two students were alleged to have cheated on an assignment. It became apparent that the codes submitted by both students shared a number of very similar features.

**Degree:** Undergraduate

**Year:** 2

**Penalty/Decision:** 50% deduction from the assignment. (-5%) deduction from the course grade.

---

**Description:** Plagiarism

**Details:** Students report contained two instances of text copied word-for-word from uncited sources as well as one sentence copied from a cited source. When you met with Professor to discuss the evidence you admitted that copying the text directly from the tutorial.

**Degree:** Undergraduate                      **Year:** 4

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on the theory part of the assignment. 5% reduction in your final course grade. Disciplinary probation.

---

**Description:** Plagiarism

**Details:** This case involves plagiarism on an assignment where this student used the code of another students from Spring term to complete two assignments.

**Degree:** Undergraduate                      **Year:** 3

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on assignment. (-5%) deduction off overall mark. Disciplinary probation.

---

**Description:** Plagiarism

**Details:** This case involves plagiarism on an assignment where this student used the code of another students from Spring term to complete two assignments.

**Degree:** Undergraduate                      **Year:** 2

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on assignment. (-5%) deduction off overall mark. Disciplinary probation.

---

**Description:** Plagiarism

**Details:** Student plagiarized on assignment.

**Degree:** Undergraduate                      **Year:** 2

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on assignment. (-5%) deduction off overall mark. Disciplinary probation.

---

**Description:** Plagiarism

**Details:** The first student sent their work report to a second student who copied the material and submitted it as their own.

**Degree:** Undergraduate                      **Year:** 3

**Penalty/Decision:** Disciplinary Probation.

---

**Description:** Plagiarism

**Details:** The first student sent their work report to a second student who copied the material and submitted it as their own.

**Degree:** Undergraduate                      **Year:** 3

**Penalty/Decision:** Disciplinary probation.

---

**Description:** Plagiarism

**Details:** Student plagiarised part of their report.

**Degree:** Undergraduate                      **Year:** 4

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on the report with a five mark course deduction. Disciplinary probation.

---

**Description:** Plagiarism

**Details:** The copied text was not associated with an in-text citation nor was there a reference to the source listed in the bibliography. Your resubmitted version was not substantially modified from the original apart from having the appropriate citation associated

**Degree:** Undergraduate                      **Year:** 3

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on the group report. (-5%) reduction in final grade. Disciplinary probation.

---

**Description:** Plagiarism

**Details:** Both these issues were listed in the allegation of misconduct that you were sent. Upon comparing the sources identified by the professor with your essay, you agreed that some of the text was identical.

**Degree:** Undergraduate                      **Year:** 4

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on the assignment (10% of course grade) Disciplinary probation.

---

**Description:** Plagiarism

**Details:** This case involves excessive collaboration on an assignment.

**Degree:** Undergraduate                      **Year:** 3

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on the assignment. (-5%) Deduction. Disciplinary probation.

---

**Description:** Plagiarism

**Details:** Students resubmitted version was not substantially modified from the original apart from having the appropriate citation associated with each sentence. Student took full responsibility for the uncited text in the first draft.

**Degree:** Undergraduate                      **Year:** 3

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on the group report. (-5%) reduction in final grade. Disciplinary probation.

---

**Description:** Plagiarism

**Details:** This is a case involving allegation of plagiarism on an assignment.

**Degree:** Undergraduate **Year:** 2

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on the assignment.

---

**Description:** Plagiarism

**Details:** This is a case involving allegation of plagiarism on an assignment.

**Degree:** Undergraduate **Year:** 4

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on assignment.

---

**Description:** Plagiarism

**Details:** One third of student's assignment was taken from multiple sources which were not mentioned in a "works cited" list. The assignment required students to cite any courses which they use in completing their assignment.

**Degree:** Undergraduate **Year:** 1

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on the assignment. Disciplinary probation.

---

**Description:** Plagiarism,

**Details:** Student submitted an assignment with passages that had been taken verbatim from internet sources, without citation of any kind. In a meeting with the Associate Dean, the Student acknowledged having consulted the websites.

**Degree:** Undergraduate **Year:** 1

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on the assignment. Disciplinary probation.

---

**Description:** Plagiarism,

**Details:** Several aspects of your contributions indicated a lack of effort, including defining the terms 'Boomer' and 'Generation X' incorrectly and leaving out any reference to the business example outlined in the report in the executive summary.

**Degree:** Undergraduate **Year:** 3



**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on the assignment (10% of course grade); 5% off the final course grade. Disciplinary probation for the duration of your UW undergraduate career.

---

**Description:** Plagiarism

**Details:** Student submitted an assignment that was substantially similar to that submitted by another student in a different section of the course. Student did not dispute the allegation of plagiarism.

**Degree:** Undergraduate **Year:** 1

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on the assignment. Disciplinary probation.

---

**Description:** Plagiarism

**Details:** Student submitted an assignment that was substantially similar to that submitted by another student in a different section of the course. Student did not dispute the allegation of plagiarism.

**Degree:** Undergraduate **Year:** 2

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on the assignment. Disciplinary probation.

---

**Description:** Theft

**Details:** Student has written the apology letter and a meeting has been arranged with Health Services.

**Degree:** Undergraduate **Year:** 2

**Penalty/Decision:** Letter of Apology.

---

**Description:** Theft

**Details:** Theft of Intellectual Property, Theft under \$5,000.

**Degree:** Undergraduate **Year:** 2

**Penalty/Decision:** Expulsion from UW.

---

**Description:** Theft

**Details:** The student stole numerous sundaes from the food court. Student claimed to be entitled to do so due to long line up.

**Degree:** Undergraduate **Year:** 1

**Penalty/Decision:** Letter of apology to the Associate Dean for distribution and complete a case study on the situation.

---

**Description:** Theft of intellectual property

**Details:** Student took the assignment of another student out of the submission box, without the permission or knowledge of that student. Student admitted to doing this because of stress over other time commitments and family problems.

