

University of Waterloo

Committee on Student Appeals (UCSA) Summary of Discipline Cases – 2018-2019

ACADEMIC

- Academic or admission fraud
- Altering or falsifying a relevant document
- Cheating
- Contravention of statute
- Impersonation
- Misrepresentation
- Other
- Obtaining confidential academic materials
- Plagiarism
- Theft of intellectual property
- Unauthorized aids or assistance
- Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration
- Unauthorized resubmission of work
- <u>Violation of examination regulations</u>

NON-ACADEMIC

- Contravention of a statute
- Disruptive, dangerous, aggressive or threatening behaviour
- Infringing unreasonably on the work of others
- Mischief
- Misuse of University resources
- Other
- Theft
- Unauthorized use of equipment
- Unethical behaviour
- <u>Vandalism</u>

Description: Academic or admission fraud

Details: Student submitted a petition containing fraudulent documents.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: Petition not granted, two-year suspension, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Academic or admission fraud

Details: Student submitted a petition for academic exemptions to the University of Waterloo that contained fraudulent documents. Student was

remorseful.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** Not Specified

Penalty/Decision: 3-year suspension and no additional petitions to the faculty will be accepted.

Description: Altering or falsifying a relevant document

Details: Student submitted a petition containing fraudulent documents they had purchased.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** Not Specified

Penalty/Decision: Expelled from UW.

Description: Altering or falsifying a relevant document

Details: Student submitted an altered Verification of Illness Form.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** Not Specified

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the lab; disciplinary probation. First offence.

Description Alteria on falsification and second description

Description: Altering or falsifying a relevant document

Details: Student submitted a Verification of Illness Form for a petition, which appeared to have been written by another student.

Degree: Undergraduate Year: 4

Penalty/Decision: Expulsion.

Details: Student submitted an assignment containing answers that had been largely constructed from the solutions taken from a previous

offering of the course.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the assignment, a further 5 marks off the final grade; and disciplinary probation. First offence.

Description: Cheating

Details: Student continued writing a quiz after the allotted time.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0% on quiz and disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: Student cheated on two assignments. **Degree:** Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 0% on question, 5% deduction in overall grade, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: Student was accused of talking during a quiz.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the quiz and disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: Student was accused of talking during a quiz.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the quiz and disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: Student brought a cheat note into a midterm. The student was remorseful immediately.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the midterm and disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: Student was caught looking at another student's quiz. The instructor gave the student a new quiz and told them to keep their eyes on

their own quiz paper. The instructor kept an eye on the student for the remainder of the quiz and they didn't look at anyone's paper.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0% on quiz, 5% deduction overall and disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: Student kept writing after the end of the quiz and continued to write after a TA told them to stop.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0% on quiz, 5% deduction and disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: Student looked at another student's exam sheet and they were talking during the exam. One of the students was finished, they handed in their exam and left the room. The other student was given a different exam to complete.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the guiz; 5% deduction in overall grade, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: Student looked at another student's exam sheet and they were talking during the exam. One of the students was finished, they handed in their exam and left the room. The other student was given a different exam to complete.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the quiz; 5% deduction in overall grade, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: The students collaborated on at least one question in an assignment, which required independent work.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 0% on assignment and disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: The students collaborated on at least one question in an assignment, which required independent work.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 0% on assignment and disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: The students collaborated on at least one question in an assignment, which required independent work.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 0% on assignment and disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: The students collaborated on at least one question in an assignment, which required independent work.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 0% on assignment and disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: The students collaborated on at least one question in an assignment, which required independent work.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 0% on assignment and disciplinary probation.

Details: The students collaborated on at least one question in an assignment, which required independent work.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 0% on assignment and disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: The students collaborated on at least one question in an assignment, which required independent work.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 0% on assignment and disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: Two students were caught talking during a quiz.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** Not Specified

Penalty/Decision: 0% on quiz and disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: Two students were caught talking during a quiz.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** Not Specified

Penalty/Decision: 0% on quiz and disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: Student was observed looking at the work of another student during a midterm.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the exam and disciplinary probation. The further 5 marks off the overall grade has been waived in this case. First

offence.

Details: Group of students collaborated excessively when independent work was required.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the assignment, 5-mark course deduction, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: Group of students collaborated excessively when independent work was required.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the assignment, 5-mark course deduction, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: Group of students collaborated excessively when independent work was required.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the assignment, 5-mark course deduction and disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: Group of students collaborated excessively when independent work was required.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the assignment, 5-mark course deduction and disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: Students were caught cheating on a quiz. **Degree:** Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0% on quiz and disciplinary probation.

Details: Students were caught cheating on a quiz. **Degree:** Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0% on quiz and disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: Students were caught cheating on a quiz. **Degree:** Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0% on quiz and disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: Students were caught cheating on a quiz. **Degree:** Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0% on quiz and disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: Students were caught cheating on an assignment. One student copied from another, with their consent.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: Students were caught cheating on an assignment. One student copied from another, with their consent.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0% on assignment question; 5% off their course grade.

Details: Student shared part of their code with another student. The student then used it for their own submission, without consent.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0% on assignment question; 5% off the course grade.

Description: Cheating

Details: Student copied two assignment questions from a friend.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0% on assignment question; 5% off the course grade.

Description: Cheating

Details: Students excessively discussed a question on an assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0% on assignment question; 5% off the course grade.

Description: Cheating

Details: Students were caught cheating on an assignment. One student copied from another, with their consent.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0% on assignment question; 5% off course grade.

Description: Cheating

Details: Student accidentally posted assignment code publicly. This resulted in multiple academic integrity cases. Student realized their mistake

and made the post private.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Student had to write a two-page apology essay.

Details: Student copied an assignment question from a friend, with their knowledge.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0% on assignment question; 5% off course grade.

Description: Cheating

Details: Student copied multiple questions on an assignment from a friend.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0% assignment question; 5 off the course grade.

Description: Cheating

Details: Students excessively discussed questions on an assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0% on assignment questions, and 5% off the course grade.

Description: Cheating

Details: Students excessively discussed questions on an assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0% on assignment questions, and 5% off the course grade.

Description: Cheating

Details: Student copied questions from a public repository. **Degree:** Undergraduate **Year:** Not Specified

Penalty/Decision: 0% on assignment questions, and 5% off the course grade.

Details: Student copied solutions from a friend. **Degree:** Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0% on assignment questions, and 5% off the course grade.

Description: Cheating

Details: Students excessively discussed questions. **Degree:** Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0% on assignment questions; 5% off the course grade

Description: Cheating

Details: Student submitted a similar code to a friend.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0% on assignment question; 5% off the course grade.

Description: Cheating

Details: Student cheated on an assignment. **Degree:** Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0% on assignment question, and 5% off the course grade.

Description: Cheating

Details: Student took a picture of a friend's assignment and copied the work without the friend's knowledge or agreement.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the assignment, 5% course deduction, and disciplinary probation. The student was also required to complete a case

study on the situation.

Details: Student arrived late to a quiz and wasn't allowed to write it. They then attended another tutorial where they were able to write the

quiz, under false pretenses.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the guiz, 5% deduction overall, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: Students were caught talking during a quiz. **Degree:** Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0% on quiz and disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: Students were caught talking during a quiz. **Degree:** Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0% on quiz and disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: Students were caught talking during a quiz.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0% on quiz and disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: Student posted an assignment online, in an attempt to get assistance for a question.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: 0% on assignment and disciplinary probation.

Details: Student was caught cheating on an assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 0% on assignment and disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: Student was caught cheating on an assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: 0% on assignment and disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: Student was caught cheating on an assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: 0% on assignment and disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: Student was caught cheating on an assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 0% on assignment and disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: Student was caught cheating on an assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 0% on assignment and disciplinary probation.

Details: Two students uploaded identical assignments.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the assignment, 5% deduction, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: Two students uploaded identical assignments.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the assignment, 5% deduction, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: Students collaborated on a quiz where independent work was required.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the quiz, five-mark course deduction, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: Students collaborated on a quiz where independent work was required.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the quiz, five-mark course deduction, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: Student used a phone during final exam. **Degree:** Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the exam, 5 marks off the final course grade, and disciplinary probation. First offence.

Description: Cheating

Details: Student submitted a paper that was very similar to one submitted by another student in the same course.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the assignment, successful completion of a particular course by an agreed upon date, and disciplinary probation. There

is sufficient evidence of mitigating circumstances to warrant waiving the further 5 marks off final grade. First offence.

Description: Cheating

Details: Student was discovered to have several pieces of paper with a great deal of printing on them during exam.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the exam, failure in the course, suspension for one term, and disciplinary probation. First offence; standard penalty.

Description: Cheating

Details: Student submitted a course note summary assignment that had been substantially copied from another student's submission of the

same assignment in a previous offering of the course.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the assignment, 5 marks off the final course grade, and disciplinary probation. First offence; standard penalty.

Description: Cheating

Details: Student submitted a course note summary assignment that had been substantially copied from another student's submission of the

same assignment in a previous offering of the course.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the assignment, 5 marks off the final grade in the course, and disciplinary probation. First offence; standard penalty.

Description: Cheating

Details: Students were caught cheating on a quiz. **Degree:** Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0% on quiz and disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: Students were caught cheating on a quiz. **Degree:** Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0% on quiz and disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: Students were caught cheating on a quiz. **Degree:** Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0% on quiz and disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: Unauthorized access to a prior assignment. **Degree:** Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 0% for this assignment, 5% off overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: Student cheated on final exam.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Failure in course and disciplinary probation. Student was remorseful. First offence.

Description: Cheating

Details: Student cheated on an assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 0% on assignment, 5% waived and disciplinary probation.

Details: Student excessively collaborated on assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate Year: 3

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the question and disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: Student excessively collaborated on assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate Year: 2

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the question and disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: Student accessed course materials on their phone during a final exam.

Degree: Undergraduate Year: 1

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the exam, 5 marks off the final course grade, and disciplinary probation. First offence; standard penalty.

Description: Cheating

Details: During final exam, course notes were found open beside the student. Student said they must have forgotten to put them away and swore they did not notice them.

Degree: Undergraduate Year: 1

Penalty/Decision: 10% reduction in final course grade and disciplinary probation. Penalty was significantly mitigated because there was no evidence that the student benefited from having the notes because they were found early in the exam, the student recognized their study habits and was remorseful for their error. Any subsequent incident of academic misconduct will not be mitigated and will likely incur a suspension.

Description: Cheating

Details: Student cheated on an exam.

Degree: Undergraduate Year: 2 **Penalty/Decision:** 0% on exam, and suspension until subsequent term.

Description: Cheating

Details: Student forged assignment mark.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the assignment and disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: TAs and instructor observed cheating on midterm exam with another student.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: 0% on midterm, 5 marks off final grade, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: Instructor saw neighbouring student looking at another student's exam. Analysis of student's correct and incorrect answers showed high

probability of non-coincidence, confirming cheating.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: 0% on exam, 5 marks off total grade, disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: Students were talking during a quiz.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the quiz and disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: Students were talking during a quiz.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the quiz and disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: Students were talking during a quiz.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the guiz and disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: Cheating on midterm.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Zero on midterm.

Description: Cheating

Details: Student was caught writing quiz after the allotted time.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the quiz and disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: Student completed a quiz without attending class. Another student sent the password to their classmate so they could complete the

quiz.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: Zero on quiz, 5% reduction from final grade, and disciplinary probation. First offence.

Description: Cheating

Details: Student used a cheat sheet during exam. **Degree:** Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Zero on test and disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: Student cheated on test. Acknowledged error and was very remorseful.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: Zero on test and disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: Students were cheating during quiz.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the quiz and disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: Students were cheating during quiz.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the quiz and disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: Assignment solutions were sold to student who copied them verbatim.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: Zero for assignment and suspension of one term (to be agreed upon).

Description: Cheating

Details: Students collaborated more than was permitted.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the question in the assignment and disciplinary probation.

Details: Students collaborated more than was permitted.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the question in the assignment and disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: Students collaborated more than was permitted.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the question in the assignment and disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: Students collaborated more than was permitted.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the question in the assignment and disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: Students collaborated more than was permitted.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the question in the assignment and disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: Students collaborated more than was permitted.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the question in the assignment and disciplinary probation.

Details: Students collaborated more than was permitted.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the question in the assignment and disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: Students collaborated more than was permitted.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the question in the assignment and disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: Cheating.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Zero on two questions and 5% off the course grade.

Description: Cheating

Details: Cheating.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** Not Specified

Penalty/Decision: Zero on exam and 5% reduction in final grade.

Description: Cheating

Details: Student was cheating on quiz.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Zero on quiz and disciplinary probation.

Details: Cheating on assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Zero on assignment and disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: Students collaborated more than what was permitted on an assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: 50% deduction from the grade on the assignment and disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: Students collaborated more than what was permitted on an assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: 50% deduction from the grade on the assignment and disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: Students collaborated more than what was permitted on an assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: 50% deduction from the grade on the assignment and disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: Students collaborated more than what was permitted on an assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: 50% deduction from the grade on the assignment and disciplinary probation.

Details: Students collaborated more than what was permitted on an assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: 50% deduction from the grade on the assignment and disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: Students collaborated more than what was permitted on an assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: 50% deduction from the grade on the assignment and disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: Students collaborated more than what was permitted on an assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: 50% deduction from the grade on the assignment and disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: Students collaborated more than what was permitted on an assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: 50% deduction from the grade on the assignment and disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: Students collaborated more than was permitted.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: 50% deduction from the assignment and disciplinary probation.

Details: Students collaborated more than was permitted.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: 50% deduction from the assignment and disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: Students collaborated more than was permitted.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: 50% deduction from the assignment and disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: Students collaborated more than was permitted.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: 50% deduction from the assignment and disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: Students collaborated more than was permitted.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: 50% deduction from the assignment and disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: Students collaborated more than was permitted.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: 50% deduction from the grade obtained for the project and disciplinary probation.

Details: Students collaborated more than was permitted.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: 50% deduction from the project and disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: Students collaborated more than was permitted.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: 50% deduction from the project and disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: Students collaborated more than was permitted.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: 50% deduction from the project and disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: Students collaborated more than what was permitted on the course.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: 50% from the grade obtained and disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: Students collaborated more than what was permitted on the course.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: 50% from the grade obtained and disciplinary probation.

Description. Cheating

Details: Student submitted a quiz for another student.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the guiz, 5% deduction overall, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: Student submitted a quiz for another student.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** Not Specified

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the quiz, 5% deduction overall, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: Student admitted looking at another student's test during the final exam.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0% on final exam and disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: Students were caught cheating on a quiz. **Degree:** Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the quiz, 5% deduction overall, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: Students were caught cheating on a quiz. **Degree:** Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the quiz, 5% deduction overall, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: Student handed in an assignment with another student's name on the cover page which was from a previous term.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: Completion of the Academic Integrity workshop; no further penalty. First offence.

Description: Cheating

Details: Students were caught cheating on a midterm.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 20% off midterm mark and disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: Students were caught cheating on a midterm.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 20% off midterm mark and disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: Student claimed to submit an assignment before the deadline. The log shows no submissions before the deadline. Student resubmitted

(a week late).

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: Zero on assignment, 5% deduction overall, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: Student submitted an assignment in which several passages had been taken verbatim from two sources without citation or

acknowledgement of any kind.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the assignment and competition of Academic Integrity workshop. First offence.

Details: Student submitted an assignment in which a few sentences had been taken verbatim or very closely paraphrased from sources without

citation or acknowledgement of any kind.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the assignment, competition of Academic Integrity workshop, and disciplinary probation. Second offence.

Description: Cheating

Details: Student submitted an assignment with a 55% match on Turnitin.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the assignment and competition of Academic Integrity workshop. First offence.

Description: Cheating

Details: This student and another student were observed talking during an in-class test.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: No grade penalty; disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: Student was found looking at some notes during test.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the test, failure in the course (to be recorded as a grade of 32%), suspension for one term (to be agreed upon), and

disciplinary probation. First offence.

Description: Cheating

Details: Cheating on an exam.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Zero for the exam, deduction of five marks from course grade, and disciplinary probation.

Details: Cheating on an exam.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: Zero for the exam, deduction of five marks from course grade, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: Student used an unauthorized aid that was not permitted during exam.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Student to submit a case study on the situation and disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: MOSS results on assignment were suspicious of plagiarism.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the assignment.

Description: Cheating

Details: MOSS results on assignment were suspicious of plagiarism.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the assignment.

Description: Cheating

Details: MOSS results on assignment were suspicious of plagiarism.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the assignment.

Details: Several students submitted same code for a question.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the question, 5% deduction overall, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: Several students submitted same code for a question.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the question, 5% deduction overall, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: Both students had several similarities in their assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: Zero on both assignments and disciplinary probation.

Description: Cheating

Details: Both students had several similarities in their assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: Zero on both assignments and disciplinary probation.

Description: Disruptive, dangerous, aggressive, or threatening behaviour

Details: Student was accused of stalking another student. **Degree:** Undergraduate **Year:** Not Specified

Penalty/Decision: Student was removed from classes and banned from campus.

Description: Disruptive, dangerous, aggressive, or threatening behaviour

Details: Student was alleged to have uttered threats.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: No ban. Counselling met with student and determined not to be a risk.

Description: Disruptive, dangerous, aggressive, or threatening behaviour

Details: Student assaulted another student on campus. Charged with Assault and Assault with a weapon.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Suspension for two terms.

Description: Disruptive, dangerous, aggressive, or threatening behaviour

Details: Student had a domestic disagreement involving another student.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Student had to write an apology letter.

Description: Disruptive, dangerous, aggressive, or threatening behaviour

Details: Student charged with an indecent act. **Degree:** Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: Banned from campus.

Description: Disruptive, dangerous, aggressive, or threatening behaviour

Details: Student has been found guilty of aggressive or threatening behaviour.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 3-term suspension (to be agreed upon); immediate removal of privileges in the form of a ban from property owned or occupied by the University of Waterloo (until the end of student's suspension). Student is allowed to write exams under supervision. In order to return to campus, the University of Waterloo must be provided and approve of a satisfactory professional opinion that the student is not a risk

to anyone on campus. Student is responsible for paying the cost of the risk assessment report which must include detailed information as agreed upon. Continuation of disciplinary probation.

Description: Disruptive, dangerous, aggressive, or threatening behaviour

Details: Student was found guilty of aggressive or threatening behavior.

Degree: Undergraduate Year: 3

Penalty/Decision: Three-term suspension, disciplinary probation, and a ban from property owned or occupied by the University of Waterloo

lasting at least for three semesters. Students third aggressive/threatening behavior offense.

Description: Misrepresentation

Details: Student submitted a midterm exam for regrading that had been extensively altered in an effort to achieve a higher grade.

Degree: Undergraduate Year: 3

Penalty/Decision: The standard penalty has been mitigated and failure in the course is sufficient as the penalty. First offence.

Description: Misrepresentation

Details: Student claimed the time and date were wrong on their laptop which is the reason for the late submission. Investigation indicated that

this was unlikely.

Degree: Undergraduate Year: 1

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the assignment, 5-mark course deduction, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Misrepresentation

Details: Student misrepresented themself by stating they attended two labs that they did not attend.

Degree: Undergraduate Year: 1

Penalty/Decision: 0% on lab, 5% deduction overall, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Misrepresentation

Details: Student is guilty of submitting fraudulent documents for two courses in order to gain accommodation for late submissions.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 0% for all assignments in which an extension was given based on the fraudulent documents, disciplinary probation, and a one-

term suspension (to be agreed upon).

Description: Misrepresentation

Details: Student is guilty of submitting fraudulent documents for two courses in order to gain accommodation for late submissions.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 0% for all assignments in which an extension was given based on the fraudulent documents, disciplinary probation, and a one-

term suspension (to be agreed upon).

Description: Misrepresentation

Details: Students misrepresented themselves with iClickers.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: Zero for iClicker portion of mark, 5% deduction overall, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Misrepresentation

Details: Students misrepresented themselves with iClickers.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: Zero for iClicker portion of mark, 5% deduction overall, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Misrepresentation

Details: Student misused iClicker.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: Zero for the iClicker portion of grade and one term suspension to be agreed upon.

Description: Misrepresentation

Details: Student misused iClicker.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: Zero for the iClicker portion of grade and 5-mark deduction from final grade.

Description: Misrepresentation

Details: Students misrepresented themselves by having someone else use their iClickers.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: Zero on iClicker portion, 5% off total grade, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Misrepresentation

Details: Students misrepresented themselves by having someone else use their iClickers.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: Zero for the iClicker portion and suspension of one term to be agreed upon.

Description: Misrepresentation

Details: Student submitted a suspicious Verification of Illness Form, as they missed the midterm

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: One term suspension (to be agreed upon), and disciplinary probation.

Description: Misrepresentation

Details: Student forged a letter claiming credit in a course. Student confessed and apologized.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: Failed work term, removed from Co-op, and five-term suspension.

Description: Misrepresentation

Details: Student missed 1st midterm, 2nd midterm and final exam for various reasons. Explanations were inconsistent and instructor suspected misrepresentation.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: One-term suspension and disciplinary probation.

Description: Misrepresentation

Details: Student found guilty of changing dates on Verification of Illness Form.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0% on quiz, 5% off final grade, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Misrepresentation

Details: Student received an exam paper but didn't write the final.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: Warning and disciplinary probation.

Description: Misrepresentation

Details: Students were caught misusing an iClicker. **Degree:** Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: Zero for the iClicker portion of grade, 5-mark deduction from final grade, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Misrepresentation

Details: Students were caught misusing an iClicker. **Degree:** Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: Zero for the iClicker portion of grade, 5-mark deduction from final, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Misrepresentation

Details: Student submitted a medical note with altered dates.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the assignment and disciplinary probation. First offence.

Description: Misuse of University resources

Details: Student admitted to recovering computer folders and files that were unrelated to their own work, that had been deleted on a UW computer, placed on a USB drive, and taken off University property. Student did not notify their supervisor or other members of the team of these actions or intentions throughout these events. Student appeared unclear of some of the guidelines and expectations of ethical conduct in research regarding privacy, confidentiality, data security and accountability.

Degree: Graduate **Year:** Not Specified

Penalty/Decision: Student had to complete letters of apology to each individual that was affected and was placed on disciplinary probation.

Description: Misuse of University resources

Details: Students used the administrator password to install unauthorized software on the department computers. No virus, malware nor any

malicious intent was found.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Students are required to submit an apology letter.

Description: Misuse of University resources

Details: Students used the administrator password to install unauthorized software on the department computers. No virus, malware nor any

malicious intent was found.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: Students are required to submit an apology letter.