**Degree:** Undergraduate                                      **Year:** 2

**Penalty/Decision:** 1-term suspension. Two individual written apologies to instructor and student copied from. Complete, with a grade of at least 65, one ethics course that will not count in student's academic averages or toward degree credit count. Disciplinary probation.

---

**Description:** Theft of intellectual property

**Details:** Student ended up paying for course notes. Student took responsibility that they did not read the course syllabus. I thought the assignment was my own work. I was totally wrong about this.

**Degree:** Undergraduate                                      **Year:** 4

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on report (worth 7%) Apology letter to Professors. (-5%) deduction from your final grade. Disciplinary probation.

---

**Description:** Unauthorized aids or assistance

**Details:** Student was observed sitting with an unusual posture while writing an exam: hunched over in one direction (to the left), reportedly using only right hand to turn exam pages; left hand was often hidden from sight.

**Degree:** Undergraduate                                      **Year:** 4

**Penalty/Decision:** Completion of 2 courses: one 300- or 400 level course and one Ethics course before graduation. These courses will not count towards degree requirements (in terms of credit count or averages); however, student will have to obtain a grade of at least 60.

---

**Description:** Unauthorized aids or assistance

**Details:** During exam, instructor noticed that student had a formula written on their hand. Student claimed that this was done out of habit, as a reminder of daily tasks and course-related facts. Student accidentally used permanent marker on the day of the exam.

**Degree:** Undergraduate                                      **Year:** 2

**Penalty/Decision:** 10% of the 43-mark exam deduction. (-5%) final course grade deduction; completion of one ethics course before graduation. Disciplinary probation.

---

**Description:** Unauthorized aids or assistance

**Details:** Both students collaborated excessively on an assignment.

**Degree:** Undergraduate                                      **Year:** 4

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on the assessment worth 10% of the final grade. Disciplinary probation.

---

---

**Description:** Unauthorized aids or assistance

**Details:** Both students collaborated excessively on an assignment.

**Degree:** Undergraduate                      **Year:** 4

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on the assessment worth 10% of the final grade. Disciplinary probation.

---

**Description:** Unauthorized aids or assistance

**Details:** A student used an unauthorized aid (computer) while writing a midterm examination.

**Degree:** Undergraduate                      **Year:** 1

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on the midterm with an additional 5 mark deduction from the overall course. The student is required to take one ethics course from a list provided by the Associate Dean. Disciplinary probation.

---

**Description:** Unauthorized aids or assistance

**Details:** Student was caught with a prohibited calculator in the class about 10 minutes into the test.

**Degree:** Undergraduate                      **Year:** 3

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on question of test. Disciplinary probation.

---

**Description:** Unauthorized aids or assistance

**Details:** Student's report was approximately 90% identical to the report of another student in the class. You explained that you and the other student worked together in the same room to prepare your reports.

**Degree:** Undergraduate                      **Year:** 1

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on report (8% of course grade). Zero on graphs for Report. Completion of the Academic Integrity Workshop.

---

**Description:** Unauthorized aids or assistance

**Details:** Student's report was approximately 90% identical to the report of another student in the class. You explained that you and the other student worked together in the same room to prepare your reports. You recognized that your report did not reflect your ind

**Degree:** Undergraduate                      **Year:** 1

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on report (8% of course grade). Zero on graphs for Report. Completion of the Academic Integrity Workshop.

---

---

**Description:** Unauthorized aids or assistance

**Details:** This student's assignment submission was very similar to one from the Spring term.

**Degree:** Undergraduate                      **Year:** 3

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on the assignment. (-5%) deduction. Disciplinary probation.

---

**Description:** Unauthorized aids or assistance

**Details:** This case involves the use of an unauthorized calculator on a test in the Spring term

**Degree:** Undergraduate                      **Year:** 3

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on question where integral button would have been useful (-5%) deduction. Disciplinary Probation.

---

**Description:** Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

**Details:** Student's answers for assignment were essentially identical to those of a friend. Student met with instructor to discuss the submission, with an option of resolving the allegation informally.

**Degree:** Undergraduate                      **Year:** 2

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on the assignment (2% of course grade). Disciplinary probation.

---

**Description:** Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

**Details:** Student's answers for assignment were essentially identical to those of a friend. Student met with instructor to discuss the submission, with an option of resolving the allegation informally.

**Degree:** Undergraduate                      **Year:** 2

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on the assignment (2% of course grade). Disciplinary probation.

---

**Description:** Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

**Details:** MOSS software flagged several students who submitted similar solutions for some assignments. First offence for all students.

**Degree:** Undergraduate                      **Year:** 1

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on one assignment, (-5%) off final course grade. Disciplinary probation.

---

**Description:** Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

**Details:** MOSS software flagged several students who submitted similar solutions for some assignments. First offence for all students.

**Degree:** Undergraduate                      **Year:** 1

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on one assignment, (-5%) off final course grade. Disciplinary probation.

---

**Description:** Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

**Details:** MOSS software flagged several students who submitted similar solutions for some assignments. First offence for all students.

**Degree:** Undergraduate                      **Year:** 2

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on one assignment, (-5%) off final course grade. Disciplinary probation.

---

**Description:** Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

**Details:** Student emailed other students in class asking if any other student would collaborate on an online quiz. Student was remorseful and forthcoming.

**Degree:** Undergraduate                      **Year:** 3

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on the assignment (5% of course grade). (-5%) reduction in the final course grade. Disciplinary probation.

---

**Description:** Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

**Details:** All three students collaborated excessively on a project by copying code. It was clear that the code had been copied as it was uniquely incorrect.

**Degree:** Undergraduate                      **Year:** 2

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on the project with an additional five mark course deduction. Disciplinary probation.

---

**Description:** Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

**Details:** All three students collaborated excessively on a project by copying code. It was clear that the code had been copied as it was uniquely incorrect.

**Degree:** Undergraduate                      **Year:** 2

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on the project with an additional five mark course deduction. Disciplinary probation.

---

**Description:** Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

**Details:** All three students collaborated excessively on a project by copying code. It was clear that the code had been copied as it was uniquely incorrect.

**Degree:** Undergraduate

**Year:** 2

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on the project with an additional five mark course deduction. Disciplinary probation.

---

**Description:** Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

**Details:** Student posted a discussion blog entry that was identical to one submitted one day later by another student in the class. Student stated that student had not intended for other student to copy this work.

**Degree:** Undergraduate

**Year:** 1

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on the assignment in question. Disciplinary probation.

---

**Description:** Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

**Details:** Both students collaborated on an assignment that required independent work.

**Degree:** Undergraduate

**Year:** 3

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on the assignment worth 7.5% of the grade. Disciplinary probation.

---

**Description:** Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

**Details:** Both students collaborated on an assignment that required independent work.

**Degree:** Undergraduate

**Year:** 3

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on the assignment worth 7.5% of the grade. Disciplinary probation.

---

**Description:** Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

**Details:** Codes submitted by two students shared a number of very similar features.

**Degree:** Undergraduate

**Year:** 2

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on assignment. (-5%) off final grade. Disciplinary probation.

---

**Description:** Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

**Details:** Codes submitted by two students shared a number of very similar features.

**Degree:** Undergraduate

**Year:** 2

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on assignment. (-5%) off final grade. Disciplinary probation.

---

**Description:** Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

**Details:** Student submitted a paper that was similar to that of another student.

**Degree:** Undergraduate                      **Year:** 2

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on the paper and an additional 5 marks subtracted from final course grade. Disciplinary probation.

---

**Description:** Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

**Details:** Several answers on assignment were too similar between two students. Student was forthcoming and said that both students worked together, not one copying the other.

**Degree:** Undergraduate                      **Year:** 2

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on the assignment (3% of course grade). (-5%) grade deduction from final course grade unless this causes grade to be less than 50%, in which case final grade.

---

**Description:** Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

**Details:** Several answers on assignment were too similar between two students. Course outline has clear academic integrity instructions and was reviewed with class by instructor on first day of classes.

**Degree:** Undergraduate                      **Year:** 2

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on the assignment (3% of course grade). (-5%) grade deduction from final course grade unless this causes grade to be less than 50%, in which case final grade.

---

**Description:** Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

**Details:** Student ended up copying other students assignment.

**Degree:** Undergraduate                      **Year:** 1

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on the assignment (worth 20%) and will be placed on academic probation.

---

**Description:** Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

**Details:** Student ended up copying other students assignment.

**Degree:** Undergraduate                      **Year:** 1

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on the assignment (worth 20%) and will be placed on academic probation.

---

**Description:** Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

**Details:** Reports submitted by two students are too similar. Both reports contained the same typographical errors and missed citations; the data tables were formatted identically and had identical, unusual descriptions in several instances.

**Degree:** Undergraduate                      **Year:** 2

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on the assignment (5% of course grade). Disciplinary probation.

---

**Description:** Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

**Details:** The students excessively collaborated on a homework assignment that required independent work.

**Degree:** Undergraduate                      **Year:** 2

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on the assignment with an additional five mark course deduction. Disciplinary probation.

---

**Description:** Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

**Details:** Codes submitted were similar in nature. Student copied code for second assignment inadvertently.

**Degree:** Undergraduate                      **Year:** 3

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on assignment. (-5%) off final grade. Disciplinary probation.

---

**Description:** Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

**Details:** 47% similarity in code submitted by two students. First offence.

**Degree:** Undergraduate                      **Year:** 2

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on assignment. (-5%) off final grade. Disciplinary probation.

---

**Description:** Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

**Details:** Two students submitted assignments that were more similar than would be expected by chance.

**Degree:** Undergraduate                      **Year:** 1

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on assignment. Disciplinary probation.

---

**Description:** Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

**Details:** Two students shared answers and submitted them for grades.

---



**Degree:** Undergraduate **Year:** 1

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on assignment, (-5%) off final grade. Disciplinary probation.

---

**Description:** Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

**Details:** Two students worked together, one would do the first part of the assignment, the other would do the next.

**Degree:** Undergraduate **Year:** 1

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on assignment. (-5%) off final grade. Disciplinary probation.

---

**Description:** Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

**Details:** Two students worked together, one would do the first part of the assignment, the other would do the next.

**Degree:** Undergraduate **Year:** 1

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on assignment. (-5%) off final grade. Disciplinary probation.

---

**Description:** Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

**Details:** Two students submitted work for grading that contained uncited material.

**Degree:** Undergraduate **Year:** 2

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on the assignment with an additional 4.5 course deduction. Disciplinary probation.

---

**Description:** Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

**Details:** Two students submitted work for grading that contained uncited material.

**Degree:** Undergraduate **Year:** 2

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on the assignment with an additional 4.5 course deduction. Disciplinary probation.

---

**Description:** Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

**Details:** No details provided.

**Degree:** Undergraduate **Year:** 1

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on assignment. (5%) final grade. Disciplinary probation.

---

**Description:** Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

**Details:** No details provided.

**Degree:** Undergraduate                      **Year:** 1

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on assignment. (5%) final grade. Disciplinary probation.

---

**Description:** Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

**Details:** Both students collaborated excessively on an assignment.

**Degree:** Undergraduate                      **Year:** 1

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on the assignment and a letter of reprimand in the Associate Dean files.

---

**Description:** Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

**Details:** Both students collaborated excessively on an assignment.

**Degree:** Undergraduate                      **Year:** 1

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on the assignment and a letter of reprimand in the Associate Dean files.

---

**Description:** Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

**Details:** Student's assignment contained more collaboration than was permitted for the assignment.

**Degree:** Undergraduate                      **Year:** 1

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero is to be used for the assignment. 3% course deduction will be added. The students will all receive a letter of reprimand.

---

**Description:** Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

**Details:** Student's assignment contained more collaboration than was permitted for the assignment.

**Degree:** Undergraduate                      **Year:** 1

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero is to be used for the assignment. 3% course deduction will be added. The students will all receive a letter of reprimand.