Description: Misuse of University resources

Details: Students used the administrator password to install unauthorized software on the department computers. No virus, malware nor any

malicious intent was found.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Students are required to submit an apology letter.

Description: Misuse of University resources

Details: Students used the administrator password to install unauthorized software on the department computers. No virus, malware nor any

malicious intent was found.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: Students are required to submit an apology letter.

Description: Misuse of University resources

Details: Students used the administrator password to install unauthorized software on the department computers. No virus, malware nor any

malicious intent was found.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Students are required to submit an apology letter.

Description: Other

Details: Student posted a password for a quiz to another student who did not actually attend the lecture.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 5% deduction from the final course grade and disciplinary probation.

Description: Other

Details: Student distributed confidential academic material during midterm review sessions without the express consent of the instructor.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 25 hours of unpaid community service in a challenging position for an off-campus organization of their choice and disciplinary

probation.

Description: Other

Details: Student was found smoking or vaporizing of cannabis on University property.

Penalty/Decision: Student was banned from campus for a period of time.

Description: Other

Details: Student breached conditions of their eviction from residence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Suspension for one term and disciplinary probation. First offence.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Students copied information from the course material without acknowledgement.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the relevant midterm and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Students copied information from the course material without acknowledgement.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the relevant midterm and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Students collaborated on an assignment.

Degree: Graduate **Year:** Not Specified

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the assignment, with an opportunity to earn a maximum of 15% through an extra credit assignment.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Students collaborated on an assignment.

Degree: Graduate **Year:** Not Specified

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the assignment, with an opportunity to earn a maximum of 15% through the extra credit assignment.

Details: Student submitted a report which contained a Turnitin score of 80%. Large sections are word-for-word from other sources. There

appears to be little original work.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 0% on report and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Codes submitted by 3 students shared a number of very similar features, confirmed by MOSS results and the presence of unusual

spacing.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the assignment. 5% deduction is waived from the penalties based on their reflection on this issue and considering that

this is their first university assignment and were not clear of the rules at the University.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Codes submitted by 3 students shared a number of very similar features, confirmed by MOSS results and the presence of unusual

spacing.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the assignment. 5% deduction is waived from the penalties based on their reflection on this issue and considering that

this is their first university assignment and were not clear of the rules at the University.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Codes submitted by 3 students shared a number of very similar features, confirmed by MOSS results and the presence of unusual

spacing.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the assignment. 5% deduction is waived from the penalties based on their reflection on this issue and considering that

this is their first university assignment and were not clear of the rules at the University.

Details: Students collaborated excessively (more than was permitted according to the instructor's rules) on an assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the assignment and a five-mark course deduction after all marking schemes have been calculated.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Students collaborated excessively (more than was permitted according to the instructor's rules) on an assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the assignment and a five-mark course deduction after all marking schemes have been calculated.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Students collaborated on a project when independent work was required.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the project and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Students collaborated on a project when independent work was required.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the project and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Co-op report contains text that is copied word-for-word from a previous Co-op report.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: Student had to re-write the report with original wording, distinct from other sources. This will be done to the satisfaction of the instructor and should be completed by an agreed deadline. Failure to submit this revision by this deadline will lead to a more substantive penalty. Student was placed on disciplinary probation.

Details: Co-op report contains text that is copied word-for-word from a previous Co-op report.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: Student had to re-write the report with original wording, distinct from other sources. This will be done to the satisfaction of the instructor and should be completed by an agreed deadline. Failure to submit this revision by this deadline will lead to a more substantive penalty. Student was placed on disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student's lab report has a 70% similarity score to other published sources based on a similar analysis. The majority of its content originated in a previous report that was prepared for the same course.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the report and disciplinary probation. 5% reduction in overall course grade was not imposed because it was evident the student did not intend to cheat or deceive the instructor. A subsequent finding of misconduct relating to plagiarism will not be mitigated.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student's first lab report lacks in-text citations. Student had limited experience in writing lab reports. Student focused on finding quality citations and formatting them correctly, as outlined in the guidelines; however, student overlooked entering the associated in-text citations. Student claimed that the report contains citations in its reference list that address all the content in the report. Student was remorseful.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Student to revise lab report to include in-text citations by an agreed upon date and time. Penalty was mitigated because student had limited experience in writing lab reports, the document contained a credible reference list, and it was evident that the student did not intend to cheat or deceive the instructor. Failure to complete this assignment satisfactorily will result in the conventional additional 5% deduction in the course grade. A subsequent finding of misconduct relating to plagiarism will not be mitigated. Student was put on disciplinary probation.

Details: Students first lab report lacks in-text citations in the introduction and the study questions. The reference list cited research articles, a web site, and the lab manual. Leaving out citations in the report was an oversight and student regretted this decision.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: Student to revise lab report to include in-text citations by an agreed upon date and time. Failure to complete this assignment satisfactorily will result in the conventional additional 5% deduction in the course grade. A subsequent finding of misconduct relating to plagiarism will not be mitigated. Student was put on disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student's first lab report was submitted under another student's name. The report also lacks in-text citations for key statements and contains two reference lists, the second which lists articles having nothing to do with this report. These issues are suggestive of plagiarism. The student explained to the instructor that they worked on a rough draft of the report with a friend, by discussing ideas and sharing references. However, the content within the two reports is distinct. The student was unable to explain the origin of the unusual references cited in the report. Based on a balance of probabilities, it is likely that the list originated, at least in part, from somewhere else.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the report and disciplinary probation. An additional 5% deduction from the final course grade was not applied because the body of the report appeared to be the student's own work.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted an online midterm exam which contained information taken from the internet that was either verbatim or very closely paraphrased and without citation or attribution.

Degree: Undergraduate Year: 4

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the midterm exam, a further 5 marks off the final grade in the course, suspension for one term, and disciplinary probation to continue. This was a second offence.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Two students assignments contained identical material.

Degree: Undergraduate Year: 1

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the assignment and disciplinary probation.

Details: Two students assignments contained identical material.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the assignment and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Students excessively collaborated on assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 0% on assignment and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Students excessively collaborated on assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 0% on assignment and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student's individual proposal and presentation contained information and ideas which were part of a separate group project in another course. The student was adamant that the idea was a concept they had developed subsequent to the group project. The student's transparency in discussing this issue and their genuine remorse for the error were evident.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the course element, an additional 5% off the final grade, and disciplinary probation. This penalty was mitigated because: 1) the content of the two assignments is very different despite the underlying product being the same; 2) the student did not mean to deceive the instructor and 3) the student was remorseful for their error in judgement. A subsequent similar finding will not be mitigated and will include a one-term suspension.

Details: Student plagiarized take-home portion of midterm exam. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the essay question portion of the midterm exam, a further 5 marks off the final course grade, and disciplinary

probation

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted an assignment with some citations but also with numerous passages that had been taken either verbatim or very

closely paraphrased from the same source, without any citation or attribution at all.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the assignment; no further penalty. First offence.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student's review was copied word-for-word from two different uncited sources based on similar analysis.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: A small grade penalty (3%) has been imposed and disciplinary probation. The instructor thought the standard penalty in this case was too harsh and proposed the student re-write the review, to the instructor's standards, for the following reasons: 1) the student consistently demonstrated a desire to improve their writing skills and 2) saw no intent to deceive or breech academic integrity in the student's submission. Future finding of plagiarism will not be mitigated.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student's review was copied word-for-word from two different uncited sources based on similar analysis. Student was very remorseful. Instructor said that your "attendance and commitment to the course has been exemplary."

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: A small grade penalty (3%) has been imposed and disciplinary probation. The instructor thought the standard penalty in this case was too harsh and proposed the student re-write the review, to the instructor's standards, for the following reasons: 1) the student consistently demonstrated a desire to improve their writing skills and 2) saw no intent to deceive or breech academic integrity in the student's submission. Future finding of plagiarism will not be mitigated.

Details: Student submitted an assignment which lacked in-text citations. This seemed to be a case of unintentional plagiarism, not fully understanding the intention of the assignment or how to use in-text citations. The student was well aware that their submission was inappropriate and was remorseful.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** Not Specified

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the assignment and disciplinary probation. If this penalty causes a course failure, then a final course grade of 50 will be assigned. An additional 5% off course grade was not imposed for the following reasons: 1) the grade reduction is substantial, and 2) the student was remorseful for their error.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student used a prior assignment to complete another assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** Not Specified

Penalty/Decision: 0% on assignment and disciplinary probation. 5% reduction in course grade was waived due to remorse and immediate

admittance.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student used a prior assignment to complete another assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: 0% on assignment and disciplinary probation. 5% reduction in course grade was waived due to remorse and immediate

admittance.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student's first lab report is missing in-text citations. Several statements in the introduction required a citation and the discussion could have benefited from more references to the literature. Student was remorseful and embarrassed by their omission of citations.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the lab report and disciplinary probation. A subsequent finding of misconduct relating to plagiarism will not be mitigated.

Details: Student's first lab report is missing in-text citations in the introduction and is missing some citations in the discussion.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the lab report and disciplinary probation. A subsequent finding of misconduct relating to plagiarism will not be

mitigated.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student's first lab report is missing in-text citations. The student was remorseful and embarrassed by their omission of citations.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the lab report and disciplinary probation. A subsequent finding of misconduct relating to plagiarism will not be

mitigated.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student's first lab report is missing in-text citations in the introduction. The student was remorseful and embarrassed by their omission

of citations.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the lab report and disciplinary probation. A subsequent finding of misconduct relating to plagiarism will not be

mitigated.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student's first lab report lacks in-text citations. Student had previously taken this course and was well aware of the need for in-text

citations.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the report and disciplinary probation. An additional 5% off the final grade was not imposed because: 1) student had a credible reference list and 2) there is no evidence that the student intended to deceive the instructor. A subsequent offence of this type will not be mitigated.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student's first lab report lacks in-text citations. Student assumed that the content and expectations of the lab reports would be similar to previous lab reports and the student did not consult the online 'tip sheet' prepared by the instructor.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the report and disciplinary probation. An additional 5% off the final grade was not imposed because: 1) this was their first lab report, 2) it was evident that the student made an early misjudgment on the expectations for the report and 3) student took responsibility for their errors. The student was commended for their transparent explanation of how they prepared the report. A subsequent finding of misconduct relating to plagiarism will not be mitigated.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student's first lab report lacks in-text citations in the introduction. It is evident that the student completed their report with integrity but lacked an understanding of the expectations for report writing, including citations.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the report and disciplinary probation. A subsequent finding of misconduct relating to plagiarism will not be mitigated.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student's first lab report lacks in-text citations in the discussion. The student did not realize that they had to find sources from the peer-reviewed literature and cite these in the discussion of the report. Student noted that once they learned about citing literature in their report, they understood what to do.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the report and disciplinary probation. Student's penalty was notably mitigated because the citation error was restricted to a small section of the lab report. A subsequent plagiarism offence will not be mitigated.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: The student's lab report lacks in-text citations. Student had little experience writing lab reports and overlooked the information about citations. Student compared results with information from general websites and listed these sites in their reference list.

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the lab report and disciplinary probation. Student did not receive conventional penalty because they are in their first year. A subsequent offence of this type will not be mitigated.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student's report lacks in-text citations. Student found finding peer-reviewed articles difficult but has since learned how to find them.

Student was remorseful.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the report plus a 1% deduction from the final course grade. Penalty was mitigated because there was no evidence that the student was trying to deceive the instructor and they made efforts to understand the citation process. A further plagiarism offence will not be mitigated.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student lacks in-text citations in their lab report.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the discussion and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student's lab report lacks in-text citations. Student was instructed to not cite the lab manual, so this section contained no in-text citations. Student included citations in their responses to the study questions because they recognized that these were needed.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the Introduction and disciplinary probation. Penalty was notably mitigated because citation error was restricted to a small section of the lab report. A subsequent plagiarism offence will not be mitigated.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student lacks in-text citations in the lab report. Student was instructed not to cite the lab manual, so this section of the report contained no in-text citations. Student was remorseful for their errors in judgement.

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the Introduction and disciplinary probation. Penalty was notably mitigated because citation error was restricted to a small section of the lab report. A subsequent plagiarism offence will not be mitigated.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student lacks in-text citations in lab report. Student was frustrated by the error because they had done many other lab reports requiring much more technically detailed information and only left out the in-text citations out of a misunderstanding.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the report and disciplinary probation. The conventional penalty for plagiarism includes an additional 5% off the final grade. This was not imposed for the following reasons: 1) student had a credible reference list; 2) student is new to UWaterloo; and 3) there is no evidence that the student intended to deceive the instructor. A subsequent offence of this type will not be mitigated.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student's report lacks in-text citations. Student explained that they knew how to search for peer-reviewed literature on specific topics but did not have a good understanding of what information was required in a citation. Student relied on information in the lab manual to prepare the Introduction but because they were instructed not to cite the lab manual, this section of their report contained no in-text citations. Student added some references to the discussion section to compare the results with the literature. Student was frustrated that they had misunderstood the instruction about citing the lab manual and was remorseful for the citation errors.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the introduction of report and disciplinary. A subsequent plagiarism offence will not be mitigated.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student's lab report lacks in-text citations. The relevant sections of the lab manual provided all the information the student needed. Student only cited relevant sources and forgot to go back and add other sources to the report. Student was frustrated and remorseful for this error.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the Introduction and disciplinary probation. A subsequent plagiarism offence will not be mitigated.

Details: Student plagiarized on a dictionary entry assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: 50% reduction off assignment and disciplinary probation. Assignment was worth 20% of course grade so no other penalty was

imposed.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student plagiarism on an assignment. **Degree:** Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0% on assignment; 5% deduction of overall grade, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student plagiarized on a midterm. They were specifically told no online use, but large portions of text were from internet sources.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 0% on midterm and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student had a high Turnitin similarity score, with much of the submission copied word-for-word with little or no change, from five different cited sources. Student misinterpreted the syllabus regarding whether the initial abstract was to be drafted in their own words or include copied text from the sources. Student prepared an abstract that was comprised almost entirely of text copied from the various articles they were using for their research. Student had no idea it was to be in their own words. Student was extremely remorseful for their misjudgment and said they would be glad to write an abstract on the spot to demonstrate their thorough understanding of the topic.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the assignment and disciplinary probation. Penalty for plagiarism includes an additional 5% off the final grade, which was not imposed for the following reasons: 1) the grade penalty is substantial, and 2) it was evident that the student did not intend to cheat or deceive the instructor. A subsequent finding of misconduct relating to plagiarism will not be mitigated.

Details: Student's lab report contains at least four sentences copied almost word-for-word from published sources. The title of the report is identical to one of the journal articles cited in the report. Student demonstrated that they take their academics seriously and wanted to be sure they were free of errors.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 50% reduction in earned grade and disciplinary probation. A subsequent finding of misconduct relating to plagiarism will not

be mitigated.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student copied content directly from the course, without paraphrasing or citing the content.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0% on assignment, 5% reduction in final grade, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student resubmitted graded work.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the assignment and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student's assignment lacks in-text citations for statements that are not their work. Student was learning to juggle multiple priorities and

took full responsibility for their errors.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the introduction portion of the assignment and disciplinary probation. A subsequent plagiarism offence will not be

mitigated.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student's assignment lacks in-text citations.

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the report and disciplinary probation. A subsequent finding of misconduct relating to plagiarism will not be mitigated.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student's first lab report lacked in-text citations. Student did not realize that the instructor had provided some key references for the report on the course web site. The brevity of the discussion accounted for why the student did not include any citations.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the report and disciplinary probation. The standard penalty for plagiarism includes an additional 5% off the final grade. This has not been automatically imposed for the following reasons: 1) student is in their first year of undergraduate studies, and 2) it was evident that they did not intend to cheat or deceive the instructor. A subsequent finding of misconduct relating to plagiarism will not be mitigated.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student's first lab report lacked some in-text citation. Student cited the course textbook for many common knowledge statements, however, omitted references for some of the tests.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the Introduction and disciplinary probation. A subsequent plagiarism offence will not be mitigated.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student's lab report lacks in-text citations for key statements of fact, including references for the three tests that were the focus of the lab report. Student did not recognize that the instructor supplied key references for the report. The formatting errors in the reference list were the result of the student using an online citation maker.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the report and disciplinary. Standard penalty for plagiarism includes an additional 5% off the final grade. This has not been automatically imposed for the following reasons: 1) student is in their first year of undergraduate studies, and 2) it was evident that they did not intend to cheat or deceive the instructor. A subsequent finding of misconduct relating to plagiarism will not be mitigated.

Possintian: Plagiarism

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student's first lab report lacks in-text citations. Student realized the report needed in-text citations but forgot to add these due to a lack of time.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the report and disciplinary probation. Standard penalty for plagiarism includes an additional 5% off the final grade. This has not been automatically imposed for the following reasons: 1) student is in their first year of undergraduate studies, and 2) it was evident that they did not intend to cheat or deceive the instructor. A subsequent finding of misconduct relating to plagiarism will not be mitigated.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student's first lab report contains several passages that match word-for-word text from another lab report submitted in a previous year. The student's friend loaned the information from their report, which was used. Student used the rubric to guide their writing of the report and utilized the draft Turnitin dropbox to evaluate the paraphrasing.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the report and disciplinary probation. Standard penalty for plagiarism includes an additional 5% off the final grade. This has not been automatically imposed for the following reasons: 1) student was in their first year of undergraduate studies, and 2) it was evident that they did not intend to cheat or deceive the instructor. A subsequent finding of misconduct relating to plagiarism will not be mitigated.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student's lab report contains two sentences that match word-for-word with text from a cited source, the course textbook. Student left the lab report to the last minute and learned these citations were needed in the marking exercise. Student was remorseful for their error. Student has already submitted a second report.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the Introduction and disciplinary probation. A subsequent plagiarism offence will not be mitigated.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student copied a lab report from a previous year. Once the student realized their error in judgement, they deleted all the files that they were given so they would not be tempted again.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the report and disciplinary probation. Standard penalty for plagiarism includes an additional 5% off the final grade. This has not been automatically imposed for the following reasons: 1) student was in their first year of undergraduate studies and 2) part of the report was their own work and contained citations. A subsequent finding of misconduct relating to plagiarism will not be mitigated.

Details: Student's lab report lacks meaningful citations for statements described in the report. Student cited the lab manual and other statements of fact were supported by citations from the course textbook. Student has already submitted their second lab report.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the report and disciplinary probation. Standard penalty for plagiarism includes an additional 5% off the final grade. This has not been imposed for the following reasons: 1) student was in their first year of undergraduate studies, and 2) it was evident that they did not intend to cheat or deceive the instructor. A subsequent finding of misconduct relating to plagiarism will not be mitigated.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student's lab reports contained a sentence copied word-for-word from the cited source and several missing in-text citations. Student explained that they tried to synthesize information from other sources rather than copying the text and paraphrasing later. Student was not aware of all the information that is needed in an in-text citation until after handing in the first report. Second lab report has been submitted.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the introduction and disciplinary probation. A subsequent plagiarism offence will not be mitigated.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student's lab report lacks peer-reviewed references for factual statements that are not common knowledge. Student learned about the need for in-text citations during the marking exercise.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the introduction and disciplinary probation. A subsequent plagiarism offence will not be mitigated.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student's lab report contains text that appears to be copied word-for-word from a posted document. Numerous statements are identical to a report the student submitted earlier.

Degree: Undergraduate Year: 1

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the report and disciplinary probation. The conventional penalty for plagiarism includes an additional 5% off the final grade. This has not been automatically imposed for the following reasons: 1) student is in their first year of undergraduate studies, 2) Student is

now aware of the expectations for citing and 3) Student was very transparent in their actions and remorseful for their poor judgement. A subsequent finding of misconduct relating to plagiarism may lead to a one-term suspension.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student's lab report contains numerous statements copied essentially word-for-word from uncited source. The Turnitin similarity report indicates that the student weakly paraphrased numerous statements from the document. A second lab report has been submitted. That report does not have plagiarized content and contains references to peer-reviewed articles rather than websites.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the lab report and disciplinary probation. The conventional penalty for plagiarism includes an additional 5% off the final grade. This has not been automatically imposed for the following reasons: 1) student's report contained some citations, and 2) it was evident that they did not intend to cheat or deceive the instructor. A subsequent finding of misconduct relating to plagiarism will not be mitigated.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student's lab report contains several statements that match those from another report. The Discussion section of report submission lacks citations entirely and the Introduction is missing citations for the tests used in the lab. Student was remorseful for their errors and took full responsibility for them. Student has submitted their second report.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the Introduction and Discussion sections and disciplinary probation. A subsequent plagiarism offence will not be

mitigated.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student copied parts of the assignment from the source without acknowledgement.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the assignment and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted an assignment that contained greater than 25% verbatim uncited text.

Penalty/Decision: 0% on assignment and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Case was reported by instructor about cheating on an assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the assignment with additional 5% deduction from the final grade.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student's lab report lacks in-text citations. Student has already submitted a second report.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the discussion and disciplinary probation. A subsequent plagiarism offence will not be mitigated.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student's lab report contains one passage that is copied word-for-word from an uncited source. It also lacks in-text citations in one

section. Student has already submitted a second lab report.

Degree: Undergraduate Year: 1

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the Introduction and Discussion sections and disciplinary probation. A subsequent plagiarism offence will not be

mitigated.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student's lab report lacks in-text citations. Student had access to an online tool that automatically inserted in-text citations for the sources listed. The online tool also formatted the reference list and created an alphabetical listing. Student submitted a second report already.

Degree: Undergraduate Year: 1

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the Discussion and disciplinary probation. A subsequent plagiarism offence will not be mitigated.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student plagiarized on several quizzes.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0% on quizzes and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student's lab report contains text that appears to be copied word-for-word from a previous lab report submitted by another student. The Turnitin analysis indicated 49% similarity to the other report. Student claimed they tried to paraphrase the information used from the other student's report.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the Introduction and Discussion sections and disciplinary probation. The typical penalty for plagiarism includes a 5% deduction from the final course grade. This was not imposed because: 1) student is in their first term of university studies; 2) errors were limited to these sections of the report and 3) student was very remorseful for their errors. A subsequent similar offence will not be mitigated.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted an assignment with verbatim and improperly cited text.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: 50% of earned grade and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted a covering submission form that had been written by someone else.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the assignment and disciplinary probation. The instructor waived the 5-mark course grade penalty, given the student's acknowledgement of having used another student's work, and the fact that more than half of the assignment overall was in fact original work. First offence.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted work that contained a number of passages that were taken either verbatim or very closely paraphrased from other sources without appropriate citation. Second offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the assignment; 50% grade deduction on essay; a further 10 marks off the final course grade; suspension for one term, to be served the next academic term; disciplinary probation to continue. Given the students previous experiences and the warnings of the course TA, it is reasonable to expect the student to have a greater understanding of and regard for the rules of correct citation practice.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted an annotated bibliography in which a number of passages had been taken verbatim from a variety of sources without

attribution or citation.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the assignment.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted discussion questions whose content had been largely or entirely taken verbatim from different internet sources

without attribution or citation of any kind. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the assignments, a further 5 marks off the final grade in the course, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted an assignment that included a number of verbatim passages taken from an internet source without attribution or

citation. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the assignment and disciplinary probation. There is sufficient evidence mitigating the further 5 marks off the final grade

in the course.