---

**Description:** Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

**Details:** Student's assignment contained more collaboration than was permitted for the assignment.

**Degree:** Undergraduate **Year:** 1

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero is to be used for the assignment. 3% course deduction will be added. The students will all receive a letter of reprimand.

---

**Description:** Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

**Details:** The students collaborated more closely than what was permitted according to the rules of the instructor.

**Degree:** Undergraduate **Year:** 1

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on the assignment. (-5%) course deduction and a letter of reprimand.

---

**Description:** Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

**Details:** The students collaborated more closely than what was permitted according to the rules of the instructor.

**Degree:** Undergraduate **Year:** 1

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on the assignment with a 5% course deduction and a letter of reprimand.

---

**Description:** Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

**Details:** The student shared ideas and compared her answers with another student on an assignment that required independent work.

**Degree:** Undergraduate **Year:**

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on the assignment. (-5%) course deduction plus. Disciplinary probation.

---

**Description:** Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

**Details:** The student and another student collaborated excessively on an assignment.

**Degree:** Undergraduate **Year:**

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on the assignment and a letter of reprimand.

---

**Description:** Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

**Details:** Student submitted a take home exam in which two questions were substantially the same as those submitted by another student in the class. Student disputed the allegation.

**Degree:** Undergraduate **Year:** 3

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on the assignment. Disciplinary probation.

---

**Description:** Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

**Details:** Student submitted a take home exam in which two questions were substantially the same as those submitted by another student in the class. Student disputed the allegation.

**Degree:** Undergraduate **Year:** 3

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on the assignment. Disciplinary probation.

---

**Description:** Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

**Details:** Student submitted a take home assignment with one answer that was substantially identical to that submitted by another student in the class. Student expressed considerable remorse for their actions.

**Degree:** Undergraduate **Year:** 2

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on the assignment. Disciplinary probation.

---

**Description:** Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

**Details:** Student submitted a discussion post that was virtually identical to one submitted by another student in a different group. The posts were set up in LEARN in such a way that only students assigned to the same group could view each other's work.

**Degree:** Undergraduate **Year:** 1

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on the discussion post. 5 marks off the final grade in the course. Disciplinary probation.

---

**Description:** Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

**Details:** Student submitted a discussion post that was virtually identical to one submitted by another student in a different group. The posts were set up in LEARN in such a way that only students assigned to the same group could view each other's work.

**Degree:** Undergraduate **Year:** 1

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on the discussion post. 5 marks off the final grade in the course. Disciplinary probation.

---

**Description:** Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

**Details:** Student submitted quiz which included answered/changed answers to the same questions at either the same time, or in clusters that matched.

**Degree:** Undergraduate **Year:** 3

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on Quiz. Disciplinary probation.

---

---

**Description:** Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

**Details:** Both students collaborated on an assignment more than was permitted according to the course and instructor requirements.

**Degree:** Undergraduate                      **Year:** 1

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on the assignment with an additional 5 mark course deduction. Disciplinary probation.

---

**Description:** Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

**Details:** Two students submitted answers to an online assignment from nearly identical IP addresses and answered or changed answers to the same questions at the same time. Student took full responsibility and was remorseful.

**Degree:** Undergraduate                      **Year:** 2

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on the assignment (10% of course grade). (-5%) reduction in final grade. Disciplinary probation.

---

**Description:** Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

**Details:** Two students collaborated on a test.

**Degree:** Undergraduate                      **Year:** 4

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on quiz. (-5%) off final grade. Disciplinary Probation.

---

**Description:** Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

**Details:** Two students collaborated on a test.

**Degree:** Undergraduate                      **Year:** 5

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on quiz. (-5%) off final grade. Disciplinary probation. 10 page essay.

---

**Description:** Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

**Details:** Student's first submitted assignment was essentially identical, including grammatical anomalies, to an assignment submitted by another student . Student admitted to mistakenly believing that this was permitted.

**Degree:** Undergraduate                      **Year:** 1

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on the assignment (1% of course grade); 5% reduction in the final course grade; Disciplinary probation.

---

**Description:** Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

**Details:** Student allowed another student to take photographs of answers to the assignment.

**Degree:** Undergraduate                      **Year:** 1

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on the assignment (1% of course grade). Disciplinary probation.

---

**Description:** Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

**Details:** Two students submitted solutions for assignment that were more similar than would be expected by chance. Both students were very remorseful.

**Degree:** Undergraduate                      **Year:** 1

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on assignment. (-5%) off final grade for student. Disciplinary probation.

---

**Description:** Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

**Details:** Two students submitted solutions for assignment that were more similar than would be expected by chance. Both students were very remorseful.

**Degree:** Undergraduate                      **Year:** 1

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on assignment. (-5%) off final grade for student. Disciplinary probation.

---

**Description:** Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

**Details:** Two students submitted solutions for an assignment that were too similar.

**Degree:** Undergraduate                      **Year:** 1

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on Assignment. (-5%) off final grade. Disciplinary probation.

---

**Description:** Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

**Details:** Two students submitted solutions for an assignment that were too similar.

**Degree:** Undergraduate                      **Year:** 1

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on Assignment. (-5%) off final grade. Disciplinary probation.

---

**Description:** Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

**Details:** Student submitted a lab assignment that was virtually identical to that submitted by another student in the class.

---

**Degree:** Undergraduate                      **Year:** 3

**Penalty/Decision:** No penalty.

---

**Description:** Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

**Details:** Student submitted a lab assignment that was virtually identical to that submitted by another student in the class.

**Degree:** Undergraduate                      **Year:** 4

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on the assignment; a further 5 marks off the final grade in the course. Disciplinary probation.

---

**Description:** Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

**Details:** A group of students collaborated more than was permitted under the rules of the assignment.

**Degree:** Undergraduate                      **Year:** 1

**Penalty/Decision:** All the students will receive a grade of 5/20 for the drawing. Disciplinary probation is added for all the students.