Description: Diagranism

Details: Student 1 gave their password to Student 2 who used Student 1's assignment and submitted it as their own. The second student subsequently dropped the course, before proper authorities were notified.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the submission and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student 1 gave their password to Student 2 who used Student 1's assignment and submitted it as their own. The second student subsequently dropped the course, before proper authorities were notified.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: The second student dropped the course but will receive a grade of 0% on the assignment (or its equivalent) if they happen to take the course in the future. Disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: The students collaborated excessively on an assignment requiring independent work.

Degree: Undergraduate Year: 1

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the assignment, 5% course deduction, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: The students collaborated excessively on an assignment requiring independent work.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the assignment, student has been assigned a case study, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted quizzes which contained content copied directly from the course without paraphrasing or citation.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the quizzes and disciplinary probation.

Details: Student plagiarized.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 25% off the overall assignment grade and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Instructor reported a case about cheating. **Degree:** Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 0% on assignments, an additional 5% deduction from the final grade, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted a quiz response that had been taken verbatim from course materials. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the assignment, 5 marks off the final grade in the course, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted an assignment which included material taken verbatim from the textbook without quotation marks. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the assignment.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student's report lacks in-text citations but contains a reference list of credible sources. This allegation was made after a second report had been completed. Fortunately, the student included citations correctly in that report. Student was remorseful for their errors and took full responsibility for them.

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the two sections of the report and disciplinary probation. The typical penalty for plagiarism is zero on the report plus a 5% deduction from the final course grade. Penalty was mitigated because there was no evidence the student tried to deceive the instructor and they made efforts to understand the citation process. A further plagiarism offence will not be mitigated.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student's first report lacked in-text citations but contains a reference list of sources. This allegation was made after their second report was completed. There were no errors of this type in the second report. Student was remorseful for their errors.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0% on two sections of the report and disciplinary probation. Standard penalty for plagiarism is zero on the report plus a 5% deduction from the final course grade. Penalty was mitigated because there was no evidence that the student was trying to deceive the instructor and they made efforts to understand the citation process. A further plagiarism offence will not be mitigated.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted an online quiz assignment in which two answers had been taken from external sources, either verbatim or very closely paraphrased, without attribution or citation of any kind. This was a second offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the quiz and failure in the course. A term of suspension would be neither beneficial nor appropriate and is being replaced with the added course requirement.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted a final project in which most of the supporting documentation had been copied and pasted verbatim without citation or quotation marks from internet sources, but which had been included in a reference page.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the assignment; a further 5 marks off the final grade in the course and disciplinary probation. First offence; standard penalty.

Description: Plagiarism

3 June 2021

Details: Student submitted a lab report in which assertions made in the discussion section did not include in-text citations.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** Not Specified

Penalty/Decision: 25% reduction of grade on the assignment; no further penalty. First offence.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted a final editing assignment in which significant portions had been taken verbatim from a number of sources, either

without citation or attribution of any kind, or with minimal, unclear, and insufficient citation, and without quotation marks.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the assignment; a further 10 marks off the final course grade; suspension for one term and disciplinary probation to

continue. Second offence; standard penalty.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Case was reported by instructor regarding plagiarism.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 25% grade reduction and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Case was reported by the instructor about plagiarism.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0% on both assignments, 5% deduction overall and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Case was reported by supervisor where student appears to have copied content directly from the employer, without paraphrasing or

citing the content.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the final work report and disciplinary probation.

Details: Student submitted an essay with passages from a number of internet sources, with incomplete, incorrect, or missing quotation marks and citations, representing less than 25% of the entire content of the essay. Candidate for the AI workshop.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 25% for final essay; no other penalty. First offence.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted an essay with a number of passages taken verbatim from various internet sources, either with no citation or

quotation marks, or with only general, insufficient citation.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 50% deduction on grade for the assignment; no further penalty. First offence.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student's discussion part was plagiarized. **Degree:** Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the discussion part and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Case regards plagiarism.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the quiz, 5% deduction overall and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student's lab report contains three statements copied from online sources. Student was resolute in their explanation that they did not

consult web sites for this report.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the Introduction portion of the report and disciplinary probation.

Penalty was notably mitigated because citation error was restricted to one part of the report. A subsequent plagiarism offence will not be mitigated.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student's second lab report contains three statements that are copied word-for-word from cited sources and one sentence copied from an uncited site. Student was remorseful for their errors and took full responsibility for them.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 5% reduction in final course grade and disciplinary probation. Penalty was notably mitigated because: 1) student is in first year and new to formal report writing, and 2) you did not intend to deceive the instructor. A subsequent plagiarism offence will not be mitigated.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student's second lab report contains many statements copied directly from cited sources. Student did not realize that they had to reword information they used from cited sources. Student found a website with a lab report for the same experiment and used the same references.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 5% reduction in final course grade and disciplinary probation. Penalty was notably mitigated because: 1) student is in first year and new to formal report writing, 2) student did not receive guidance about their misuse of in-text citations in their first report, and 3) student did not intend to deceive the instructor.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Instructor reported student cheating on an assignment

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0% on assignment; 5% deduction overall, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student plagiarized on an assignment. They did not contest the allegation. Student is a candidate for the AI workshop.

Penalty/Decision: 0% grade for the assignment.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted an essay in which roughly half the content had been taken verbatim from a variety of internet sources, cited but

without quotation marks.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the assignment; no further penalty. First offence and candidate for AI workshop.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: The students submissions contained multiple instances of uncited material from internet sources.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0% on all the submissions and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted a quiz which contained course content, without citing the sources.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the quiz, an additional 5% penalty from the overall grade, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted an essay with a number of sentences taken verbatim from a source, without quotation marks or adequate in-text

citations.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the assignment and disciplinary probation. A further 5 marks have been waived in this case because of evidence to support the students claim that the errors in citation were unintentional. First offence.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted a quiz which contained course content, without citing the sources.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the quiz, plus an additional 5% penalty from the overall grade, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted an assignment response whose content had been taken, either verbatim or very closely paraphrased from course

materials, without citation or acknowledgement of any kind.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the assignment; no further penalty as the student readily accepted responsibility. Candidate for AI workshop.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student's second lab report contains text that is copied word-for-word from cited sources. Student has a previous allegation for plagiarism on their first lab report. Student was remorseful.

Degree: Undergraduate Year: 1

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the Introduction portion of the report, 5% reduction in the final course grade, and disciplinary probation. Standard penalty for plagiarism is zero on the whole report, this was mitigated because: 1) student was in their first year of university studies; 2) errors were primarily limited to one section; 3) the timing of the feedback on the first report overlapped with when students second report was due; and 4) student was very remorseful. Student will not receive a mitigated penalty for subsequent offence of plagiarism.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student's second lab report lacks in-text citations in one section and text is copied from an uncited online source. Majority of another section is copied from an uncited document. Student submitted a second lab report that had no plagiarized text or citation errors.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the report and disciplinary probation. The course grade reduction was not imposed because student is in their first year and the assignment value is substantial. A subsequent plagiarism offence will not be mitigated.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student is guilty of submitting an assignment that contained uncited verbatim text. Student accept the penalties outlined under the informal resolution.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: 50% of earned grade, 5% deduction from final course grade and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism. **Degree:** Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 50% of earned grade on the assignment and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student is guilty of plagiarism.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 50% of earned grade on assignment and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted a quiz which contained course content, without citing the sources.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the quiz, an additional 5% penalty from the overall grade, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student appears to have copied course content, without paraphrasing or citing the content.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the quiz, with an additional 5% deduction from the final course grade.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted a lab that contained a minimum amount of verbatim text that was not cited.

Penalty/Decision: 25% of earned grade, 5% deduction from final grade and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted an assignment which contained verbatim text without citations or quotations.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 50% of earned grade, 5% deduction from final grade in course, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted an assignment that contained a substantial amount of verbatim text without citations or quotations. Candidate for

the AI workshop.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the assignment and AI workshop.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted a paper that contained verbatim and improperly cited text.

Degree: Undergraduate Year: 4

Penalty/Decision: 50% of the earned grade and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: One student allowed the second student to see their paper on a quiz who copied the answer.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Student received 0% on the quiz and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: One student allowed the second student to see their paper on a guiz who copied the answer.

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the guiz and disciplinary probation. Student's penalty was revised to remove the 5% course deduction and instead is required to take an ethics course, obtaining at least a 60%. This course may not be used toward any degree requirements and must register in this course as DRNA.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: One student allowed the second student to see their paper on a quiz who copied the answer.

Degree: Undergraduate Year: 1

Penalty/Decision: Student will receive 0% on the guiz and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: A Turnitin report identifies several sentences and sentence fragments which were not cited. Student was remorseful for their judgemental error and took full responsibility.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** Not Specified

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the review and disciplinary probation. If this penalty results in a course failure, a final course grade of 50 will be assigned. Penalty for plagiarism as outlined in Policy includes an additional 5% off the final grade. This was not imposed for the following reasons: 1) the grade reduction associated with the assignment is substantial, and 2) student was remorseful.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student's second lab report is missing in-text citations for some statements that are not their own work. The Turnitin document does not match the hard copy submitted, and the reference list contains citations to sources that were not used in the document. Student was very remorseful for their errors and took full responsibility for them.

Degree: Undergraduate Year: 1

Penalty/Decision: 5% reduction in the course grade and disciplinary probation. Penalty for plagiarism is zero on the whole report plus a 5% deduction from the final course grade. This would have led to a 25% grade penalty; therefore, the penalty was notably mitigated for the following reasons: 1) the report was lacking only a few citations, 2) student is in first year, and 3) did not appear to be trying to deceive the instructor. A subsequent plagiarism offence will not be mitigated.

Details: Student's second lab report is missing in-text citations in one section, and the Turnitin analysis of a second section indicates some text has been plagiarized. A thorough review of the Turnitin report indicates that although some statements in section 1 might be your own and matched by chance.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 5% reduction in course grade and disciplinary probation. Penalty for plagiarism is zero on the whole report plus a 5% deduction from the final course grade; this would have led to a 25% grade penalty. Penalty was notably mitigated because the report contained copied text in one section, student is in first year and did not appear to be trying to deceive the instructor. A subsequent plagiarism offence will not be mitigated.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Plagiarism detected on assignment. Email was sent to the student by the instructor.

Degree: Graduate Year: Not Specified

Penalty/Decision: 50% penalty off the assignment. Student will remain on disciplinary probation. Second offense.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student's assignment contained considerably more than two sentences of verbatim text without proper citation. As indicated by the student, they did not plagiarize the interpretation, discussion, or critical analysis components of the assignment.

Degree: Graduate Year: Not Specified

Penalty/Decision: 50% reduction of the assignment grade and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Plagiarism detected on a blog post assignment worth 5% of the course grade. Content was taken from sources with minimal change in wording and one missing citation. Quotation marks were not used, nor the pages cited, as expected with a quote.

Degree: Graduate Year: Not Specified

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the assignment and disciplinary probation.

Details: Three dictionary definitions were taken from a website and not attributed.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Reduction in the course grade and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student's final project submission contains sentences of verbatim text or weakly paraphrased information taken from sources that were

not cited.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 10% reduction in the course grade and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student's second lab report was flagged for an academic misconduct review for missing in-text citations.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 5% reduction in the course grade and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student's second lab report was flagged for an academic misconduct review for missing in-text citations.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 5% reduction in the course grade and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student's second lab report was flagged for an academic misconduct review for missing in-text citations.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 5% reduction in the course grade and disciplinary probation.

Details: Student's second lab report was flagged for an academic misconduct review for missing in-text citations.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 5% reduction in the course grade and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Over 2 sentences of unattributed direct quotations were found in assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 10% deduction from their final course grade and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Text copied from the internet and other submission.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 5% reduction in the course grade and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted a final essay in which approximately 25% of the content had been taken from an article that was neither cited nor

included in references.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the assignment and disciplinary probation. The further 5 marks off course grade has been waived. First offence.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted a final essay which included a number of passages that were incorrectly or insufficiently cited. In some cases, the passage, while cited, included an almost verbatim reproduction of the source without quotation marks; in others, the passage was incorrectly cited, to either another source listed in the bibliography, or (in one instance) to a source not credited anywhere in the essay.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the assignment, the zero grade not to trigger a failure in the course and disciplinary probation. The further 5 marks off course grade penalty has been waived. First offence.

Details: Student's second lab report was flagged for an academic misconduct review for missing in-text citations.

Degree: Undergraduate Year: 1

Penalty/Decision: 5% reduction in the course grade and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student's second lab report was flagged for an academic misconduct review for missing in-text citations.

Degree: Undergraduate Year: 1

Penalty/Decision: 5% reduction in the course grade and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student's second lab report was flagged for an academic misconduct review for missing in-text citations.

Degree: Undergraduate Year: 1

Penalty/Decision: 5% reduction in the course grade and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student's second lab report was flagged for an academic misconduct review for missing in-text citations.

Degree: Undergraduate Year: 2

Penalty/Decision: 5% reduction in the course grade and disciplinary probation.

Details: Student's second lab report was flagged for an academic misconduct review for missing in-text citations.

Degree: Undergraduate Year: 1

Penalty/Decision: 5% reduction in the course grade and disciplinary probation.

Details: Student's second lab report was flagged for an academic misconduct review for missing in-text citations.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 5% reduction in the course grade and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: The student's second lab report was flagged for an academic misconduct review for missing in-text citations.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 5% reduction in the course grade and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student's second lab report was flagged for an academic misconduct review for missing in-text citations.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 5% reduction in the course grade and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student's second lab report was flagged for an academic misconduct review for missing in-text citations.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 5% reduction in the course grade and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student's second lab report was flagged for an academic misconduct review for missing in-text citations.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 5% reduction in the course grade and disciplinary probation.

Details: Student's second lab report was flagged for an academic misconduct review for missing in-text citations.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 5% reduction in the course grade and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student's second lab report was flagged for an academic misconduct review for missing in-text citations.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 5% reduction in the course grade and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student's second lab report was flagged for an academic misconduct review for missing in-text citations.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 5% reduction in the course grade and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student's second lab report was flagged for an academic misconduct review for missing in-text citations.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 5% reduction in the course grade and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: One sentence copied verbatim from an uncited source.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 10% reduction in the course grade and disciplinary probation.

Details: Multiple instances of material taken from a monograph; single instance of wording being too close to original, not attributed.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 10% reduction in the course grade and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Multiple instances of material taken from a post and other websites attributed to another author in an attempt to hide the source; one

instance of text taken verbatim from editor's introduction to the chapter in text.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the assignment, equivalent to 25% reduction in the course grade, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student's second lab report is missing key citations in two sections. Student used lab report rubric for the first report, but not the

second.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 50% of earned grade and disciplinary probation. If this penalty results in a course failure, then a final course grade of 50 will

be assigned.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted the written portion of book report containing several sentences of plagiarized content without proper attribution

with quotes and in-text citations.

Degree: Graduate **Year:** Not Specified

Penalty/Decision: 25% credit on the book report assignment (for the oral presentation). 5% was deducted from the student's final course grade

and disciplinary probation.

Descriptions Discipline

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Plagiarism detected on a blog post assignment. Content was taken from sources with minimal change in wording and one missing citation. Quotation marks were not used, nor the pages cited, as expected with a quote.

Degree: Graduate **Year:** Not Specified

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the assignment and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Plagiarism detected on assignment. Instructor sent student email and contacted student by phone. The student accepted the penalty

and expressed remorse did not contest the decision.

Degree: Graduate **Year:** Not Specified

Penalty/Decision: 0% for the assignment and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was accused and found guilty of plagiarism. There was significant plagiarized content within two separate submissions. These were treated as a single incidence of plagiarism, given the short time between submissions.

Degree: Graduate **Year:** Not Specified

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the papers (resulting in 5% deduction from the final grade). No option to replace the mark (the 6 highest marks out of 8 total papers are used to calculate the final weight of 10% for this course component). The student was referred to writing help support and placed on disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Plagiarism was detected on an assignment. The student did not contest the decision.

Degree: Graduate **Year:** Not Specified

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the assignment and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Plagiarism was detected on an assignment. The student did not contest the decision.

Degree: Graduate Year: Not Specified

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the full assignment and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted an essay whose content consisted of extensive passages of text, taken verbatim or very closely paraphrased from a scholarly article, without citation of any kind. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the assignment and disciplinary probation. Waiving the further 5 marks off penalty is appropriate due to student's inexperience and acknowledgement of wrongdoing.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Students second lab report (worth 20%) was well written but missing key in-text citations. Student did not appreciate the severity of

leaving the citations out.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 5% reduction in the final course grade and disciplinary probation for duration of your UW undergraduate career.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Students second lab report (worth 20%) was well written but missing key in-text citations.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 5% reduction in the final course grade and disciplinary probation for duration of your UW undergraduate career.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student's lab report contained many passages that were copied word-for-word, primarily from cited sources. Other lab reports they submitted contained no copied text. The student found the current report more difficult due to the amount of terminology and detailed information it contained. Student was remorseful for their judgemental errors.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 5% deduction from final grade and disciplinary probation. The penalty was mitigated because: 1) the sources for the copied passages were mostly cited, and 2) student did not intend to deceive the instructor. A subsequent plagiarism offence will not be mitigated.

Details: Students second lab report is missing key citations in two sections. It was evident that the student had not learned how to search journal databases to find meaningful sources for this assignment but expressed interest in resources to improve searching and paraphrasing skills.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 5% reduction in final course grade and disciplinary probation. The penalty was notably mitigated because the report lacked citations for only some statements and the student did not appear to be trying to deceive the instructor. A subsequent plagiarism offence will not be mitigated.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Students second lab report is missing citations. This was a second offence. Student was frustrated by the inconsistencies in marking this

report.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 5% reduction in the final course grade and disciplinary probation. A third offence will incur an aggravated penalty.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: End-of-term essays the student submitted contained many passages of text copied from uncited sources. Both essays are essentially compiled from text from other sources. Student was not aware that in-text citations were needed in these essays however, student was aware that in-text citations are needed in lab reports.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the essay assignment and disciplinary probation. If this penalty trips a course failure, then a final course grade of 50 will be assigned. Standard penalty for plagiarism was not imposed for the following reasons: 1) the grade reduction associated with the course reduction is substantial; 2) it was evident that the student did not intend to cheat or deceive the instructor. A subsequent finding of misconduct relating to plagiarism will not be mitigated.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted an essay in which a number of sentences had been taken verbatim from a source, without quotation marks or attribution. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 50% reduction of the grade initially assigned to the work and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted two written assignments in which the majority of the content had been taken verbatim from internet sources,

without quotation marks or citation of any kind. First offence

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the two assignments, 5 marks off the final grade in the course, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted an essay without a reference list and with only minimal, insufficient in-text citations. Student displayed genuine

confusion regarding the course assignment expectations. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 50% deduction on the assignment. Penalty not to trigger in and of itself a failure in the course. Candidate for the AI workshop.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted an essay without a reference list, and only minimal, insufficient in-text citations. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the assignment; penalty not to trigger in and of itself a failure in the course.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted a final essay in which no in-text citations had been included, and for which the references list was vague, insufficient,

and improperly formatted. Evidence of student's inexperience; candidate for the AI workshop option. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the assignment; no further penalty.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted an essay without a reference list nor any citations for any of the content. Student is a candidate for the AI workshop option. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 50% deduction on the assignment; no further penalty.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted a final essay in which extensive passages had been taken verbatim from various sources, without attribution or citation of any kind, and for which no reference list had been included. Evidence of student's inexperience; candidate for the AI workshop. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the assignment.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted an essay in which numerous passages had been taken verbatim from a variety of sources, without citation of any kind, and without quotation marks. Student is a candidate for AI workshop option. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment; no further penalty.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted a final essay in which significant portions of text had been taken verbatim from a number of internet sources without citation or attribution of any kind. Evidence of student's inexperience; candidate for the AI workshop option. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment; no further penalty.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted an essay in which a few passages had been paraphrased from a variety of sources, without a reference list or in-text citations. The student is a candidate for the AI workshop option. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment; no further penalty.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted an essay without any in-text citations or reference list, despite both being specifically required for the assignment.

First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment and disciplinary probation. The further 5 marks off final grade has been waived in this case given the

students inexperience.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted an essay without a reference list, or in-text citations of any kind. The student displayed genuine confusion regarding

the course assignment expectations and is a candidate for AI workshop option. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0 on the assignment; penalty not to trigger in and of itself a failure in the course.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted an essay without a reference list or any citations for any of the content. The student is a candidate for AI workshop

option.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 50% deduction on the assignment; no further penalty. First offence.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted an essay without any in-text citations or reference list, despite both being specifically required for the assignment.

First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the assignment and disciplinary probation. The further 5 marks off has been waived in this case because of student's inexperience.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted a digital game project in which code and images had been taken from other sources, without citation or acknowledgement of any kind. Second offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: Standard penalty: 0% on the assignment; a further 10 marks off the final grade in the course; suspension for one term, to be served in the next term; disciplinary probation to continue for the remainder of the student's undergraduate career.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student's assignments contain several excerpts copied word-for-word from online sources. Candidate for the AI workshop.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: 0% on all assignments, 5 marks deducted off total grade, student was required to attend AI workshop, and disciplinary

probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student is guilty of submitting an assignment which contained plagiarized text.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 50% of earned grade, 5% deduction from final grade, and AI Workshop.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student missed a mandatory portion of a workshop.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Student was removed from the workshop and placed on disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student is guilty of submitting an assignment that contained plagiarized text. Student accepted the informal resolution.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 50% of earned grade.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student is guilty of submitting an assignment which contained plagiarized text. The student accepted to resolve this by accepting the

proposed penalties.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 50% of earned grade.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was guilty of submitting a paper which contained plagiarized text.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the assignment and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student is guilty of submitting an assignment which contained plagiarized text.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 0% on assignment.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student is guilty of submitting an assignment which contained plagiarized text.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 25% of earned grade for assignment and 5% deducted from the final grade.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student is guilty of submitting an assignment that contained verbatim text without citations.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 50% of earned and 5% deducted from final course grade.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was guilty of submitting a report without properly citing their sources.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0% on report.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was guilty of submitting a report without properly cited sources.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0% on report.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was guilty of plagiarism. Due to extenuating circumstances (medical issues) the instructor agreed to re-weight this assignment

from 20% to 10%.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** Not Specified

Penalty/Decision: 0% on assignment.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was guilty of submitting an assignment which contained verbatim and improperly cited text. It was decided to lower the informal proposed penalty from 0% on the assignment to 50% of the earned grade. Some of the text identified as plagiarism by Turnitin was common material used for the assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 50% of earned grade.

Details: Student 1 copied from student 2 on a document sharing site.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the assignment, 5% course deduction, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student 1 copied from student 2 on a document sharing site.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the assignment and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student copied from a website.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the course element, 5% course deduction, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Students collaborated by sharing a code which was not permitted.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the project, 5-mark course deduction, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Students collaborated by a sharing code which was not permitted.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the project, 5-mark course deduction, and disciplinary probation.

Details: Students collaborated by a sharing code which was not permitted.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the project, 5-mark course deduction, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted plagiarized material. **Degree:** Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the project (which resulted in course failure) and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted plagiarized material. **Degree:** Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the project (which resulted in course failure) and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted plagiarized material. **Degree:** Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the project (which resulted in course failure) and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted plagiarized material. **Degree:** Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the project (which resulted in course failure) and disciplinary probation.

Details: Student is guilty of submitting an assignment which contained verbatim and improperly cited text.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 50% of earned grade and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student is guilty of submitting an assignment which contained verbatim and improperly cited text.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 25% of earned grade and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student is guilty of submitting an assignment which contained verbatim and improperly cited text.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: 50% of earned grade and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student is guilty of submitting an assignment which contained plagiarized material.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 0% on assignment and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student is guilty of submitting an assignment which contained plagiarized text.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 50% of earned grade and disciplinary probation.

Details: Student is guilty of submitting an assignment which included plagiarism.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 25% of earned grade and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student is guilty of submitting an assignment that contained verbatim text without in-text citations.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 50% of earned grade and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student is guilty of submitting a 2nd assignment in this course that contained plagiarism.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the assignment and continued disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student is guilty of submitting an assignment that contained text authored by another student, without citations recognizing the work

was not their own.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the assignment, 5% deducted from final course grade. No future enrollment in the faculty.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student's lab report was run through Turnitin and contained excerpts in the introduction from a web source that was not properly cited.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the introduction, recommended to attend workshop, and disciplinary probation.

Details: Student's lab report contained several sentences that did not include citations in the introduction section.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the introduction and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Significant sections of introduction and discussion portion of a lab report were missing in-text citations.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the introduction and discussion sections of lab report and disciplinary.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student's lab report contained several sentences that did not include citations after the first paragraph in the discussion.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the section of lab report and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Significant part of introduction and discussion sections in lab report did not have in-text citations.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the introduction and discussion sections of lab report and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Significant part of introduction and discussion sections in lab report did not have in-text citations.

Degree: Undergraduate Year: 1

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the introduction and discussion sections of lab report, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted case study that contains verbatim text to an external source but no quotations.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Letter of reprimand, 3 marks off case study, and AI workshop required.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student's lab report was run through Turnitin and contained a 43% similarity score to web sources that were not properly cited.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0% on a section of their lab report, required to attend AI workshop, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Students draft lab report was run through Turnitin and had a 61% similarity with different sources.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0% on a section of lab report and a reprimand for another section, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student's lab report contained several sentences that did not include citations in the discussion section.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0% on this section, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student's lab report contained several sentences that did not include citations in the discussion section.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0% on this section and disciplinary probation.

Details: Entire discussion section of lab report did not include in-text citations.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0% on this section of report and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Entire introduction section of lab report did not have in-text citations.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0% on this section of report and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Significant portion of introduction section of lab report did not have in-text citations.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the introduction section of lab report and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted research papers where several highlighted lines are direct word-for-word from external sources without proper

attribution.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: 0% on assignment, an additional 5 marks off final grade, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student took short passages of material and used them without paraphrasing or attributing them to the author.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 50% deduction on assignment and disciplinary probation.

Details: Student used prior posted solutions to complete assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: Zero on assignment and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student's assessment contained a small amount of plagiarism.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 25% deduction from assessment and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was guilty of submitting an assignment that contained plagiarized text. Although this was the student's 2nd offense, the amount

of text was minor and not worthy of suspension.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 25% of earned grade and remain on disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was guilty of submitting an assignment which Turnitin identified as containing plagiarized text.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 50% of earned grade on the assignment and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student is guilty of submitting an assignment which contained plagiarized text.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 50% of earned grade on the assignment and disciplinary probation.

Details: Student plagiarized on a final exam.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: Grade on exam reduced by 10%, 5% deducted from final grade, disciplinary probation, and one term suspension.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism. **Degree:** Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 50% of earned grade on assignment.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student is guilty of submitting an assignment which contained plagiarized text.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 0% for the assignment and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student is guilty of submitting an assignment which contained plagiarized text.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 25% off earned grade and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student utilized instructors code to submit their assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the assignment, 5% deducted from course grade, and disciplinary probation.

Details: Student's lab report contained text copied word-for-word from uncited sources. Some of the information was cited and in other cases it

wasn't.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: Re-write portions of the lab report and submit by an agreed upon date. Failure to meet this requirement will result in loss of

the work report credit. Student was put on disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student's co-op report contains text copied word-for-word from cited sources. Student was interested in learning how to improve the

report and regretted their errors.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: Re-write portions of the lab report and submit by an agreed upon date. Failure to meet this requirement will result in loss of

the work report credit. Student was put on disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student found guilty of plagiarism.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 50% or earned grade for the assignment and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student took information from group discussion and passed it off as their own.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0% on assignment and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 50% of earned grade on assignment, 5% deducted from final grade, made to take AI Workshop.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student re-submitted work a report containing unauthorized previously submitted work and plagiarism.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: Mark of 38 on the work report, student is permitted to resubmit the work report before graduation; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was passed an iClicker with the first question completed.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: 5% deduction off overall course grade.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted an essay with a match of 91% on an assignment submitted previously.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the assignment; no other penalty. Must take an AI workshop.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Based on the evidence provided, the student has been found guilty of plagiarism.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 25% of earned grade, 5% deducted from final grade, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted a lab report that contains passages of text that are identical or nearly identical to cited sources.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the report, an additional 5 marks deducted from the final course grade, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student's lab report contains passages of text that are identical or nearly identical to cited sources. After reviewing the Turnitin report,

student agreed that a few sentences or parts of them should have been reworded to represent their own words.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Zero on one section and disciplinary probation. A subsequent finding of misconduct involving plagiarism will not be mitigated.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted a take-home assignment whose content had been almost entirely taken, verbatim and without citation of any kind,

from a variety of sources. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** Not Specified

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the assignment; no further penalty. Candidate for AI workshop.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Plagiarism on assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Zero on assignment, 5% deducted overall, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submission for assignment shared a number of very similar features from a student who was previously enrolled in the course.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: Zero on assignment, 5% deduction overall, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Work term submission was identified as containing plagiarized text.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: Re-submission of work report allowed; maximum grade that can be achieved is 65% (the lowest passing grade for a work report); disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Work term submission was identified as containing plagiarized text.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: Re-submission of work report allowed; maximum grade that can be earned is 65% (the lowest passing grade for a work

report); disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: In the student's lab report, there were numerous instances of the use of inappropriate synonyms. This suggests that an electronic aid was used for their written responses. In addition, the values used in the calculations do not appear elsewhere in the report. This suggests that the report is not their own work.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the report, 5% reduction in final grade, and disciplinary probation. A third finding relating to plagiarism will incur a

suspension.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Significant amount of un-cited information.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: Zero on report and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Significant amount of un-cited information. **Degree:** Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Degree: ondergradate

Penalty/Decision: Zero on report and disciplinary probation.

Details: Student submitted an assignment which contained external content that was not quoted and did not include proper in-text citations.

Degree: Undergraduate Year: 3

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the assignment (worth 15% towards the final grade), and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: The outline and rough draft of student's research project contain passages of text copied word-for-word from cited and uncited sources.

Degree: Undergraduate Year: 3

Penalty/Decision: Zero on outline and draft review (1.5% of final grade); 10% reduction in the final course grade; disciplinary probation. A

subsequent finding of misconduct relating to plagiarism will lead to a suspension.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Students first lab report contained numerous passages of text copied word for word from cited and uncited sources. In some cases, the

cited source does not match the text. Some of the text matches previous reports submitted by UW students.

Degree: Undergraduate Year: 4

Penalty/Decision: Zero on two sections of the lab report (9% of the course grade); disciplinary probation. A subsequent finding of misconduct will not be mitigated and may include a suspension.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student copied material from various sources without referencing.

Degree: Undergraduate Year: 4

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the assignment, 5% course deduction, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student used a small amount of unreferenced material on an assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate Year: 4 **Penalty/Decision:** Zero on the assignment, 5% course deduction, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Report contained course content, without citing the sources.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Zero on both assignments and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted an assignment that had been lightly paraphrased without citation of any kind. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 50% deduction of the grade on the assignment and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submission contains sentences of verbatim text or weakly paraphrased information taken from sources that were not cited.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 1% deduction from final course grade (50% of the assignment weight) and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student plagiarized a small component of an assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Zero on question and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student plagiarized a small component of an assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Zero on question and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student plagiarized a small component of an assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Zero on question and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student plagiarized a small component of an assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate Year: 1

Penalty/Decision: Zero on question and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student plagiarized a small component of an assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Zero on question and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student plagiarized a small component of an assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Zero on question and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student plagiarized a small component of an assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Zero on question and disciplinary probation.

Details: Student plagiarized a small component of an assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate Year: 1

Penalty/Decision: Zero on question and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student plagiarized a small component of an assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate Year: 1

Penalty/Decision: Zero on question and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student plagiarized a small component of an assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate Year: 1

Penalty/Decision: Zero on question and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submission contained sentences of verbatim text or weakly paraphrased information taken from sources that were not cited.

Degree: Undergraduate Year: 4

Penalty/Decision: 1% deduction from final course grade and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submission contained sentences of verbatim text or weakly paraphrased information taken from sources that were not cited.

Degree: Undergraduate Year: 2

Penalty/Decision: 1% deduction from final course grade (50% of the assignment weight) and disciplinary probation.

Details: Student's submission contained sentences of verbatim text or weakly paraphrased information taken from sources that were not cited.

Degree: Undergraduate Year: 2

Penalty/Decision: 1% deduction from final course grade (50% of the assignment weight) and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submission contained sentences of verbatim text or weakly paraphrased information taken from sources that were not cited.

Degree: Undergraduate Year: 4

Penalty/Decision: 1% deduction from final course grade (50% of the assignment weight) and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submission contained sentences of verbatim text or weakly paraphrased information taken from sources that were not cited.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** Not Specified

Penalty/Decision: 1% deduction from final course grade (50% of the assignment weight) and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submission contained sentences of verbatim text or weakly paraphrased information taken from sources that were not cited.

Degree: Undergraduate Year: 3

Penalty/Decision: 1% deduction from final course grade (50% of the assignment weight) and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submission contained sentences of verbatim text or weakly paraphrased information taken from sources that were not cited.

Degree: Undergraduate Year: 4

Penalty/Decision: 1% deduction from final course grade (50% of the assignment weight) and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submission contained sentences of verbatim text or weakly paraphrased information taken from sources that were not cited.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 1% deduction from final course grade (50% of the assignment weight) and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submission contained sentences of verbatim text or weakly paraphrased information taken from sources that were not cited.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 1% deduction from final course grade (50% of the assignment weight) and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submission contained sentences of verbatim text or weakly paraphrased information taken from sources that were not cited.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 1% deduction from final course grade (50% of the assignment weight) and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Penalty was based on evidence provided regarding plagiarism.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: 50% of earned grade on assignment (15%) and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted an essay that proved to be virtually identical to one submitted earlier and made available for downloading online.

Second offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the assignment; failure in the course, to be recorded as either 32 or the earned failing grade with the penalty assigned, whichever is higher; suspension for one term; disciplinary probation to continue.

Details: Some of the answers in student's assignment are identical to the solutions manual. These similarities suggest the student may have had

access to confidential solutions manuals.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: Zero on one section, two questions (~0.5% of the course grade); 5% reduction in final course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Some of the answers in student's assignment are identical to the solutions manual. These similarities suggest the student may have had

access to confidential solutions manuals.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the assignment (~2% of the final course grade) and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submission contained verbatim or weakly paraphrased text without citation.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: 1% deduction from final course grade and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submission contained verbatim or weakly paraphrased text without citation.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: 1% deduction from final course grade and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submission contained verbatim or weakly paraphrased text without citation.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: 1% deduction from final course grade and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submission contained verbatim or weakly paraphrased text without citation.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: 1% deduction from final course grade and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Some of the answers in the student's assignment are identical to the solutions manual and no justification for the answers were

provided.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: Zero on assignment (~3.5% of the final course grade) and disciplinary probation. A subsequent finding of misconduct relating

to plagiarism will not be mitigated.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted report which contained external content, without citing the sources.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Zero for the report (worth 5%) and an additional 5% deduction from final grade.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student's lab report describes traits in two parts that are not part of the dataset.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the questions containing plagiarized content (~1% of the final course grade); 7% reduction in the final course grade;

disciplinary probation. A subsequent offence will likely incur a one-term suspension.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted a report which contained course content, without citing the sources.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Zero be given for the report (worth 6%) and an additional 5% deduction from the overall grade.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted a report which contained course content, without citing the sources.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Zero be given for the report (worth 6%) and an additional 5% deduction from the overall grade.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student's second lab report contains numerous passages copied word-for-word from various online sources that were often different

from than those that they cited.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the lab report (6% of the final grade) and disciplinary probation. A future finding of plagiarism will not be mitigated.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Some of student's answers in the assignment are identical to the solutions manual, including typos found in the manual. These similarities suggest the student may have had access to confidential solutions manuals.

Degree: Undergraduate Year: 4

Penalty/Decision: Student will incur 15 hours of unpaid community service in a challenging position for an off-campus organization of their choice. To have these hours fulfilled, UW will need to endorse the organization and the approved organization must provide a letter of community service and send this letter directly to the UW contact. It would be best to verify that the organization can provide this letter, when the student agrees to work with them. UW contact must receive the letter documenting the community service a minimum of 1 month before the date of the students intended convocation. Student is also put on disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Plagiarism on an assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 50% off total assignment mark and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student plagiarized on assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Zero on assignment and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student's essay has near verbatim sentences from uncited sources. Second plagiarism offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** Not Specified

Penalty/Decision: If the student drops the course, they will incur 25 hours of unpaid community service in a challenging position for an off-campus organization of their choice. To have these hours fulfilled, UW will need to endorse the organization and the approved organization must provide a letter of community service and send this letter directly to the UW contact. It would be best to verify that the organization can provide this letter, when the student agrees to work with them. UW contact must receive the letter documenting the community service a minimum of 1 month before the date of the students intended convocation. Student is also put on disciplinary probation. A third offence of plagiarism will place student at risk of suspension.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: It became apparent that the code submitted by one student shared a number of very similar features with the code submitted another

student. MOSS results found 86% similarity.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: Zero marks on assignment and additional 5% deduction from the final grade.

Description: Disciprism

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student's submitted responses to a quiz and their responses included identical passages throughout.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the guiz (worth 2.5%) and an additional 5% deduction from the overall course grade.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Students submitted responses to a quiz and their responses included identical passages throughout.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Zero for quiz (worth 2.5%) and an additional 5% deduction from the overall grade.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted a test in which materials included had been taken verbatim from a number of sources without citation or

acknowledgement of any kind. Second offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** Not Specified

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the assignment; failure in the course (recorded as a grade of 32); suspension for one term; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted an assignment that included content taken from online sources, without attribution of any kind. Second offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the assignment; failure in the course (recorded as a grade of 32 or the failing earned grade in the course with the

penalty applied, whichever is higher); suspension for one term; disciplinary probation to continue.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted an assignment that included content taken from online sources, without attribution of any kind. Second offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 50% on the assignment; suspension for one term; disciplinary probation to continue.

Description, Discipling

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted an assignment with a number of incorrectly attributed passages, as well as verbatim passages without any attribution

at all.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the assignment; no further penalty.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted an assignment in which secondary sources had been used, either paraphrased or verbatim, without citation of any

kind. Second offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** Not Specified

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the assignment; further 10 marks off the final grade in the course; suspension for two terms; disciplinary probation to

continue.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Plagiarism in course.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Zero on questions that were plagiarized, 5% deduction overall and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Plagiarism in course.

Degree: Undergraduate Year: 1

Penalty/Decision: Zero on questions that were plagiarized, 5% deduction overall, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Plagiarism in course.

Degree: Undergraduate Year: 1

Penalty/Decision: Zero on questions that were plagiarized, 5% deduction overall, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Plagiarism in course.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: Zero on questions that were plagiarized, 5% deduction overall, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Plagiarism in course.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Zero on questions that were plagiarized, 5% deduction overall, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Plagiarism in course.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Zero on questions that were plagiarized, 5% deduction overall, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student admits to copying material from a previous student's solutions that were posted publicly.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the assignment and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student plagiarized on assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: Zero on assignment, 2% deduction overall, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student plagiarized on assignment. Second offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: Zero on assignment, 2% deduction overall, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Many of the student's answers on the report are copied word-for-word from cited and uncited sources. In some cases, the copied text is

attributed to a different source.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the report (20% of the course grade) but no failure in the course; 5% reduction in the course grade; disciplinary

probation. A second offense involving plagiarism may incur a one-term suspension.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: The student sought to have the penalty reduced by removing the 5% reduction in course grade.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the report (20% of the course grade) but no failure in the course; 5% reduction in the course grade; disciplinary

probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student plagiarized on an assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4A

Penalty/Decision: Zero on assignment and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Students plagiarized on the assignment. **Degree:** Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: Zero on assignment and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

3 June 2021

Details: Student's lab report had a high Turnitin similarity index (50%) that was linked with a University of Waterloo student paper.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the lab report; 5 percent deduction in the course grade (equal to a 12.5 percent deduction). If this penalty trips a course failure, then student will receive a passing grade (50 percent) in the course.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted a quiz which contained course content, without citing the sources.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Zero on both quizzes' and an additional 5% deduction from the final grade.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student admitted plagiarizing part of the assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the assignment, deduction of 5% from their final course grade, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted a take-home assignment that had been largely copied, verbatim or very closely paraphrased, from a number of

online sources, without citation or attribution of any kind. First offence

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** Not Specified

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the assignment; no further penalty. Suggested to take AI workshop.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted a final essay with some citations misattributed, text taken from an online source without citation, and passages that

had been taken either verbatim or lightly paraphrased and not cited. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: 50% deduction on the grade for the assignment and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted a final assignment which had been largely copied - paraphrased or verbatim. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the assignment and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted an essay which about half of the content had been taken verbatim from unattributed online sources, without citation

of any kind. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the assignment; no other penalty. Candidate for AI workshop.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted an essay which numerous passages had been cited but had been taken verbatim from the source without quotation

marks. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 50% grade reduction on the assignment; no further penalty. Candidate for Al workshop.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted an essay which several passages had been taken verbatim or very closely paraphrased from secondary sources,

without citation. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate Year: Not Specified

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the assignment and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted an essay in which a number of passages had been taken verbatim without adequate citation. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: Grade reduction of 50%; no further penalty. Candidate for AI workshop.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted a final assignment which was found to be virtually identical to that available on a number of websites. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the assignment, a further 5 marks off the final grade in the course, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted a final project which was found to match very closely to a number of different websites. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the assignment and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted a final project which was found to match very closely to a number of different websites. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the assignment and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted a final project in which they had taken information from a previous version of the assignment, in a previous attempt

of the course. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the assignment and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted an assignment that included text that was copied directly from an online source.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: 25% deduction from assignment and disciplinary probation.

Descriptions Discrime

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted papers in two courses that had segments which had been taken verbatim from cited sources but without quotation

marks. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the assignment, 50% grade penalty for the assignment, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted an assignment that included passages from unattributed sources and others where passages had been cited but

without quotation marks. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 50% grade deduction on the assignment and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted a portion of a group assignment that had several instances of text that was not properly cited.

Degree: Graduate **Year:** Not Specified

Penalty/Decision: Zero on a portion of the assignment. Additional penalty of 5% reduction in the final grade and disciplinary probation was not

applied.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Plagiarism was suspected on assignments.

Degree: Graduate Year: Not Specified

Penalty/Decision: Zero on assignments; 5% deduction on the overall course grade not imposed.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Guilty of excessive collaboration on two labs.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0% on both labs (weight is 7% each for a total of 14%); disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Guilty of excessive collaboration on two labs.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0% on both labs (weight is 7% each for a total of 14%); disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student guilty of plagiarism due to lack of quotations for text taken directly from sources.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: 0% on assignment (15%), 5% deducted from final grade, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student is guilty of plagiarizing text on an assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student is guilty of submitting an assignment with plagiarized text.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** Not Specified

Penalty/Decision: 0% on assignment (2%), 5% deducted from final grade, disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student has been found guilty of plagiarism on assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: 0% on assignment (5%), 5% deducted from final course grade, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted an assignment with text copied from other sources.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0% on assignment; disciplinary probation was not imposed.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted an assignment with text copied from other sources.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0% on assignment, disciplinary probation and must take an AI workshop.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student copied assignment and submitted it as their own work.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: Zero on assignment.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student copied assignment and submitted it as their own work.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: Zero on assignment.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted an assignment that included verbatim information from external sources, most of which was not referenced.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 25% deduction on grade for the assignment; no disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted an assignment that included text that was similar to a post of another student.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0% on case study and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student's portion of group project contained verbatim text without citations or quotations. Approximately 80% of student's portion of

the project was plagiarized.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 0% on assignment (worth 15%) and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Plagiarism.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the assignment (worth 8%), 5% deducted from the final grade, and disciplinary probation. Candidate given the option

of taking AI Workshop.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Plagiarism.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 50% of the earned grade on the assignment (5%), 5% deducted from final grade, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student copied documents verbatim for their final essay. Some sections were cited, but extensive sections were copied directly from

other sites.

3 June 2021

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: 0% on verbatim sections, disciplinary probation, student required to take an additional course.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Data and content of two co-op work term reports were taken directly from papers published by other authors.