---

**Description:** Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

**Details:** A group of students collaborated more than was permitted under the rules of the assignment.

**Degree:** Undergraduate                      **Year:** 1

**Penalty/Decision:** All the students will receive a grade of 5/20 for the drawing. Disciplinary probation.

---

**Description:** Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

**Details:** A group of students collaborated more than was permitted under the rules of the assignment.

**Degree:** Undergraduate                      **Year:** 1

**Penalty/Decision:** All the students will receive a grade of 5/20 for the drawing. Disciplinary probation.

---

**Description:** Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

**Details:** A group of students collaborated more than was permitted under the rules of the assignment.

**Degree:** Undergraduate                      **Year:** 1

**Penalty/Decision:** All the students will receive a grade of 5/20 for the drawing. Disciplinary probation.

---

**Description:** Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

**Details:** A group of students collaborated more than was permitted under the rules of the assignment.

**Degree:** Undergraduate **Year:** 1

**Penalty/Decision:** All the students will receive a grade of 5/20 for the drawing. Disciplinary probation.

---

**Description:** Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

**Details:** A group of students collaborated more than was permitted under the rules of the assignment.

**Degree:** Undergraduate **Year:** 1

**Penalty/Decision:** All the students will receive a grade of 5/20 for the drawing. Disciplinary probation.

---

**Description:** Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

**Details:** A group of students collaborated more than was permitted under the rules of the assignment.

**Degree:** Undergraduate **Year:** 1

**Penalty/Decision:** All the students will receive a grade of 5/20 for the drawing. Disciplinary probation.

---

**Description:** Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

**Details:** Two student's work contained excessive collaboration.

**Degree:** Undergraduate **Year:** 1

**Penalty/Decision:** Both students will receive 10/20 on the project (worth 20% of final term grade) and 20/40 on the second project (worth 40% of the final term grade). Disciplinary probation.

---

**Description:** Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

**Details:** Two student's work contained excessive collaboration.

**Degree:** Undergraduate **Year:** 1

**Penalty/Decision:** Both students will receive 10/20 on the project (worth 20% of final term grade) and 20/40 on the second project (worth 40% of the final term grade). Disciplinary probation.



---

**Description:** Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

**Details:** Instructor noticed similarities between the assignments of two students. Student 2 allowed Student 1 to copy the assignment.

**Degree:** Undergraduate   **Year:** 1

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on assignment. (-5%) off the final grade. Disciplinary probation.

---

**Description:** Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

**Details:** Instructor noticed similarities between the assignments of two students. Student 2 allowed Student 1 to copy the assignment.

**Degree:** Undergraduate   **Year:** 2

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on assignment. (-5%) off the final grade. Disciplinary probation.

---

**Description:** Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

**Details:** Both students collaborated on an assignment more than was permitted.

**Degree:** Undergraduate   **Year:** 1

**Penalty/Decision:** Both students will receive a grade of 10/20 on the project. Disciplinary probation.

---

**Description:** Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

**Details:** Both students collaborated on an assignment more than was permitted.

**Degree:** Undergraduate   **Year:** 1

**Penalty/Decision:** Both students will receive a grade of 10/20 on the project. Disciplinary probation.

---

**Description:** Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

**Details:** The majority of the reports submitted by two students were identical. One student admitted to being behind on the student so the other allowed that student to copy. Student was remorseful.

**Degree:** Undergraduate   **Year:** 1

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on the assignment (9% of course grade). Disciplinary probation.

---

---

**Description:** Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

**Details:** Student copied work of another student who was a close friend.

**Degree:** Undergraduate **Year:** 1

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on the assignment (9% of course grade). (-5%) reduction in final course grade. Disciplinary probation.

---

**Description:** Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

**Details:** Both students collaborated excessively and did not acknowledge this collaboration on the assignment declaration page.

**Degree:** Undergraduate **Year:** 1

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on the assignment. Disciplinary probation.

---

**Description:** Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

**Details:** Both students collaborated excessively and did not acknowledge this collaboration on the assignment declaration page.

**Degree:** Undergraduate **Year:** 1

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on the assignment. Disciplinary probation.

---

**Description:** Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

**Details:** Student's report was approximately 70% identical to the report of another student in the class. Student met with professor and was equally forthcoming.

**Degree:** Undergraduate **Year:** 1

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on Report. Completion of the Academic Integrity Workshop.

---

**Description:** Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

**Details:** Student's report was approximately 70% identical to the report of another student in the class. Student met with Professor and was equally forthcoming.

**Degree:** Undergraduate **Year:** 1

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on Report (8% of course grade). Completion of the Academic Integrity Workshop.

---

**Description:** Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

**Details:** The students submitted a midterm assignment that contained uncited material from an internet source.

**Degree:** Undergraduate **Year:** 1

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on the midterm assignment (worth 20%) of the grade. Disciplinary probation.

---

**Description:** Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

**Details:** Student submitted report which closely resembled the report of another student.

**Degree:** Undergraduate **Year:** 2

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on report and 5 marks will be deducted from their final course grade. Disciplinary probation.

---

**Description:** Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

**Details:** It was determined that the submissions were too identical to not have shared electronic files.

**Degree:** Undergraduate **Year:** 2

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on the assignment. (-5%) from final grade.

---

**Description:** Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

**Details:** It was determined that the submissions were too identical to not have shared electronic files.

**Degree:** Undergraduate **Year:** 1

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on the assignment. (-5%) from final grade.

---

**Description:** Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

**Details:** Students answers were found to be unusually similar to those submitted by the other student. Student did not accept this penalty, thus a formal resolution was required. Student admitted that they had worked together.

**Degree:** Undergraduate **Year:** 3

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on all assignments. Disciplinary probation.

---

**Description:** Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

**Details:** Students answers were found to be unusually similar to those submitted by the other student. Student did not accept this penalty, thus a formal resolution was required. Student admitted that they had worked together on your assignments.

**Degree:** Undergraduate **Year:** 3

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on all assignments. Disciplinary probation.

---

**Description:** Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

**Details:** Student was accused of excessive collaboration on assignment in the winter term. Student's assignment was very similar to an assignment from another student in fall 2015.

**Degree:** Undergraduate **Year:** 3

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on assignment (5%) deduction. Disciplinary probation.

---

**Description:** Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

**Details:** These two students were accused of excessive collaboration on assignment in the winter term. Both students deny working on the assignment together.

**Degree:** Undergraduate **Year:** 2

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on assignment. Disciplinary probation.

---

**Description:** Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

**Details:** These two students were accused of excessive collaboration on assignment in the winter term. Both students deny working on the assignment together.

**Degree:** Undergraduate **Year:** 2

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on assignment. Disciplinary probation.

---

**Description:** Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

**Details:** These two students were accused of excessive collaboration on assignment in the Winter term.

**Degree:** Undergraduate **Year:** 3

**Penalty/Decision:** Not pursuing any academic penalty or case against these two students.

---

**Description:** Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

**Details:** These two students were accused of excessive collaboration on assignment in the Winter term.

**Degree:** Undergraduate                                   **Year:** 3

**Penalty/Decision:** Not pursuing any academic penalty or case against these two students.

---

**Description:** Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

**Details:** Students were submitting in class assignments for each other.

**Degree:** Undergraduate                                   **Year:** 1

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on the in class assignment portion (worth 10%) an additional 5% from the final grade.

---

**Description:** Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

**Details:** Student asked their friend who had already submitted the code to test their code.

**Degree:** Undergraduate                                   **Year:** 1

**Penalty/Decision:** Write an apology letter.

---

**Description:** Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

**Details:** Two students claim that they wrote their code independently, and its just a huge weird coincidence. This cheating was done on assignment.

**Degree:** Undergraduate                                   **Year:** 1

**Penalty/Decision:** 100% convinced there is no way this could be a coincidence.

---

**Description:** Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

**Details:** Two students claim that they wrote their code independently, and its just a huge weird coincidence. This cheating was done on assignment.

**Degree:** Undergraduate                                   **Year:** 1

**Penalty/Decision:** 100% convinced there is no way this could be a coincidence.

---

**Description:** Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

**Details:** This is a case where two students were alleged to have cheated on an assignment.

---

**Degree:** Undergraduate **Year:** 2

**Penalty/Decision:** Letter of apology.

---

**Description:** Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

**Details:** Two students about similarities in their submissions for an assignment. They claim that they do not know each other, and its a big coincidence. I consulted with instructors, and they think that their code looks too similar.

**Degree:** Undergraduate **Year:** 1

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on the assignment. (-5%) deduction. Disciplinary Probation.

---

**Description:** Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

**Details:** Two students about similarities in their submissions for an assignment. They claim that they do not know each other, and its a big coincidence. I consulted with instructors, and they think that their code looks too similar.

**Degree:** Undergraduate **Year:**

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on the assignment. (-5%) deduction. Disciplinary Probation.

---

**Description:** Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

**Details:** This is a case involving allegation of cheating on an assignment.

**Degree:** Undergraduate **Year:** 2

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on assignment (-5%) deduction. Disciplinary Probation.

---

**Description:** Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

**Details:** This is a case involving allegation of cheating on an assignment.

**Degree:** Undergraduate **Year:** 1

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on assignment (-5%) deduction. Disciplinary Probation.

---

**Description:** Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

**Details:** Student copied code from previous term.

**Degree:** Undergraduate                      **Year:** 4

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on assignment, 10 page essay.

---

**Description:** Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

**Details:** These students excessively collaborated on assignment in the Winter term.

**Degree:** Undergraduate                      **Year:** 3

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on assignment. (-5%) deduction off course grade. Disciplinary probation.

---

**Description:** Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

**Details:** These students excessively collaborated on assignment in the Winter term.

**Degree:** Undergraduate                      **Year:** 3

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on assignment, 5% deduction off course grade. Disciplinary probation.

---

**Description:** Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

**Details:** This case involves excessive collaboration on assignment.

**Degree:** Undergraduate                      **Year:** 4

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on assignment. (-5%) deducted. Disciplinary Probation for both.

---

**Description:** Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

**Details:** This case involves excessive collaboration on assignment.

**Degree:** Undergraduate                      **Year:** 3

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on assignment. (-5%) deducted. Disciplinary probation for both.

---

**Description:** Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

**Details:** This case involves assignment where the student used previous code to complete their assignment.

---

**Degree:** Undergraduate                      **Year:** 3

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on test, 5% deduction. Disciplinary probation.

---

**Description:** Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

**Details:** The students excessively collaborated on an assignment that required independent work.

**Degree:** Undergraduate                      **Year:**

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on the assignment with an additional five mark course deduction once all marking schemes have been applied. Disciplinary probation.

---

**Description:** Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

**Details:** The students excessively collaborated on an assignment that required independent work.

**Degree:** Undergraduate                      **Year:** 2

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on the assignment with an additional five mark course deduction once all marking schemes have been applied. Disciplinary probation.

---

**Description:** Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

**Details:** This case involves a student using a code previous submitted by another student in a past term to complete their assignments.

**Degree:** Undergraduate                      **Year:** 3

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on assignment. (-5%) Deduction. Disciplinary probation.

---

**Description:** Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

**Details:** Student found the code online and copied the code from another previous student.

**Degree:** Undergraduate                      **Year:** 3

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on assignment (-5%) deduction off course grade. Disciplinary probation.

---

**Description:** Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

**Details:** These students excessively collaborated on assignment in the Winter term.

**Degree:** Undergraduate                      **Year:** 2

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on assignment. Disciplinary probation.





**Degree:** Undergraduate **Year:** 2

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on assignment. Disciplinary probation.

---

**Description:** Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

**Details:** This case involves students excessive collaboration on an assignment.

**Degree:** Undergraduate **Year:** 2

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on assignment. Disciplinary probation.

---

**Description:** Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

**Details:** This case involves students excessive collaboration on an assignment.

**Degree:** Undergraduate **Year:** 2

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on assignment. (-5%) deduction off course grade. Disciplinary probation.

---

**Description:** Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

**Details:** This case involves students excessive collaboration on an assignment.

**Degree:** Undergraduate **Year:** 2

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on assignment. (-5%) deduction off course grade. Disciplinary probation.