Degree: Undergraduate Year: 3

Penalty/Decision: Re-submit work term report #1 on a topic relevant to student's work experience by an agreed upon date; failure in work term

report #2; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Work term report contained uncited sources and the only citation in the reference list does not document an article by the indicated

author.

Degree: Undergraduate Year: 3

Penalty/Decision: Re-write work term report addressing all the issues mentioned by agreed upon date, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student's paper has numerous passages that were copied word-for-word from cited and un-cited sources.

Degree: Undergraduate Year: 2

Penalty/Decision: 0% on final assignment and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student's answers on the report are copied word-for-word from cited and uncited sources. In some cases, the copied text is attributed

to a different source.

Degree: Undergraduate Year: 2

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the report (20% of the course grade) but no failure in the course; 5% reduction in course grade; disciplinary probation. A second offense involving plagiarism may incur a one-term suspension.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student's answers on the report are copied word-for-word from cited and uncited sources. In some cases, the copied text is attributed to a different source. The student sought to have the penalty reduced by removing the 5% reduction in course grade.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the report (20% of the course grade) but no failure in the course; 5% reduction in course grade and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student has multiple instances of uncited material on report.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: Student will re-do report, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student's report is almost identical to that submitted by another student.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the report (5% of the final course grade), 5% reduction in the course grade, and disciplinary probation. If this

disciplinary penalty should trip a course failure, a minimum grade of 50% should be assigned.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student's report contains numerous passages that are copied from a report submitted by another student.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the report (5% of the final course grade), and disciplinary probation. A subsequent finding of plagiarism will not be

mitigated.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted an exam that was suspected of plagiarism. Multiple sentences throughout the written exam have verbatim or

erroneously cited text from multiple sources.

Degree: Graduate **Year:** Not Specified

Penalty/Decision: Zero on exam and suspended for one term (to be agreed upon).

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted a group assignment with two other students which included a passage paraphrased from a secondary source without

citation or acknowledgement.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the assignment; no further penalty. Candidate for AI workshop.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student's report had several similarities to a report submitted by another student in a previous term.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the report (3% reduction in the final course grade), and disciplinary probation. A subsequent finding of misconduct

relating to plagiarism will not be mitigated.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student's report had several similarities to a report submitted by another student in a previous term.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the report (3% of the final course grade), 5% deduction in final course grade, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student's report had numerous passages that matched reports by other students based on Turnitin analysis.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: If the student drops the course, they will incur 25 hours of unpaid community service in a challenging position for an off-campus organization of their choice. To have these hours fulfill the student's penalty: UW needs to endorse the organization; the approved organization must provide a letter of community service and send this letter directly to the UW contact. It would be best to verify that the organization can provide this letter, when the student agrees to work with them. UW contact must receive the letter documenting the community service a minimum of 1 month before the date of the students intended convocation. Student is also on disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student admitted to looking at another student's computer screen and submitted the results as their own. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Zero for two questions; 10 marks from course grade, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: MOSS results on second assignment were suspicious of plagiarism. 37% of student's work was identical to another student in the course.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the assignment (worth 10% of final grade in the course).

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Students were accused of plagiarism on their work reports. The degree of plagiarism varied.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Rewrite report, and disciplinary probation.

Describitions Discrimina

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Students were accused of plagiarism on their work reports. The degree of plagiarism varied.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: Rewrite report, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Students were accused of plagiarism on their work reports. The degree of plagiarism varied.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Rewrite report, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Students were accused of plagiarism on their work reports. The degree of plagiarism varied.

Degree: Undergraduate Year: 4

Penalty/Decision: Rewrite report, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Students were accused of plagiarism on their work reports. The degree of plagiarism varied.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: Rewrite report, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Students were accused of plagiarism on their work reports. The degree of plagiarism varied.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Rewrite report, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Students were accused of plagiarism on their work reports. The degree of plagiarism varied.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: Rewrite report, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Students were accused of plagiarism on their work reports. The degree of plagiarism varied.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: Rewrite report, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student suspicious of plagiarism on assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: Zero on assignment, 3% deducted from overall grade, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student suspicious of plagiarism on assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: Zero on assignment, 5% deducted from course grade, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: The code submitted by a student shared a number of very similar features with the code submitted another student.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Zero on assignment, 5% deduction from the final grade, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: The code submitted by a student shared a number of very similar features with the code submitted another student.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: Zero on assignment, 5% deduction from the final grade, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted a research paper worth 25% of the final mark in the course. According to Turnitin, 100% of the paper was copied

from other sources.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Disciplinary probation only.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student's assignments contained verbatim text identified as matching other students' papers and academic sources.

Degree: Undergraduate Year: 4

Penalty/Decision: Zero on assignments, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student is accused of plagiarism on an assignment. Second offence

Degree: Undergraduate Year: 4

Penalty/Decision: Zero on assignment.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student is accused of plagiarism on an assignment. Second offence.

Degree: Undergraduate Year: 3

Penalty/Decision: Zero on assignment.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student is accused of plagiarism on an assignment. Second offence.

Degree: Undergraduate Year: 4

Penalty/Decision: Zero on assignment.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: The code submitted by a student shared a number of very similar features with the code submitted by another student.

Degree: Undergraduate Year: 2

Penalty/Decision: Zero on assignment, 5% deduction from the final grade, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted two assignments with Turnitin match scores of more than 70%, and in which the majority of the content had been

taken from internet sources without citation of any kind. First offence

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Zero on each assignment, 5 marks off the final grade in the course, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student plagiarized on essay.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** Not Specified

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the assignment, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student plagiarized on a quiz.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Zero on quiz, 5% deduction overall, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted a paper in which some passages had been taken verbatim but without quotation marks, from sources listed in the

references list, and with in-text citations. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 50% reduction in the earned grade for the assignment; no other penalty. Candidate for AI workshop option.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Students had a high similarity score on their writing assignments.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the final draft, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Students had a high similarity score on their writing assignments.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the final draft, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Plagiarism on the final assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the assignment, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student plagiarized on essay.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: Zero on essay, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student was found guilty of plagiarism.Degree: Undergraduate Year: 3Penalty/Decision: Disciplinary probation only.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Students were found guilty of plagiarism and excessive collaboration.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the assignment (worth 1.67%); -5% from final course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Students were found guilty of plagiarism and excessive collaboration.

Degree: Undergraduate Year: 2

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the assignment (worth 1.67%); -5% from final course grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Plagiarism in final work report.

Degree: Undergraduate Year: 2

Penalty/Decision: 50% mark deduction.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted their final work term report which contained external content that was not quoted, or properly cited.

Degree: Undergraduate Year: 2

Penalty/Decision: Grade deduction of 25% be applied to final work term report.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted a final technical report which contained large copy-pasted and paraphrased sections of web articles without any intext citation or quotation marks. The report also contains improper references which does not include all sources used throughout the report.

Degree: Undergraduate Year: 2

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the assignment (50% of the course grade). This penalty will therefore result in the student receiving NCR on their transcript for this course.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: There is significant uncited overlap between the students two assessments.

Degree: Undergraduate Year: 2

Penalty/Decision: 50% off earned grade on assignment, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student's submission is copied verbatim or weakly paraphrased from cited and uncited sources.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the essay (10% of the final grade), 15% deduction in the final course mark, and disciplinary probation. A subsequent

finding of misconduct will include a suspension.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student's project contained several sentences and paragraphs copied verbatim or weakly paraphrased from cited or uncited sources.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the assignment, 10% reduction in the final course grade (if this penalty would trigger a course failure, your final grade

will be 50), and disciplinary probation. A subsequent finding of misconduct will involve a suspension.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Project submission contains several sentences and paragraphs copied verbatim or weakly paraphrased from cited or uncited sources.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the assignment (10% of course grade), 10% reduction in the final course grade, and disciplinary probation. A subsequent finding of misconduct will involve a suspension.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student acknowledged having submitted an assignment in which a number of sentences had been paraphrased from an online source

without citation of any kind. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 50% grade penalty on the assignment, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student submitted an assignment in which a number of passages were taken verbatim from published course notes without quotation and usually without attribution. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 50% earned grade deduction, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Extensive copying and pasting in review assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the assignment, 5% final grade deduction (equal to a total of 15% grade deduction).

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Extensive copying and pasting in review assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the assignment, 5% final grade deduction (equal to a total of 15% grade deduction).

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Extensive copying and pasting in review assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the assignment, 5% final grade deduction (equal to a total of 15% grade deduction).

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Extensive copying and pasting in review assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the assignment, 5% final grade deduction (equal to a total of 15% grade deduction).

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Extensive copying and pasting in review assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the assignment, 5% final grade deduction (equal to a total of 15% grade deduction).

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Extensive copying and pasting in review assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the assignment, 5% final grade deduction (equal to a total of 15% grade deduction).

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Extensive copying and pasting in review assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the assignment, 5% final grade deduction (equal to a total of 15% grade deduction).

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Extensive copying and pasting in review assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the assignment, 5% final grade deduction (equal to a total of 15% grade deduction).

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Extensive copying and pasting in review assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the assignment, 5% final grade deduction (equal to a total of 15% grade deduction).

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Extensive copying and pasting in review assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the assignment, 5% final grade deduction (equal to a total of 15% grade deduction).

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Extensive copying and pasting in review assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the assignment, 5% final grade deduction (equal to a total of 15% grade deduction).

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Student plagiarized key areas of final essay.

Degree: Undergraduate Year: 4

Penalty/Decision: Zero on entire grade.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: The student submitted an assignment without using any citations or quotations.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2 **Penalty/Decision:** 20% deduction for assignment.

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Numerous answers in the student's assignment were nearly or completely identical to the instructor's solution set.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the assignment (worth 3.57% of the final course grade).

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Numerous answers in the student's assignment were nearly or completely identical to the instructor's solution set.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the assignment (worth 3.57% of the final course grade).

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Numerous answers in the student's assignment were nearly or completely identical to the instructor's solution set.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the assignment (worth 3.57% of the final course grade).

Description: Plagiarism

Details: Numerous answers in the student's assignment were nearly or completely identical to the instructor's solution set.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the assignment (worth 3.57% of the final course grade).

Description: Theft of intellectual property

Details: Postings of course materials were posted on a social learning site that was associated with student's name. Instructor did not allow this.

Student was remorseful for their actions and took full responsibility for their error in judgement.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 10% reduction in final course grade, completion of a course (to be agreed upon and obtain a grade of at least 60), and disciplinary probation. If the student drops the course, they will incur 25 hours of unpaid community service in a challenging position for an off-campus organization of their choice. To have these hours fulfill the student's penalty: UW needs to endorse the organization; the approved organization must provide a letter of community service and send this letter directly to the UW contact. It would be best to verify that the organization can provide this letter, when the student agrees to work with them. UW contact must receive the letter documenting the community service a minimum of 1 month before the date of the students intended convocation.

Description: Theft of intellectual property

Details: Student downloaded a copy of assignment code which was flagged by MOSS.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: Zero for assignment (worth 10% of course grade).

Description: Theft of intellectual property

Details: Student gave secure information to another student so they could prepare their report.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 20 hours of community service; apology letter to instructor and TA.

Description: Theft of intellectual property

Details: Students assignments are alarmingly similar.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Zero for assignment, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized aids or assistance

Details: Case was reported by the instructor. Student was found with a small crib sheet which had relevant formulas.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0% on quiz, 5% deduction overall, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized aids or assistance

Details: Student was found to have a small piece of paper containing information relevant for an exam. The final exam is worth 55% of the final

course grade.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 10% reduction in final course grade and disciplinary probation. This disciplinary penalty was significantly mitigated from the standard penalty for the following reasons: 1) there was no evidence the student benefited from having the note because it was found at the start of the exam; 2) student recognized their study habit and 3) student was remorseful. Any subsequent incident of academic misconduct will not be mitigated and will likely incur a suspension.

Description: The right of side or excitence

Details: Student was seen using their phone during a restroom break. Student claimed to be texting; not using sources to help with the exam. Student was allowed to complete the exam. The instructor noted having a phone during the exam was an act of academic misconduct. Student was remorseful for having used their phone during the exam. Second offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the exam (40% of the final course grade), 5% reduction in final course grade, disciplinary probation, and completion of a course from an agreed upon list before graduation. This course credit will not count towards degree requirements, student will have to obtain a grade of at least 60 to meet this penalty requirement. A subsequent offence will result in a suspension

Description: Unauthorized aids or assistance

Details: Student was caught having possession of a call phone during an exam.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the exam, 5% reduction in the final course grade, one term suspension, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized aids or assistance

Details: The student changed seats (from assigned seating) and was detected using the internet during the test.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the test; required to successfully complete an agreed upon course which may not be used towards their degree, must

be coded as DRNA, and obtain a minimum of 60%. The student is also placed on disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized aids or assistance

Details: The student had permission to use certain software but declined. Later as the examination progressed, the student needed it and was hoping to find the software they needed on their phone. A website popped up which could have aided in the examination. It was determined that the student opportunistically cheated.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the examination (which resulted in course failure) and is placed on disciplinary probation.

Details: The student used unpermitted aids while writing exam.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the exam, student is required to submit a reflection on their actions, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized aids or assistance

Details: Upon confirming student ID during exam, a paper containing detailed notes, relevant to the exam, was exposed. Student was allowed to finish the exam. The exam was worth 55% of the final course grade. Student acknowledged bringing the aid to the exam and was remorseful for their judgemental error.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: Student will provide 50 hours of unpaid community service in a challenging position for an off-campus organization of their choice. To have these hours fulfill the student's penalty: UW needs to endorse the organization; the approved organization must provide a letter of community service and send this letter directly to the UW contact. It would be best to verify that the organization can provide this letter, when the student agrees to work with them. UW contact must receive the letter documenting the community service a minimum of 1 month before the date of the students intended convocation. Student is also put on disciplinary probation. Penalty was mitigated because: 1) there was no evidence that the student benefited from having the notes since they were found at the start of the exam; and 2) a suspension would have incurred unintended consequences. Any subsequent incident of academic misconduct will incur a suspension, 0% on the exam, and failure in the course.

Description: Unauthorized aids or assistance

Details: Students were allowed to bring individually prepared course notes to an open book exam. Five students prepared the course notes together and in preparing the notes, wrote out full answers to the essay questions which were given to them prior to the exam. Students were to prepare the notes in point form and write the essay during the exam as noted by instructions from instructor. All five students answered a part of the exam with identical answers. Students are guilty of bringing aids to the exam that were prepared in contravention to the instructor's instructions. A part of the exam was the only portion of the exam that resulted in an unfair advantage for these five students. All five students accepted the proposed penalty.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: 0% on Part C of the exam (worth 20/70).

Details: Students were allowed to bring individually prepared course notes to an open book exam. Five students prepared the course notes together and in preparing the notes, wrote out full answers to the essay questions which were given to them prior to the exam. Students were to prepare the notes in point form and write the essay during the exam as noted by instructions from instructor. All five students answered a part of the exam with identical answers. Students are guilty of bringing aids to the exam that were prepared in contravention to the instructor's instructions. A part of the exam was the only portion of the exam that resulted in an unfair advantage for these five students. All five students accepted the proposed penalty.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: 0% on Part C of the exam (worth 20/70).

Description: Unauthorized aids or assistance

Details: Students were allowed to bring individually prepared course notes to an open book exam. Five students prepared the course notes together and in preparing the notes, wrote out full answers to the essay questions which were given to them prior to the exam. Students were to prepare the notes in point form and write the essay during the exam as noted by instructions from instructor. All five students answered a part of the exam with identical answers. Students are guilty of bringing aids to the exam that were prepared in contravention to the instructor's instructions. A part of the exam was the only portion of the exam that resulted in an unfair advantage for these five students. All five students accepted the proposed penalty.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: 0% on Part C of the exam (worth 20/70).

Description: Unauthorized aids or assistance

Details: Students were allowed to bring individually prepared course notes to an open book exam. Five students prepared the course notes together and in preparing the notes, wrote out full answers to the essay questions which were given to them prior to the exam. Students were to prepare the notes in point form and write the essay during the exam as noted by instructions from instructor. All five students answered a part of the exam with identical answers. Students are guilty of bringing aids to the exam that were prepared in contravention to the instructor's instructions. A part of the exam was the only portion of the exam that resulted in an unfair advantage for these five students. All five students accepted the proposed penalty.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: 0% on Part C of the exam (worth 20/70).

Description: Unauthorized aids or assistance

Details: Students were allowed to bring individually prepared course notes to an open book exam. Five students prepared the course notes together and in preparing the notes, wrote out full answers to the essay questions which were given to them prior to the exam. Students were to prepare the notes in point form and write the essay during the exam as noted by instructions from instructor. All five students answered a part of the exam with identical answers. Students are guilty of bringing aids to the exam that were prepared in contravention to the instructor's instructions. A part of the exam was the only portion of the exam that resulted in an unfair advantage for these five students. All five students accepted the proposed penalty.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: 0% on Part C of the exam (worth 20/70).

Description: Unauthorized aids or assistance

Details: Student cheated by using another person's project.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the project, 5% course deduction, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized aids or assistance

Details: Students excessively collaborated on assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Zero on question, 5% deduction overall, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized aids or assistance

Details: Students excessively collaborated on assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Zero on question, 5% deduction overall, and disciplinary probation.

Details: Student was found using phone during exam.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the final exam (worth 50% of final course grade), course failure (46%) or earned grade (whichever is lower), and

disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized aids or assistance

Details: Student found with writing on hand during exam.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the final exam (worth 50% of final course grade), course failure (46%) or earned grade (whichever is lower), and

disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Case was reported by the instructor with an issue of excessive collaboration on an assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the assignment and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Case was reported by the instructor with an issue of excessive collaboration on an assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the assignment and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Case was reported by the instructor. The students excessively corroborated on two assignments.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0% on two assignments and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Case was reported by the instructor. The students excessively corroborated on two assignments.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0% on two assignments and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Case was reported by the instructor. The students excessively corroborated on two assignments.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0% on two assignments and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Case was reported by the instructor. The students excessively corroborated on two assignments.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0% on two assignments and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student copied another student's assignment and submitted it as their own. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the assignment, 15% off the final grade in the course, and disciplinary probation. While the offence indisputably constitutes theft of intellectual property, the low-grade value of the assignment, as well as the student's inexperience and acceptance of responsibility for their actions, makes imposing suspension too harsh of a penalty; however, the higher-grade deduction underlines the serious, unethical nature of the student's actions.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student submitted an assignment that was virtually identical to one by another student in the same class. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the assignment and disciplinary probation. The further 5 marks off has been waived given the circumstances of the case.

3 June 2021

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Case was reported by instructor. Students excessively collaborated on assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0% on question, 5% deduction, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Case was reported by instructor. Students excessively collaborated on assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0% on question, 5% deduction, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student submitted an assignment which was substantially identical to that submitted by another student in the class. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0% on certain part of assignment, 5 marks off the final grade in the course, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student submitted an assignment which was substantially identical to that submitted by another student in the class. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0% on certain part of assignment, 5 marks off the final grade in the course, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students excessively collaborated on an assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0% on question 1 of assignment, 5% deduction, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students excessively collaborated on an assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0% on question 1 of assignment, 5% deduction from course grade, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student worked with two other students and each submitted their assignment that was virtually identical to the two others. First

offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the assignment and disciplinary probation. The further 5 marks off course grade was waived, as there is convincing

evidence that the students had not intended to cheat in working together to complete the assignment.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student worked with two other students and each submitted their assignment that was virtually identical to the two others. First

offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the assignment and disciplinary probation. The further 5 marks off course grade was waived, as there is convincing

evidence that the students had not intended to cheat in working together to complete the assignment.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student worked with two other students and each submitted their assignment that was virtually identical to the two others. First

offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the assignment and disciplinary probation. The further 5 marks off course grade was waived, as there is convincing

evidence that the students had not intended to cheat in working together to complete the assignment.

Details: Students cheated on a tutorial guiz where independent work was required.

Degree: Undergraduate Year: 3

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the quiz, 5% course deduction after all marking schemes have been applied, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students cheated on a tutorial quiz where independent work was required.

Degree: Undergraduate Year: 3

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the quiz, 5% course deduction after all marking schemes have been applied, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Case was reported by the instructor. Students used prior assignments to complete an assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate Year: 3

Penalty/Decision: 0% on assignment and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Case was reported by the instructor. Students used prior assignments to complete an assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate Year: 3

Penalty/Decision: 0% on assignment and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students first lab report has a 35% similarity to a report submitted by their lab partner, based on a Turnitin analysis. This is considered excessive collaboration. Student was remorseful and embarrassed by their misjudgement. Student has already started to prepare the second report and will be discussing lab report writing with their teaching assistant. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate Year: 2 **Penalty/Decision:** 0% on the lab report (5% of the final course grade) and disciplinary probation. A subsequent offence of this type may lead to a penalty that includes a suspension. If the student drops the course, they will incur 20 hours of unpaid community service in a challenging position for an off-campus organization of their choice. To have these hours fulfill the student's penalty: UW needs to endorse the organization; the approved organization must provide a letter of community service and send this letter directly to the UW contact. It would be best to verify that the organization can provide this letter, when the student agrees to work with them. UW contact must receive the letter documenting the community service a minimum of 1 month before the date of the students intended convocation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: The students lab partner's report has a 35% similarity to the report the student submitted, based on a Turnitin analysis. This is considered excessive collaboration. The student acknowledged the dangers of sharing their work with other students.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the first lab report (5% of final grade) and disciplinary probation. The 5% course grade reduction was not imposed because: 1) the student gave their report to the instructor assuming they were only looking at the layout and formatting of the content; and 2) the student took full responsibility of their errors. A subsequent offence of this type will lead to a penalty that will not be mitigated. If the student drops the course, they will incur 15 hours of unpaid community service in a challenging position for an off-campus organization of their choice. To have these hours fulfill the student's penalty: UW needs to endorse the organization; the approved organization must provide a letter of community service and send this letter directly to the UW contact. It would be best to verify that the organization can provide this letter, when the student agrees to work with them. UW contact must receive the letter documenting the community service a minimum of 1 month before the date of the students intended convocation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Case of excessive collaboration was reported by the instructor.