---

**Description:** Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

**Details:** This case involves students excessive collaboration on an assignment.

**Degree:** Undergraduate **Year:** 2

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on assignment. (- 5%) deduction off course grade. Disciplinary probation.

---

**Description:** Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

**Details:** This case involves students excessive collaboration on an assignment.

**Degree:** Undergraduate **Year:** 2

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on assignment. (-5%) deduction off course grade. Disciplinary probation.







**Details:** Two students in the class submitted answers to an online quiz that were virtually identical. Students did not dispute the allegation.

**Degree:** Undergraduate

**Year:** 2

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on the assignment. (-5%) marks off the final grade in the course. Disciplinary probation.

---

**Description:** Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

**Details:** Two students submitted an assignment in which one answer was virtually identical. Student did not dispute the allegation.

**Degree:** Undergraduate

**Year:** 3

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on the assignment; a further 5 marks off the final grade in the course. Disciplinary probation.

---

**Description:** Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

**Details:** Two students submitted an assignment in which one answer was virtually identical. Student did not dispute the allegation.

**Degree:** Undergraduate

**Year:** 3

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on the assignment; a further 5 marks off the final grade in the course. Disciplinary probation.

---

**Description:** Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

**Details:** Two students submitted a coding assignment in which one answer was substantially identical. Student did not dispute the allegation.

**Degree:** Undergraduate

**Year:** 3

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on the assignment. Disciplinary probation for the remainder of the student's undergraduate career.

---

**Description:** Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

**Details:** Two students submitted a coding assignment in which one answer was substantially identical. Student did not dispute the allegation.

**Degree:** Undergraduate

**Year:** 3

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on the assignment. Disciplinary probation.

---

**Description:** Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

**Details:** Both students wording on several questions are almost exact, with the same grammatical mistakes. This is especially evident in the first page and the last two pages.

**Degree:** Undergraduate

**Year:** 2







**Degree:** Undergraduate                      **Year:** 2

**Penalty/Decision:** 50% deduction from the assignment.

---

**Description:** Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

**Details:** This is a case of excessive collaboration reported by two students. In a routine review of student submissions for assignment, it became apparent that the codes submitted by the two students shared a number of very similar features.

**Degree:** Undergraduate                      **Year:** 2

**Penalty/Decision:** 50% deduction from the assignment.

---

**Description:** Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

**Details:** This is the case where two students were alleged to have cheated on an assignment.

**Degree:** Undergraduate                      **Year:** 2

**Penalty/Decision:** 50% deduction from the assignment.

---

**Description:** Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

**Details:** This is the case where two students were alleged to have cheated on an assignment.

**Degree:** Undergraduate                      **Year:** 2

**Penalty/Decision:** 50% deduction from the assignment, with addition (-5%) deduction from the course grade should be given to both students.

---

**Description:** Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

**Details:** In a routine review of student submissions for assignment, it became apparent that the codes submitted by both students shared a number of very similar features.

**Degree:** Undergraduate                      **Year:** 2

**Penalty/Decision:** 50% deduction from the assignment, with addition (-5%) deduction.

---

**Description:** Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

**Details:** In a routine review of student submissions for assignment, it became apparent that the codes submitted by both the students shared a number of very similar features.

**Degree:** Undergraduate                      **Year:** 2

**Penalty/Decision:** 50% deduction from the assignment marks, with addition (-5%) deduction from the course grade.

---

**Description:** Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

**Details:** Students excessively collaborated on assignment in the Spring term.

**Degree:** Undergraduate                                  **Year:** 2

**Penalty/Decision:** 50% on the assignment. (-5%) deduction. Disciplinary probation.

---

**Description:** Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

**Details:** Students excessively collaborated on assignment in the Spring term.

**Degree:** Undergraduate                                  **Year:** 2

**Penalty/Decision:** 50% on the assignment. (-5%) deduction. Disciplinary probation.

---

**Description:** Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

**Details:** Students excessively collaborated on assignment in the Spring term.

**Degree:** Undergraduate                                  **Year:** 2

**Penalty/Decision:** 50% on the assignment. (-5%) deduction. Disciplinary probation.

---

**Description:** Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

**Details:** Students were found to have excessively collaborated on this assignment which was worth 3% of the final grade.

**Degree:** Undergraduate                                  **Year:** 4

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on the assignment (-5%) from final grade.

---

**Description:** Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

**Details:** Students were found to have excessively collaborated on this assignment which was worth 3% of the final grade.

**Degree:** Undergraduate                                  **Year:** 4

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on the assignment (-5%) from final grade.

---

**Description:** Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

**Details:** This case involves excessive collaboration on an assignment.

---

**Degree:** Undergraduate

**Year:** 2

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on the assignment. (-5%) Deduction. Disciplinary probation.

---

**Description:** Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

**Details:** This case involves excessive collaboration in an assignment.

**Degree:** Undergraduate

**Year:** 2

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on the assignment. (-5%) Deduction. Disciplinary probation.

---

**Description:** Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

**Details:** This case involves excessive collaboration on an assignment. The student used a code from another student in a previous term.

**Degree:** Undergraduate

**Year:** 2

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on the assignment. (-5%) Deduction. Disciplinary probation.

---

**Description:** Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

**Details:** This case involves excessive collaboration on an assignment.

**Degree:** Undergraduate

**Year:** 2

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on the assignment. (-5%) Deduction. Disciplinary probation.

---

**Description:** Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

**Details:** This case involves excessive collaboration on an assignment.

**Degree:** Undergraduate

**Year:** 3

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on the assignment. (-5%) Deduction. Disciplinary probation.

---

**Description:** Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

**Details:** MOSS software flagged several students who submitted similar solutions for some assignments. First offence for all students.

**Degree:** Undergraduate

**Year:** 2

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on one assignment, (-5%) off final course grade. Disciplinary probation.