Degree: Undergraduate Year: 1

Penalty/Decision: 0% on quiz and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Case of excessive collaboration was reported by the instructor.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0% on quiz and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Case of excessive collaboration was reported by the instructor.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0% on assignment and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Case of excessive collaboration was reported by the instructor.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0% on assignment and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student A submitted a lab report that is 68% identical to Student B's. Two sections of the report are identical and have the same title. Student A claimed that they allowed Student B to see only a hard copy of their report, but Student A was not supervising Student B's actions. Student A was remorseful for their actions and took full responsibility for sharing their work.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the report (5% of final course grade) and disciplinary probation. Penalty for excessive collaboration includes an additional 5% off the final grade. This has not been imposed for the following reasons: 1) student was in their first year of undergraduate studies, and 2) student was remorseful for their error in judgement. A subsequent finding of misconduct relating to excessive collaboration will not be mitigated. If the student drops the course, they will incur 15 hours of unpaid community service in a challenging position for an off-campus organization of their choice. To have these hours fulfill the student's penalty: UW needs to endorse the organization; the approved organization must provide a letter of community service and send this letter directly to the UW contact. It would be best to verify that the organization can provide this letter, when the student agrees to work with them. UW contact must receive the letter documenting the community service a minimum of 1 month before the date of the students intended convocation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student B submitted a lab report that is 68% identical to Student A's. Two sections of the report are identical and have the same title. Student A claimed that they allowed Student B to see only a hard copy of their report, but Student A was not supervising Student B's actions.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the report (5% of final course grade) and disciplinary probation. Penalty for excessive collaboration includes an additional 5% off the final grade. This has not been imposed for the following reasons: 1) student was in their first year of undergraduate studies, and 2) student was remorseful for their error in judgement. A subsequent finding of misconduct relating to excessive collaboration will not be mitigated. If the student drops the course, they will incur 15 hours of unpaid community service in a challenging position for an off-campus organization of their choice. To have these hours fulfill the student's penalty: UW needs to endorse the organization; the approved organization must provide a letter of community service and send this letter directly to the UW contact. It would be best to verify that the organization can provide this letter, when the student agrees to work with them. UW contact must receive the letter documenting the community service a minimum of 1 month before the date of the students intended convocation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student's first lab report contains numerous passages that match word-for-word with text from a lab report submitted by another student, based on a Turnitin similarity analysis. These similarities appear to be a result of excessive collaboration. Student was very remorseful for their error in judgment and took full responsibility for their actions.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the report (5% of final course grade) and disciplinary probation for duration of your UW undergraduate career. Penalty for excessive collaboration includes an additional 5% off the final grade. This has not been imposed for the following reasons: 1) the student is in their first year of undergraduate studies, and 2) it was evident that they did not intend to cheat or deceive instructor. A subsequent finding of misconduct will not be mitigated. If the student drops the course, they will incur 15 hours of unpaid community service in a challenging position for an off-campus organization of their choice. To have these hours fulfill the student's penalty: UW needs to endorse the organization; the approved organization must provide a letter of community service and send this letter directly to the UW contact. It would be best to verify that the organization can provide this letter, when the student agrees to work with them. UW contact must receive the letter documenting the community service a minimum of 1 month before the date of the students intended convocation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student's first lab report contains numerous passages that match word-for-word with text from a lab report submitted by another student, based on a Turnitin similarity analysis. These similarities appear to be a result of excessive collaboration. Student was very remorseful for their error in judgment and took full responsibility for their actions.

Degree: Undergraduate Year: 1

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the report (5% of final course grade) and disciplinary probation for duration of your UW undergraduate career. Penalty for excessive collaboration includes an additional 5% off the final grade. This has not been imposed for the following reasons: 1) the student is in their first year of undergraduate studies, and 2) it was evident that they did not intend to cheat or deceive instructor. A subsequent finding of misconduct will not be mitigated. If the student drops the course, they will incur 15 hours of unpaid community service in a challenging position for an off-campus organization of their choice. To have these hours fulfill the student's penalty: UW needs to endorse the organization; the approved organization must provide a letter of community service and send this letter directly to the UW contact. It would be best to verify that the organization can provide this letter, when the student agrees to work with them. UW contact must receive the letter documenting the community service a minimum of 1 month before the date of the students intended convocation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Case was reported by instructor. Students excessively collaborated on assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0% on assignment, 5% deduction overall, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Case was reported by instructor. Students excessively collaborated on assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0% on assignment, 5% deduction overall, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students excessively collaborated on assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the assignment and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students excessively collaborated on assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** Not Specified

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the assignment and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Cases were reported by instructor regarding excessive collaboration on assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0% on assignment question and additional 5% off the course grade.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Cases were reported by instructor regarding excessive collaboration on assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0% on assignment question and additional 5% off the course grade.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Cases were reported by instructor regarding excessive collaboration on assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0% on assignment question and additional 5% off the course grade.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Cases were reported by instructor regarding excessive collaboration on assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0% on assignment question and additional 5% off the course grade.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students collaborated excessively on two assignments.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the assignment, student has been assigned a case study, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students collaborated excessively on two assignments.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the assignment, 5% course deduction, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: One student gave work to the other student who copied it and submitted it as their own.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the project and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: One student gave work to the other student who copied it and submitted it as their own.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the project and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student submitted a paper that was very similar to one submitted by another student in the same course. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the assignment, successful completion in a particular course by an agreed upon date, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student's lab report is similar to another student's report. Both students talked about their lab reports, discussing each step together.

Students agreed that they collaborated on parts of the report.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0% on parts of the report that were copied or shared (3.7% of final grade) and disciplinary probation. The Standard penalty for plagiarism is zero on the whole report and 5% deduction from the final course grade. This was not imposed because: 1) student was in their first year of university studies; 2) the errors were limited to these sections of the report and 3) student was very remorseful for their errors.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student's lab report is similar to another student's report. Both students talked about their lab reports, discussing each step together. Students agreed that they collaborated on parts of the report.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0% on components of the report that were copied or shared (3.7% of final grade) and disciplinary probation. The standard penalty for plagiarism is zero on the whole report plus a 5% deduction from the final course grade. This was not imposed because: 1) student is in their first year of university studies; 2) errors were limited to these sections of the report and 3) student was very remorseful for their errors.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Lab instructor noticed portions of the lab report submitted by a student was similar to the report submitted by another student. Student noted because results were the same, the content of the reports ended up similar. Student admitted that they saw each other's answers and agreed that they collaborated.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0% on all components of the report that were copied or shared (3.5% of final grade), an additional 6% off the final course grade, and disciplinary probation. Penalty for excessive collaboration is zero on the whole report plus a 5% deduction from the final course grade. This was not imposed because: 1) student is in their first year of university studies; 2) errors were limited to these sections of the report and 3) student was very remorseful for their errors.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Lab instructor noticed portions of the lab report submitted by a student was similar to the report submitted by another student. Student noted because results were the same, the content of the reports ended up similar. Student admitted that they saw each other's answers and agreed that they collaborated.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0% on all components of the report that were copied or shared (3.5% of final grade), an additional 6% off the final course grade, and disciplinary probation. Penalty for excessive collaboration is zero on the whole report plus a 5% deduction from the final course

grade. This was not imposed because: 1) student is in their first year of university studies; 2) errors were limited to these sections of the report and 3) student was very remorseful for their errors.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Portions of student A's lab report are similar to student B's. Student A sent a copy of their answers to student B, explaining that they should use these as a guide, but Student B copied the answers word-for-word. Student A took full responsibility for judgemental errors.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0% on all components of the report that were copied or shared (3.5% of final grade) and disciplinary probation. The standard penalty for excessive collaboration is zero on the whole report and 5% deduction from the final course grade. This was not imposed because: 1) student is in their first year of university studies; 2) errors were limited to these sections of the report and 3) student was very remorseful for their errors.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Portions of student A's lab report are similar to student B's. Student A sent a copy of their answers to student B, explaining that they should use these as a guide, but student B copied the answers word-for-word.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0% on all components of the report that were copied or shared (3.5% of final grade) and disciplinary probation. The standard penalty for excessive collaboration is zero on the whole report and 5% deduction from the final course grade. This was not imposed because: 1) student is in their first year of university studies; 2) errors were limited to these sections of the report and 3) student was very remorseful for their errors.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Portions of the lab report student A submitted are similar to the report student B submitted. Both students claimed that several of the apparent similarities noted by the instructor were due to chance and not a result of over collaboration. Students agreed that they collaborated on a couple of questions.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0% on all components of the report that were copied or shared (1.6% of final grade) and disciplinary. The standard penalty for plagiarism is zero on the whole report and 5% deduction from the final course grade. This was imposed because: 1) student is in their first year of university studies and 2) errors were limited to these sections of the report.

Details: Portions of the lab report student A submitted are similar to the report student B submitted. Both students claimed that several of the apparent similarities noted by the instructor were due to chance and not a result of over collaboration. Students agreed that they collaborated on a couple of questions.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0% on all components of the report that were copied or shared (1.6% of final grade) and disciplinary. The standard penalty for plagiarism is zero on the whole report and 5% deduction from the final course grade. This was imposed because: 1) student is in their first year of university studies and 2) errors were limited to these sections of the report.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Portions of the lab report student A submitted are similar to the report student B submitted. Student A and B claimed that several of the apparent similarities noted by the instructor were due to chance and not a result of over collaboration. Both reluctantly agreed that although neither had an intention of cheating, both had communicated too much. Students took responsibility for their judgemental errors.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0% on all components of the report that were copied or shared (2.0% of the final course grade) and disciplinary probation. The standard penalty for excessive collaboration is zero on the whole report and 5% deduction from the final course grade. This was not imposed because: 1) student is in their first year of university studies; and 2) errors were limited to these sections of the report.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Portions of the lab report student A submitted are similar to the report student B submitted. Student A and B claimed that several of the apparent similarities noted by the instructor were due to chance and not a result of over collaboration. Both reluctantly agreed that although neither had an intention of cheating, both had communicated too much. Students took responsibility for their judgemental errors.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0% on all components of the report that were copied or shared (2.0% of the final course grade) and disciplinary probation. The standard penalty for excessive collaboration is zero on the whole report and 5% deduction from the final course grade. This was not imposed because: 1) student is in their first year of university studies; and 2) errors were limited to these sections of the report.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Portions of the lab report student A submitted are similar to the report student B submitted. Student B contacted Student A about formatting the report. Student A sent Student B their report, only for guidance because Student B said they had completed the rest of the report. Students took responsibility for their judgemental errors.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0% on all components of the report that were copied or shared, 2.8% off the final course grade, and disciplinary. The standard penalty for excessive collaboration is zero on the whole report plus a 5% deduction from the final course grade. This was not imposed because:

1) student is in their first year of university studies; 2) errors were limited to these sections of the report and 3) student was remorseful for the errors. A subsequent similar offence will not be mitigated.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Portions of the lab report student A submitted are similar to the report student B submitted. Student B contacted Student A about formatting the report. Student A sent Student B their report, only for guidance because Student B said they had completed the rest of the report. Students took responsibility for their judgemental errors.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0% on all components of the report that were copied or shared, 2.8% off the final course grade, and disciplinary. The standard penalty for excessive collaboration is zero on the whole report plus a 5% deduction from the final course grade. This was not imposed because:

1) student is in their first year of university studies; 2) errors were limited to these sections of the report and 3) student was remorseful for the errors. A subsequent similar offence will not be mitigated.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Portions of the lab report submitted was similar to the report submitted by another student. Students got together to review errors on their reports. The sources of errors mentioned in both reports were the same because both students discussed the possibilities but did not draft the text together. Student took responsibility their judgemental errors.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0% on all components of the report that were copied or shared, 2% off final course grade, and disciplinary probation. The standard penalty for excessive collaboration is zero on the whole report and 5% deduction from the final course grade. This was not imposed because: 1) student is in their first year of university studies; 2) errors were limited to these sections of the report and 3) student was remorseful for errors. A subsequent similar offence will not be mitigated.

Details: Portions of the lab report submitted was similar to the report submitted by another student. Students got together to review errors on their reports. The sources of errors mentioned in both reports were the same because both students discussed the possibilities but did not draft the text together. Student took responsibility their judgemental errors.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0% on all components of the report that were copied or shared, 2% off final course grade, and disciplinary probation. The standard penalty for excessive collaboration is zero on the whole report and 5% deduction from the final course grade. This was not imposed because: 1) student is in their first year of university studies; 2) errors were limited to these sections of the report and 3) student was remorseful for errors. A subsequent similar offence will not be mitigated.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Portions of the report Student A submitted are similar to the report submitted by Student B. The instructor explicitly commented on the need for independent work for this specific report. All student's subsequent reports have been free of plagiarism and received good grades. The student agreed that the reports contained evidence that both students collaborated on parts of the report. Student took responsibility for their judgemental errors.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0% on all components of the report that were copied or shared, 2.3% off the final course grade, and disciplinary probation. The standard penalty for excessive collaboration is zero on the whole report and 5% deduction from the final course grade. This was not imposed because: 1) student was in their first year of university studies; 2) errors were limited to these sections of the report and 3) student was remorseful for these errors. A subsequent similar offence will not be mitigated.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Portions of the report Student A submitted are similar to the report submitted by Student B. The instructor explicitly commented on the need for independent work for this specific report. All student's subsequent reports have been free of plagiarism and received good grades. The student agreed that the reports contained evidence that both students collaborated on parts of the report. Student took responsibility for their judgemental errors.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0% on all components of the report that were copied or shared, 2.3% off the final course grade, and disciplinary probation. The standard penalty for excessive collaboration is zero on the whole report and 5% deduction from the final course grade. This was not imposed because: 1) student was in their first year of university studies; 2) errors were limited to these sections of the report and 3) student was remorseful for these errors. A subsequent similar offence will not be mitigated.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student's lab report was similar to another student's lab report. Students admitted that they worked together on this report, sharing ideas, and how to approach the questions and solutions. Students said that since they learned of the concerns that arose from working together, they now work apart.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0% on all components of the report that were copied or shared, 3.5% off final course grade, and disciplinary probation. The standard penalty for excessive collaboration is zero on the whole report and 5% deduction from the final course grade. This was not imposed because: 1) student is in their first-year 2) errors were limited to these sections of the report and 3) student was very remorseful for their errors. A subsequent similar offence will not be mitigated.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: This case was reported by instructor regarding cheating. It became apparent that the code submitted by both students shared a number of very similar features. MOSS results show that there are 148 lines matched between their codes with 41% similarity.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the assignment, 5% deduction from the course grade, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: This case was reported by instructor regarding cheating. It became apparent that the code submitted by both students shared a number of very similar features. MOSS results show that there are 148 lines matched between their codes with 41% similarity.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the assignment, 5% deduction from the course grade, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: This case was reported by the instructor about cheating on an assignment. It became apparent that the code submitted by two students shared a number of very similar features. MOSS results show that in the first instance, there are 36 lines matched between their code with 28% similarity and the second instance, there are 123 lines matched with 90% similarity.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0% on assignments, 5% deduction from the course grade, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: This case was reported by the instructor about cheating on an assignment. It became apparent that the code submitted by two students shared a number of very similar features. MOSS results show that in the first instance, there are 36 lines matched between their code with 28% similarity and the second instance, there are 123 lines matched with 90% similarity.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0% on assignments, 5% deduction from the course grade, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Sections of text from student A's lab report also occur in a lab report submitted by student B. Student A sent information to student B, to use the concepts, but didn't think student B would copy it. The Turnitin similarity analysis revealed several passages in one section are present in both reports and is evident that it was shared. Students was forthcoming in explaining the basis for the similarities in these reports and was remorseful.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 5% reduction in final course grade and disciplinary probation. Penalty was notably mitigated because: 1) the extent of shared material is limited to portions of the report; 2) student was in their first year of undergraduate studies and 3) student was transparent in discussions of the case and remorseful for their error. A subsequent plagiarism offence will not be mitigated.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Sections of text from student A's lab report also occur in a lab report submitted by student B. Student A sent information to student B, to use the concepts, but didn't think student B would copy it. The Turnitin similarity analysis revealed several passages in one section are present in both reports and is evident that it was shared.

Degree: Undergraduate Year: 1

Penalty/Decision: 10% reduction in final course grade and disciplinary probation. Penalty was mitigated because: 1) the extent of shared material is limited to portions of the report and 2) student is in their first year of undergraduate studies. This penalty was aggravated to 10% because student lied about their actions during the investigation. A subsequent offence involving excessive collaboration or misrepresentation will likely trigger a suspension.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Two students' work was flagged due to the similarity of content. Both students are guilty of excessive collaboration.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0% for three assignments (6% each for a combined weight of 18%) and disciplinary probation. The courses requires a 75% to pass. It is acknowledged that the penalty is more sever in these cases. If the instructor feels the students should pass, based on other work submitted, give a CR for the course.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Two students' work was flagged due to the similarity of content. Both students are guilty of excessive collaboration.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0% for three assignments (6% each for a combined weight of 18%) and disciplinary probation. The courses requires a 75% to pass. It is acknowledged that the penalty is more sever in these cases. If the instructor feels the students should pass, based on other work submitted, give a CR for the course.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student copied another student's assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the assignment and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students excessively collaborated on an assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0% on assignment and disciplinary probation.

 $\textbf{Description:} \ \textbf{Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration}$

Details: Students excessively collaborated on assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0% on assignment and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students excessively collaborated on assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0% on assignment and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students excessively collaborated on assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** Not Specified

Penalty/Decision: 0% on assignment and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students excessively collaborated on assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the assignment and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students excessively collaborated on assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the assignment and disciplinary probation.

Details: Student submitted one assignment that had a high similarity to another student's assignment and then submitted another assignment that contained uncited verbatim text. Student is guilty of both excessive collaboration and plagiarism.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: Excessive collaboration penalty: 50% of earned grade for lab (7.5% weight). Plagiarism penalty: 25% of earned grade (7.5%

weight) and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student submitted one assignment that had a high similarity to another student's assignment and then submitted another assignment that contained uncited verbatim text. Student is guilty of both excessive collaboration and plagiarism.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Excessive collaboration penalty: 50% of earned grade for lab (7.5% weight). Plagiarism penalty: 25% of earned grade (7.5% weight) and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student's second lab report is missing in-text citations for statements that were not their own work and matches that in a report submitted by another student. Student included descriptive text in their report and the other student did not, which suggested that no direct coping occurred. When the student looked over their submission, they claimed the wrong version of the report was handed in.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 10% reduction in the course grade and disciplinary probation. The standard penalty for plagiarism is zero on the whole report and 5% deduction from the final course grade. Penalty was notably mitigated because 1) student is a first-year student and 2) the report is a substantial part of the final course grade. A subsequent plagiarism offence will not be mitigated and may involve a suspension.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student's second lab report is missing in-text citations for statements that are not their own work and matches that in a report submitted by another student. Student included descriptive text in their report and the other student did not which suggested that no direct

coping occurred. Student finished the report when they returned home and, in their haste, left out in-text citations. Student was very remorseful for your error.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 5% reduction in final grade and disciplinary probation. The standard penalty for plagiarism is zero on the whole report and 5% deduction from the final course grade; this would have incurred a 25% penalty in the course. Penalty was notably mitigated because 1) student is a first-year student; 2) the report is a substantial part of the final course grade; 3) the in-text citations were only missing and 4) the approach both students took in writing the report set them up to overly collaborate. A subsequent plagiarism offence will not be mitigated.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student's second lab report is an 80% match to a report submitted earlier by a student with the same last name. Student decided not to continue their studies at UWaterloo for personal reasons.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Student received the conventional penalty for excessive collaboration based on Policy guidelines: 0% on the lab report (worth 20%), 5% reduction in the final course grade, and disciplinary probation. This penalty will not follow student to a subsequent institution. Student was forewarned that this level of collaboration on assignments will be problematic at any university in Canada and Turnitin is a world-wide database.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students who sat together have answers that are identical in a homework assignment. While students are encouraged to study together, it has been emphasized that assignments must reflect their own work.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Student dropped the course and incurred a penalty of 20 hours of community service before an agreed deadline. Student was also put on disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students are guilty of excessive collaboration on an assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate Year: 1

Penalty/Decision: 0% on all assignments worth 2.5% each (10% combined) and 5% from final grade.

Details: Students are guilty of excessive collaboration on an assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0% on all assignments worth 2.5% each (10% combined) and 5% off final grade.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Turnitin identified similar text on two student's assignments. Both students accepted the proposed penalties and neither wished to

proceed to the formal resolutions process.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the assignment (worth 10%) and 5% off the final course grade.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Turnitin identified similar text on two student's assignments. Both students accepted the proposed penalties and neither wished to

proceed to the formal resolutions process.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the assignment (worth 10%) and 5% off the final course grade.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students collaborated excessively when independent work was required.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the assignment, 3% course deduction, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students collaborated excessively when independent work was required.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

3 June 2021

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the assignment, 3% course deduction, and disciplinary probation.

(for the period September 2018 – August 2019)

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students collaborated excessively when independent work was required.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the assignment, 3% course deduction, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students collaborated on an assignment where independent work was required.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the assignment, 3% course deduction, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students collaborated on an assignment where independent work was required.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the assignment, 3% course deduction, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students collaborated on an assignment where independent work was required.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the assignment, 3% course deduction, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students collaborated on an assignment where independent work was required.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Details: Students collaborated on an assignment where independent work was required.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the assignment, 3% course deduction, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students collaborated on an assignment where independent work was required.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the assignment, 3% course deduction, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students collaborated on an assignment where independent work was required.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the assignment, 3% course deduction, and disciplinary probation.

Description Househories described as a constitution of a library time.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students collaborated on an assignment where independent work was required.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the assignment, 3% course deduction, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students collaborated on an assignment where independent work was required.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students collaborated on an assignment where independent work was required.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the assignment, 3% course deduction, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students collaborated on an assignment where independent work was required.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the assignment, 3% course deduction, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students collaborated on an assignment where independent work was required.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the assignment, 3% course deduction, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students collaborated on an assignment where independent work was required.

Degree: Undergraduate Year: 1

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the assignment, 3% course deduction, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students collaborated on an assignment where independent work was required.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Both students collaborated on an assignment where independent work was required.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the assignment, 3% course deduction, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Both students collaborated on an assignment where independent work was required.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the assignment, 3% course deduction, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students collaborated excessively on an assignment that required independent work.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the assignment, 3% course deduction, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students collaborated excessively on an assignment that required independent work.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the assignment, 3% course deduction, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: The students collaborated on a project that required independent work.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0% on project, 3% deduction in course grade, and disciplinary probation.

Details: Students collaborated on a project that required independent work.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0% on project, 3% deduction in course grade, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students collaborated on a project that required independent work.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0% on project, 3% deduction in course grade, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students collaborated on a project that required independent work.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0% on project, 3% deduction in course grade, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students collaborated excessively on an assignment that required independent work.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the assignment, 3% course deduction, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students collaborated excessively on an assignment that required independent work.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Details: Students collaborated excessively on an assignment that required independent work.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the assignment, 3% course deduction, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students collaborated on an assignment that required independent work.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the assignment, 3% course deduction, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students collaborated on an assignment that required independent work.