**Degree:** Undergraduate

**Year:** 2

**Penalty/Decision:** Letters of apology. Academic Integrity Workshop.

---

**Description:** Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

**Details:** These students all were accused of not acknowledging support or assistance on their questions.

**Degree:** Undergraduate

**Year:** 2

**Penalty/Decision:** Letters of apology. Academic Integrity Workshop.

---

**Description:** Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

**Details:** Students excessively collaborated on assignment in the Spring term.

**Degree:** Undergraduate

**Year:** 2

**Penalty/Decision:** 50% on the assignment. (-5%) deduction. Disciplinary probation.

---

**Description:** Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration,

**Details:** Student submitted a take home assignment with one answer that was substantially identical to that submitted by another student in the class. Student expressed considerable remorse for their actions.

**Degree:** Undergraduate

**Year:** 2

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on the assignment. Disciplinary probation.

---

**Description:** Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration,

**Details:** Both students collaborated on an assignment more than was permitted according to the course and instructor requirements.

**Degree:** Undergraduate

**Year:** 1

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on the assignment with an additional 5 mark course deduction. Disciplinary probation.

---

**Description:** Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration,

**Details:** Software flagged two students who submitted similar solutions for assignment.

**Degree:** Undergraduate

**Year:** 1

**Penalty/Decision:** A penalty of zero on assignment plus additional 5% off the final grade. Disciplinary probation.

---

**Description:** Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

**Details:** Two students worked in a group and shared answers. Assignments submitted were too similar.

**Degree:** Undergraduate                      **Year:** 1

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on assignment, (-5%) off final grade. Disciplinary probation.

---

**Description:** Unauthorized resubmission of work

**Details:** The student submitted two assessments that contained unacknowledged material from a submission a year ago in the same course.

**Degree:** Undergraduate                      **Year:** 4

**Penalty/Decision:** The student will receive a mark of zero on the two assessments which are worth a combined weight of 25% of the final grade. Disciplinary probation.

---

**Description:** Unauthorized resubmission of work

**Details:** Student submitted two records that contained two identical paragraphs. Student explained that student typed up 10 records in one day, in chronological order. Student realized that the same patient was treated in the two records and used the discussion por

**Degree:** Undergraduate                      **Year:** 2

**Penalty/Decision:** Student must complete two additional records based on your recently completed volunteer experience. Prepare a 500-word summary of the key issues learned while completing the 20 hours of community service activity.

---

**Description:** Unauthorized resubmission of work

**Details:** Student's essay contained a paragraph that was almost identical to text in the essay submitted by the student in a previous offering of the course. This similarity was identified by Turnitin analyses of the submissions.

**Degree:** Undergraduate                      **Year:** 2

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on the assignment (5% of course grade). Disciplinary probation.

---

**Description:** Unauthorized resubmission of work

**Details:** No details provided.

**Degree:** Undergraduate                      **Year:** 4

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on the assignment. Disciplinary probation.

---

---

**Description:** Unauthorized resubmission of work

**Details:** Student submitted lab which consisted of questions from the 2015 lab manual, as opposed to the 2016 lab manual.

**Degree:** Undergraduate                      **Year:** 1

**Penalty/Decision:** Student will receive zero on assignment.

---

**Description:** Unauthorized resubmission of work

**Details:** This student resubmitted a project from one course to another course.

**Degree:** Undergraduate                      **Year:** 3

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on the project.

---

**Description:** Unauthorized resubmission of work

**Details:** Student resubmitted an old work report in the Winter term.

**Degree:** Undergraduate                      **Year:** 3

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on work report. Disciplinary probation.

---

**Description:** Unauthorized use of equipment

**Details:** It involves illicit access to a computer.

**Degree:** Undergraduate                      **Year:** 4

**Penalty/Decision:** 10 page single spaced essay on personal and professional integrity in the software business.

---

**Description:** Unauthorized use of equipment, material or a facility or service

**Details:** Student is of legal drinking however Student was not able to use the washroom when student became sick due to alcohol.

**Degree:** Undergraduate                      **Year:** 1

**Penalty/Decision:** Student was fined \$30 for clean up.

---

**Description:** Unethical behaviour

**Details:** Student posted feminist propaganda all over social media. A member of the Waterloo alumni community filed a complaint about your posts with University officials at the Conflict Management and Human Rights Office and Velocity.



**Degree:** Undergraduate

**Year:** 3

**Penalty/Decision:** 500-word written reflection, One-term suspension, during which you cannot enroll in any university courses. Disciplinary probation.

---

**Description:** Unethical behaviour

**Details:** The student reneged on their matched co-op job on the basis of untrue information that the student provided to co-op and then later accepted a new co-op job.

**Degree:** Undergraduate

**Year:** 3

**Penalty/Decision:** The student is suspended for the Fall term. Complete an ethics course from a list provided by the Associate Dean. Disciplinary probation.

---

**Description:** Unethical behaviour

**Details:** The student lied to an instructor about having to fly out of the country due to a personal matter.

**Degree:** Undergraduate

**Year:** 1

**Penalty/Decision:** Disciplinary probation.

---

**Description:** Unethical behaviour

**Details:** Student submitted an assignment that contained inappropriate language that was of a sexual nature.

**Degree:** Undergraduate

**Year:** 1

**Penalty/Decision:** Letter of apology. Zero on question. Disciplinary probation.

---

**Description:** Violation of examination regulations

**Details:** The student accessed web pages during a closed book exam where aids were not permitted.

**Degree:** Undergraduate

**Year:** 1

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on the test with an additional five mark course deduction. Disciplinary probation.

---

**Description:** Violation of examination regulations

**Details:** Student admitted that while on the last question of quiz, student referred to their notes during which time they ran out of time.

**Degree:** Undergraduate

**Year:** 4

**Penalty/Decision:** Student will receive zero on the assignment.

---

**Description:** Violation of examination regulations

**Details:** The student was required to write this distance education within a certain time frame but did not follow these directions.

**Degree:** Undergraduate

**Year:** 2

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on the final examination. Disciplinary probation.

---

**Description:** Violation of examination regulations

**Details:** Student submitted the final exam which included similar responses to the exam of another student.

**Degree:** Undergraduate

**Year:** 2

**Penalty/Decision:** Zero on the final exam. Disciplinary probation.