Degree: Undergraduate Year: 1

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the assignment, 3% course deduction, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students collaborated on an assignment that required independent work.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the assignment, 3% course deduction, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students collaborated on an assignment that required independent work.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Details: Students collaborated on an assignment that required independent work.

Degree: Undergraduate Year: 1

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the assignment, 3% course deduction, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students collaborated on an assignment that required independent work.

Degree: Undergraduate Year: 1

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the assignment, 3% course deduction, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students collaborated on an assignment that required independent work.

Degree: Undergraduate Year: 1

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the assignment, 3% course deduction, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students collaborated on an assignment when independent work was required.

Degree: Undergraduate Year: 1

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the assignment, 3% course deduction, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students collaborated on an assignment when independent work was required.

Degree: Undergraduate Year: 1

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students collaborated on an assignment when independent work was required.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the assignment, 3% course deduction, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students collaborated on an assignment when independent work was required.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the assignment, 3% course deduction, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students collaborated on an assignment when independent work was required.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the assignment, 3% course deduction, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students collaborated on an assignment when independent work was required.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the assignment, 3% course deduction, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students collaborated on an assignment when independent work was required.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students collaborated on an assignment when independent work was required.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the assignment, 3% course deduction, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students collaborated by sharing code on an assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the assignment, five-mark course deduction, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students collaborated by sharing code on an assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the assignment, five-mark course deduction, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students collaborated by sharing code on an assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the assignment, five-mark course deduction, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students collaborated by sharing code on an assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Details: Students collaborated by sharing code on an assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the assignment, five-mark course deduction, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students collaborated by sharing code on an assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the assignment, five-mark course deduction, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students collaborated by sharing code on an assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the assignment, five-mark course deduction, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students collaborated on project(s) by sharing code.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the project, 5 marks off of the final course grade, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students collaborated on project(s) by sharing code.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the project, 5 marks off of the final course grade, and disciplinary probation.

Details: Students collaborated on various projects when independent work was required.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the first project and -5% from the course and will be placed on disciplinary probation. Second and third projects will be

marked based on no penalty.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students collaborated on various projects when independent work was required.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the first project, 5% off the course grade, and disciplinary probation. Second and third projects will be marked based

on no penalty.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students collaborated on projects where independent work was required.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the first project, 5% off the course grade, and disciplinary probation. Second project will be marked based on no

penalty.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: The students collaborated on projects where independent work was required.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the second project and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students collaborated on a variety of projects.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the projects, 5% off the course grade, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students collaborated on a variety of projects.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the projects, 5% off the course grade, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students collaborated on a variety of projects.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the projects, 5% off the course grade, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student collaborated with another student on a project where independent work was required.

Degree: Undergraduate Year: 1

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the project and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student collaborated with another student on a project where independent work was required.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the project, 5% course deduction, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Code was shared when independent work was required.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Zero on assignment and disciplinary probation.

Details: Students collaborated on assignment(s) by sharing code which was not permitted.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the assignment, 5-mark course deduction, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students collaborated on assignment(s) by sharing code which was not permitted.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the assignment, 5-mark course deduction, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students collaborated excessively on two assignments where independent work was required.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the first assignment and 5% course deduction; no mark penalty on the second assignment.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students collaborated excessively on two assignments where independent work was required.

Degree: Undergraduate Year: 1

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the first assignment and 5% course deduction; no mark penalty on the second assignment.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students collaborated by sharing code on an assignment. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Details: Students collaborated by sharing code on an assignment. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the assignment, five-mark course deduction, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students collaborated by sharing code. **Degree:** Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the assignment, five-mark course deduction, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students collaborated by sharing code. **Degree:** Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the assignment, five-mark course deduction, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student uploaded an assignment to an open-source sharing site; four students copied it and submitted it as their own.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the assignment, 5% course deduction, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student uploaded an assignment to an open-source sharing site; four students copied it and submitted it as their own.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student uploaded an assignment to an open-source sharing site; four students copied it and submitted it as their own.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the assignment, 5% course deduction, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student uploaded an assignment to an open-source sharing site; four students copied it and submitted it as their own.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the assignment, 5% course deduction, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students excessively collaborated by sharing code.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the course element, 5% course deduction, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students excessively collaborated by sharing code.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the course element, 5% course deduction, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students collaborated excessively by sharing code.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration **Details:** Students collaborated excessively by sharing code.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the course element, 5% course deduction, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students collaborated excessively by sharing code. **Degree:** Undergraduate **Year:** Not Specified

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the course element, 5% course deduction, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students collaborated more than was acceptable.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the assignment, five-mark course deduction, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students collaborated more than was acceptable.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the assignment, five-mark course deduction, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students collaborated excessively by sharing code.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Details: Students collaborated excessively by sharing code.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the assignment, five-mark course deduction, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: One student lent their submission to the other student after they had submitted it so that they could review it. The other student accidentally submitted the friend's submission and then re-submitted their own. Both students immediately approached the instructor after this error occurred.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the project and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Instructor reviewed assignments with the students and explained unauthorized/excessive collaboration.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the assignment (2.5%) and 5% from final grade.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Instructor reviewed assignments with the students and explained unauthorized/excessive collaboration.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the assignment (2.5%) and 5% from final grade.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student's who sat together have two answers that are identical in an assignment. After meeting with the students, it was evident that

unauthorized collaboration occurred.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0% on assignment, 5 marks off total grade, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students who sat together have two answers that are identical on an assignment. After meeting with the students, it was evident that

unauthorized collaboration occurred.

Degree: Undergraduate Year: 2

Penalty/Decision: 0% on assignment, 5 marks off total grade, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student's lab report was run through Turnitin containing a high similarity index from other sources that were not properly cited. The

high similarities were matched with another student's report that the student admitted to using.

Degree: Undergraduate Year: 1

Penalty/Decision: 0% on lab report; disciplinary probation for the remainder of their academic career.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student submitted a report, some sections were identical to another student's submissions of the same report.

Degree: Undergraduate Year: 2

Penalty/Decision: 0% on report; student is not placed on disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student submitted a report, some sections were identical to another student's submissions of the same report.

Degree: Undergraduate Year: 2

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the report, 5 marks deducted off the final grade, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student's lab report contained tables that were 100% highlighted in Turnitin and wasn't matching their own work.

Degree: Undergraduate Year: 1 **Penalty/Decision:** 0% on the results section of the lab report and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student's guiz response appears to be identical to another students.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0% on quiz, 5 marks deducted from final grade, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student's guiz response appears to be identical to another students.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0% on quiz, 5 marks deducted from final grade, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Some parts of student's lab report were copied verbatim from another student's submission.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the sections that were copied and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student's homework assignment was identical to another student's answers. Students admitted to collaborating outside the

expectations for the assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 0% on homework assignment, 5 marks deducted from their final grade, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student's homework assignment was identical to another student's answers. Students admitted to collaborating outside the

expectations for the assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0% on homework assignment, 5 marks deducted from their final grade, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student's homework assignment was identical to another student's answers. Students admitted to collaborating outside the

expectations for the assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0% on homework assignment, 5 marks deducted from their final grade, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student's homework assignment was identical to another student's answers. Students admitted to collaborating outside the

expectations for the assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0% on homework assignment, 5 marks deducted from their final grade, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student's homework assignment was identical to another student's answers. Students admitted to collaborating outside the

expectations for the assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0% on homework assignment, 5 marks deducted from their final grade, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student was found guilty of excessive collaboration.

Degree: Undergraduate Year: 2

Penalty/Decision: Zero on quizzes (2% of final grade) and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students collaborated more than what was permitted on 4 course guizzes.

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the 4 quizzes (4% of the final course grade) and disciplinary probation. If the student drops the course, they will incur 15 hours of unpaid community service in a challenging position for an off-campus organization of their choice. To have these hours fulfill the student's penalty: UW needs to endorse the organization; the approved organization must provide a letter of community service and send this letter directly to the UW contact. It would be best to verify that the organization can provide this letter, when the student agrees to work with them. UW contact must receive the letter documenting the community service a minimum of 1 month before the date of the students intended convocation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students collaborated more than what was permitted on 4 course guizzes.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the 4 quizzes (4% of the final course grade) and disciplinary probation. If the student drops the course, they will incur 15 hours of unpaid community service in a challenging position for an off-campus organization of their choice. To have these hours fulfill the student's penalty: UW needs to endorse the organization; the approved organization must provide a letter of community service and send this letter directly to the UW contact. It would be best to verify that the organization can provide this letter, when the student agrees to work with them. UW contact must receive the letter documenting the community service a minimum of 1 month before the date of the students intended convocation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students were found guilty of excessive collaboration.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the assignment (worth 2.5% of final grade), 5% off final course grade, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students were found guilty of excessive collaboration.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the assignment (worth 2.5% of final grade), 5% off final course grade, and disciplinary probation.

Details: Both students were found guilty of excessive collaboration.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0% on assignment (worth 2.5%) and 5% deduction from final grade.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Both students were found guilty of excessive collaboration.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0% on assignment (worth 2.5%) and 5% deduction from final grade.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students excessively collaborated on a test.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Zero on questions, 5% deduction overall, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

 $\textbf{Description:} \ \textbf{Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration}$

Details: Students excessively collaborated on a test. **Degree:** Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Zero on questions, 5% deduction overall, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration **Details:** Students excessively collaborated on assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Zero on assignment, 5% deduction overall, and disciplinary probation.

Details: Students excessively collaborated on assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Zero on assignment, 5% deduction overall, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students collaborated excessively on an assignment and failed to acknowledge this collaboration.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the assignment, 5-mark course deduction, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students collaborated excessively on an assignment and failed to acknowledge this collaboration.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the assignment, 5-mark course deduction, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Code submitted by the students shared a number of very similar features.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Zero on assignment and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Code submitted by the students shared a number of very similar features.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Zero on assignment and disciplinary probation.

Details: Student's lab report is too similar to that of another student.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Zero on one section of lab report (1% of the final grade), 5-mark course deduction, and disciplinary probation. If the student drops the course, they will incur 15 hours of unpaid community service in a challenging position for an off-campus organization of their choice. To have these hours fulfill the student's penalty: UW needs to endorse the organization; the approved organization must provide a letter of your community service and send this letter directly to the UW contact. It would be best to verify that the organization can provide this letter, when the student agrees to work with them. UW contact must receive the letter documenting the community service a minimum of 1 month before the date of the students intended convocation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student's lab report is too similar to that of another student. Student was remorseful.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Zero on one section of lab report (1% of the final grade), 5-mark course deduction, and disciplinary probation. If the student drops the course, they will incur 15 hours of unpaid community service in a challenging position for an off-campus organization of their choice. To have these hours fulfill the student's penalty: UW needs to endorse the organization; the approved organization must provide a letter of your community service and send this letter directly to the UW contact. It would be best to verify that the organization can provide this letter, when the student agrees to work with them. UW contact must receive the letter documenting the community service a minimum of 1 month before the date of the students intended convocation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Excessive collaboration on assignment. **Degree:** Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the assignment, 5% deduction overall, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Excessive collaboration on assignment.

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the assignment, 5% deduction overall, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Excessive collaboration on assignment. **Degree:** Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the assignment, 5% deduction overall, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Excessive collaboration on assignment. **Degree:** Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the assignment, 5% deduction overall, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Excessive collaboration on assignment. **Degree:** Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the assignment, 5% deduction overall, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Excessive collaboration on assignment. **Degree:** Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the assignment, 5% deduction overall, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Identical calculations appear in the second lab reports of two students.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the copied content of the first lab report (2% of the final course grade) and disciplinary probation. If the student drops the course, they will incur 15 hours of unpaid community service in a challenging position for an off-campus organization of their choice. To have these hours fulfill the student's penalty: UW needs to endorse the organization; the approved organization must provide a letter of community service and send this letter directly to the UW contact. It would be best to verify that the organization can provide this letter, when the student agrees to work with them. UW contact must receive the letter documenting the community service a minimum of 1 month before the date of the students intended convocation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Identical calculations appear in the second lab reports of two students.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the copied content of the first lab report (2% of the final course grade) and disciplinary probation. If the student drops the course, they will incur 15 hours of unpaid community service in a challenging position for an off-campus organization of their choice. To have these hours fulfill the student's penalty: UW needs to endorse the organization; the approved organization must provide a letter of community service and send this letter directly to the UW contact. It would be best to verify that the organization can provide this letter, when the student agrees to work with them. UW contact must receive the letter documenting the community service a minimum of 1 month before the date of the students intended convocation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Identical calculations appear in the second lab reports of two students.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Zero on three parts of the report (12/50 marks; 2% of the final grade) and disciplinary probation. If the student drops the course, they will incur 15 hours of unpaid community service in a challenging position for an off-campus organization of their choice. To have these hours fulfill the student's penalty: UW needs to endorse the organization; the approved organization must provide a letter of community service and send this letter directly to the UW contact. It would be best to verify that the organization can provide this letter, when the student agrees to work with them. UW contact must receive the letter documenting the community service a minimum of 1 month before the date of the students intended convocation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Identical calculations appear in the second lab reports of two students.

Penalty/Decision: Zero on three parts of the report (12/50 marks; 2% of the final grade) and disciplinary probation. If the student drops the course, they will incur 15 hours of unpaid community service in a challenging position for an off-campus organization of their choice. To have these hours fulfill the student's penalty: UW needs to endorse the organization; the approved organization must provide a letter of community service and send this letter directly to the UW contact. It would be best to verify that the organization can provide this letter, when the student agrees to work with them. UW contact must receive the letter documenting the community service a minimum of 1 month before the date of the students intended convocation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students excessively collaborated on an assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: Zero on assignment, 5% deduction overall, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students excessively collaborated on an assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: Zero on assignment, 5% deduction overall, disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Excessive collaboration on assignment. **Degree:** Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the assignment and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Excessive collaboration on assignment. **Degree:** Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the assignment and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Identical calculations appear in the second lab reports of two students.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Zero on three parts of the report (12/50 marks; 2% of the final grade) and disciplinary probation. If the student drops the course, they will incur 15 hours of unpaid community service in a challenging position for an off-campus organization of their choice. To have these hours fulfill the student's penalty: UW needs to endorse the organization; the approved organization must provide a letter of community service and send this letter directly to the UW contact. It would be best to verify that the organization can provide this letter, when the student agrees to work with them. UW contact must receive the letter documenting the community service a minimum of 1 month before the date of the students intended convocation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Identical calculations appear in the second lab reports of two students.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Zero on three parts of the report (12/50 marks; 2% of the final grade) and disciplinary probation. If the student drops the course, they will incur 15 hours of unpaid community service in a challenging position for an off-campus organization of their choice. To have these hours fulfill the student's penalty: UW needs to endorse the organization; the approved organization must provide a letter of community service and send this letter directly to the UW contact. It would be best to verify that the organization can provide this letter, when the student agrees to work with them. UW contact must receive the letter documenting the community service a minimum of 1 month before the date of the students intended convocation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student's lab report contains several instances of unexpected data. The identical error was made by another student in the class.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Zero on three parts of the report (12/50 marks; 2% of the final grade) and disciplinary probation. If the student drops the course, they will incur 15 hours of unpaid community service in a challenging position for an off-campus organization of their choice. To have these hours fulfill the student's penalty: UW needs to endorse the organization; the approved organization must provide a letter of community service and send this letter directly to the UW contact. It would be best to verify that the organization can provide this letter, when the student

agrees to work with them. UW contact must receive the letter documenting the community service a minimum of 1 month before the date of the students intended convocation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student's lab report contains several instances of unexpected data. The identical error was made by another student in the class.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Zero on three parts of the report (12/50 marks; 2% of the final grade) and disciplinary probation. If the student drops the course, they will incur 15 hours of unpaid community service in a challenging position for an off-campus organization of their choice. To have these hours fulfill the student's penalty: UW needs to endorse the organization; the approved organization must provide a letter of community service and send this letter directly to the UW contact. It would be best to verify that the organization can provide this letter, when the student agrees to work with them. UW contact must receive the letter documenting the community service a minimum of 1 month before the date of the students intended convocation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students excessively collaborated on assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Zero on assignment and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students excessively collaborated on assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** Not Specified

Penalty/Decision: Zero on assignment and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student's second lab report contains values in the calculations that do not appear elsewhere in the report and are identical to those used by another student. The similarities suggest the report is not the student's own work. Note: the student's third lab report contains nearly identical errors.

Degree: Undergraduate Year: 1

Penalty/Decision: Zero on three parts of the report (12/50 marks; 2% of the final grade) and disciplinary probation. If the student drops the course, they will incur 15 hours of unpaid community service in a challenging position for an off-campus organization of their choice. To have these hours fulfill the student's penalty: UW needs to endorse the organization; the approved organization must provide a letter of community service and send this letter directly to the UW contact. It would be best to verify that the organization can provide this letter, when the student agrees to work with them. UW contact must receive the letter documenting the community service a minimum of 1 month before the date of the students intended convocation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: The calculations in the student's second lab report are nearly identical to those found in another student's report. The similarities suggest the report is not their own work.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Zero on three parts of the report (12/50 marks; 2% of the final grade) and disciplinary probation. If the student drops the course, they will incur 15 hours of unpaid community service in a challenging position for an off-campus organization of their choice. To have these hours fulfill the student's penalty: UW needs to endorse the organization; the approved organization must provide a letter of community service and send this letter directly to the UW contact. It would be best to verify that the organization can provide this letter, when the student agrees to work with them. UW contact must receive the letter documenting the community service a minimum of 1 month before the date of the students intended convocation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: The student's second lab report contains values in the calculations that do not appear elsewhere in the report and are identical to those used by another student. There is a calculation in the student's report that is unrelated to the experiment but also appears in another student's report. These similarities suggest that the report is not their own work. Note: student's third lab report contains nearly identical errors.

Degree: Undergraduate Year: 1

Penalty/Decision: Zero on three parts of the report (12/50 marks; 2% of the final course grade) and disciplinary probation. If the student drops the course, they will incur 15 hours of unpaid community service in a challenging position for an off-campus organization of their choice. To have these hours fulfill the student's penalty: UW needs to endorse the organization; the approved organization must provide a letter of community service and send this letter directly to the UW contact. It would be best to verify that the organization can provide this letter, when the student agrees to work with them. UW contact must receive the letter documenting the community service a minimum of 1 month before the date of the students intended convocation.

Details: The calculations in the student's second lab report are identical to those found in another student's report. It is unclear how the values

in your figures were obtained.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Zero on three parts of the report (12/50 marks; 2% of the final course grade) and disciplinary probation. If the student drops the course, they will incur 15 hours of unpaid community service in a challenging position for an off-campus organization of their choice. To have these hours fulfill the student's penalty: UW needs to endorse the organization; the approved organization must provide a letter of community service and send this letter directly to the UW contact. It would be best to verify that the organization can provide this letter, when the student agrees to work with them. UW contact must receive the letter documenting the community service a minimum of 1 month before the date of the students intended convocation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: The calculations in the student's second lab report are identical to those of another student in the course. These similarities suggest that the report is not their own work.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the calculations and graphs (12/50 marks; 2% of the final course grade) and disciplinary probation. If the student drops the course, they will incur 15 hours of unpaid community service in a challenging position for an off-campus organization of their choice. To have these hours fulfill the student's penalty: UW needs to endorse the organization; the approved organization must provide a letter of your community service and send this letter directly to the UW contact. It would be best to verify that the organization can provide this letter, when the student agrees to work with them. UW contact must receive the letter documenting the community service a minimum of 1 month before the date of the students intended convocation. A subsequent finding of misconduct relating to excessive collaboration will not be mitigated.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: The calculations in the student's second lab report are identical to those of another student in the course. These similarities suggest that your report is not your own work.

Degree: Undergraduate Year: 1

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the calculations and graphs (12/50 marks; 2% of the final course grade) and disciplinary probation. If the student drops the course, they will incur 15 hours of unpaid community service in a challenging position for an off-campus organization of their choice.

To have these hours fulfill the student's penalty: UW needs to endorse the organization; the approved organization must provide a letter of your community service and send this letter directly to the UW contact. It would be best to verify that the organization can provide this letter, when the student agrees to work with them. UW contact must receive the letter documenting the community service a minimum of 1 month before the date of the students intended convocation. A subsequent finding of misconduct relating to excessive collaboration will not be mitigated.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: The calculations in the student's second lab report are identical to those of another student in the course. These similarities suggest that the report is not their own work.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** Not Specified

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the calculations and graphs (12/50 marks; 2% of the final course grade) and disciplinary probation. If the student drops the course, they will incur 15 hours of unpaid community service in a challenging position for an off-campus organization of their choice. To have these hours fulfill the student's penalty: UW needs to endorse the organization; the approved organization must provide a letter of your community service and send this letter directly to the UW contact. It would be best to verify that the organization can provide this letter, when the student agrees to work with them. UW contact must receive the letter documenting the community service a minimum of 1 month before the date of the students intended convocation. A subsequent finding of misconduct relating to excessive collaboration will not be mitigated.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: The calculations in the student's second lab report are identical to those of another student in the course. These similarities suggest that the report is not their own work. Student was very remorseful for their error in judgment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** Not Specified

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the calculations and graphs (27/50 marks; 4.5% of the final course grade) and disciplinary probation. If the student drops the course, they will incur 15 hours of unpaid community service in a challenging position for an off-campus organization of their choice. To have these hours fulfill the student's penalty: UW needs to endorse the organization; the approved organization must provide a letter of community service and send this letter directly to the UW contact. It would be best to verify that the organization can provide this letter, when the student agrees to work with them. UW contact must receive the letter documenting the community service a minimum of 1 month before the date of the students intended convocation. A subsequent finding of misconduct relating to excessive collaboration will not be mitigated.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students excessively collaborated on assignments.

Penalty/Decision: Zero on assignments, 5% course deduction, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students excessively collaborated on assignments.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Zero on assignments, 5% course deduction, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students excessively collaborated on assignments.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Zero on assignments, 5% course deduction, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students excessively collaborated on assignments.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Zero on assignments, 5% course deduction, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students excessively collaborated on assignments.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Zero on assignments, 5% course deduction, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students excessively collaborated on assignments.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Zero on assignments, 5% course deduction, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students excessively collaborated on assignments.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Zero on assignments, 5% course deduction, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students excessively collaborated on assignments.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Zero on assignments, 5% course deduction, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students excessively collaborated on assignments.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Zero on assignments, 5% course deduction, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students excessively collaborated on assignments.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Zero on assignments, 5% course deduction, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Excessive collaboration on assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** Not Specified

Penalty/Decision: Zero on assignment and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Excessive collaboration on assignment. **Degree:** Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Zero on assignment and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: The graphs in student's second lab report are identical to those of another student in the class. These similarities suggest that the report is not student's own work.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the graphs (15/50 marks; 2.7% of the final course grade) and disciplinary probation. If the student drops the course, they will incur 15 hours of unpaid community service in a challenging position for an off-campus organization of their choice. To have these hours fulfill the student's penalty: UW needs to endorse the organization, the approved organization must provide a letter of your community service and send this letter directly to the UW contact. It would be best to verify that the organization can provide this letter, when the student agrees to work with them. UW contact must receive the letter documenting the community service a minimum of 1 month before the date of the students intended convocation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: The graphs in student's second lab report are identical to those of another student in the class. These similarities suggest that the report is not student's own work.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the graphs (15/50 marks; 2.7% of the final course grade) and disciplinary probation. If the student drops the course, they will incur 15 hours of unpaid community service in a challenging position for an off-campus organization of their choice. To have these hours fulfill the student's penalty: UW needs to endorse the organization, the approved organization must provide a letter of your community service and send this letter directly to the UW contact. It would be best to verify that the organization can provide this letter, when the student agrees to work with them. UW contact must receive the letter documenting the community service a minimum of 1 month before the date of the students intended convocation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students were accused of excessive collaboration on the assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Zero on assignment, 5% course deduction, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students were accused of excessive collaboration on the assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Zero on assignment, 5% course deduction, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students were accused of excessive collaboration on the assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Zero on assignment, 5% course deduction, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students were accused of excessive collaboration on the assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Zero on assignment, 5% course deduction, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Excessive collaboration on assignment. **Degree:** Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the questions with excessive collaboration, 5% off total course grade, and disciplinary probation.

Details: Excessive collaboration on assignment. **Degree:** Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the questions with excessive collaboration, 5% off total course grade, and disciplinary probation. Student contested

the allegation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Excessive collaboration on assignment. **Degree:** Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the questions with excessive collaboration, 5% off total course grade, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Excessive collaboration on assignment. **Degree:** Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the questions with excessive collaboration, 5% off total course grade, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Excessive collaboration on assignment. **Degree:** Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the questions with excessive collaboration, 5% off total course grade, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Excessive collaboration on assignment. **Degree:** Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the questions with excessive collaboration, 5% off total course grade, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Both students were found guilty of unauthorized collaboration.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the assignment (5%) and AI Workshop.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Both students were found guilty of unauthorized collaboration.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the assignment (5%) and Al Workshop.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student's calculations and figures in their lab report are nearly identical to those of another student in the class. These similarities

suggest the submissions is not their own work.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the calculations and graphs (14/50; 2.5% of the final course grade) and disciplinary probation. A subsequent finding of

misconduct relating to excessive collaboration will not be mitigated.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student's calculations and figures in their lab report are nearly identical to those of another student in the class. These similarities

suggest the submissions is not their own work.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the calculations and graphs (14/50; 2.5% of the final course grade) and disciplinary probation. A subsequent finding of

misconduct relating to excessive collaboration will not be mitigated.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Several answers in student's assignment are nearly identical to those of another student in the class.

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the assignment (~2% of the final course grade) and disciplinary probation. A subsequent finding of misconduct

relating to excessive collaboration will not be mitigated.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Several answers in student's assignment are nearly identical to those of another student in the class.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: Zero on three questions (~1% of the course grade), 5% reduction in the final course grade, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Cheating on assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the questions, an additional 5% deduction from the final grade, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Cheating on assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the questions, an additional 5% deduction from the final grade, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Cheating on assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the questions, an additional 5% deduction from the final grade, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Cheating on assignment.

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the questions, an additional 5% deduction from the final grade, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Cheating on assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the questions, an additional 5% deduction from the final grade, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Cheating on assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the questions; additional 5% deduction from the final grade; disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Cheating on assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the questions, an additional 5% deduction from the final grade, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Cheating on assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the questions, an additional 5% deduction from the final grade, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Cheating on assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the questions, an additional 5% deduction from the final grade, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students collaborated on a lab more than what was permitted.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the lab and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students collaborated on a lab more than what was permitted.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the lab and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: The students collaborated on a lab more than what was permitted.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the lab and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students collaborated on a lab more than what was permitted.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the lab and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students collaborated on a lab more than what was permitted.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the lab and disciplinary probation.

Details: Some of the calculations and discussion in student's lab report are nearly identical to those of another student in the class. Student was

remorseful.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the shared questions for which the student did not already receive zero (reduction in earned grade of 10/50 = 1.8% of

final grade) and disciplinary probation. A subsequent finding of misconduct relating to excessive collaboration will not be mitigated.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: A student submitted graphs for their lab report that was copied from another student in the class.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the lab report (9% of course grade), 5% reduction in final grade, and disciplinary probation. A subsequent finding of

excessive collaboration may incur a suspension.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Some information on student's lab report are nearly identical to those of another student in the class.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the shared questions for which student did not already receive zero (10/50 = 1.8% of final grade), 5% reduction in the final course grade, and disciplinary probation. If this reduction trips a course failure, then a final course grade of 50 will be assigned. A subsequent finding of academic misconduct will not be mitigated and may include a suspension.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: A student submitted graphs for their lab report that was copied from another student in the class.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Deductions on six questions (14/50 or 2.5% of final grade) and disciplinary probation. A subsequent finding of misconduct relating to excessive collaboration will not be mitigated.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Large portions of student's lab report was identical to those of another student in the class. Student took full responsibility for their

error in judgement.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Zero on identical sections of lab report (14/50; 2.8% of final grade) and disciplinary probation. A subsequent finding of

misconduct relating to excessive collaboration will not be mitigated.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students excessively collaborated on assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the questions copied, 5% off total course grade, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students excessively collaborated on assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the questions copied, 5% off total course grade, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students excessively collaborated on assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the questions copied, 5% off total course grade, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students excessively collaborated on assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the questions copied, 5% off total course grade, and disciplinary probation.

3 June 2021 (for the period September 2018 – August 2019)

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration **Details:** Students excessively collaborated on assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the questions copied, 5% off total course grade, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration **Details:** Students excessively collaborated on assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the questions copied, 5% off total course grade, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students excessively collaborated on assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the questions copied, 5% off total course grade, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students excessively collaborated on assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the questions copied, 5% off total course grade, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration **Details:** Students excessively collaborated on assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the questions copied, 5% off total course grade, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration **Details:** Students excessively collaborated on assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the questions copied, 5% off total course grade, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students excessively collaborated on assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the questions copied, 5% off total course grade, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students excessively collaborated on assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the questions copied, 5% off total course grade, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students collaborated more than what was permitted on the project.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: 50% deduction from the grade earned and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students collaborated more than what was permitted on the project.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: 50% deduction from the grade earned and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students collaborated more than what was permitted on the project.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: 50% deduction from the grade earned and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students collaborated more than what was permitted on the project.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: 50% deduction from the grade earned and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students excessively collaborated on a submission that required independent work.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the assessment (worth 20%) and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students excessively collaborated on a submission that required independent work.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the assessment (worth 20%) and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student submitted an assignment which two questions were substantially the same as those submitted by another student in the class.

Second offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the assignment, 5 marks off the final grade in the course; no further penalty. Candidate for AI workshop.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student submitted an assignment in which the code was found to be excessively similar to that submitted by another student in the

class. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the relevant questions on the assignment, 5 marks off the final grade, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student submitted an assignment in which the code was found to be excessively similar to that submitted by another student in the

class. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the relevant questions on the assignment, 5 marks off the final grade, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Some of students answers on an assignment were similar to those of another student in the class.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 50% off the value of the assignment (3.75/20; 3.75% of the final grade), 5% reduction in the final course grade, and

disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Some of student's answers on an assignment were similar to those of another student in the class.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 50% of the value of the assignment (3.75/20; 3.75% of the final grade), 5% reduction in the final course grade, and disciplinary

probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students collaborated excessively on the assignment where independent work was required.

Penalty/Decision: 50% deduction on the assignment and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students collaborated excessively on the assignment where independent work was required.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: 50% deduction on the assignment and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: The students collaborated more than what was permitted.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: 50% off the grade obtained for the project and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: The students collaborated more than what was permitted.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: 50% off the grade obtained for the project and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student's assignment is nearly identical to that of another student in the class.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the relevant sections of the assignment (7/40; 1.6% of final grade) and disciplinary probation. A subsequent finding of misconduct relating to excessive collaboration will not be mitigated.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student's submission contained multiple edits from another Microsoft account. Student admitted that the edits were done by a friend.

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the writing component of the assignment (4% of the final grade) and disciplinary probation. A subsequent finding of

 $\ misconduct\ relating\ to\ unauthorized\ collaboration\ will\ not\ be\ mitigated.$

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Excessive collaboration on assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** Not Specified

Penalty/Decision: Zero on assignment and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Excessive collaboration on assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** Not Specified

Penalty/Decision: Zero on assignment and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student's lab report is identical to those of another student in the class.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Zero on identical sections (14/50; 2.8% of final grade); 2% reduction in final grade, and disciplinary probation. A subsequent

finding of misconduct relating to excessive collaboration will not be mitigated.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student engaged in academic misconduct by unauthorized collaboration in class.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: Zero on assignment (worth 5% of grade), no opportunity to have any of the three assignments remarked, and disciplinary

probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students collaborated too closely on assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate Year: 1

Penalty/Decision: Zero on questions cheated on and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration **Details:** Students collaborated too closely on assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate Year: 1

Penalty/Decision: Zero on questions cheated on and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students collaborated too closely on assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate Year: 2

Penalty/Decision: Zero on questions cheated on and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students collaborated too closely on assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate Year: 1

Penalty/Decision: Zero on guestions cheated on and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students collaborated too closely on assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate Year: 1

Penalty/Decision: Zero on questions cheated on and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students collaborated too closely on assignment.

Penalty/Decision: Zero on questions cheated on and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Cheating on an assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Zero on assignment and an additional 5% deduction from the final grade.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Cheating on an assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Zero on assignment and an additional 5% deduction from the final grade.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student's submission is identical to those of another student in the class.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the graphs portion of the assignment (equal to approximately 3% of final course grade).

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student's submission is identical to those of another student in the class.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** Not Specified

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the graphs portion of the assignment (equal to approximately 3% of final course grade).

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Calculations and results in student's submission are nearly identical to that of another student in the class.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the calculations and table (approximately 3% deduction from their final course grade).

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Calculations and results in student's submission are nearly identical to that of another student in the class.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the calculations and table (approximately 3% deduction from their final course grade).

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students were found guilty of excessive collaboration on assignments.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0% on each assignment (worth 2.5% each), 2.5% deducted from final grade, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students were found guilty of excessive collaboration on assignments.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0% for each assignment (worth 2.5% each), 2.5% grade deduction from final grade, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students were found guilty of excessive collaboration on assignments.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0% on each assignment (worth 2.5% each), 2.5% deducted from final grade, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students collaborated on assignment. **Degree:** Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Zero on assignment and 5-mark deduction from final course grade.

Details: Students collaborated on assignment. **Degree:** Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Zero on assignment and 5-mark deduction from final course grade.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Suspicious similarities in assignments submitted by two students.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: Zero for assignment and 5-mark deduction from final course grade.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Suspicious similarities in assignments submitted by two students.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: Zero for assignment and 5-mark deduction from final course grade.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Unauthorized collaboration or plagiarism. **Degree:** Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: Zero for assignment, 5-mark deduction from course grade, and student must take an ethics course.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Unauthorized collaboration or plagiarism. **Degree:** Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 5-mark deduction from final course grade.

Details: Students submitted lab reports which included graphs that were identical to one another (including errors).

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0% on graph and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students submitted lab reports which included graphs that were identical to one another (including errors).

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0% on graph and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student submitted an assignment that was similar to another student's assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the assignment and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student was found guilty of unauthorized collaboration.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student's lab report included a graph that was identical to the graph in another student's lab report.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0% on graph section and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student's lab report included a graph that was identical to the graph in another student's lab report.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 0% on graph section and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students submitted solutions that were too similar to a posted solution from a previous term on an assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Zero on one question, 5% deduction overall, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students submitted solutions that were too similar to a posted solution from a previous term on an assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Zero on one question, 5% deduction overall, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students submitted solutions that were too similar to a posted solution from a previous term on an assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: Zero on one question, 5% deduction overall, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student submitted an assignment that was virtually identical to one submitted by another student in the same class. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: Zero on this component of the final grade, a further 5 marks off the final grade in the course, and disciplinary probation.

Details: Excessive collaboration on assignment. Student appealing FCSA.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Zero on question and disciplinary probation; 5% course deduction was not imposed, as per instructor request). Appeal was

denied.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Excessive collaboration on assignment. **Degree:** Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Zero on question and disciplinary probation; 5% course deduction was not imposed, as per instructor request).

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Excessive collaboration on assignment. **Degree:** Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Zero on question and disciplinary probation; 5% course deduction was not imposed, as per instructor request).

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Excessive collaboration on assignment. **Degree:** Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Zero on question and disciplinary probation; 5% course deduction was not imposed, as per instructor request).

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Excessive collaboration on assignment. Students appealing FCSA

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Zero on question and disciplinary probation; 5% course deduction was not imposed, as per instructor request). Appeal was

denied.

Details: Excessive collaboration on assignment. **Degree:** Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Zero on question and disciplinary probation; 5% course deduction was not imposed, as per instructor request).

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student repeatedly submitted the same assignment to the instructor. The initial submission had a Turnitin match rate of 83%; the last

one had a rating of 53%. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the assignment, 5 marks off the final grade in the course, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student submitted an assignment that was substantially similar to that submitted by another student in the class. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the assignment; no further penalty.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student submitted an assignment that was substantially similar to that submitted by another student in the class. Second offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the assignment, 5 marks off the final grade in the course, suspension for one term (to be agreed upon), and

disciplinary probation to continue.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student A helped Student B with a question.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Zero on question, 5% deduction overall, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student A helped Student B with a question. Second offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: Zero on question, 5% deduction overall, and disciplinary probation. Future offence would be suspension.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Excessive collaboration on lab report. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Zero on report (4% of final grade) and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Excessive collaboration on lab report. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Zero on report (4% of final grade) and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Excessive collaboration on lab report. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Zero on report (4% of final grade) and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Excessive collaboration on lab report. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Zero on report (4% of final grade) and disciplinary probation.

Details: Excessive collaboration on lab report. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Zero on report (4% of final grade) and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Excessive collaboration on lab report. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Zero on report (4% of final grade) and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Excessive collaboration on lab report. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: Zero on report (4% of final grade) and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Excessive collaboration on lab report. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: Zero on report (4% of final grade) and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Excessive collaboration on lab report. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Zero on report (4% of final grade) and disciplinary probation.

Details: Students shared files in lab. First offence. First offence.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Zero on report (4% of final grade) and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students shared files in lab. First offence. **Degree:** Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Zero on report (4% of final grade) and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student shared solutions with another student.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the question, 5 marks off the course grade, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student shared solutions with another student.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the question, 5 marks off the course grade, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students excessively collaborated on an assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Zero on questions, 5% deduction overall, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration **Details:** Students excessively collaborated on assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Zero on questions, 5% deduction overall, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students were accused of excessively collaborating on an assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Zero on assignment, 5% course deduction, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students were accused of excessively collaborating on an assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Zero on assignment, 5% course deduction, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Excessive collaboration on an assignment. **Degree:** Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Zero on question, 5% course deduction, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Excessive collaboration on an assignment. **Degree:** Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Zero on collaborated question, 5% deduction, and disciplinary probation.

Details: Excessive collaboration on an assignment. **Degree:** Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Zero on collaborated question, 5% course deduction, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students submitted extremely similar submissions for an assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** Not Specified

Penalty/Decision: Zero on assignment and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Students submitted extremely similar submissions for an assignment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** Not Specified

Penalty/Decision: Zero on assignment and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student found guilty of excessive collaboration.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 5% deduction from the final course grade and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation or collaboration

Details: Student was found guilty of excessive collaboration.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the body of the assignment (worth 15%), 5% deducted from final course grade, and disciplinary probation.

Details: Student was found guilty of excessive collaboration.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the body of the assignment (worth 15%) and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized co-operation of collaboration

Details: Student submitted an assignment that was virtually identical to one submitted by another student in the same class. First offence

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: Successful completion of the AI workshop; no further penalty.

Description: Unauthorized resubmission of work

Details: Instructor had concerns that the assignment a student submitted had been previously submitted and graded in another course. The instructor discussed this allegation of unauthorized resubmission of work with the student over the phone. After seeking clarification, the student submitted a nearly identical assignment.

Degree: Graduate Year: Not Specified

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the course element, an additional 5% off the final course, and disciplinary probation. The student failed this course and was not allowed to drop the course.

Description: Unauthorized resubmission of work

Details: Student's lab report submission contained an 81% similarity index to a report they submitted for the same course in a previous term. The student had taken this course before and handed in their report, but later dropped the course. The student thought it would be okay to resubmit the report the second time taking the course. The student now understands this was wrong.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0% on lab report.

Description: Unauthorized resubmission of work

Details: Student's lab report was more than 90% similar to a previously submitted lab report through Turnitin.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 0% on their lab report and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized resubmission of work

Details: Student is guilty of submitting an assignment that was submitted during a previous term.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 5% from the final grade and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized resubmission of work

Details: Student submitted assignment which contained nearly identical responses from a previous submission.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: Zero will be given for assignment (worth 15% towards the final grade) and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized resubmission of work

Details: Student submitted an assignment which contained nearly identical responses from a previous submission.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 4

Penalty/Decision: Zero for the assignment (worth 10%).

Description: Unauthorized resubmission of work

Details: Student resubmitted part of an assignment that had been previously submitted by another student.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 5/15 on the report and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized resubmission of work

Details: Student resubmitted part of an assignment that had been previously submitted by another student.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 5/15 on the report and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized resubmission of work

Details: Student resubmitted part of an assignment that had been previously submitted by another student.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 5/15 on the report and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized resubmission of work

Details: Student resubmitted part of an assignment that had been previously submitted by another student.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 5/15 on the report and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized resubmission of work

Details: Student submitted an assignment that included text that was identical to an assignment previously submitted.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0% on assignment, 5% deducted from the final grade, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Unauthorized resubmission of work

Details: Student's report submission is almost an exact copy of another student's report.

Degree: Undergraduate Year: 4

Penalty/Decision: Zero on the assignment (10% of final grade), 5% reduction in the final course grade, and disciplinary probation. A subsequent finding of misconduct will not be mitigated and may include a one-term suspension.

Description: Unethical behaviour

Details: Student took photographs of notes from the board, which was prohibited as the content of the lectures are classified as intellectual property.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 5% course deduction and disciplinary probation.

Description: Vandalism

Details: Students wrote on tables and damaged them.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Students cleaned up the tables, wrote a letter of apology, and were put on disciplinary probation.

Description: Vandalism

Details: Students wrote on tables and damaged them.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Students cleaned up the tables, wrote a letter of apology, and were put on disciplinary probation.

Description: Vandalism

Details: Students wrote on tables and damaged them.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Students cleaned up the tables, wrote a letter of apology, and were put on disciplinary probation.

Description: Vandalism

Details: Students wrote on tables and damaged them.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Students cleaned up the tables, wrote a letter of apology, and were put on disciplinary probation.

Description: Vandalism

Details: Students wrote on tables and damaged them.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Students cleaned up the tables, wrote a letter of apology, and were put on disciplinary probation.

Description: Vandalism

Details: Students wrote on tables and damaged them.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Students cleaned up the tables, wrote a letter of apology, and were put on disciplinary probation.

Description: Vandalism

Details: Students wrote on tables and damaged them.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Students cleaned up the tables, wrote a letter of apology, and were put on disciplinary probation.

Description: Vandalism

Details: Students wrote on tables and damaged them.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: Students cleaned up the tables, wrote a letter of apology, and were put on disciplinary probation.

Description: Violation of examination regulations

Details: Students sat beside each other during midterm, despite repeated instructions from the instructor to leave a seat between. One student seemed to be looking at the other student's paper during the exam but there was not enough evidence to support this. Both students did poorly on the exam, so a grade penalty was not assigned. Students were found guilty of being in violation of instructor's communicated rules during a midterm exam.

Degree: Undergraduate Year: 2

Penalty/Decision: Disciplinary Probation.

Description: Violation of examination regulations

Details: Students sat beside each other during midterm, despite repeated instructions from the instructor to leave a seat between. One student seemed to be looking at the other student's paper during the exam but there was not enough evidence to support this. Both students did poorly on the exam, so a grade penalty was not assigned. Students were found guilty of being in violation of instructor's communicated rules during a midterm exam.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: Disciplinary Probation.

Description: Violation of examination regulations

Details: Student continued to fill in Scantron card after the invigilator had announced the end of the exam, despite three requests from a proctor to stop. The exam was worth 50% of the final course grade. Grade earned in the course was 60. Student had documented requirement of extra time for exams from the Accessibility Office but is only allotted when arrangements to write the exam is made with that office. Student was remorseful for the poor judgment.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 10% reduction in final course grade and disciplinary probation. Disciplinary penalty was significantly mitigated for the following reasons: 1) there was no evidence the student benefited from filling in the Scantron card randomly, and 2) student was remorseful for their errors in judgement. Any subsequent incident of academic misconduct will not be mitigated and will likely incur a suspension.

Description: Violation of examination regulations

Details: Student attended the final exam, but their exam was missing when all exams were collected. Later the student's exam was found in the instructor's office. The student immediately acknowledged that they removed the exam from the building and placed it under the office door of the instructor. They denied changing or adding any answers to the exam. Student's total exam grade, including altered answers, was significantly higher than the class average. Student was remorseful for your errors.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 3

Penalty/Decision: 0% on the final exam, failing grade in course, one-term suspension, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Violation of examination regulations

Details: Cheating on exam.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0% on final exam, 5% off overall course grade, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Violation of examination regulations

Details: Cheating on exam.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0% on final exam, 5% off overall grade, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Violation of examination regulations

Details: Student continued to write after the end of the exam.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 5% off the final grade in course and disciplinary probation.

Description: Violation of examination regulations

Details: Student was found guilty of submitting two assignments which contained plagiarized text.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 2

Penalty/Decision: 0% for both assignments (combined weight 7%), one term suspension, and disciplinary probation.

Description: Violation of examination regulations

Details: Student's quiz contained uncited material from the internet.

Degree: Undergraduate **Year:** 1

Penalty/Decision: 25% deduction from the quiz and 5% deduction from the final course grade.
